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## Dent grat

I Beg leave to submit to your perusal the following observations If you think them of any importance, 1 shall be obliged to you for commanicating them to the Royal Socioty. You will find, that the chief subject of them is the present state of the city of London, with respect to healthfulness and nuinber of inbabitants, so far as it can be collected from the bills of mortality. This is a subject which has been considered by others; bat the proper method of calculating

- This Dosay wa read to the Royal Socistr, April 27th, 1769, and has beon published in the Philosaphical Transactions, Vol. 39. It is here republished with correotions; and with oeveral. addetions, partiqukarly the Postcript.
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from
from the bills has not, I think, been sufficiently explaiped.

No competent judgment can be formed of the following observations, without a clear notion of what the writers on Life-Amuities and Reversions have called the Expectation of Life. Perhaps this is not properly understood; and Mr. De Moivre's manner of expressing himself about it is very liable ta be mistaken.
The most obvious sense of the expectation of a given life is, "That particular number ' of years which a life of agiven age has "an equal chance of enjoying." This is the time that a person may reasonably $e x$ pect to live ; for the chances against his liying longer are greater than those for it; and, therefore, he cannot entertain an expectation of living longer, consistently with probability. This period does not coincide with what the writers on Annuities cah the expectationoflife, except on the supposition of an uniform decrease in the probabilities of life, as $\mathbf{M r}$. Simpson has observed in his Select Exerctses; p. 273.-It is necessary to add, that, even on this supposition, it does not coincide with what is called the expectation of life, in any case of joint lives. Thus, two lives of 40 have an even chance, according to Mr. De Moiure's hypothesis ${ }^{b}$, of continuing together only $13 \frac{1}{2}$ years. But the expectation of
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two equal joint lives, being (according to the same hypothesis) always a third of the common complement; it is, in this case, $15 \frac{i}{4}$ years. It is necessary, therefore, to observe, that there is another sense of this phrase, which ought to be carefully distinguished from that now mentioned. It may signify, " The mean continuance of any given single, " joint, or surviving lives, according to any " given Table of Observations:" that is, the number of years which, taking them one with another, they actually enjoy, and may be considered as sure of enjoying; those who live or survive beyond that period, enjoying as much more time in proportion to their number, as those who fall short of it enjoy less. Thus; supposing 46 persons alive, all 40 years of age; and that, according to Mr. De Moivre's hypothesis, one will die every year till they are all dead in 46 years; half 46 , or 23, will be their expectation of life: That is, The number of years enjoyed by them all, will be just the same as if every one of them had lived 23 years, and then died; so that, supposing no interest of money, there would be no difference in value between annuities payable for life to every single person in such a set, and equal annuities payable to another equal set of persons of the same common age, supposed to be all sure of living just 28 years and no more.

## 6 - On the Expectation of Lives;

In like manner; the third of 46 years, or 15 years and 4 months ${ }^{c}$, is the expectation of two joint lives both 40; and this is also the expectetion of the survivor: That is; supposing a set of marriages between persons all 40 , they will, one with another, last just this time; and the surrivors will last the same time. And annuities payable daring the contimuarrce of such marriages would, supposing no interest of money, be of exactly the same value with annuities to begin at the extinctton of sach marriages, and to be paid, during life, to the survivors.-In adding together the years which any great mumber of such marriages and their survivorships have lasted, the sums woutd be found to be equal.

One is naturally led to understand the expectation of life in the first of the senses now explained, - when, by Mr. Simpson and Mr. De Moiere, it is called, the number of years u'lich, upon an equality of chance; a per son may expect to enjuy; or, the time which a person of a given aye may justly expect to continue in being; and, in the last sense, when it is called, the share of life due to a person. But, as in reality it is always used in the last of these senses, the former language should not be applied to it: And it is in this last sense that it coircides with the sumrs of the present probubilities, that any given single or joint lives shall attain to the end of the

[^1]
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$18 t$, $2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$, \&c. moments, from this time to the end of their possible existence; or (in the case of survivorships) with the sum of the probabilities, that there shall bea survivor af the end of the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{cc}$. moments, from the present tima to the end of the possible existence of survivorship. This coitr cidenceeveryone conversant in these subjects must see, upon reflecting, that both these senses give the true present value of a lifeannuity, socured by land, without interest of money ${ }^{\text {d. }}$

This period in joint liven, I bave observed, is never the same with the period which they have.an equal chance of enjoying; and in single lives, I have observed, they are the same. only on the supposition of an uniform decrease of the probabilities of life. If this decrease, instead of being always uniform, is accelerated in the last stages of life; the former period, in single lives, will be less than the latter; if retarded, it will be greater.

It is recessary to add, that the number expreasing the former period, multiplied by the number of single or joint lives whose expectation it is, added annually to a society or town; gives the whole number living together, to which such an annual addition would in time grow. Thus; since 19, or the thind of 57 , is the expectation of two

[^2]joint lives whose common age is 29; of common complement 67 ; twenty marriages every year between persons of this age would, in 87 years, grow to 20 times 19, or 380 marriages always existing together. The number of survivors also arising from these marriages, and always in life together, would, in twice $5 ;$ years, increase to the same number. And, since the expectation of a single life is always half its complement; in 57 years likewise, 20 single persons aged 29, added annually to a town, would increase to 20 times 28.5 or 570 ; and, when arrived at this number, the deaths every year will just equal the accessions, and no further increase be possible.

It appears from hence, that the particular proportion that becomes extinct every year, out of the whole number constantly existing together of single or joint lives, must, wherever this number undergoes no variation, be exactly the same with the expectation of those lives, at the time when their existence commenced. Thus; were it found that a 19th part of all the marriages among any bodies of men, whose numbers do not vary, are dissolved every year by the deaths of either the husband or wife, it would appear that 19 was, at the time they were contracted, the expectation of these marriages. In like manner; were it found in a society, limited to a fixed number of members,
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members, that a 28th part dies annually out of the whole number of members, it would appear that 28 was their common expectation of life at the time they entered. So likewise; were. it found in any town or district, where the number of births and burials are equal, that a 20th or 30th part of the inhabitants die annually, it would appear, that 20 or 30 was the expectation of a child just born in that town or district. These expectations, therefore, for all single lives, are easily found by a Table of Observations, shewing the number that die annually at all ages, out of a given number alive at those ages; and the general rule for this purpose; is " to divide the sum of all the living in " the Table, at the age whose expectation " is required, and at all greater ages, by " the sum of all that die annually at that "age, and above it; or, which is the same, " by the number (in the.Table) of the living "at that age; and half unity subtracted " from the quotient will be the required "expectation "." Thus, in Dr. Halley's Table, the sum of all the living at 20 and upwards, is 20,724 . The number living at that age is 598 ; and the former number divided by

[^3]> the latter, and half unity 'subtracted from the quotient, gives 34.15 for the expectation of 20. The expectation of the same life by Mr. Simpson's Table, formed from the bills of mortality of Lomdon, is $28.9^{\text {b }}$.

These

${ }^{\text {I }}$ If we conceive the recruit necessary to supply the waste of every year to be made always at the end of the year, the dividend ought to be the medium between the numbers living at the beginning and the end of the year. That is, it ought to be taken less than the sum of the living in the Table at and above the given age, by half the number that die in the year; the effect of which diminution will be the same with the sultraction here directed. The reason of this suberaction will be further explained, in the beginning of the 2 d Essay.
' It appears in P .4 and 5, that the expectations of single and joint lives are the same with the values of annuities on these lives, supposing no interest or inpprovement of money.-In considering this subject, it will, probably, occur to some, that, allowing interest for money, the values of tives must be the same with the values of annuities certain for a number of years equal to the expectations of the lives. But care must be taken not to fall iuto this mistake. The latter plues are always greater than the former: And the reason is, that, though a number of single or joint lives of given ages will, amons cheirn, enjoy a yiven ummber of years, yet some of them will enjog'a moch gratert, and some a mach less number of years. Thus; 100 marriages among persons, all 29 , would, as 1 have said, one with another, exist 19 ycars; and an office bound to pay anurities to such marriages during their continuance, might reckon upon making 19 payments for each marriage. Bat then, many of these payments would not be made till the end of 30 , and some not till the end of 40 years. And it is apparent, that on account of the greater value of quick than late payments, when money bcars interest, 19 payments so made ennmot be worth as much, as the same number of
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These observations bring me to the principal point which I have had all along in view. They suggest to us an easy method of finding the number of inhabitants in a place, from a Table of Obvervations or the bills of mortalizy for that place, supposing the yearly births and burials equal. "Find by " the Table in the way just described, the " expectation of an infant just born; and this, " multiplied by the number of yearly births, " will be the number of inhabitantg." At Brestowe, according to Dr. Halley's Table, though half die under 16; and therefore an infant just boun has an equal chance of living only 10 years; yet his expectation, found by
payments made regularly at the ead of every year, tiil in 19 years they are ull made.

This observation might be employed, to demonstrate further, the error of those who hare maintamed, that the value of a given like is the same with the volue of an atunity certain, for as many years as the life has an equal chance of existing. Were this true, an annuity an a like, supposed to be exposed to such danger in a particular year as to create an equil ebrance whether is will not fail that gear, would, at the beginuing of the year, be worth nothing, though supposed to be sure of continuing for ever, if it escaped that danger: Nor, in general, would the values of annuities on a set of lives he at'al afferted by atry ultrations in the rate of moxtality among them, prowided these alterations were such, as did not atfict the period during which they had an cqual chance of existing. - Bat there can be no occasion for taking notice of as opinion, which mas been cenbraced only by persoms ignorant of mathematics, and prainly unacquainted with the genuige principles of calculation on this subject. See a pamphlet on Life-Annuities by VFeymun Lee, Esq. of the Inner Temple.
the rule I have given, is near 28 years; and this, multiplied by 1238, the number born annually, gives 34,604 , the number of inhabitants. In like manner, it appears from Mr. Simpson's Tables, that, though an infant just born in London has not an equal chance of living 3 years, his expectation is 19 years and a quarter. Let us reckon it as high as 20 years. This number, multiplied by the yearly births, would give the number of inhabitants in London, were the births and burials equal.-The medium of the yearly births, for ten years, from 1759 to 1768 , was 15,710 . And 15,710 multiplied by 20 , gives 314,200 ; which is the number of inhabitants that there would be in London, according to the Bills, were the yearly burials no more than equal to the births: that is, were it to support itself in its number of inhabitants, without any supply from the country. But for the period I have mentioned, the burials were, at an average, 22,956, and exceeded the christenings 7,246. This is, therefore, the yearly addition of people to London from other parts of the kingdom, by whom it is kept up. Suppose them to be all, one with another, persons who have, when they remove to London, an expectation of life equal to 30 years. . That is; suppose them to be all of the age of 18 or 20, a supposition certainly far beyond the truth. From hence will arise, according to what has been before observed, an addition
of 30 multiplied by 7,246 ; that is, 217,380 inhabitants. This number, added to the former, makes 531,580 ; and this, I think, at most, would be the number of inhabitants in London were the bills perfect. But it is certain, that they give the number of births and burials too little. . There are many burial places which are never brought into the bills. : Many also emigrate to the navy and army and country; and these ought to be added to the number of deaths: What the deficiencies arising from hence are: :cannot be determined ${ }^{\text {b., }}$, Suppose them equivalent to. 6000 every year in the births, and
$\therefore$ Two whole' parishes :are mitted in , the bills, or Marybone and , Pancras parishes, . The former of these parishes is now one of the largest in London. The annudal medium of burials in it for five years to 1771, was 780. In Bancrds parish this medium for the same period was 322. From an accuate account taken in March 1722 of that part of this last parish which joins to Londom, it appeared that the number of inhabitants 'was' then 3479, of whorts. 1594 were lodgers; and that the mumber of hpuses. was 476, of which about 330 had been bailt in seven years. Mr. Wales, in a pamphlet of which more notice will be taken presently, gives the annual medium of lurials, for 5 years to 1779, in Marybone parish 4145; of lirths 1008, In Pancras, he gives the lurials for the same period, 339: the lirths, 234. (a)
(a) Since the time in which this note was written in 1783, the number of houses in each of these parishes has been immensely increased; and it appears from the Survey made in 1801 in pursuance of an. Act of Parliament " for taking an account of the population of Great Britain," that in Marybone the inhabitants amounted to 63,982, and in Pancras to 31,779, making together 95,761; and from the survey made in the last year (1811) flat they amounted to a much greater number.
M.

6000 in the burials This would make an addition of 20 times 6000 , or 120,000 , to the last namber; and the whole number of inhabitants would be 651,580 . If the btrials are deficient only two thinds of this number, or 4000 ; and the births the whote of it ; 20 multiplied by 6000 , must be added \$0 314,200 , on accoumat of the defects in the births: and, since the excess of the burials above the births will then be only 5.246: 80 minultipfied by 5,246 or $15 \%, 380$, will be the number to be added on this eccount; and the sum, or namber of inhabitants, will be 591,580 ...+But if, on the contrary, the bur rials are deficient 6000 , and the births only 4000 ; 80,000 must be aided to 314,200, on account of the deficiencies in the births; and 30 multiplied by 0,246 , or $2 ; 2,380$, on account of the excess of the burials abore the hirths; and the whole number of inher bitants will be 671,580.

Every supposition in these calculations is too high. Emigrants from Lomdon are, in particular, allowed the same expectation of continuance in Loomdon with thoee who are born in it, or who come to it in the firmest part of life, and never afterwards leave it; whereas it is not credible that the former expectation should be 60 much as half the latter. But I have a further reason for thinking that this calculation gives too high numbers, which has with me irresistible weight. It has been seen, that the number
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of intrabitants comes out less onthe sapposition, that the defects in the christenings are greater thanthose in the burials. : Now it seems evin dent that this is really the case; and, as it is a fact not attended to, :I will endeavour to explain distinctly the reason which proves it.
.The proportion of the number of births in London, to the number who live to be 10 years of age, is, by the Bills, 16 to 5. Any one may find this to be trie, by bubtracting the anneal niedium of those who have died under 10 ' for some years pastis: ifrom the annual medium of births for the same number of years, Mow, thoughiwithout doint Lons don is very fatal to children, yet it seems in credible that it shoudd'beiso tatal as this imar plies. The Bills; thenefore, probably, give the number bf those who die inder 10 too great in proportion to the number of births; and there can be no other cause of this, than a greator deficiency in the births than in the burials. Wiere the deficiencieis in both equal ; that is, were the burials, in proportion to their number, just:as deficient as the: binths are in proportion to their number, the proportion of those who reach 10 years of age to the number born, would be right in the Bills, let the deficiencies themselves be ever so considerable. On the contrary; were the deficiencies in the burials greater than in the

[^4]births, this proportion wouk be given too great; and it is only when the former are least, that this proportion can be given too little-Thus; let the number of annual, burials be 23,000; of births 15,700; and. the number dying annually under 10 , 10,800 . Then 4,900 will reach 10 , of 15,700 born annually; that is, 5 out of 16 . -Were there no deficiencies in the burials, and were it fact that only half the number born die under 10 ; it would follow, that there was an annual deficiency equal to $\mathbf{4 , 9 0 0}$ subtracted from 10,800 , or 5,900 , in the births.-Were the births a third part too little, and the burials also 'a third- too little, the true number of births, buirials; and of children dying under io, would be $20,933-30,666$-and 14,400 ; 'and, therefore, the number that would liye to 1.0. years of age, would be 6,538 out of 20,933 , or 5 out of 16 as before.-Were the birthe a third part, and the burials so much as twofifths wrong, the number of births, buirials, and children dying under 10 would be 20,933-32,200 and 15,120. And, therefore, the number that would live to 10 wauld be 5,813 out of 20,933 , or five out of 18 . Were the births a third part wrong, and the hurials but a sixth. the foregoing numbers would be $20,933-26,833-12,000$; and therefore, the number that would live to 10 would be 8,333 out of 20,933 , or 5 out of 12.56: and this proportion seems
as low as is consistent with probability. It is somewhat less than the proportion inMr. Simpson's Table of London Observations; and much less than the proportion in the Table of Observations for Breslaw. The deficiencies, therefore, in the register of births, must be greater than those in the register of burialsk; and the least number I have given, or $591,580^{1}$ is nearest.

[^5]to the true number of inhabitants. However, should any one, after all, think that

it

1759 to 1768, supposing the deficiencies in the chriatenings so considerable as a third, while in the lurials they were only a sixth.-In page 15th, he says, that according to my Tables for London, formed on the supposion that the burials exceed the births a foun th, the expectation of a child just born in London is 20 years and three quarters.-Had Mr. Wales attended more to this subject, he would have found, that in reality this expectation is no more than 18 ; and that 20 and three quarters is the expectation, according to my Tables, not of a child just born in London, but of all the iuhabitants of London at the time they enter it. See the 2d Essay towards the middle, and the Tables for London in the Collection of Tables._-He would also have found that even in the present improved state of London it $\%$ not possible, without assuming suppositions which are perfectly extravagant, to frame a table from the Bilis that shall give the expectation of a child at birth in London much more than 20. He intimates, however, that it may now approach even to 25 ? ; but concludes, tho' he could not stop to make the calculation, that it cannot be less than 24. He will see how wrong he has been ia drawing this conclusion, if he will consult the Emary, and the Tables to which 1 have just referred. The 16th Table, in particular, gives the probabilities of life between 8 and 16 higher than (according to Mr. Wales's account) they have been found to be among the childrea in Christ's Hospital for 20 years before 1781. It gives them likewise too high after 20; and yet even this Table makes the expectation of a child just born in London only 19순. -Mr. Wales, in consequence of concluding without calculation this expectation to be 24, makes the inhabitants of London to be 625,181.—Had he taken it at 20 , he would have taken it higher than it is, and by proceeding on his own principles found that the inhabitants of London cannot be so many as 528,859.

I cannot conclude this Note without adding, that though it appears from hence, that Mr. Wales has been much
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it is not improbable that only 5 of 16 should live in London to be 10 years of age: or that above two-thirds die under this age; the consequence will still be, that the foregoing calculation has been carried too high. For it will from hence follow, that the expectation of a child just born in London must be far short of the number at which I have taken it, or of 20 years.-It is only $19: \frac{1}{4}$ on the supposition that half die under 3 years of age, and that 5 of 16 live to be 29 years of age, agreeably to Mr. Simpson's Table. But if it is indeed true, that half die under 2 years of age, and 5 of 16 under 10, agreeably to the Bills, this expectation cannot be so much as 17; and all the numbers before given will be considerably reduced.

Upon the whole: I am forced to conclude from these observations, that the second number I have given, or 651,580, though short of the number of inhabitants commonly supposed in London, is, very probably, much greater, but cannot be less, than the true number. Indeed, it is in general evident, that in cases of this kind numbers are very much over-rated., The ingenious Dr. Brakenridge, 14 years ago, when the Bills were lower than they are now, from the number of houses, and allowing

[^6]six to a house; made the number of inhabitants 751,800 . But he has taken the number of houses much greater than it really is; and six to a house is probably too large an allowance ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

## Another

m Vid. Phil. Transactions, Vol. xdviii. p. 788. In a paper sulsequent to this, read to the Royal Society in March 1758, Dr. Brakenridge tells us, that in a late survey it appeared, that in all iैंidilleser, London, Westminster, and Southuark, there were 87,614 houses, of which 19,324 were cottares, and 4810 empty. And he ackuowledges, that this, if right, proves London to be much less populous than he had made it. See Phil. Trans. Vol. L. p. $471 .-M r$. Maitland gives two accounts of the number of houses within the Bills. One carefully taken from the books of all the parishes and precincts belonging to London; and another taken from a particular survey in 1737, made by himself with incredible pains. The first account makes the number of houses 85,505 . The second account makes it 95,968 . (b) And the reason of the difference he observes, is, that many landlore: of small places paying all taxes, they are in the parish books reckoned as so many single houses, though cach of them contains several houses. See Mr. Maitland's History of London, 2d Book at, the end-It will be observed presently, that the number of inhabitants in London in 1737, was considerably greater than it is now.

From a Table which I have given at the end of this Essay, containing the results of actual surveys of the number of inhabitants, houses, and families in many different places, it will appear that six to a house is probably too large an allowance for London; and that certrinly five to a house is an allowance sufficiently large for England in general. And this will prove that Dr. Brakenridge

[^7]Another method which Dr. Brakenridge took to determine the number of inhabitants in London was from the annual number of burials, adding 2000 to the Bills for omissions, and supposing a 30th part to die every year. In order to prove this to be a moderate supposition, he observes that, according to Dr. Halley's Observations, a 34th
kenridge has over-rated the number of poople in England as well as in London. In a letter to George Lewis Scott, Esq. published in 1756 in the Phil. Trans. Vol. slix. p. 877, he says, that he had been certainly informed that the number of houses rated to the window-tax was 690,000 . The number of cottages not rated, he adds, could not exeeed 200,000 ; and from these data, by allowing six to a house, he makes the number of inhabitants in England to be $5,340,000$.-Dr. Brakenridge was much mistaken with respect to the cottages. Their number in 1761 was (according to the returns of the surveyors of the house duties) 276,149 ; and the whole number of houses in England and Wales was in the same year 980,692 - In 17\%7, according to the same returns, the cottages were 251,261 , and the whole number of houses 952,734. Let, however, the number of houses then in England and Wales he reckoned a million; and, allowing five to a house, the number of people must have been pive millions.-The inhabitants of Irkiand may, I suppose, be stated at two millions.The inhabitants of Scotland consisted, in 1754, of between 16,000 and 17,000 Papists, and between 1,240,000 and $-1,280,000$ Protestants, according to an estimate that was made, I am informed, with labour and expence by the Rev. Dr. Welister.-It fellows, therefore, that the whole number of people in Britain and Ireland may be about eight millions and a half, or nine millions. In the Supplement I shall have occasion to say more on this subject, and to take notice of the arguments offered by Mr. Wales and Mr. Howlett, to prove that our population is increasing.
part die every year at Breslaw. But this observation was made too inadvertently. The number of annual burials there, according to Dr. Halley's account, was 1174, and the number of inhabitants, as deduced by him from his Table, was 34,000; and therefore a 29th part died every year. Besides; any one may find, that in reality the Table is constructed on the supposition, that the whole number born, or 1238 , die every year; from whence it will follow that a 28th part died every year ${ }^{\text {n }}$. Dr. Brakenridge, therefore, had he attended to this would have stated a 24 th part as the proportion that dies in London every year, and this would have taken off 150,000 from the number he has given. Bul even this must be less than the just proportion. For let three-fourths of all who either die in Loxdon or migrate from it, be such as have been born in London; and let the rest be persons who have removed to London from the country, or from foreign nations. The axpectation of the former, it has been shewn,
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cannot be 20 years; and 30 years have been allowed to the latter. One with another, then, they will have an expectation of 22 : years. That is; one of $22 \frac{2}{2}$ will die every year ${ }^{\circ}$. And, consequently, supposing the annual

[^9]
## 24 On the Expectation of, Lives;

annual recruit from the country to be 7000 P , the number of births 3 times 7000 or 21,000 , 1 . and
lurials, higher than they would otherwise be.-The annual medium of burials at Stockholm in Sweden, from 1758 to 1763 , was 3802 . The number of inhabitants in 1763 was 72,979 . One in 19 therefore died annually. See a memoir by M. Vargentin, in the 15th Vol. of the Collection Academique, printed at Paris 1772.
s Mr. Maitland; in his History of London, Vol. II. page 744, by a laborious, but too unsatisfactory investigation, makes 1 in $24 \frac{1}{2}$ to die in London annually; and on the suppositions, that this is the true proportion dying annually, al all times, in Loudon, and that the deficiencies in the burials (including the burials in Marylone and Pancras parishes) amounted to 3038 annually ; he determines, that the number of inhabitants within the bills . หas 725,003 , in the year 1737 .

The number of burials not brought to account in the Bills is, probably, now much greater than either Dr. Brakenridge or Mr. Maitland suppose it. I have reckoned it so high as 6000 , in order to be more sure of not falling below the truth.

It will appear in the 2d Essay, with an evidence little short of demonstration, that, at least, 1 in $20_{4}^{3}$ die annually in Lindon, and that, consequently, the number of inhabitants, if the burials are 26,000 , cannot exceed 539,500.
p Mr. Wales, though he seems to acknowledge that formerly the number of annual recruits from the country to London wns much greater than it is here supposed, yet reckons that when he wrote on this subject in 1789, it might be fairly stated at no more than 17\%9, See Mr. Wales's Enquiry. p. 16. It may be proper to consider here how improbable it is that such a change as this should have taken place at a time when the communication between London and the country has been made so .easy as it is, and when also a disposition to migrate to London seems to be more prevalent than cver.-But it is unnecessary to insist on this, for in the 2d Essay it will .be proved by decisive evidence, that these recruits could
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## and the burials and migrations 28,000 (which are all very high suppositions), the number

not even then be so little as double the number at which Mr. Wales has stated them. It is true indeed, that though the burials have been falling, the christenings have been rising, for several years. But this does not necessarily imply, that the emigrants from the country are lesi numerous than they were. It may, on the contrary, be owing to a greater afflux of people to London in the prolific stages of life occasioning an increase of the christent ings, withoat at present occasioning such an increase of the burials as is sufficient to balance the causes that diminish them. The Lying-in Hospitals lately established in London increase the christenings; by drawing many into them to lye-in who reside out of the limits of the Bills; and the burials are diminished by the custom of sending infants to be nursed in the country, by the new burying grounds which have been lately opened, and particularly by an Act of Parliament which we owe to the humanity of Mr. Hanway, passed in 1767, and requiring all parish infants to be sent in three weeks into the country to be nursed there for six years.-The improved state of London with respect to healthiness might be also here mentioned; but this has been greatly overrated. The values of lives in London after the are of 20 , are much the same that they were 50 years ago; and there is no evidence to prove, that they are much greater before 20. This will be shewn at the end of the 2 d Essay, and in the Observations on Table XV1. in this volume.-According to Mr. Howlett's account; in p. 91, of his Examination of my Essay on the Population of England and Wales, above 2000 deaths of children under two years of age have been taken out of the Bills by the Parish Act just mentioned. This probably goes much beyond the truth. Should the true number be only a thousand, it will follow that the state of infants in London is but little mended. For on this supposition a thousand must be added to the number given in the Bills as dying under two years of age, which will make it near half the number born as it was 20 years ago. But the addition
of inhabitants will be, 22 ? multiplied by 28,000 , or 630,300 ?

I will just mention here one other instance of exaggeration on the present subject.

Mr. Corbyn Morris, in his useful Observations on the past growth and present state of the city of London, published in 1751, supposes that no more than a 6oth part of the inhabitants of London, who are above 20, die every year,' and from hence he concludes that the number of inhabitants was near a million. In this supposition there was an error of at least one half. According to Dr. Halley's Table, it has been shewn, that a 34th part of all at 20 and upwards, die every year at Breslaw. In London, a 29th part, according to Mr. Simpson's Table, and also according to all other Tables of London Observations. Had, therefore, Mr. Morris stated a 3oth part of all above 20 dying annually in London, he would have gone beyond the truth, and his conclusion would have been 400,000 less than it is.

Dr. Brakenridge observed, that the number of inhabitants, at the time he calculated, was 127,000 less than it had been. The
of 2000 would make the mortality of infants (supposing parish infants not sent into the country) greater now in London than it ever was.

9 If with Mr. Wales the anmual recinit is taken at no more than 1779, the inhabitants on the high suppositions here made that the burials are 28,000, the expectations at birth 20, and at migration 80, will, be only 577,790.
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Bills have lately advanced a little, but still they are much below what they were from 1717 to 1743. The medium of the annual births, for 20 years, from 1716 to 1736 , was 18,000 , and of burials 26,529 ; and, by calculating from hence on all the same suppositions with those which made 651,580 to be the present number of inhabitants in London, it will be found that the number then was 735,840 ; or 84,260 greater than the number in the present year $1769^{\text {² }}$. London, therefore, for the last 30 years, has been decreasing; and though now it is increasing again, yet

[^10]there is reason to think that the additions lately made to the number of buildings round it, are owing, chiefly to the increase
point of this kind. In order to give more weight to the fact last mentioned, I have, in the Essay just referred to, ohserved that there are twelve parishes now included in the Bills, which were omitted formerly. But Mr. Wales has very properly corrected me in this instance by observing, that these parishes at the time they were added to the Bills were new parishes formed out of old parishes, which had been always included in the Bills. There is, therefore, no such regard due to this omission as I ima-gined.-It may be farther observed with respect to the excess of the burials at the Rerolution, that the deficiencies in the register of burials are greater now than they were then; and there are two causes that may possibly have produced this effect. First, the opening of some burial places among the Methodists, where many are now buried who used to be buried in churches. And, Secoudly, the interment out of the Bills of the greater part of the parish-children who die, in consequence of the Act of Parliament mentioned in the note, p. 25.There are, however, other causes which have lessened these deficiencies; and, particularly, the decrease of the three denominations of Dissenters in London. ${ }^{-M y}$ own recollection, as well as a great deal of other evidence, leave me no room to doubt of this. Mr. Houcleit, however, in the pamphlet already quoted, asserts the contrary; and gives a list of burials among Dissenters, which makes their number more than three times greater than it was when Mr. Maitland published his History of London. But this is all a mistake. The principal burying places in his list happen to be places lately opened, to which, partly from a regard to cheapness, not Dissenters only, but people of all sorts are brought to be buried. This is particularly the case with Coughland's ground, Holywell Monnt, and Britain's ground, Whitechapel.-The chief burying place of Dissenters has always been Tindall's ground in Bunhill Fields; but even this is by no means confined to Dis-
of luxury, : and the inhabitants requiring more room to live upon '.

It should be remembered, that the number of inhabitants in London is now so much less as I have made it, than it was 40 years ago, on the supposition, that the proportion of the omissions in the births to those in the burials, was the same then that it is now. But it appears that this is not the factFrom 1728, (the year when the ages of the dead were first given in the Billss) to 1742, near five-sixths of those who were born died under 10, according to the Bills. From 1742
senters, and the number of burials in it has been for a course of years decreasing ; and instead of being as Mr. Howlett gives it, 1400 annually, is not a third of this number.-In 1779 the exact number was 434, according to an account which has been extracted for me from the Register.
${ }^{5}$ The medium of annual burials in the 97 parishes within the walls was,

$$
\text { From } 1655 \text { to } 1664, ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ 3264 ~
$$

From 1680 to $1690, \ldots$. . . . . . . . . . . 3139
From 1730 to 1740, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2316

From 1771 to $1780, \ldots .$. . . . . . . . . 1491
From 1781 to $1784, \ldots . .$.
This account proves, that though, since 1655, London has doubled its inhabitants, yet within the ualls they have decreased: and so rapidly since 1690, as to be now reduced to less than half.-The like may be observed of the 17 parishes immediately without the walls. Since 1730, these parishes have been decreasing so fast, that the annual lurials in them have sunk from 8679 to near 4000, which is lower than they were before the year 1660. In' Westminster, on the contrary, and the

1742 to 1752 three quarters: and ever since 1752, this proportion has stood nearly as it is now, or at somewhat more than two thirds. The omissions in the births, therefore, compared with those in the burials, were greater formerly; and this must render the difference between the number of inhabitants now and formerly somewhat less considerable than it may seem to be from the face of the Bills. One reason, why the proportion of the amounts of the births, and burials in the Bills, comes now nearer than it did, to the true proportion, may, perhaps, be, that the number of Dissenters is lessened :

I will add, that it is probable that London is now become less fatal to children than it was; and that this is a further circumstance which must reduce the difference I have mentioned; and which is likewise necessary to be joined to the greater deficiencies in the births, in order to account for the very small proportion of children who survived 10 years of age, during the first two of the periods I have specified.-Since 1752, London has been

23 out-parishes in Middlesex and Surrey, the annrual lurials have since 1660 advanced from about 4000 to 16,000, the medium for some years before 1769.These facts prove, that the inhabitants of London are now much less crowded together than they were. It appears, in particular, that within the walls the inhabitants take as much room to live upon as double their numa ber did formerly.
${ }^{2}$ See the end of the Note in p. 27.
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thrown more open. The custom of keeping country-houses, and of sending children to be marsed in the country, has prevailed more. But particularly, the destructive use of spirituous liquors among the poor kas been checked ".

I have

[^11]
## I have shewn that in London; even in its present state, and according to the most moderate

19th part nearly of the inhabitants which is the proportion dying annually at Stockholm. See the Note, p. 23.

If the omissions are only 3038 , agreeably to the result of Mr. Maitland's enquiries, one in 21 will die annually.-Mr. Howlett, in his examination already quoted, (published about eight years ago) p. 91, makes the deficiencies in the burials to be much greater than either of these estimates.' He reckons that a deficiency of 2100 burials has been occasioncd by the Act of Parliament, requiring parish infants to be nursed for six years in the country, which implies that so many now die amnually in the country who ought to be included in the Bills. But this is not his meaning ; for he says, that of 2800 infants which come annually upon parishes, and are required to be removed in three weeks into the country, only 250 die there in six years; whereas 450 die in the three weeks before their removal. The deficiency, therefore, in the Bills arising from hence, can be only 250. But this carries us to the contrary extreme, and makes the probabilities of the duration of life among infants, committed hy parishes to the care of foster-mothers, to be much greater than were ever known among infants in the best situations.-Mr. Howlett's meaning appears to be, that 2100 deaths are prevented annually by. this Act of Parliament. The observation just - made shews, that it is impossible this should be true; but supposing it true, it will be obvious, that a prevention of deaths ought not to be reckoned among deficiencies; for on the same ground the deaths prevented by cleansing and opening the streets, and other salutary regulations, might be so reckoned.-This Act of Parliament has undoubtedly prevented a great number of deaths. Before it was passed, almost all parish infants died in the first six years. Let us reckon that now of 2800 brought annually into workhouses, only a thousand die in this time, after being removed in three weeks into the country' to be nursed. This would be a change unspeakably for the better;
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derate computation, half the number born die under three years of age. In Vienna and .Stockholm, under two. In Manchester, under five. In Norwich, under five. In North-
better; and it would imply that the probabilities of the duration of life among them is higher than is common among children in London. On this supposition the deficiency under consideration will be a thousand; and it will appear that 1100 ought to be taken from Mr. Howlett's total of deficiencies. But much greater deductions ought to be made on other accounts.-He gives 2000 as a deficiency occasioned by carrying out so many to be buried in the neighbouring villages, without making any allowance for the burials brought in. He gives also the burials in the East-India ships serving abroad; the burials in the hospitals, Northampton-chapel, Bunhill, as all burials of persons residing within the Bills; and thus makes the deficiencies amount to 11,273 , and the total of annual burials to 31,941 . He farther calculates that the kingdom in general, and London in particular, is improved a tenth in healthiness; and on this account he adds a tenth to the total just mentioned, and in this way makes the number of inhabitants in London to be about 800,000.-Such are Mr. Howlett's calculations.-In his list of deficiencies he sets down 1400 for the annual Burials in Bunhill. From the note in p . 29, it appears that this number is near 1000 greater than the truth. The annual burials at North-ampton-chapel, Clerkenwell, in 1782, he makes to be 2080. The information I received from thence was, that, taking one week with another, they might be then reckoned at 30 in a week, or 1560 in a year. This, probably, Mr. Howlett mistook for 40 in a week, and thus has been led to make them 2080 in a year. They were, however, increasing, and every year diminishing more and more the burials in the churches, the lowness of the fees gaining for this burying ground, and the other burying grounds mentioned in the note p. 28, a particular preference among the lower ranka of people.
ampton, under ${ }^{\times}$ten.-But it appears from Grgunt's ${ }^{\gamma}$ accurate account of the births, weddings, and burials in three country parishes for 90 years; (and also, fromDr. Short's collection of observations in his Comparative History, and his Treatise, intitled, New Observations on Town and Country Bills of Mortality) that in country villages and parishes, the major part live to mature age, and even to marry. In the parish of Holy-Cross ${ }^{\text { }}$, near Salop, it appears

[^12]appears from a curious register, which has been kept by the Rev. Mr. Gorsuck, the vicar, that of 655 who died there at all ages for 20 years to 1770, near one half lived to 30 years of age: And, by forming a Table of Observations from this register, in the manner which will be described in the next Essay, I find that a child just born in this parish has an expectation of 33 years; and that, in general, under the age of 50 , the expectations of lives there exceed those in London, in the proportion of about 4 to 3 .-In the parish of Ackworth, Yorkishire, it appears, from an exact account kept by Dr. Lee, of the ages at which all died there for 20 years to 1767, that half the inhabitants live to the

LIId volume of the Philosophical Transactions, Part I. Art. 25. And a continuation of it from 1760, to 1770 , in the LXIst volume, p. 57. It is kept with particular care and accuracy by Mr. Gorsuch; and furnishes very useful duta for determining the values of country lives-It deserves to be mentioned particularly, that no forcigners or strangers, who happen to die in this parish, or who may be brought into it to be buried, are entered into the register : Nor are any of the fixed inhabitants omitted, though earried out to be buried.

In Nov. 1781, Mr. Gorsuch was so kind as to favour me with a continuation of his Observations to 1780, which makes them complete for 30 years. An abstract of them, and a Table of the decrements of life deduced from them; which I reckon one of the most correct that has been ever published, will be found in the Collection of Tables in this Volume. The conclusions mentioned above are confirmed by the addition of these last Observations.
age of 46.-In the province of Vaud, Switzerland, consisting of $112,951^{2}$ inhabitants, half live to 41 -So great is the difference ibetween the duration of human life in towns and in the country.-Further evidence for the truth of this observation may be deduced from the account given by Dr. Thomas Heberden, and published in the Philosophical Transactions (Vol. LVII. p. 461), of the increase and mortality of the inhabitants of the island of Madeira. In this island, it seems, the weddings have been to the births, for 8 years, from 1759 to 1766 , as 10 to 48.8 ; and to the burials, as 10 to 27.5, or 9 ito 24.75. Double these proportions, therefore, or the proportion of 20 to 46.8, and of 18 to 24.75, are the proportions of the number marrying annually, to the number born and the number dying. Let one marriage in three be a 2 d or ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 3 \mathrm{~d}$ marriage on the side of either the man or the woman; or, in other words, let one in six of all that marry be widows and widowers; and 9 marriages will imply 15 persons who have grown up to maturity, and lived to marry once or oftener; and the proportion of the number marrying annually the first time, to the

[^13]
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number dying annually, will be 15 to 24.75 , or 3 to 5 . It may seem to follow from hence, that in this island three-fifths of those who die have been married: and, consequently, that only two-fifths of the inhabitants die in childhood and celibacy; and this would be a just conclusion were there no increase, or had the births and burials been equal. But it must be remembered, that the general effect of an increase while it is going on in a country, is to render the proportion of persons marrying annually, to the annual dcaths, greater, and to the annual births less, than the true propor: tion marrying out of any given number born. This proportion generally lies between the other two proportions, but always nearest to the first ${ }^{c}$; and, in the present case,

[^14]quadruple the weddings; and therefore the proportion of the born to that part of the born who marry (being by supposition two to one) will be less than the proportion of either the annual births or the annual burials, to the number marrying annually. Suppose again (the encouragement to marriage remaining the same) that the probabilities of life and the prolifickness of marriages are both improved. In this case, a more rapid increase will take place, or a greater excess of the birtils above the burials; but at the same time they will keep nearer to quadruple the weddings, than if the latter cause only had operated, and produced the same increase.-I should be too minute and tedious, were I to explain these observations at large. It follows from them, that, in every country or situation where, for a course of years, the burials have been either equal to or less than the lirth;, and both under quadruple the marriages; and also that, wherever the burials are less than quadruple the annual marriages, and at the same time the births greater, there the major part of all that are born live to marry.

I have shewn how the allowance is to be made for 2 d and 3d marriages. Very wrong conclusions will be drawn If this allowance is not made. But it is, in part, compensated by the natural children which are included in the births, and which raise the proportion of the births to the weddings higher than it ought to be, and therefore bring it nearer to the true proportion of the number born annually, to those who marry annually, after deducting those who marry a 2d or 3d time.

In drawing conclusions from the proportion of anmal births and burials, in different situations, some writers on the increase of mankind, have not given due attention to the difference in these proportions, arising from the different circuinstances of increase or decrease among a people. One instance of this I have now mentioned; and one further instance of it is necessary to be mentioned. The proportion of annual births to weddings has been considered as giving the true number of children derived from
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Herberden's account, that the expectation of a child just born in Madeira is about 39 years; or more than double the expectation of a child just born in London. For the number of inhabitants was found, by a survey made in the beginning of the year 1767 , to be 64,614 . The annual medium of burials had been, for eight years, 1293; of births 2201. The number of inhabitants, divided by the annual medium of burials, gives 49.89; or the expectation nearly of a child just born, supposing the births had been 1293, and consequently equal to the burials, the number of inhabitants remaining the same. And the same number, divided by the annual medium of births, gives 29.35; or the expectation of a child just born supposing the burials 2201, the number of births and of inhabitants remaining the same. And the true expectation of life must be somewhere near the mean between 49.89 and 29.35.

Again: A 50th part of the inhabitants of - Madeira, it appears, die annually. In London, I have shewn, that above twice this
from each marriage, taking all marriages one with another. But this is true only when, for many years, the births and burials have kept nearly equal, Where there is an excess of the births occasioning an increase, the proportion of annual births to weddings must be less than the proportion of children derived from each marriage ; and the contrary must take place where there is a decrease.
proportion dies annually. In smaller towns a smaller proportion dies ${ }^{d}$; and the births also come nearer to the burials.-In general; there seems reason to think that in towns (allowing for particular advantages of situation, trade, police, cleanliness, and openness, which some towns may have,) the excess of the burials above the births, and the proportion of inhabitants dying annually, are more or less as the towns are greater or smaller. In London itself, about 160 years ago, when it was scarcely a fourth of its present bulk, the births were much nearer to the burials, than they are now. But in country parishes and villages, the births almost always exceed the burials; and I be-

[^15]
## the State of London, Population, \&c. 41

lieve it never happens, except in very particular situations, that more than a 40th ${ }^{*}$ part
e According to Graunt's account of a parish in Hampshire, not reckoned, he says, remarkably healthful, a 50th part of the inhabitants had died annually for 90 years, Natural and Political Olservations, ©́c. Chap. xii.-In the parish of Ackworth, Yorkshire, one of 47 die aunually. See the register of this parish at the end of the first additional Essay in this volume. In the province of Vazul, Switzerland, one in 45 die annually. Sce the first part of the Supplement in this volume. In 1098 country parishes, mentioned by Susmilch, the annual average of deaths, for six years ending in 1749, was 5255. The number of inhabitants was 325,357 . One, therefore, in 43 died annually.-In 106 other parishes, mentioned by him, this proportion was 1 in 50.

In the dukedom of Wurtemberg, the inhabitants, Mr. Susmilch says, are numbered every year; and from the average of five years, ending in 1754, it appeared that, taking the towns and country together, 1 in 32 died an-nually.-In another province, which he mentions, consisting of 635,998 inhabitants, 1 in 33 died annually. From these facts he concludes, that taking a whole country in gross, including all cities and villages, mankind enjoy among them about 32 or 33 years each of existence. This, very probably, is below the tuth; from whence it will follow, that a child born in a country parish or village, has, at least, an expectation of 36 or 37 years; supposing the proportion of countiry to town inhabitants to be as $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to 1 ; which, I think, this ingenious writer's observations prove to be nearly the case in Pomerania, Brandenlurgh, and some other kingdoms.

In all Sweden, consisting in 1763 of $2,446,394$ inhabitants, the annual medium of deaths for 9 years, ending in 1763, was 69,125 ; and therefore one in 35 and twoffths died annually. The medium of birthe was 90,243 ; of marriages 21,220. Sce the first additional Essay in this volume.-in the kingdom of Naples, consisting of $4,311,503$ inhabitants in 1777, the medmun of deaths for 5 years was 115,412; and therefore one in 37 and a
part of the inhabitants die annually. In the four provinces of New-England there is a very rapid increase of the inhabitants; but, notwithstanding this, at Boston, the capital, the inhabitants would decrease, were there no supply from the country: For, if the account I have seen is just, from 1731 to 1762 , the burials all along exceeded the births ${ }^{\ddagger}$. So remarkably do towns, in consequence of their unfavourableness to health, and the luxury which generally prevails in them, check the increase of countries.
Healthfulness and prolifickness are, probably, causes of increase seldom separated. In conformity to this observation, it appears from comparing the births and weddings, in countries and towns where registers of them have been kept, that in the former, marriages, one with another, seldom produce less than four children each; generally between four and five, and sometimes above five ${ }^{8}$. In all Sweden the births and weddings
third died annually. The births were 166,808 . See the Essay on the Population of England, \&c. page 15.
${ }^{6}$ See a particular account of the births and burials in this town from 1731 to 1752 in the Gentleman's Magazine for 1753. p. 413.
${ }^{8}$ Any one may see what evidence there is for this, by consulting Dr. Short's two books already quoted, and the Aliridgement of the Philosophical Transactions, vol. vii. part 4. p. 46, and Graunt's account already quoted of the births, weddings, and burials in three country parishes for 90 years; compared with similar accounts in towns. In considering these accounts, it should not be forgoten
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dings are to one another as $4 \frac{1}{5}$ td 1 . - In all Frange as $4_{5}^{2}$ to 1. But in towns this proportion is generally between 3 and 4 to 1 .
that allowances must be made for the different circumstances of increase or decrease in a place, agreeably to the observations at the end of the note in page 37.

In April 1779 the inhabitants of the parish of Biddulph, in Staffordshire, were numbered, and found to be 495 males, and 540 females, making 207 families*. The annual average of births for 20 years preceding 1780 had been 21.4 males, and 17.3 females, of burials 10.85 males, and 10.3 females; of marriages 6.15.-The same averages for 60 years had been 16.9 males lorn annually and 14.7 females; 9.4 males luried annually, and 9.93 females; marriages 5.46.-Taking, therefore, the highest of these averages, it appears that in this parish a 46 th part of the males die annually, but only a 5ed part of the females ; that the ammual births are nearly a 26 th part of the inhabitants; and that every marriage, supposing no allowance for illegitimate births, produces six children. This account I owe to an information communioated by the Rev. Mr. Wilson, the minister of this parish, to Dr. Haygarth, at Chester.

The parish of Swinderly, in Lincolnshire, consisted in June 177! (as I have learnt from Dr. Disney, then the worthy minister of that parish) of 52 families and 224 souls, 95 of whom were heads of families, 87 children, 32 servants, and 10 inmates.-The births, marriages, and lurials for 30 years before 1771 had been 199, 47, and 154 . The proportion of marriages to births therefore, was 1 to 4:. - A number equal to a 34 th of the inhabitants had been bori annually, and a 44th part died annually.-The inhabitants of Okeford, in Devonshire, were in 1770, $422+$. The average of births for 20 ycars to 1769 had been 12, and of burials $7 \frac{1}{2}$. A 35th part, therefore, was born annually, and a 56 th part died.

[^16]I have sometimes heard the great number of old people in London mentioned, to prove its favourableness to health and long life. But no observation can be more erroneous. There ought, in reality, to be more old people in London, in proportion to the number of inhabitants, than in any smaller towns; because at least one quarter of its inhabitants are persons who come into it from the country, in the most robust part of life, and with a much greater probability of living to old age, than if they had come into it in the weakness of infancy. But, notwithstanding this advantage, there are much fewer persons who live to great ages in London, than in most other places

From the returns of the Intendants of the different provinces of Fravce, it appeared, that the annual medium of births in that kingdom for ten years to 1780, had been 940,935 ; of deaths 818,491 ; and of marriages 213,774.-See Mr. Necker on the Adminis. tration of the finances of France, Vol. I. chap. 9.-The births and marriages were, therefore, in the proportion mentioned in the text. From the last note but one it appears that a 35th part of the inhabitants of a country may be reckoned to die annually. P.Multiply, therefore, 818,491 by 35, and the kingdom of France will appear to have consisted in 1780 of 28 millions and a half of inhabitants. Nor is there any reason to think this to be greater than the true number; for the deaths, as well as the births and marriages, are probably given too small, it being scarcely possible to avoid omissions in such returus. It appears further from the great excess of births, that the population of France was then in-creasing.-See more on this subject in the Appendix to my Discourse on the Love of our Country, p. 1.
where observations have been made.-At Breslaw it appears, by Dr. Halley's Table, that 41 of 1238 born, or a 30th part, live to be 80 years of age. In the parish of All-saints, in Northampton, an account has been kept ever since 1733 of the ages at which the inhabitants die; and I find that a 22d part die there turned of 80. At Norwich a like account has been kept; and it appears, that a 27 th part of the inhabitants die turned of the same age.-According to Mr. Kersseboom's'Table of Observations, published at the end of Mr. De Moivre's Treatise on the Doctrine of Chances, a 14th part die turned of 80. And this is the very proportion that died turned of 80 in the parish of Ackworth, for the 20 years mentioned page 35. In the parish of Holy-cross, already mentioned, p. 34, 1 in $11 \frac{1}{2}$, or 2 in 22 of the inhabitants live to $80^{\mathrm{h}}$.- But in London, for 30 years, ending at the year 1768, only 25 of every 1000 , who had died, or a

[^17]40th part, had lived to this age ${ }^{i}$; which may be easily discovered, by dividing the sum of all who have died during these years at all ages, by the sum of all who had died above $80^{k}$.

Among

${ }^{1}$ For five years to 1780 only one in 46 lived to 80.
k In the parish church of Munchester, of 4126 buried during six years cuding in 1778, a hundred and twenty-nine, or a 32 d part, had lived to 80 or more. This proportion would be considerably greater were there no increase of Manchester, and no excess of the births above the burials.-The same is true of Warrington, in Lancashire, where of 2430 buried in eight vears ending in 1780, sixty-seven, or a 36th part, had lived to 80 or upwards; and also of the parish of Eccless in the same county, where of 1123 buried in four years, from 1776 to 1779 , fifty-one, or a 22 d part, had lived to 80. -In Chester, where the births and buruals are nearly equal, of 1969 fetnales who died in the course of 9 years, from 1772 to 1780,149 or a 13th part, had lived to 80 ; but of males only 72 out of 1764, or a 25th part. See the Tables in this Volume. In all Sweden, where the births exceed the burials in the proportion of nearly 13 to 10,710 females of 10,000 born (or a 14 th part) and 555 males, of 10,000 (or an 18 th part) live to 80; But in Stockholm only one in a 100 of the females born there, and one in 300 of the males, live to this age. See the Tables in this Volume.

These facts give a frightful view of the fatality of great towns to human life. A farther account, with answers to some objections, may be found in the first additional Essay on the difference letween the duration of human life in great towns and in country parishes.

I have said above, that a 40 th part of all who die in London live to 80. But it should be considered, that a great proportion of those who die in London came into it m the firmest parts of life, and that consequently nothing can be from hence determined with respect to the proportion of the natives of Iondon who live to 80.

This

Among the peculiar evils to which great towns are subject, I might further mention the Plague. Before the year 1666, this dreadful calamity laid London almost waste once in every 15 or 20 years; and there is no reason to think, that it was not generally bred within itself. A most happy alteration has taken place; which, perhaps, in part is owing to the greater advantages of cleanliness and openness which London has enjoyed since it was rebuilt; and which lately have been very wisely improved.

The facts I have now taken notice of are so important, that I think they deserve more attention than has been hitherto bestowed upon them. Every one knows that the strength of a state consists in the number of people. The encouragement of population, therefore, ought to be onc of the first objects of policy in every state, and some of the worst enemies of population are the

This must be a much smaller proportion. The corrected Table of Observations for London (or Table 15th in this Volume) makes it as 25 to 1518, or as 1 to 60. But even this corrected Table certainly gives the jrobabilities of living in London, at most ages, too high; and were there such accurate data for forming a table for London as have been furnished by the Observations at Stockholm, the rate of mortality in the two cities would not perhaps appear to be very different. More will be said on this subject in the introduction to the Tables in this Volume.
luxury, the licentiousness; and debility pros duced and propagated by great towns.

I have observed that London is now ${ }^{1}$ increasing. But it appears, that, in truth, this is an event more to be dreaded than desired. The more London increases, the more the rest of the kingdom must be deserted; the fewer hands must be left for agriculture; and, consequently, the less must be the plenty, and the higher the price of all the means of subsistence. Moderate towns being seats of refinement, emulation, and arts, may be public advantages. But great towns, long before they grow to half the bulk of London, become checks on population of too hurtful a nature, nurseries of debauchery and voluptuousness; and, in many respects, greater evils than can be compensated by any advantages ${ }^{m}$.

Dr.

\footnotetext{
${ }^{1}$ If we may trust the Bills, London has decreased since this was written. The annual medium of burials for five years ending in 1770, 1777, and 1780, was 22,688-21,087-and 20,743. The medium for thre years to 1780 , was 20,445 . But this decrease has probably been owing to the causes mentioned in the notes, p. 27 and 28.
${ }^{m}$ The mean annual lirths, weddings, and lurials in the following towns, for some years before 1772, have been nearly,

| , | Births. | Weddings. | Burials. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Paris | . 19.100 | .4,400 | 19,400 |
| Vienna, from 1757 to 1769 | 5,800. |  | 6,600 |
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Dr. Heberden observes that, in Madeira, the inhabitants double their own number in 84 years. But this, (as you, Sir, well know) is a very slow increase, compared with that which takes place among our colonies in America. In the back settlements, where the inhabitants apply themselves entirely to agriculture, and luxury is not known, they double their own namber in 15 years; and all thròugh the northern colonies, in 25 years ${ }^{\text {p }}$. This is an instance of increase so rapid as to have scarcely any parallel. The births in these countries must exceed the burials much more than in Madeira; and a greater proportion of the born must reach maturity.

| At Amsterdam, from? | Birth. | Wedinge. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4,6 | .2,400 | 2 |
| Copenhag | 2,700 |  | 3,300 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Berlin, for } 5 \text { years } \\ \text { ending at } 1759 \end{array}\right\}$ | 3,85 |  | 5,054 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Sockholin, for nine } \\ \text { years ending in } \\ 17.63 \end{array}\right\}$ | 2,53 |  |  |

It deserves notice, that before 1770 , all that died in the hospitals at Vienna were omitted in the Bills.-Qf the Paris Bills a more particular account will be given in the Postscript to this Essay.-The annual medium of burials at Amsterdam for 10 years to 1710 , was 7,288 . For 10 years to 1780 , it was 8,710 ; but three of these last years were reckoned very sickly years.
n See a Discourse on Christian Union, by Dr. Styles, Boston, 1761, p. 103, 109, \&c.-See also, The Interest 'of Great Britain considertd with regertl to her Colonies, together with Olservalious concerning the Increase of Mankind, peopling of Countries, \&c. p. 35. 2d edit. Lordon, 1761.

VOL. II.
E
-In
-In 1738, the number of inhabitants in New Jersey was taken by order of the gowernment, and found to be 47,369 . Seven years afterwards, the number of inhabitants was again taken; and found to be increased, by procreation only, above 14,000 ; and very near onc lialf of the inhabitants were found to be under ${ }^{\circ} 16$ years of age. In 22 years, therefore, they must have doubled their own number, and the births must have exceeded the burials 2000 annually. As the increase here is much quicker than in Madeira, we may be sure that a smaller proportion of the inhabitants must die annually. Let us, however, suppose it the same, or a 50th part. This will make the annual burials to have been, during these seven years, 1000 ; and annual hirths 3000 ; or an 18th part of the inhabitants.-Similar observations may be made on the much quicker increase in Rhode Island, as related in the preface to the Collection of the London Bills of Mortality; and also in the valuable pamphlet last quoted, on the Interest of Great Britainwith regard to her Colonies, p. 36.-What a prodigious difference must there be, between the vigour and the happiness of human life in such situations, and in such a place as London?-The original number of persons who, in 1643,
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had settled in New-England, was 21,200. Ever since, it is reckoned that more have left them than have gone to them ${ }^{p}$. In the year 1760 , they were increased to half a million. They had, therefore, all along doubled their own number in 25 years. It is not probable that they will continue to increase at the same rate; but should this happen they will, 70 years hence, in New-England alone, be four millions; and in all the colonies ${ }^{9}$, above twice the number of inhabitants in Great-Britain ${ }^{\text {r.-But }}$ I am wandering

P See Dr. Style's pamphlet, just quoted, p. 110, \&c.
a In the original letter to Dr. Franklin, containing these observations, and communicated by him to the Royal Society (in April 1769), the : following words were here added.-"Formerly an increasing number of "priends, but now likely to be converted, by an un" just and fatal policy, into an increasing number of "bnemies."-This reflection was occasioned by the discontents which were then prevalent in the colonies, and which had been produced first by' the 'Stamp Act, and after the repeal of that' act, by 'the duties' laid in America on tea, paper, glass, \&c. When read: to the Royal Society, it was softened by the omission of the words " unjust and fatal policy;" but, notwithstanding this, it gave offence; and was suppressed in all the former publications of these Observations. I need not say how dreadfully the apprehensions expressed by it were afterwards verified.
r The rate of increase, supposing the procreative powers the same, depends on two causes: The "en"couragement to marriage;" and the "expectation of " a child just born." When one of these is given, the increase will. be always in proportion to the-otber.That is; as much grealer or less as the ratio is of the I 2 ․ numbers
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dering from my purpose in this letter. The point 1 had chiefly in view was, the present state
numbers tho reach maturity, and of those the marry, to the number born, so much quicker or slower will be the increase. Let us suppose the operation of these causes such, as to produce an annual excess of the lirths above the buivals, equal to a 36 th part of the whole tumber of inhabitants. It may seem tä follow from hence that the inhabitants would double their own number in 36 years; and thus some have calculated. But the truth is, that they would double their own number in much less time. Every additioh to the number of inhabitants from the births, produces a proportionably greater number of births, and a greater excess of these above the burials; and if we suppose the excess to increase annually at the same rate with the inhabitants, or so as to preserve the ratio of it to the number of inhabitants always the same, and call this ratio 1 1 , the period of doubling will be the quotient produced by dividing the logarithm of 2 by the difference between the logarithms of $r+1$ and $r$; as might be easily demonstrated. F In the present case, $r$ being 36, and $r+1$ being 37, the period of doubling comes out 25 . years. If g is taken aqual to 22, the period of doubling will be 15 yeare. But it is certain that this ratio may, in many situations be greater than $\frac{1}{\text { ry }}$; and, instead of remaining

- Let a ${ }^{\text {be }}$ the uamber of inhabitants, then will a $+\frac{a}{r}=a \times \frac{r+l^{2}}{r}$ bé the quapber tit the evid of the lit year, and by the rule of proportion $\left.e \dot{\overline{r+1}} \frac{r}{2}\right)^{2}$.ill be the number at the end of the 2 d year, $a \times\left.\overline{\frac{r+1}{r}}\right|^{3}$ at the end of the 3d year, and a $\times\left.\frac{\overline{r+1}}{r}\right|^{n}$ at the cad of the a ${ }^{n}$ year, $n \times \overline{\log . r+4-\log . r}=\log .2$. hence we bave' $n$ (or the required (ime) $=\frac{\text { Lng. } 2 .}{\log \cdot r+1-\log . r}$
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state of Londor as to healthfulness, number of inhabitants, and its imfluence on popula; tion. 'The observations 1 have made may, perhaps, help to shex, how the most is to be made of the lights afforded by the London Bills; and serye as a specimen of the proper method of calculating from them. It is in: deed extremely to be wished, that they were less imperfect than they are, and extended
the same, or becoming less, it may increase, the consequence of which will be, that the period or doubling will be shorter than this rule gives it.-Accoiding to Dr. Hollyy's Table, the number of persons between 20 and 42 years of age is a third part of the whole number living at all ages. The prolific part, therefore, of a country may very well be a 4th of the whole number of inhabitants; and supposing four of these, or every other marriage between persons all under 42. to produce one birth every year, the apnual number of births will be a 16th part of the whole number of people. And, therefore, supposing the burials to be a 48th part, the annual excess of the births above the burials will be a 24 th part, and the period of doubling 17 years.

I must not conclude this pote wichput adding a remark to remove an objection which may occur to some in reading Dr. Heberden's account of Madeira, to which I have referred. In that account 5945 is given as the number of children under seven in the island, at the begimning of the year 176\%. The medium of annual births, for eight years, had been 2.201 ; of burials 1293 . In six years, therefore, 13,206 must have been born; and if, at the end of six years, no more thian 5945 of these were alive', 1210 must have died every year. That is; almoat all the burials in the island for six years must have been burials of children under seven years of age.. This is plainly incredible; and, therefore, it seems certain, that the number of children under seven years of age must, through some mistake, be given, in that account, 3000 of 4,090 too little.
further. More parishes round London might be taken into them and, by an easy improvement in, the parish registers now kept, they might be extended through all the parishes and towns in the kingdom. . The advantages ärising from hence would be very considerable. 1 t mould give the precise law according to which human life wastes in its different stages; and thus supply the necessary data for fomputing accurately the values of all life-annuities and reversions. It would, likewise, shew the different degrees of healthfulness of different situations, mark the progress of population from year to year, keep always in view the number of people in the kingdom, and, in many other respects, furnish instruction of the greatest importance to the state. Mr. De Moivre, at the end of his book on the Doctrine of Chances, has recommended a general regulation of this kind; and observed, particularly, that at least it is to be wished, that an account was taken, at proper intervals, of all the living in the kingdom, with their ages and occupations; which would, in some degree, answer most of the purposes I have mentioned.-But, dear Sir, I am sensible it is high time to finish these remarks. I have been carried in them far beyond the limits I at first intended. I always think with pleasure and gratitude of your friendship. The world owes to you many important discoveries; and your name must live as long as there
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is any knowledge of philosophy among mankind. That you may ever enjoy all that can make you most happy, is the sincere wish of,

S I R,

Your much obliged,
and very humble Servant,
Newington-Green,
Richard Price.
April 3, 1769.

## POSTSCRIPT.

AT Edinburgh, bills of mortality, of the same kind with those of London, have been kept for many years. I have, since the foregoing letter was written, examined these Bills, and formed a Table of Observations from them, as I found them for a period of 20 years, beginning in 1739, and ending in 1758.-As this is a town of moderate bulk, and seems to have a particular advantage of situation; I expected to find the probabilities of life in it, nearly the same with those at Breslaw, Northampton, and Norwich; but I have been surprized to observe that this is not the case. During the period I have mentioned, only one in 42 of all who died at Edinburgh, reached 80 years of age.-In general; it appears, that the probabilities of life in this town are much the same, through all the stages of life, with those in London, the chief difference being, that after 30, they are rather lower at Edinburgh.-It is not difficult to account for this.-It affords, I think, a striking proof of the pernicious effects arising from uncleanliness, and crowding together on one spot too many inhabitants. At Edinburgh, Mr. Maitland says," the build-
" ings,
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" tngs, elsewhere called houses, are denomi" nated lands, and the apartments, in other " places named stories, here called houses, are " so many freeholds inhabited by different "families; whereby the houses are so ex"cessively crowded with people, that the " inhabitants of this city may be justly pre" sumed to be more numerous than those of "some towns of triple its dimensions." See Maitland's History of Edinburgh, p. 140.

In the year 1;48, the whole number of apar tments or families in the city and liberties of Edinburgh, was go64. This Mr. Maitland mentions as the result of particular examination, and undoubtedly right. $I b$. p. 217, 218.-In 1743, an accurate account was taken, by the desire of this writer, of the number of families and inhabitants in the parish of St. Cuthbert. Ib. p. 171. The number of families was 2370 , and of inhabitants at all ages, 9731 . The proportion, therefore, of inhabitants to families, was $4{ }^{\prime}$ ' to 1 ; and, supposing this the true proportion for the whole town, the number of inhabitants was ${ }_{4}{ }^{2}$ ²,, multiplied by 9064 , or 37,162 . The yearly medium of deaths in the town and liberties for eight years, from 1741 to 1748, was 1783, 16. p. 220 and 222. And, consequently, one in $20_{\frac{T}{+}}^{T}$ died annually.

Mr. Maitland, though possessed of the data from which these conclusions necessarily fol, lowed, has made the number of inhabitants 50,120 , in consequence of a disposition to 5 exaggerate
exaggerate in these matters, and of assuming without any reason, a 28 th part of the inliabitants as dying annually.

In page 220, he expresses much surprize at finding, that the number of males in this town was less than the number of females, in the proportion of 3 to 4 . But this is by no means peculiar to Edinburgh.

All I have been saying must be understood of the state of Edinburgh, before the year 1758. The Bills, since this year, have been so irregular, and so different from the same Bills for the preceding years, and from all other Bills, that I cannot give them any credit. Either some particular incorrectness has crept into the method of keeping them; or there has been some change in the state of the town which renders them of no use.

From the note in p. 48, it appears, that the christenings and burials at Paris, come very near to equality. This once led me to suspect, that there must be some particular singularity in the state of Paris, which rendered it much less prejudicial to health and population than great towns commonly are. But better information has lately obliged me to entertain very different sentiments.-The difference between the births and burials at Paris, is much greater than the Bills shew. "Cbildren here are baptized the instant " they are born; and, in a day or two af" tcrwards, it is the custom to send them to
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"the adjacent villages to be nursed. A " greatnumber, the efore, of the infants born "at Paris die in the country, and these ap" pear only in the register of christenings." Sec a book entitled the Police of France, page 127. And Buffon's Natural History, Tom. II. at the end.-" All the children also received " into the Foundling Hospital, are immedi" ately sent to be nursed in the country, at " a distance from Paris, where they remain " 5 or 6 years; at the end of which time " they are brought again to Paris, the boys " to be placed in the suburbs of $S$. Antoine, " and the girls at the Salpetriere, to be fur" ther maintained till they arrive at the age " of twelve years." Police of France, p. 81. -The following passage in the same writer, containing a further account of this Hospital, is important ; and therefore, tho long, I cannot help transcribing it. "Let us sup" pose, that out of 4000 children annually "carried into the country, two thirds may " die, during the five ycars they are destined " to remain at nurse; so that only 1333 " would constantly be the annual number "'sent back to Paris; who, being kept at " the two Hospitals St. Antoine and Salpe" triere just mentioned; till they are 12, and " succeeded by a like number each year, the " total number composed of all brought in " the successive years, would make the con" stant resting stock to amount to 9331 . * But of these we will suppose a 5 th part
" to die every year. Yet even then the con" stant resting stock of children ought to " be 7405. How greatly then must we be " surprized to find, by the authentic account " taken from their own books, only 649 " boys in the college of St. Antoine, and not * more than 600 girls at the Salpetriere; so " that the resting stock of returned foundlings " appears to be no more than 1240, which ber " ing deducted from 7465, will leave 6225 . " What then becomes of these ? Are they "reclaimed by their parents? Or do they " perish for want of care? In answer to " which question it was explained to me; "that as many of the lower class of people " were induced to marry, in order to be ex" cused from serving in the militia; so when " these have children, which they are un" able to maintain, they usually send them to " this hospital; which, therefore, must be " looked upon, as not only a charity for the "care of exposed and deserted children whose " parents are unknown, but also as a public ". wur sery for the sustenance of the children " of poor people, who, tho registered at the " office, are often reclaimed from their coun" try nurses by their parents. This accounts, "in some measure, for the small stock of "children brought back to the hospital at "Paris. The further difference is suspect" ed to be owing to the insufficient nourishe " ment they receive; as this particular chor "rity, as well as the General Hospital, " adopts
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" adopts that preposterous method of taking " in an unlimited number, while there is " only a limited income for their subsist"ence." $1 b$. page 83.

These facts prove, that, at the same time that the register of ckristenings at Paris must be full, the register of burials must be very deficient. Let the deficiencies be reckoned at 3700 ; and consequently, the annual burials at 23,100 . The annual average of weddings, given in p. 48, is 4400, and, therefore, the number of persons who marry annually must be 8800. Deduct a 6 th part ${ }^{6}$ for widows and widowers, and 7134 will be the number of virgins and batchelors marrying annually. The difference between the christenings and burials is 4000 ; which, therefore, is the number of annual recruits from the country. These, in general, must be persons in mature life. Suppose 3000 of them to marry after settling at Paris. Then, 7134 lessened by 3000, or 4134 will be thè number of persons born at Paris who grow up to marry; and 14,966 , or ncar four-fifths of all who are born at Paris, will be the number dying annually in childhood and celibacy. Nur is tbis at all improbable, for it appears from the most authentic documents that three-fifths of all that are born at Stockholm die under five years of age. It has been observed in p. 37, \&c. that in country

[^19]parishes

parishes above half the inhabitants live to marry.

The suppositions on which I have made this computation for Paris, seem moderate; but if any one thinks otherwise, he may make the same calculation on any other suppositions.

The births at Paris are above four times the weddings; and it may seem, therefore, that here, as well as in the most healthy country situations, every wedding produces above four children. I have observed nothing like this in any other great town. Many children born in the country are, I suppose, ${ }^{\text {t }}$ brought to the Foundling-Hospital, and there christened. This Hospital may likewise occasion a more than common number of illegitimate births. And, besides, some who leave the country to settle at Paris, may come thither already married. These are circumstances that will swell the register of births, without having any effect on the weddings. I do not, however, know that any of them take place at Paris; and, perhaps, it must be granted, that it is distinguished in this respect from most othertowns. Nor can I wonder at this, if it be indeed true, not only, that all married men in

[^20]France

France are excused serving in the militia from whence draughts are made for the army, but also, that a fifth of all the children born at Paris are sent to the FoundlingHospital": These are encouragements to marriage

- See the Police of France, p. 83.-This writer adds, that a third of all that die at Puris die in Hospitals. "In ac'the' Hftél'Dien' (a great Hospital, situated in the middle "" of the:qity) whe may; he says, behold a horrid scene of "misery; for, the beds being too few for the number "admitted," it is common to sce 4, or 6 , or even 8 in a * bed together;' lying 4 at one end and 4 at the other, ill "s of tarious : iftempers in several'degrees; some bad, " others worse; some dying, others dead.-Above a "fifth of all admitted to this Hospital die; the annual " numbers ádnuitted being 21,823 . The medium of deaths «s for three years from 1751 to 1753, 4650. -The medium "of deaths for the same years in all the Hospitals was "6181." Il. p. 85.-In our two great city Hospitals, St. Thomas's' and St. Bartholomew's, nbout 600 die annually; or one-in 13 of all admitted as in-patients.-An account of the Hotel Dieus in Paris, much the same with that now given, may be found in the Memoirs of the Year Two Thousand Five Hundred, lately published, and transhated from the French by $W$. Hooper, M. D. "A citizen ${ }^{46}$ or stranger (this writer says) who falls sick, and is sent "thither, is imprisoned in a noisome bed, between a ${ }^{6}$ corpse and a person expiring in agonies, to breathe the "noxious vapoars from the dead and the dying, and "cońvert a simple indisposition into a cruel disease."Six thousand wretches are crowded together into this " Hospital, where the air has no free circulation; and "the arm of the river which flows by, receives all its * filth, and is drank, abounding with the seeds of cor"ruption, by half the city." 'The London Hospitals, it appears, have greatly the advantage; but indeed, with respect to hospitals in gencral, as now constructed and regulated, 1 cannot help fearing that they cause more distempers than they cure, and destroy more lives than they
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marriage that no other city enjoys. It has been seen that the Foundling-Hospital, though àttended with this effect, is, probably, in the highest degree pernicious.

At the end of the 2 d vol. of Monsieur De 13nforn's Natural History, there are Tables formed from the Observations of M. Du Pre de S. Maur, of the French Academy. containing an account of the ages at which 13,189 persons died in three paristes at Pairis; and also, of the ages at which 10,805 persons died in 12 country parishes and villages near $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ 'ris.-According to these Tables, many $\neq$ ore die in the Leginning of lifc, and much fewer in the latter part of life, in the country than in Paris. But the circumstances of Paris, and the country round it, are such, that no argument can be drawn from bence in favour of Paris. Many of the children djing in the country, are children sent thither from Paris to be nursed; and, on the other hand, manys perhaps most, of those who die in old age at Paris, are persons who have removed thither from the country, some to Hospitals, and some to places and settlements. It is evident, thercfore, that these Tables give à representation of the probabilities of life at Paris, which, when compared with those in
save. See Thoughts on Hospitals, by Dr. Aikin, together with a Letter to the Author, by Dr. Percival.
the
the adjacent country $x$, is just the reverse of the truth. Were the children born at Paris, who die in the country, to be transferred to the town register; and, on the contrary, the adults born in the country; who die at Paris, to be transferred to the country register, there is no reason to doubt; but that the probabilities of life at Paris; would be found as low, in comparison with those in the country, as the probabilities of life in London are; or, perhaps, much lower.-This observation is applicable, in some degree, to most other great towns; and, in general, on account of the migrations from the country to towns, navies and armies, we may be satisfied, that we err on the side of defect, whenever we judge of the probabilities of life in the country, from the numbers dying in the several stages of life; and, on the side of excess, whenever, in the same way, we judge of the probabilities of life in towns. And this, it is obvious, has a tendency to confirm all that has been said in the preceding Essay, concerning the pernicious effects of great towns on human life.

There are several ordonnances and arrets of

[^21]conrcit which fix the boundaries of Panis, and prohibit aill new buildings beyond those boundaries.-The reasons of this regulationt, as set forth in one of these arrests; ade remarkable; and it will not be impropet to recite them.-" By the excessive aggrandizr " ing of the city, it is said, the air would be " rendered unwholesome, and the cleaning " the streets more difficult."-" Angment" ing the number of inhabitants would aug" ment the price of provisions, labour, and " "manufactures."-" That ground would be "covered with buildings which ought to be "cultivated in saising the necessary subsist© ence for the imhabitants; and thereby ha"zard a sdarcity:"-" The people in the " neighbouring towns and villages would be " tempted to come and fix their residence in "the capital, and desert the country."" And, lastly; the difficulty of governing so "great a number of people, would occasion " a disorder in the Police, and give an op" portunity to rogues to commit robberies " 9 and murders y."

No one can think overgrown cities greater evils than I do. But, yet, I can by no means. approve of this policy. The effect of it must be, crowding together too many people within the prescribed boundaries, and rendering a town more the seat of uncleanliness, infection and disease. The number of houses in

[^22]
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Paris is reckoned about $28,000^{2}$, but the number of inhabitants (supposing a 20th part to die annitually, and the true number of burials to be 23,000 ) must be 460,000 ; or about 16 times the number of hoases.

It is happy fot Londov, that there have been no laws to restrain its increase. In consequence of being allowed to extend itself on all sides into the country, the inhabitants now take near twice the room to live upon that they did; and it must be rendered less the means of shortening haman life.

In page 40 , I fave given the annual $m e$ dium of births, weddings', and burials a.t BerLiN, from 1755 to 1759.' In 1747, an account was taken with the utmost care, by the order of the King of Prussia, of the number of inhabitants itt this tawn; and, it was found to be 107,224 . In order to be

- Vid. Police of France, p. 130.

1 find, in a Book entitled, Recherches sur la Population des Generalites d'Auvergne, de Lyon, de Rouen, \&c. by M. Messance, and printed at Paris in 1766, the number of houses at Paris is given 23,565 , from a capitation tax in 1755; and the number of families 71,114. There must, 1 suppose, be some deficiencies in this account; but M. Messance, by allowing most extravagantly (See the Table at the end of this Postcript) 8 to a family, infers from it that the number of inhabitants at Paris is 568,912.-On very unsatisfactory grounds also he makes the inhabitants of France to be near 24 millions. Susmilch calls them 16 millions. But the returns mentioned in the note, p. 44, determine them to be a much larger number, and leave little room for controversy on this subject.
more certain, a second account was taken the same year; and the number found the same within 200. In 1755, the inhabitants were increased to 126,661 . Their number, therefore, in 1758, could scarcely be less than 134,000; and must have been to the annual burials nearly as $26 \frac{7}{2}$ to 1 . This proportion is higher than could be expected in a town so considerable; and also so much crowded, as to have, at an average, 16 inhabitants in every house. But an observation already made, must be here remembered. Berlin, for many years, had been increasing very fast, by a conflux of people from the surrounding country and provinces. About the year 1700, the medium of annual burials was no more than 1000. In 50 years, therefore, it has more than quadrupled itself, In a city increasing with such rapidity, the ratio of inhabitants to the annual deaths, must be greatly above the just standard.Were there now such accessions to London of deserters from the country, in the beginning of mature life, as would cause the number of inhabitants to increase at the rate of 10,000 every year, it would in 50 years be doubled; and the proportion of inhabitants to deaths would rise gradually, till it came to be about one-third greater. Berlin, we have seen, has, in fact, increased at double this rate; and, therefore, the number of inhabitants dying annually in it is in reality very high.

The ingenious Susinilch, to whose works

## the State of London, Population, \&c. 69

I owe my information concerning Berlin, makes the proportion of people who die annually in great towns, to be from $\frac{1}{24}$ to ${ }_{\frac{1}{x}}$; in moderate towns, from $\frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{\tau}$ to ${ }_{T}^{\prime}$; and in the country from $\frac{1}{50}$ to ${ }_{5}^{2}$. . The observations and facts in this Essay, joined to those which will be found in the second Essay, and the Supplement in this volume, prove, I think, that these proportions may be more truly stated as follows. Great towns, from
 to $\frac{2}{28}$. The country; from $\frac{1}{33}$ or $\frac{1}{45}$, to $\frac{4}{30}$ or $\frac{1}{80}$. This, however, must be understood with exceptions. There may be moderate towns so ill situated, or whose inhabitants may be so crowded together, as to render the proportion of deaths in them greater than in the largest towns: And, of this, Edinburgh, if it is not now, was 30 years ago an example. There may be also great towns in which, from a sudden increase, this proportion may be less than in small towns: And of this I have just given an example in Berlin. On the contrary; there may be moderate towns so advantageously circumstanced as to be equally healthy with many country parishes; and of this, Chester seems to be a very singular instance. See the Introduction to the Tables in this volume.And there are some country parishes so ill situated as to be no less unhealthy than great towns; of which a marshy parish in Switzerland, described in a letter to Dr. Horsley in this volume, is an instance.
ifeconing to the Survey in 1802.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}4977 \\ 6707\end{array}\right\}$ Inhabts. $28,861\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { To a house, } 5 \frac{4}{5}\end{array}\right.$
Houses,
Famitics,
In Nottingham, according to a Surcey in Honses, 9867 ) Inhabts, 17,417 \{To a lmotse, $5 \frac{1}{3}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Families, } & 9093 & 3300 \\ \square & -36,832 \\ & 14,739\end{array}$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { To a lmise, } 5 \frac{1}{3} \\ \text { To a family, } 4 \frac{4}{5}\end{array}\right.$
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* Honses in Liverpnol in 1753 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9700
A antual average of deaths for 5 years to 1771, 1891
See an Eseny towards the History of Liverpool, by Dr. Enfield, p. . . . . . . . . . . . . S4.
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Of the Method of forming Tables, EBc. 73

## ESSAY II.

Observations on the proper Method of constructing Tables for determining the Rate of human Mortality, the Number of Inhabitants, and the Values of Lives in any Town or District, from Bills of Mortality in which are given, the Numbers dying annually at all Ages.
IN every place that just supports itself in the number of its inhabitants, without any recruits from other places; or where, for a course of years, there has been no increase or decrease, the number of persons dying every year at any particular age, and above it, must be equal to the number of the living at that age.-The number, for example, dying every year, at all ages, from the beginning to the utmost extremity of life, must, in such a situation, be just equal to the whole number born every year. And for the same reason, the number dying every year at one year of age and upwards; at two years of age and upwards; at three and upwards, and so on; must be equal to the numbers that reach to those ages every year; or, which is the
the same, to the numbers of the living at those ages. It is obvious, that unless this happens, the number of inhabitants cannot remain the same. If the former number is greater than the latter, the inhabitants must decrease; if less, they must increase. From this observation it follows, that in a town or country where there is no increase or decrease, bills of mortality which give the ages at which all die, will shew the exact number of inhabitants; and also the exact law, according to which human life wastes in that town or country.

In order to find the number of inhabitants; the mean numbers dying annually, at every particular age and upwards, must be taken as given by the bills, and placed under one another in the order of the second column of the 5th, 8th, \&c. Tables in this volume. These numbers will, it has appeared, be the numbers of the living at $0,1,2,3$, \&c. years of age; and, consequently, the sum, diminished by half the numbers living at age 0 , or by half the number born annually ${ }^{2}$, will be the whole number of inhabitants.

- This subtraction is necessary for the following rea. son.-In a Table formed in the manner here directed, it is supposed, that the numbers in the seeond column are all living together at the beginning of every year. Thus; the number in the second column opposite to 0 in the first column, the Table supposes to be all just hern together on the first day of the year. The number, likewise, opposite to 1 , it supposes to attain to one year of age just at the came time that the former number is born.
habitants. In such a series of numbers, the excess of each number above that which immediately follows it, will be the number dying every year, out of the partieular number alive at the beginning of the year ; and these excesses set down regularly, as in the third column of the Tables to which I have referred, will shew the different rates at which human life wastes through all its different periods, and the different probabilities of life at all particular ages.

It must be remembered, that what has been now said goes on the supposition that the place, whose bills of mortality are given, supports itself, by procreation only, in the number of its inhabitants. In towns this very seldom happens, on account of the luxury and debauchery which generally prevail in them. They are, therefore, commonly kept up by a constant accession of strangers or settlers, who remove to them from country parishes and villages. In these circum-

And the like is true of every number in the second co-lumn.-During the course of the year, as many will die at all ages as were born at the beginning of the year; and, consequently, there will be an excess of the number alive at the beginning of the year, above the number alive at the end of the year, equal to the whote number of the annual births; and the true number constantly alive together, is the arithmetical mean between these two numbers; or, agreeably to the rule I have given, the sum of the numbers in the seeond column of the Table, lessened by half the number of aanual births. See Essay I. page 9, \&c.
stances, in order to find the true number of inhabitants, and probabilities of the duration of life, from bills of mortality containing an account of the ages at which all die; it is necessary that the proportion of the annual birthsto the annual settlers should be known; and also the period of life at which the latter remove.-Both these particulars may be discovered by the following method.

If for a course of years there has been no sensible increase or decrease in a place, the number of annual settlers will be equal to the excess of the annual burials above the annual births. If there is an increase, it will be greater than this excess. If there is a decrease, it will be less.

The period of life at which these settlers remove, will appear in the Bills by an increase in the number of deaths at that period and beyond it. Thus; in the London Bills, the number of deaths, between 20 and 30 , is generally above double, and between 30 and 40 , near triple the number of deaths between 10 and 20: And the true account of this is, that from the age of 18 or 20 to 35 or 40 , there is a confluence of people every year to London from the country, which occasions a great increase in the number of inhabitants at these ages; and, consequently, raises the deaths for all ages above 20 considerably above thair due proportion, when compared with the number of deaths before 20. This is observable in all the bills of mortality
mortality for towns with which I am acquainted, not excepting even the Breslaw Bills. Dr. Halley takes notice, that these Bills give the number of deaths between 10 and 20 , too small. This he considered as an irregularity, owing to chance: and therefore, in forming this Table of Observations, he took the liberty so far to correct it, as to render the proportion of those who die to the living in this division of life, nearly the same with the proportion which, he says; he had been informed ${ }^{b}$ die annually of the young lads in Christ-Church Hospital. But the truth is, that this irregularity in the Bills. was derived from the cause I have just assigned. During the five years for which the Breslaw Bills are given by Dr. Halley, the births did, indeed, a little exceed the burials; but, it appears, that this was the effect of some peculiar causes that happened to operate just at that time; for, during a complete century from 1633 to 1734 , the annual medium of births was $1089^{\circ}$, and of burials 1256 d. This town, therefore, must have

[^23]have been all along kept op by a namber of yearly recruits from other places, equal to about $a$ seventh part of the yearly births.

What has been now observed concerming the period of life at which people remote from the country to settle in towns, would appear sufficiently probable, were there no such evidence for it as 1 have mentioned; for it might be well reckoned, that these peoplic in general, must be single persons in the begititing of mature life, who not havintg. yet obttained settlements in the places Where they were borm, migrate to towns in quest of employments.

Having premised these Observations, 1 shall next endeavour to explain distinctly, the effect which these accessions to towns must have, on 'fables of Observation formed from their bills of mortality. This is a subject propèr to be insisted on, because mistakes have beet committed about it; and because also the discussion of it is necessary to shew; how near to truth the values of lives come as deduced from such Tables.

The following general rule may be given on this subject.

If a place has, for a course of years, been maintained in a state nearly stationary, as to

[^24]namber of infabitants, by'supplies or recruits comining in every year, to prevent the decrease that twond arise from the excess of the burials above the births; a Table formed on the prithciple, " that the number dying annually, after "every particular age, is equal to the num"ber living at that age," will give the num ber of intrabitants and the probabilities of life, too great for all ages preceding that at Which the supptles cease; and after this, it will give them'right. If the actessions: are so great as to cause an increase in the place, such a Table will give the number of $\mathrm{mm}^{i}$ habitants and the probabilites of lift, todi little, affer the age at which the arcessions cease; and too great, if there is a dectease. Before that' age it will in both cases give them too great ; but most considerably so itf the former case, or when there is an increase:
For example. Let us suppose, that 244 of those borth in a towin, attaim annually to 20 years of age; and that 250 more, all

[^25]Iikewise
likewise 20 years of age, come into it annually from other places; in consequence of which, it has for a course of years, been just maintained in the number of its inhabitants, without any sensible increase or decrease. In these circumstances the number of the living in the town of the age of 20 , will be always 244 natives and 250 settlers, or 494 in all; and, since these are supposed all to die in the town, and no more recruits are supposed to come in ; 494 will be likewise the number dying annually at 20 and upwards. In the same manner, it will appear on these suppositions, that the number of the living, at every age, subsequent to 20 , will be equal to the number dying annually at that age and above it ; and consequently, that the number of inhabitants and the decrements of life, for every such age, will be given exactly by the Table I have supposed. But for all ages before 20, they will be given much too great. For let 280 of all born in the town, reach 10. In this case 280 will be the true number of the living in the town, at the age of 10 ; and the recruits not coming in till 20, the number given by the Bills, as dying between 10 and 20 , will be the true number dying annually of the living in this division of life. Let this number be 36 ; and it will follow, that the Table ought to make the numbers of the living at the ages between 10 , and 20, a series of decreasing means between

280 and ( 280 diminished by 36, or) 244. But in forming the Table on the principle I have mentioned, 250 (the number above 20 dying annually in the town who were not born in it) will be added to each number in this series; and, therefore, the Table will give the numbers of the living, and the probabilities of life in this division of life, almost twice as great as they really are. This observation, it is manifest, may be applied to all the ages under 20.

It is necessary to add, that such a Table will give the number of inhabitants, and the probabilities of life, equally wrong before 20 , whether the recruits all come in at 20 , agreeably to the supposition just made, or only begin then to come in. In this last case, the Table will give the number of inhabitants, and probabilities of life, too great throughout the whole extent of life, if the recruits come in at all ages above 90. But if they cease at any particular age, it will give them right only from that age; and before, it will err all along on the side of excess; but less considerably between 20 and that age, than before 20 --For example. . If, of the 250 I have supposed to come in at 20 , only 150 then come in, and the rest at 30 ; the numbers of the living will be given 100 too high at every age between 20 and 30 ; but as just shewn, they will be given 250 too high at every age before 20. In general, therefore, the number YOI.. II.

G of
of the living at any particular age, must be given by the supposed Table, as many too great as there are annual.settlers after that age: And, if these supplies come in, at all ages indiscriminately, during any certain interval of life; the number of inhabitants and the probabilities of life will be continually growing less and less wrong, the nearer any age is to the end of that interval.

These Observations prove, that Tables of Observation formed in the common way, from bills of mortality for places, where there is an excess of the burials above the births, must be erroneous, for a great part of the duration of life, in proportion to the degree of that excess. They shew likewise, at what parts of life the errors in such Tables are most considerable, and how they may be in a great measure corrected.

All this I shall exemplify and illustrate in the particular case of London.

The number of deaths, between the ages of 10 and 20 , is always so small in the London Bills, that it seems certain few recruits come to London under 20; or at least not so many as before this age are sent out for education to schools and universities. After 20 , great numbers come in till 30 , and some perhaps till 40 or 50 . The London Tables of Observation, therefore, being formed on the principle I have mentioned, cannot give the probabilities of life right
till 40. Between 30 and 40 they must be a little too high; but more so between 20 and 30 ; and mgst of all so before 20. It follows also, that these Tables must give the number of inhabitants in London much too great.

Table XIII. in this volume, is a Table formed in the manner I have explained, from the London Bills for 10 years, from 1759 to 1768 ; and adapted ta 1000 born as a radix. The sum of the numbers in the second column diminished by half the number born, is 25,757 . According to this Table then, for every 1000 deaths in London, there are 25 times and $\frac{3}{4}$ that number of inhabitants; or, in other words, the expectation of a child just born is $25 \frac{3}{7}$; and the inhabitants are to the annual burials, as $25 \frac{3}{4}$ to 1. But it has appeared, that the numbers in the second column being given on the supposition, that all who die in London were born there, must be too great; and we have from hence a demonstration, that the probabilities of the duration of life are given in the common 'Tables of London Observations, too high, for, at least, the first 30 years of life; and also, that the number of inhabitants in London must be less than $25 \frac{3}{7}$, multiplied by the annual burials.-The common Tables, therefore, of London Observations, undoubtedly want to be corrected; ${ }^{\text {f }}$ and the way of

[^26]of doing this, and, in general, the right method of forming genuine Tables of Observation for towns, may be learnt from the following rule.
"From the sum of all that die annually, " after any given age, subtract the number " of annual settlers after that age; and the " remainder will be the number of the living " at the given age."

This rule can want no explication or proof, after what has been already said.

If, therefore, the number of annual settlers in a town at every age could be ascertained; a perfect Table of Observations might be formed for that town, from Bills of mortality containing an account of the ages at which all die in it. But no more can be learnt in this instance from any Bills, than the whole number of annual settlers, and the general division of life in which they enter. This, however, may be sufficient to enable us to form Tables that shall be tolerably exact.-For instance. Suppose the annual deaths in a town which has not increased or decreased, to have been for many years, in the proportion of 4 to 3 to the anmal births. It will hence follow, that $:$ of the persons who die in such a town are supplies, or emigrants from other places; and done it with great judgment; but, I think, too imperfectly, and without going upon any fixed principles, of shewing particularly, how Tables of, Observation ought to be formed, and how far in different circumstances, and at different ages, they are to be depended on.
not natives: And the sudden increase in the deaths after 20, will also shew, agreeably to what was before observed, that they enter after this age. In forming therefore a Table for such a town, a quarter of all that die at all ages throughout the whole extent of life, must be deducted from the sum of all that die after every given age before 20 ; and the remainder will be the true number living at that given age. And if, at 20, and every age above it, this deduction is omitted, or the number of the living at every such age is taken the same with the sum of all that die after it, the result will be (supposing most of the supplies to come in before 30, and all before 40) a Table exact till 20 ; too high between 20 and 30 ; but nearly right for some years before 40 ; and after 40 exact again. Such a Table, it is evident, will be the same with the Table last described at all ages abovè 20 ; and different from it only under 20. It is evident also that, on account of its giving the probabilities of the duration of life too great for some years, after 20, the number of inhabitants deduced from it may be depended on as greater than the truth; and more or less so as the annual recruits enter in general later or sooner after 20.

Let us now consider, what the result of these remarks will be, when applied particularly to the London Bills.

It must be here first observed, that, at least one quarter of all that die in London are emigrants from the country, and not na-tives.-The medium of annual burials. for 10 years, from 1759 to 1768 , was 22,956 ; of births 15,710. The excess is 7246; or near a third of the burials. The same excess, during ten years, before 1750, was 10;500; or near half the burials. London was then decreasing. For 12 or 15 years before 1769 it was increasing. This excess, therefore, agreeably to the foregoing observations, was then greater than the number of annual recruits : and it is now less. I have chosen, hoivever, to suppose the number of annual recruits to be now 8 no more than a quiarter of the annual burials, in order to allow for more omissions in the births than the burials; and also, in order to be more sure of obtaining resulfs that shall not exceed the truth.

Of every thousand then who die in Lon: don, only 750 are natives, and 250 are settlers who come to it after 18 or 20 years of age : And, consequently, in order to obtain from the Bills a more correct Table than the 13th in this volume, 250 must be subtracted from every one of the numbers in the second column till 20 ; and the numbers in the third column must be kept the same, the

[^27]Bills always giving these right. After 20, the Table is to be continued unaltered; and the result will be, a Table which will give the numbers of the living at all ages in London much nearer the truth, but still too bigh. Such is the 14th Table in this volume. The sum of all the numbers in the second column of this Table, diminished by 500 , is 20,750 . For every 1000 deaths, therefore, in London, there are, according to this Table, 20,75.0 living persons in it; or for every single death, $20 \frac{3}{7}$ inhabitants. It was before shewn, that the number of inhabitants in London could not be as great as $25 \frac{3}{4}$ times the deaths. It now appears, (since the numbers in the second column of this Table are too high) that the number of inlabitants in London cannot be so great as even $20 \frac{3}{5}$ times the deaths. And this is a conclusion which, I believe, every one who will bestow due attention on what has been said, will find himself forced to receive: It will not be amiss, however, to confirm it by the following fact, the knowledge of which I owe to the particular enquiry and kind information of Mr. Harris, the ingenious master of the Royal Mathematical School in Christ-Church Hospital. The average number of lads in this school has, for 30 years ending in 1763 , been 831 . They are admitted at all ages between seven and eleven; and few stay beyond 16. They are, therefore, in general, lads between the
ages of eight and 16. They have better accommodations than it can be supposed children commonly have; and about 300 of them have the particular advantage of being educated in the country.' In such circumstances it may be well reckoned that the proportion of children dying annually, must be less than the general proportion of children dying annually at the same ages in London ${ }^{\text {h }}$. The fact is, that, for the last 30 years, $11 \frac{4}{5}$ have died annually; or one in $70_{3}^{2}$.

[^28]According to Table XIV. of all who complete their 8th year in London, and who are living at that age and at every intermediate age till 16, one in 74 die annually. It follows, therefore, that, according to this Table, supposing the lads in Christ-Church School all admitted exactly at eight years of age, and none discharged before they have completed 16 years of age, or before they have resided eight years (suppositions much too favourable) only a 74th part ought to die annually. That Table, therefore, gives the decrements of life in London at these ages too little, and the numbers of the living too great: And if this is true of these ages, it must be true of all other ages under 20; and it follows demonstrably, in conformity to what was before shewn, that more people settle in London after 20, than the quarter I have supposed; and that from 20 to 35 or 40 , the numbers of the living are given too great in proportion to the decrements of life.

In this Table the numbers in the second column are doubled at 20 , agreeably to what really happens in London; and the sum of the numbers in this column diminished by half the whole number of deaths, gives the expectation of life, not of a child just born, as in other Tables, but of all the inhabitants of London at the time they enter it, whether that be at birth, or at 20 years of age. The expectations, therefore, and the values of London
don lives under 20, cannot be calculated from this Table. But it may be very easily fitted for this purpose by first finding the number of births which; according to the given decrements of life, will leave 494 alive at 20 ; and then adapting the intermediate numbers in such a manner to this radix, as to preserve adl along the number of the living, in the same proportion to the numbers of the dead, This is done in the 15th Table in this volume; and this Table may, I fancy, be reoommended as better adapted to the preent state of London than any ather Table ${ }^{i}$.

[^29]The values of lives, however, deduced from it, are in general nearly the same with those deduced by Mr. Simpson, from the London Bills as they stood 40 years ago. The main difference is, that after 52 and in old age, this Table gives thetn somewhat lower than Mr. Simpson's Table.

It has sufficiently appeared, what judgment we are to form of the values of lives thits deduced. Dưring the greatest part of the interval of life, in which the annual recruits that keep up London come to it, terese values err certainly on the side of excess : And it is also probable, that they exceed the truth in all thie last stages of life ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$.

The
duce the urnhealthfulness of towns are the closeness and forlntess of the air, and the irreguldr toodes of living. If the former of these hat been dithitrished In Lomdon; the latier may have increased. But the truth may be, that the diminution of the former of these evils has not much extended itseif to the lower ranks of people in London, who form the body of the inhabitants.

- Wher the former editions of this Treatise were published, it appeaired to me probable, that, in consequence of retirements from London in the advanced periods of life, the Bills gave the probabilities of living in London after seventy years of age too low rather than too high. But I am now convinced of the contrary. Those who withdraw from London in advanced life are only a part of the inhabitants in the higher classes, themselves a small part of the whole 'body of inhabitants; and they withdraw, if at all, before seventy years of age, and therefore. the loss of them in the Bills can have no effect on the proportions of the numbers that die at all ages after sevienty.-It has also occurred to me, that though the probabilities' of 'living lefire the age of seventy, as given by 'the Bills, Lave continued remarkably the same from


## The number of inhabitants in London may also be learnt from what has been offered,

## more

1728 (when the ages were first included in the Bills) to 1780 , (as will be shewn in the Observations on the London Tables in this volume), yet after the age of 70 there has been a gradual diminution in them; so that now, of all who die at all ages, only one in 44 dies at a greater age than 80 ; whereas at the period just mentioned, one in 32 died above this age; and of all who die above 70, only 31 in a hundred now die above 80, and 4 in 100 above 90 ; whereas, at the same period, 43 in a hundred used to die above 80 , and 11 in a humdred above 90.

But what has principally determined my judgment in this instance is a comparison of the probabilities of living in Stocenolm, as deduced from the Stockiolm Bills, with the correct probabilities as detomined by an actual account taken at three different times of the number of the inhabitants living there at all ages.-This comparison shews that Bills of mortality for great towns give the probabilities of living too high at all ages; and particularly at the end as well as the leginning of life; for the proportion of inhabitants between 70 and 80 dying annually at Stoceholm was, according to the survey, 10 out of 63 ; and between 80 and 90 , ten out of 28 ; and above 90 , ten out of 25 ; whereas, according to the Bills, these proportions are ten out of 100,55 , and 24 respectively.

The London, Vienna, and Berlin Bills give the probabilities of living between 70 and 80 , and between 80 and 90 , nearly the same with these, as may be learnt from the Tables of Observations for these towns in this volume; and as at Stockholm, they are certainly too high; the reasonable conclusion is, that they are so likewise in the other towns: The truth, perhaps, may be, that more persons (invited by the conveniencies in towns) remove into them in old age, than withdraw from them.

No one, probably, will think that the change which I have mentioned in the London Bills can be owing to a growing unfavourableness of London to the health of old people.
more nearly than by any method which has been hitherto taken. It cannot, it has been shewn, exceed $20 \frac{3}{4}$ times the number of annual deaths. Could, therefore, the annual deaths be ascertained, we should know the number of inhabitants within pretty narrow limits. But the omissions in the Bills are such, that it is not possible to ascertain, with exactness, the annual deaths. Dr. Brakenridge supposed these omissions to amount to 2000 annually. The result of a very minute enquiry by Mr. Maitland is, that in the year 1729, they amounted to 3038. But they are probably now more considerable than they ever were ${ }^{\text {? }}$. Let them be 6000 ; and the
people. The following observations will sufficiently account for this fact.

London, after the loss of a quarter of its inhabitants by the plague in 1665, and the devastation of the fire in 1666, recovered so fast as in three or four years to becume more populous than it had ever been; and it continued to increase till the Revolution in 1688; after which period, and during the reigns of King William and Queen Anne, it seems, if we may judge from the Bills, to have stagnated and declined. There must, therefore, for some years after 1666, have been a very extraordinary influx of people to it ; and they must have been, for the most part, people in the beginning of mature life, who would not all die off in less than 60 or 70 years, and, therefore, would, about the year 1728 , render London fuller of inhabitants turned of 80 and 90 , than it could be at any other period.

1 Vid. Preface to a Collection of the Bills of Mortality from 1657 to 1758, p. 4, \&c.- Since the above was written, the burials, as given in the Bills, have fallen from 22,688 (the annual average for five years to 1760)
tho number of inhabitants (supposing the burials 29,000 ) will be 601,750 at most.

All the preceding Observations are, it is plain, applicable to Bills of martality for towns in general; and point out the way of deducing them from geauine Tables of Obs servations, which shall give the true probat bilities and values of lives, and the true number of inhabitants, in the towns whose Bills are given.-I shall beg leave to confirm and illustrate this, in the particular case of, the town of Northampton.

In this town, containing four parishes, namely, All-Saints, St. Sepulehre's, st. Giles, and St. Peter's, an account has been kept ever since the year 1741, of the number of males and females that have been christened and buried (Dissenters included) in the whole town. And in the parish of All-Saints, containing the greatest part of the town, an account has been kept ever since 1735, of the ages at which all have died there.

In 1746, an account was taken of the number of houses, and of inhabitants in the town. The number of houses was found to
to 20,743 , the same average to 1780 . Adding 6000 to this last number, and multiplying the total by 203 , will make the number of iohabitants in London in 1780 551,917 . But even this computation is too high, as appears from the note in p .31 .
Since 1780, the causes mentioned in the note, p. 24, and at the conclusion of the Postscript in this volume, have sunk the registered burials in Lonclon to 19,494, which was the average for three years to 1790 .
be 1083; and the number of inhabitants 5136.-In the parishes of All-Saints and St. Giles, the number of male and female heads of families, servants, lodgers, and children, were particularly distinguished.The heads of families were, 707 males; and 846 females.-Children, males 624; females 759.-Servants, males 203; females 280.Lodgers, males 137; females 287.-In St. Peter's, males 99: females 129.-In St. Sepulchre’s, adults 638; children 427. In the last parish the sexes were not distinguished.

The Christenings and Burials in the whole town for 40 years, from 1741 to 1780 , have been as follows:

Christened
Buried $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Males } 3218 \\ \text { Fem. } 3108 \\ \text { Males } 37575 \\ \text { Fem. } 3823\end{array}\right\}$ 6326--Annual medium 158.
In the parish of All-Saints, from 1735 to 1780, or 46 years,
Christened
Buried $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Males 2152 } \\ \text { Fem. 2068 } \\ \text { Males 2377 } \\ \text { Fem. 2312 }\end{array}\right\}$ 4220-Annual medium 917.
Of these died,
Under 2 years of age - 1529
Between 2 and 5 - 362
Between 5 and 10 - 201
Between 10 and 20 - 189
Between 20 and $30-373$
Between


A Table formed from these data in the manner of Table XIII. in this volume; or, on the supposition, that all who die in Northampton were born there, would give the expectation of a child just born 28.83 years: and consequently the proportion of the inhabitants to the annual deaths, as 28.83 to 1 . It has been shewn, that this proportion, in a place where the burials exceed the births, must be greater than the true proportion of the number of inhabitants to the annual deaths: And this appears to be the real case. For the Bills shew, that, from 1741 to 1750 , or for 10 years, about the time when the number of inhabitants was 5136 , the annual medium of burials was 197.5; which, multiplied by 28.83, gives 5694; or a 9 th part more than the true number ${ }^{m}$.

A Table

[^30]A Table formed in the manner of Table XIV. in this volume, would give the proportion of inhabitants to the annual deaths, as 26.41 to 1 ; and this makes the inhabitants 5216 , or very nearly the true number.

The XVII. Table, in this volume, is formed in the same manner with Table XV. for London: And this is the genuine Table of Observations for Northampton ${ }^{\text {n }}$, from which
uniformly exceeded the lirths in a very considerable proportion, and therefore, if the account in the above Survey be correct, this increase can have proceeded only from migration.
M.
n In the fourth edition of this Treatise the following cerrections were made in this Table. First. The Table printed in the first three editions having been formed from the Northumpton Bills for 36 years, this Table was rendered a little more correct in consequence of being formed from the same Bills for 46 years.-Secondly. The Bills give the numbers dying annually between 20 and 30 greater than between 30 and 40 ; but this being a circumstance which does nor exist in any other register of mortality, and undoubtedly owing to some accidental and local causes, (*) the decrements were made equal between 22 and 40; preserving, however, the total of deaths between 20 and 40 the same that the Bills give them.-Thirdly. The Bills giving only the totals of deaths under two years of age, and between 2 and 5, the proportions of deaths for every particular year between 2 and 5 , and for every quarter of a year after birth till one year of age, were made the same nearly that the Chester register makes them. See the Introduction to the collection of Tables in this volume.
(*) This is most probably owing to the migration of persons into the town between the ages of 20 and 30. See the note in the preceding page. M .
vol. il. $\mathbf{H}$ In
which may be calculated the true probabilities and values of lives in that town.

At Norwich, Bills of Mortality, of the same kind with those in London and Northampton, have been kept for many years. I have been favoured with a copy of these Bills for 30 years, from 1740 to 1769 . The annual medium of christenings, during this period, has been $1057^{\circ}$, of burials 1206. And from hence, together with the account of the numbers dying in the several decades of life, after 10, I have formed Table VIII. which shews the true probabilities of the duration of life in this town.

- In consequence of these alterations, and also of increasing the radix from 1165 to 11650 , in order to adjust the decrements with greater regularity and precision, this Table, in my opinion, gives the mean probabilities and values of lives at every age with more accuracy than any other Table now extant.
- In this register all that die before baptism, and also all that are born and die among Quakers, Jews, \&c. are omitted. There are also some other omissions; and the true annual medium of births and burials must be greater than they are given in the bills. But this will have no effect on a Table of Observations, supposing the proportions of the births to the burials, and of the numbers dying in the different stages of life, given right.-It is proper I should mention further here, that these Bills give only the whole number of children dying under 10, without specifying the numbers dying under two years of age, between 2 and 5, and between 5 and 10, as in other Bills. I have, therefore, in forming the Table fur Norwich, supposed the proportions of these numbers the same that I have given them for Northanifton.

The following particulars seem to deserve notice here.

First. Had these Tables been formed from the Northampton and Norwich Bills, for no longer time than any 10 years taken together, of the periods I bave mentioned; they would not have given the values of lives materially different. These Tables, therefore, are founded on a sufficient num ber of Observations; and it appears, that there is an invariable law which governs the waste of human life in these towns.The same remark might be made concern: ing London P.

Secondly. An account was taken at

[^31]Shrewsbitry, in 1750 , of the whole number of inhabitants; distinguishing, particularly, the number at the age of 21 and up-wards.-The former number was 8141; and the latter, 5187. According to a Table formed for Northampton, in the same manner with Table XIV. for London, the whole number of the living is to the number of the living at 21 and upwards, as 26,411 fo 16,586 ; that is, as 8141 to 5113 . Aceording to a like Table for Norwich, these numbers are to one another, as 24,500 to 15,680; that is, as 8141 to 5210 . These Tables, therefore, give the proportion of the whole number of inhabitants, to the number of the living at 21 and upwards, almost exactly the same with the true proportion, as it is at Shrewsburyq: And this affords an additional proof of the rectitude of the principles on which these Tables have been formed.
$=$ But further. The number of inhabitants,
a The mnual medium of births at Shrmwsburx, for 7 years, from 1762 to 1768 , was 301 ; of burials 329. It appenrs, thercfore, that one in $24_{i}^{3}$. of the inhabitants die annually. But it should be remembered, that in 1766, the small-pox and measles very much increased the mortality in this town; and I find also, that since 1750, a narsery for foundlings from London was established here; and that in 1768 this nursery contained 660 children and servants. It seems, therefore, probable that the true medium of burials about the year 1750, must have been less than 329 ; and that the proportion of inhatitants dying annually, may not be much greater than it is at Northampton; or 1 in 26.41.
not reckoning children, in the parishes of St. Giles and All-Saints, Northampton, was, in 1746, 2460; and the whole nuisber of inhabitants in these two parishes was 3843. See p. 95. In the account I have received, the particular age at which the limit of childhood was fixed in taking this survey, is not mentioned; but there seems reason to believe, that it was 21 : And, taking this for granted, the number of inhabitants, not children, will come out (by such a Table for Northampton as Table XIV. for LonDov) 2414 ; or, nearly the same with the number really found in these parishes. Had this number been computed, from a Table formed for Northampton, in the manner of Table XIII. in this volume, it would have come out only 2176. This remark is applicable to the Table for Breslaw, formed by Dr. Halley, compared with the same Table, corrected for all the ages under 20 ;

[^32]by the rule, p. 84. The necessity, therefore, of that correction is verified by facts; and it appears, abundantly, that the Tables I have given for Northampton and Norwich may be depended on.

But, thirdly. In comparing these two Tables, it may be observed, that there is a difference between them in favour of Northampton, fewer dying there in childhood, and more in old age. The same would be found to be true, were the Northampton Table to be compared with a corrected Breslaw Table. It appears, therefore, agreeably to what might have been expected, that Northampton, being a small town compared with Breslaw and Norwich, is less unfavourable to health and longevity. The difference, however, is not considerable. After the age of 20 , there is a striking conformity between all the three Tables, which gives them great weight and authority.

Further. It ought to be noted, that these Tables prove the decrements of life between 25 and 75 , in moderate towns, to be nearly equal. At Northampton it appears that, of a given number of persons alive at 20 , the same number die every year till 78 , without any considerable interruption, except between the ages of 30 and 40.-A like uniform decrease in the probabilities of the duration of life appears in the Breslaw and Norwich Tables; but not so remarkably. It was this circumstance in the Bres-
law Table, that led Mr. De Moivre to the hypothesis, described in p. 2, Vol. I. and so often mentioned in this work. It gives the values of lives in the middle stages nearly the same that they are by these three Tables; but it is far from being applicable with sufficient correctness to the valuation of lives before 25 and after 75 years of age'; nor does it at all correspond to the law which governs the waste of human life in' great towns, and in country parishes and villages. This will appear immediately from inspecting the Tables in this volume. I will here only compare the expectations of life by it with the expectations at the same ages in London, and in a country parish, where the exactest observations have been made. I mean, in the parish of Holy-Cross near Shrewsbery ', mentioned in'the first Essay, page 34.

## Expec-

[^33]
## Expectations of Life at the


pectation of a child just born here is 33.9.-At Northampton it is $25 \frac{1}{2}$. At Norwich, $23 \frac{3}{3}$. In London, 18.-In this parish, 1 in 11 dies at 80, and upwards. In Northampton; 1 in 22. In Norwich; 1 in 27. In London; 1 in 60. See Essay I. p. 46.

I will add, that the probabilities of life here appear to be much the same with the probabilities of life among the ministers and professors in Scotland.-This is a fact of some consequence; and, therefore, I will give a brief account of it.

The mean age at which the ministers and professors enter into benefices and professorships in Scotland is reckoned to be 27 . Their number is 974 . The establishment among them for providing for their widows begun on the 25th of March 1744 ; from which time to NovemUer 22, 1779, 1037 have died: That is, 29 annually; or 1 in $33 \frac{3}{5}$. The expectation, therefore, of a life among them, at the age of 27 , is 33.6 ; which is nearly the same with the expectation of a life of the same age in the parish of Holy-Cross; and $3 \frac{1}{2}$ years more, than the expectation of the same age by Tables V. VIII. and XVII. in this volume.-Now the expectation at a given age, being composed of all the probabilities of life from that age to the extremity of life; there arises from hence reason for concluding, that the probabilities of life among the ministers in Scotland, cannot differ much in any part of life from those in this parish.-But there is another fact that confirms this observation.

There is one more fact which I shall here take notice of; and which deserves more attention than bas been, hitherto bestowed upon it. I mean; " the difference between the " probabilities of life among males and $f e$ " males, in favour of the latter."

From the account in p. 95, it appears, that at Northampton, though more males are born than females, and nearly the same number die; yet the number of living. $f e$ males is greater than the number of males, in the proportion of 2301 to 1770 , or 39 to 30. This cannot be accounted for with-

The annual average of weddings among the ministers and professors in Scotland, for 33 years ending in 1779, has been 30. The average of marricd persons among them, for 17 years ending in 1757, had been 667. This number, divided by 30, gives 22 , the $e x$ pectation of marriage among them; which is above 3 years more than the expectation of marriage would be, by Dr. Halley's Table, on the supposition, that all marriages may be justly considered as commencing, one with another, so early as the age of 30.-The expectation of two equal joint lives is to the expectation of a single life of the same age, as 2 to 3 , by note ( K ) at the end of the first volume. It follows, therefore, that among the mipisters in Scotland, the expectution of a single life at 30 cannot be less than 33. Most probably it is more; on account of the later commencement of marriage in the situation of the Scotch ministers.-I reckon also that 27 must be less than the mean age at which they enter their benefices and professorships; meaning by it, not the age on each side of which equal numbers enter, but the age at which the excess of the interval of time taken to enter on one side, is just such as to compensate the greater numbers who enter on the other side. See the conclusion of note ( $F$ ) in the first volume.
out supposing, that males are more shortlived than females.-One obvious reason of this fact is, that males are more subject to untimely deaths by accidents of various kinds; and also, in general, more addicted to the excesses and irregularities which shorten life. But this is by no means the only reason. For it should be observed that at Northampton the number of female children was, in 1746, greater than the number of male childfen, in the proportion of 759 to 624 .-The greater mortality of males, therefore, takes place among children. But this, together with the greater mortality in general of males at all ages, will more particularly appear from the following recital of facts.

In the parish of Holy-Cross, Salop, the ingenious Vicar, Mr. Gorsuch, in 1760 , and again in 1770, took the number of male and female inhabitants turned of 80 . In 1760 , the number of females turned of this age, was 13; of males, 2. In 1770, these numbers were, females, 11 ; males, 6.And for 10 years to 1770 , eleven out of .365 had died between the ages of 85 and 102; and they were all females ${ }^{4}$.

[^34]At Berlin, it appeared, from the accurate account which was taken of the inhabitants in 1747, and which has been mentioned in p. 67, that the number of female citizens exceeded the number of male citizens, in the proportion of 459 to 391 : And yet, out of this smaller number of males, more had died for 20 years preceding 1751, in the proportion of 19 , to $17^{x}$.

At Edinburgh, in 1743, the number of females was to the number of males, as 4 to. 3; (See Essay I. p. 57) but the females that. died annually, from 1749 to 1758 , were to the males, in no bigher proportion than $3_{5}^{2}$. to 3. Before 1749 , the Bills gave the totals of burials, without distinguishing them into. the totals of males and females dying every year.

Mr. Kerseboom, in his Essay on the numbers of people in Holland, informs us, that from the Tables of a ssignable Annuities for lives in Holland, which had been kept

Yorkshire, taken 1777, under the direction of Dr. Bisset, it appeared that 39 (that is, an 18th part) were 75 and upwards, 25 of whom were females, and only 14 males.

According to an enumeration in 1762 , a hundred and five parishes and villages in the generality of Rouen in France, cousisted of 15,943 families, and 60,552 inhabitants, 6812 of whom were girls and 5670 boys, under the age of fourteen.
$\times$ Vid. Susmilch, Gottliche Oxtnung, \&ec. where a minute account is given of the number of males and females at Berlin in 1747; and also, of the numbers of each sex that had died from 1722 to 1750.
there for 125 years, wherein the ages of the persons dying are truly entered; it appears, that females have, in all accidents of age, lived about 3 or 4 years longer than the same number of males. See Philosophical Transactions abridged, Vol. IX. p326.

In Volume the 7 th of the Philosophical Transactions abridged, Part IV. p. 46. \&c. there is an account of the numbers of male and female still-born children and chrysoms, and of boys and girls under 10 , of married men and marricd women, and of widows and widqwers, who died for a course of years at Vienna, Lreslau', Dresden, Leipsic, Ratisbon, and some other towns in Germany.

He that will take the pains to examine these accounts will find that, though in these towns the proportion of males and females born is no higher than 19 to 18, yet the proportion of boys and girlsy that die is 8 to 7 ; and that, in particular, the still born and crysom males, are to the still-born and chrysom females, as 3 to 2 .

In these accounts it appears also, that of 7270 married persons who had died in these

[^35]towns ${ }^{2} ; 4336$ were married men, and but 2934 married women, that is, three married men died to two married women. In all Pomerania, during 9 years, from 1748 to 1756, there died $\mathbf{1 3 , 5 5 6}$ married men, and 10,007 married women; that is, nearly 15 to 11. Susmilch, Gottliche Ordnung, Vol. I. Tables, p. 97. The scheme for making provision for the widows and orphans of the ministers in Scotland, has obliged them to keep an account of the number of weddings among them, and the number of widous left annually; and it appears from the reports of the trustees for carrying this scheme into execution, that the annual medium of weddings is 30 . And the annual medium of widows, who came upon the scheme for 3.5 years, to 1779 was $19_{\text {To }}$. Of 30 marriages then contracted annually, $19{ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{z}$ became extinct by the deaths of husbands; and not 11 by the deaths of wives. That is; among the ministers and professors in Scotland, 19 married men die to 11 married women. It appears, therefore, that there is the chance of more than 7 to 4 , that the woman shall be the survivor of a marriage and not the man. In order to account for this by the difference of age between men and their wives, this difference ought to be at least 13 or 14 years. That is; supposing the

[^36]mean age at which women marry to be 23 , the mean age at which men marry ought to be 36 or 37 . But this seems to exceed. the bounds of credibility; and, therefore, very probably, the greater mortality of males must operate in this case. .

It is further observable in the accounts from Germany, to which I have referred, that the number of widows dying annually, is four times the number of widowers ${ }^{2}$; and, as widows are certainly, one with another, several years younger than widowers; it may be concluded from hence, that the number of the former in life together could not be less than five times the latter. - This fact is likewise confirmed, by the observations which have been made among the ministers in Scotland. The number of widou's

[^37]in life, derived from the whole body of ministers and professors, cannot be much short of 400; but the number of widowers among them had, for many years before 1779 , been scarcely 90 ; that is, not so much as a quarter of the number of widons. It may be easily seen, and it would not be difficult to demonstrate, that neither the greater number of persons left widows, nor any probable supposition concerning the greater frequency of marriages among widowers, can completely account for this, without admitting the greater mortality of males. This, therefore, appears on the whole to be a fact well established: And it follows from it, that in order to calculate the values of Lifé-Annuities and Reversions with exactness, there ought to be distinct Tables of the probabilities of life for males and females. All that is necessary to obtain the proper data for forming such Tables is, that the sexes as well as the ages of the dead should be specified in the Bills; and this improvement would be rendered more complete by distinguishing the males that die under the denomination of boys, married men, widowers and bachelors; and the females under the denominations of girls, married women, widows, and virgins ${ }^{b}$.

[^38]It has been observed, that the Author of nature has provided, that more males should be born than females, on account of the particular waste of males, occasioned by wars and other causes. Perhaps it might have been observed with more reason, that this provision had in view that particular weakness or delicacy in the constitution of males which makes them more subject to mortality; and which, consequently, renders it necessary that more of them should be produced, in order to preserve in the world a due proportion between the two sexes ${ }^{c}$.

In the course of this Essay, it has often appeared, that I have been particularly indebted to an information which I have received from Northampron. I should be inexcusable, did I not mention, that I owe
under the direction of Dr. Perrival.-The first two of these Registers (abstracts of which will be found in this volume) have already furnished data nearly sufficient for forming distinct Tables of the values of lives among males and females; and they confirm what has been here observed concerning the longer duration of human life among females. But the best information on this subject has been given by the Observations in Sweden, which came to my knowledge since the first three editions of this Treatise, and which have helped me not a little to improve it, as may be seen in this volume.

For more facts relating to the longer duration of life among females, see page 136 in the first volume, and the Supplement in this volume.
${ }^{c}$ More will be said on this subject in the Sxpplement in this volume, and the true cause of that dintlity in the male constitution, which ohortens its duration, will be there assigned.
this information to Mr. Lawton, an ingenious gentleman in that town, who has preserved the, Bills of Mortality there with much care, and been very obliging in communicating them to me.-It is much to be desired, that like accounts were kept in every town and parish. It would be extremely agreeable to learn from them the different rates of human mortality in different places, and the number of people and progress of population in the kingdom. The trouble of keeping them would be trifing; but the instruction derived from them ${ }^{\text {d }}$, would be very im-portant.-I have already proposed one improvement of such accounts. I will add, that they would be still more useful, did they give the ages of the dead after 10 , within periods of five instead of ten years. During every period so short as five years, the decrements of life may, in constructing Tables, be safely taken to be uniform. But this cannot be equally depended on, in periods so long as ten years.

There is yet another improvement of these accounts, which I shall take this opportunity to mention. They should contain not only a list of the distempers of which all die, like that in the London Bills; but they should specify particularly the numbers dying of these distempers, in the several divisions of life. Accurate registers of mortality kept in

[^39]this manner in all parts of the kingdom, and compared with records of the seasons, and of the weather, and with the particular circumstances which discriminate different situations, might contribute, more than can be easily imagined, to the increase of physical knowledge. But to proceed no farther in these Observations; I shall now beg leave to shut up this Essay with the following general reflection.

I have represented particularly the great difference between the duration of human life in towns and in country parishes; and from the facts I have recited it appears, that the further we go from the artificial and irregular modes of living in great towns the fewer of mankind die in the first stages of life, and the more in its last stages. The lower animals (except such ${ }^{\text {e }}$ as have been taken under human management) seem in general to enjoy the full period of existence

[^40]allotted them, and to die chiefly of old age: And were any observations to be made among sarages, perhaps the same would be found to be true of them.-Death is an evil to which the order of Providence has subjected every inhabitant of this earth; but to man it has been rendered unspeakably more an evil thas it was designed to be. The greatest part of that black catalogue of diseases which ravage human life, is the offspring of the tender* ness, the luxury, and the corruptions introduced by the vices and false refinements of civil society. That delicacy which is injured by every breath of air, and that rottenness of constitution which is the effect of indolence, intemperance, and debauchery, were never intended by the Author of Nature; and it is impossible, that they should not lay the foundation of numberless sufferings, and terminate in premature and miserable deaths.-Let us then value more the simplicity and innocence of a life agreeable to nature; and learn to consider nothing as savageness but malevolence, ignorance, and wickedness. The order of nature is wise

[^41]and kind. In a conformity to it consist health and long life; grace, honour, virtue, and joy. But nature turned out of its way will always punish. The wicked shall not live out half their days. Criminal excesses embitter and cut short our present lives; and the highest authority has taught us to expect, that they will not only kill the body, but the soul; and deprive of eterayal EIFE.

A SUP-

## Additional Observations, \&c.

## A <br> SUPPLEMENT',

contanina
Additional Observations on the Duration of Human Life in different Situations; and on the Population of the Kingdom.

SINCE the first publication of this work, I have had the pleasure of reading an ingenious Memoir on the State of Population in the Pais de Vaud, a district of the province of Bern in Switzerland. The author of this memoir is Mr. Muret, the first minister at Vevey, a town in that district, and secretary to the Economical Society there. It forms the first part of the Bern Observations for the year 1760; and a good abstract of it may be found in the 69th article of a work entitled, De Re Rustica, or the Repository. It contains an account of many facts which appear to me curious and important; and

[^42]
## 118 Additional Observations on the

which confirm the observations I have made in the two preceding Essays.-Some of these facts I will here recite.

In the first Essay I have asserted, that there is a much greater difference between the probabilities of the duration of life in great towis and in country parishes, than is commonly suspected; and, as one proof of this, I have observed, that though in London the greatest part of the natives die under three years of age, in the country the greater part live to marry. Mr. Muret's Observations and Tables give a distinct demonstration of this, by shewing, that in the province of Vaud; the greater part of the inhabitants live triny years beyond the age of maturity.But to be a little more explicit.

The district of Faud, in Sivitzerland, contains 112,951 inhabitants of all ages; 25,778 families; 38,328 married persons: and the annual medium of births, for io years before 1766 , bad been 3155 ; of ricddings, 808 ; of deaths, 2504 . It appears, therefore, that the married are very nearly a third part of the inhabitants, that the number of persons to a family is $4 \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{~T}}$; and that one in 45 of the inhabitants die annually. It may be further learnt (by dividing half the number of the married by the annual medium of weddings), that the expectation of marriage in this country is 123 yeats and $\frac{1}{2}$; and (from the proportions of the brthth, weddings, and deaths) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ that
${ }^{b}$ See the note, p. 37, \&c.

[^43]the greater part of those who are borm live to marry. But of this fact there is, I have just intimated, a more particular and distinct proof.-From a Table given by Mr. Muret, of the rate of human mortality in this country (derived from registers kept in 43 parishes, of the ages at which the inhabitants die), it appears, that one half of all that are born live beyond 41 years of age.-The examination of this Table will, undoubtedly, be a gratification to the reader; and, therefore, I have chosen to make it a part of these additions. See p. 123. I have also given a Table which I have formed from a register in Susmilch's works, of the ages at which the inhabitants of a country parish in Brandenburgh died, during 50 years, ended at 1759.-And I have further thought proper to add, 'as contrasts to these Tables, two Tablea exbibiting the probabilities of life at Vienna and BerLiv. See p. 124, 125, and 126.

The following observations concerning these Tables should be attended to.

The Table for the country of VAud, though it gives the probabilities of life in its first stages very high; and, at some ages, more than double to the probabilities of life in great cities; yet, certainly, gives them too low. For, first, it has just appeared, that is this country the births exceed considerably the deaths. The emigrations, likewise, from it are very numerous, as will be presently observed: and the necessary effect of these
two causes is, to make the registers give the number of deaths in the first stages of life too great in comparison of the deaths in the last stages. A Table formed from such registers must give the probabilities of life too low, according to the observations in the Second Essay, and in the introduction to the following Collection of Tables.

After 40, the probabilities of living in this country decrease very fast; and, after 65 , they appear to be rather lower than is common. Mr. Muret has taken notice of this fact, and ascribes it to the particular prevalency of drunkenness in his country. He had, he says, once the curiosity to examine the register of deaths in one town, and to mark those whose deaths might be imputed to drunkenness; and he found the number so great, as to incline him to believe, that hard drinking kills more of mankind, than pleurisies and fevers, and all the most malignant distempers.

The former of these observations is applicable to the Table for the country parish in Brandenburgh; for it appears from Susmilch's accountc, that the births there exceed the deaths more than in the country of Vaud; nor is it to be imagined, that there are not likewise many emigrations from it,

[^44]particularly,

particularly, to Berlin and the King of Prussia's armies.

From the Tables for Vienna and London, compared with the Table for Berlin, it appears, that the last of these towns, though much the smallest, has at some ages even a worse effect on the duration of life, than either of the former: And the reason, perhaps, may be, that the inhabitants there are much more crowded together. See p. 67. Between the ages of 30 and 35, and also between 42 and 52, there is an irregularity in the Berlin Table, which, very probably, would not have appeared in it, had it been formed from the bills for a longer term of years.

From the age of 25 to 45, Vienna appears, in the Tables, to be less unfavourable to life than London; but it cannot be depended upon that this is the truth, for the Vienna Table may give the probabilities of living at these ages higher, only because the recruits from the country come to it later, or in greater numbers, after 30 and 40, than in London. A like effect would also arise from a greater number of migrations in old age from London than from Viensa.

In forming the Tables for Vienna and Berlin, I have applied the correction explained in the Second Essay, and demonstrated there to be necessary; and, in making this correction, I have supposed, agreeably to the proportion of the births to the burials, 5
that
that a fifth of all who die in these cities, are persons who removed to them at 20 years of age. Notwithstanding this correction, the Table for Berlin gives the probabilities of life between 10 and 20 so high, and in such disproportion to the probabilities of life immediately after 20, as to exceed all the bounds of credibility. The true reason of this may be learnt from what has been said in p. 67, of the rapid increase of Berlin.
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## TABLE I. ${ }^{\text {d }}$

Shewing the Probabilities of Life in the District of Vaud, Switzerland, formed from the Registers of $43 \mathrm{~Pa}-$ rishes, given by Mr. Muret, in the First Part of the Bern Memoirs for the Year 1766.

| Age. | Living. | Decr. | Age. | Living. | Decr. | Age. | Living. | Decr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01234 | $\begin{array}{r} 1000 \\ 811 \\ 765 \\ 735 \\ 715 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 189 \\ 46 \\ 30 \\ 20 \\ 14 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 32 \\ & 33 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 558 \\ & 553 \\ & 548 \\ & 544 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 63 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 286 \\ & 274 \\ & 262 \end{aligned}$ | 121212 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 66 \\ & 67 \\ & 68 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | 250 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 701 \\ & 688 \\ & 677 \\ & 667 \\ & 659 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 8 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 35 | 539 | 6 |  | 236 | 16 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 533 | 6 |  | 220 | 18 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 527 | 7 |  | 202 | 18 |
|  |  |  | 3839 | 520 | 7 |  | 184 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | 513 |  | 70 | 168 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | 506 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 653 | 5 | 40 |  |  | 71 | 153 | 13 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 500 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 72 | 140 | 11 |
| 11 | 648 | 5 | 42 | 4.94 |  | 73 | 129 | 10 |
| 12 | 643 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 488 \\ & 482 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 74 | 119 | 10 |
| 13 | 639 | 4 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 635 | 4 | 45 | 476 | 7 | 75 | 109 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 | 98 | 13 |
| 15 | 631 | 4 | 46 | 469 3 |  | 77 | 85 | 14 |
| 16 | 626 |  | 47 | 461 |  | 78 | 7158 | 13 |
| 17 | 622 | 4 | 48 | 451 | 10 | 79 |  |  |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 618 \\ & 614 \end{aligned}$ | 44 | 49 | 441 | 10 | 80 | 46 | 10 |
| 19 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 431 | 9 | 81 | 36 | 7543 |
| 20 | 610 | 4 | 51 | 422 | 8 | 82 | 29 |  |
| 21 | 606 | 4 | 52 | 414 | 8 | 83 | 24 |  |
| 22 | 602 | 5 | 53 | 406 | 9 | 84 | 20 |  |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 597 \\ & 592 \end{aligned}$ | 55 | 54 | 397 | 9 | 35 | 17 | 3 |
| 24 |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 388 | 11 | 86 | 14 | 3 |
| 25 | 587 | 5 | 56 | 377 | 13 | 87 | 11 | 2 |
| 26 | 582 | 5 | 57 | 364 | 16 | 88 | 97 | 2 |
| 27 | 577 | 5 | 58 | 348 | 17 | 89 |  | 2 |
| 28 | 572567 | 54 | 59 | 331 | 17 | 90 | 5 | 1 |
| 29 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 314 \\ & 299 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 563 | 5 |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |
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## TABLE II.

Shewing the Probabilities of Life in a Country Parish in Brandenburgh, formed from the Bills for 50 Years, fram 1710 to 1759, as given by Mr. Sosmileh, in his Gottliche Ordnung, p. 43.

| Age. | Living. | Decr. | Age. | ${ }^{\text {Living }}$ | Decr. | Abr. | Living. | Decr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1000 | 225 | 31 | 482 | 5 | 62 | 260 | 12 |
| 1 | 775 | 57 | 32 | 477 | 5 | 63 | 248 | 12 |
| 2 | 718 | 31 | 33 | 472 | 5 | 64 | 236 | 12 |
| 3 | 687 | 23 | 34 | 467 | 5 | 65 | 224 | 11 |
| 4 | 664 | 22 | 35 | 462 | 6 | 66 | 3 | 11 |
| 5 | 642 | 20 | 36 | 456 | 6 | 67 | 202 | 12 |
| 6 | 022 | 15 | 37 | 450 | 6 | 68 | 190 | 12 |
| 7 | 607 | 12 | 38 | 444 | 6 | 69 | 178 | 12 |
| 8 | 595 | 10 | 39 | 438 | 6 | 70 | 166 | 13 |
| 9 | 585 | 8 | 40 | 43 | 5 | 71 | 153 | 15 |
| 10 | 577 | 7 | 41 | 427 | 5 | 72 | 138 | 16 |
| 11 | 570 | 6 | 42 | 422 | 5 | 73 | 122 | 15 |
| 12 | 564 | 5 | 43 | 417 | 5 | 74 | 107 | 14 |
| 13 | 559 | 5 | 44 | 412 | 6 | 75 | 93 | 13 |
| 14 | 554 | 5 | 45 | 407 | 6 | 76 | 80 | 12 |
| 15 | 549 | 5 | 46 | 400 | 6 | 77 | 68 | 9 |
| 16 | 544 | 5 | 47 | 394 | 6 | 78 | 59 | 8 |
| 17 | 539 | 4 | 48 | 388 | 7 | 79 | 51 | 7 |
| 18 | 535 | 4 | 49 | 381 | 7 | 80 | 44 | 6 |
| 19 | 53 | 4 | 50 | 374 | 7 | 81 | 38 | 6 |
| 20 | 527 | 5 | 51 | 367 | 8 | 82 | 32 | 6 |
| 21 | 522 | 5 | 52 | 359 | 8 | 83 | 25 | 6 |
| 22 | 517 | 5 | 53 | 351 | 8 | 84 | 21 | 5 |
| 23 | 512 | 5 | - 54 | 343 | 9 | 85 | 15 | 4 |
| 24 | 507 | 5 | 55 | 334 | 10 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| 25 | 502 | 4 | 56 | 324 | 10 | 87 | 8 | 2 |
| 26 | 498 | 3 | 57 | 314 | 10 | 88 | 6 | 2 |
| 27 | 495 | 3 | 58 | 304 | 11 | 89 | 4 | 1 |
| 28 | 492 | 3 | 59 | 293 | 11 | 90 | 3 | 1 |
| 29 | 489 | 3 | 60 | 282 | 11 | 91 | 2 | 1 |
| 30 | 486 | 4 | 61 | 271 | 11 | 92 | 1 | 1 |
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## TABLE III.

Shewing the Probabilities of Life at Virnna, formed from the Bills for Eight Years, as given by Mr. Susmilch, in his Gottliche Ordnung, page 32, Tables.

| Ase. | Living. | Decr. | Age. | Living. | Decr. | Age. | Living. | Decr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1495 | 682 | 31 | 364 | 6 | 62 | 129 | 6 |
| 1 | 813 | 107 | 32 | 358 | 5 | 63 | 123 | 7 |
| 2 | 706 | 61 | 33 | 353 | 6 | 64 | 116 | 7 |
| 3 | 645 | 46 | 34 | 347 | 7 | 65 | 109 | 8 |
| 4 | 599 | 33 | 35 | 340 | 8 | 66 | 101 | 8 |
| 5 | 566 | 30 | 36 | 332 | 8 | 67 | 93 | 8 |
| 6 | 536 | 20 | 37 | 324 | 8 | 68 | 85 | 7 |
| 7 | 516 | 11 | 38 | 316 | 9 | 69 | 78 | 7 |
| 8 | 505 | 9 | 39 | 307 | 9 | 70 | 71 | 6 |
| 9 | 496 | 7 | 40 | 298 | 8 | 71 | 65 | 5 |
| 10 | 489 | 6 | 41 | 290 |  | 72 | 60 | 5 |
| 11 | 483 | 5 | 42 | 283 | 6 | 73 | 55 | 4 |
| 12 | 478 | 5 | 43 | 277 | 6 | 74 | 51 | 4 |
| 13 | 473 | 6 | 44 | 271 | 7 | 75 | 47 | 5 |
| 14 | 467 | 6 | 45 | 264 | 8 | . 76 | 42 | 5 |
| 15 | 461 | 6 | 46 | 256 | 9 | 77 | 37 | 5 |
| 16 | 455 | 7 | 47 | 247 | 9 | 78 | 32 | 5 |
| 17 | 448 | 6 | 48 | 238 | 9 | 79 | 27 | 4 |
| 18 | 442 | 6 | 49 | 229 | 9 | 80 | 23 | 3 |
| 19 | 436 | 6 | 50 | 22 | 8 | 81 | 20 | 2 |
| 20 | 430 | 5 | 51 | 212 | 7 | 82 | 19 | 2 |
| 21 | 425 | 5 | 52 | 205 | 7 | 83 | 16 | 2 |
| 22 | 420 | 5 | 53 | 198 | 7 | 84 | 14 | 2 |
| 23 | 415 | 0 | 54 | 191 | 7 | 85 | 12 | 2 |
| 24 | 409 | 6 | 55 | 184 | 8 | 86 | 10 | 2 |
| 25 | 403 | 6 | 56 | 176 | 8 | 87 | 8 | 2 |
| 26 | 397 | 6 | 57 | 168 | 9 | 88 | 6 | 2 |
| 27 | 391 | 7 | 58 | 159 | 8 | 89 | 4 | 1 |
| 28 | 384 | 7 | 59 | 151 | 8 | 90 | 3 | 1 |
| 29 | 377 | 7 | 60 | 143 | 7 | 91 | 2 | 1 |
| 30 | 370 | 6 | 61 | 136 | 7 | 92 | 1 | 1 |

and kind. In a conformity to it consist health and long life; grace, honour, virtue, and joy. But nature turned out of its way will always punish. The wicked shall not live out half their days. Criminal excesses embitter and cut short our present lives; and the highest authority has taught us to expect, that they will not only kill the body, but the soul; and deprive of eteratal EIEE.

A SUP-

## A <br> SUPPLEMENT,

CONMAININQ
Additional Observations on the Duration of Human Life in different Situations; and on the Population of the Kingdom.
SINCE the first publication of this work, I have had the pleasure of reading an ingenious Memoir on the State of Population in the Pais de Vaud, a district of the province of Bern in Switzerland. The author of this memoir is Mr. Muret, the first minister at Vevey, a town in that district, and secretary to the Economical Society there. It forms the first part of the Bern Observations for the year 1760; and a good abstract of it may be found in the 69th article of a work entitled, De Re Rustica, or the Repository. It contains an account of many facts which appear to me curious and important; and
${ }^{2}$ This supplement was an addition to this Treatise in the Second and Third Editions of it. I have in the present Edition added to it a Postscript, containing a review of the arguments for and against the increasing population of the kingdom.
which

## TABLE IV.

Shewing the Probabilities of Life at Brruis, formed from the Bills for Four Years, from 1752 to 1755, given by Mr. Susmilch,e in his Gottliche Ordnung, Vol. II. page 37, Tables.

| Age. | Living. | Decr.\| | Age. | Living. | Decr. | Age. | Living. | Decr. 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1427 | 524 | 33 | 361 | 7 | 65 | 112 | 6 |
| 1 | 903 | 151 | 34 | 354 | 7 | 66 | 106 | 7 |
| 2 | 752 | 61 |  |  |  | 67 | 99 | 7 |
| 3 | 691 | 73 | 35 | 347 | 8 | 6 s | 92 | 6 |
| 4 | 618 | 45 | 36 | 339 | 9 | 69 | 86 | 6 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 330 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 573 | 21 | 38 | 320 | 10 | 70 | 80 | 6 |
| 6 | 552 | 15 | 39 | 310 | 10 | 71 | 74 | 6 |
| 7 | 536 | 13 |  |  |  | 72 | 68. | 6 |
| 8 | 523 | 9 | 40 | 300 | 10 | 73 | 62 | 5 |
| 9 | 514 | 7 | 41 | 290 | 9 | 74 | 57 | 5 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 281 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 507 | 5 | 48 | 274 | 7 | 75 | 52 | 5 |
| 11 | 502 | 4 | 44 | 266 | 7 | 76 | 47 | 5 |
| 12 | 498 | 4 |  |  |  | 77 | 42 | 5 |
| 13 | 494 | 4 | 45 | 259 | 7 | 78 | 37 | 5 |
| 14 | 490 | 4 | 46 | 252 | 7 | 79 | 32 | 4 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 245 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 486 | 4 | 48 | 238 | 7 | 80 | 28 | $\pm$ |
| 16 | 482 | 5 | 49 | 231 | 7 | 81 | 24 | 3 |
| 17 | 477 | 5 |  |  |  | 82 | 21 | 2 |
| 18 | 472 | 5 | 50 | 224 | 7 | 83 | 19 | 2 |
| 19 | 467 | 6 | 51 | 217 | 7 | 84 | 17 | 2 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 210 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 20 | $\therefore 61$ | 6 | 53 | 208 | 8 | 83 | 15 | 2 |
| 21 | 455 | 6 | 54 | 195 | 8 | 86 | 13 | 2 |
| 22 | 449 | 6 |  |  |  | 87 | 11 | 2 |
| 23 | 443 | 7 | 55 | 187 | 8 | 88 | 9 | 2 |
| 24 | 486 | 8 | 56 | 179 | 8 | 89 | 7 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 57 | 171 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 25 | 428 | 9 | 58 | 163 | 9 | 90 | 6 | 1 |
| 26 | 421 | 9 | 59 | 154 | 9 | 91 | 5 | 1 |
| 27 | 412 | 9 |  |  |  | 92 | 4 | 1 |
| 28 | 403 | 9 | 60 | 145 | 8 | 93 | 8 | 1. |
| 29 | 394 | 9 | 61 | 137 | 7 | 94 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 62 | 130 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 385 376 | 9 | 63 | 124 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 31 32 | 376 368 | 8 | 64 | 118 | 6 |  |  |  |
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These Tables exhibit, in a striking light, the difference between the duration of human life, in great cities and in the country. I will here lay some of the chief particulars of it before the reader, desiring him to take with him this consideration, that, for the reasons I have explained, they can be erroneous only by giving the difference much too little.
Proportion of Inhabitants dying annually in

| Pais De <br> Vaud. | Country Parish <br> in Brandenburg. | Vienna. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 in 45 | 1 in 45 | 1 in $19 \frac{1}{2}$ |

Ages to which half the born live.

| Pais De <br> Vaud. | Country Parish <br> in Bramdenburg. | Vienna. | Berlin. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | $25 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ |

Proportion of Inhabitants ${ }^{8}$ who reach 80 Years of Age.

| Pais De <br> Vaud. | Country Parish <br> in Brandenburg. | Vienna. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 in $21 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 in $22 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 in 41 |

The numbers lorn at Berlin, during the 4 years abovementioned, were, males, 9219; females, 8743 : or 21 to 20.
The numbers that died under 2 years of age, were, males, 3118 ; females, 2623 ; or 7 to 6.
The numbers that died upwards of 80 years of age, were, males, 135 ; females, 215 ; or 5 to 3.
The numbers that died between 91 and 105, were, males, 21 ; fcmales, 55.
' See p. 67. This proportion, were there either no increase, or but a slow increase at Berlin, would probably be found to be much the same with that in Vienna and London.
g It should be recollected here, that a considerable part of those
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## The probabilities of living one Year in

|  | Pais De Vaud. | Conntry Parish in Brandenburg. | Vienna. | Berlin. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At birth | 42 to 1 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to 1 | $1 \frac{1}{5}$ to 1 | 13 to 1 |
| Age 12 | 160 to 1 | 112 to 1 | 84 to 1 | 123 to 1 |
| 25 | 117 to 1 | 110 to 1 | 66 to 1 | 50 to 1 |
| 30 | 111 to 1 | 107 to 1 | 56 to 1 | 44 to 1 |
| 40 | 83 to 1 | 78 to 1 | 36 to 1 | 32 to 1 |
| 50 | 49 to 1 | 50 to 1 | 27 to 1 | 30 to 1 |
| 60 | 23 to 1 | 25 to 1 | 19 to 1 | 18 to |

Expectations of Life.

|  | Pais De Vaud. | Country Parish in Brandenharg. | Vienna. | Berlin. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At birth | 37 years | 32, $\frac{1}{2}$ years | $16 \frac{1}{2}$ years | 18 ycars |
| Age 12 | $44 \frac{1}{5}^{\circ}$ | $44^{4}$ | 353 ${ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ | 353 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 25 | 3+ $\frac{3}{4}$ | 351 | $28 \frac{1}{4}$ | 273 |
| 30 | 314 | $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 251 | $25 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 35 | $27 \frac{1}{2}$ | 28 | $22 \frac{1}{2}$ | $22 \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 40 | 24 | 25 | 201 | 20! |
| 45 | 20\% | 218 | $17 \frac{3}{4}$ | $18 \frac{3}{4}$. |
| 50 | 171 | 18 | 16 | $16^{\frac{2}{3}}$ |
| 55 | $14 \frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 133 | 14. |
| 60 | 12 | $12 \frac{1}{4}$ | $11 \frac{3}{4}$ | $12 \frac{1}{2}$ |

those who die turned of 80 years of age in great towns, are emigrants from the country, who came to them in full maturity, after escaping the weakness of infancy. And that also in general these emigrants consist of the more hearty and robust part of the kingdom. On both these accounts the number of inhabitants (including aliens as well as natives) attaining old age in great towns ought to be much greater than in the country. In London, Vienna, and Berlin, it ought to be nearly doulle; but we see, that, in reality, it is scarcely half. There are no ouscrvations from which the proportion of natives in great towns, who live to 80, can be deduced with correctness, except those made at Sockholm; and these prove, that of females one in 100, and of males one in 300, live to 80 .—See p. 45 ; and Table XLVI; and the General Introduction to the Tables.

From this comparison ${ }^{\wedge}$ it appears with how much truth great cities have been called the graves of mankind. It must also convince all who will consider it, that, according to the observation at the end of the Second Essay, it is by no means strictly proper to consider our diseases as the original intention of nature. They are, without doubt, in general, our own creation. Were there a country, where the inhabitants led lives entirely natural and virtuous, few of them would die without measuring out the whole period of the present existence allotted them; pain and distempers would be. un--known among them; and death would come upon them like a sleep, in consequence of no other cause than gradual and unavoidable decay. Let us then, instead of charging our Maker with our miseries, learn more to accuse and reproach ourselves.

The reasons of the baleful influence of great towns, as it has been now exhibited, are plainly,

First, The irregular modes of life, the luxuries, debaucheries, and pernicious customs, which prevail more in towns than in the country.

- A more distinct and striking comparison of this kind may be drawn from the Tables for London and the parish of Holy-Cross; and from the Tables for Stockholm and Sweden at large in the following collection of Tables. See the introduction to these Tables.
vol. II.
K
Secondly

Secondly, The foulness of the air in towns, occasioned by uncleanliness, smoke, the perspiration and breath of the inhabitants, and putrid steams from drains, church-yards, kennels, and common-sewers.-It is, in particular, well known that-air, spoiled by breathing, is rendered so noxious, as to kill, instantaneously, any animal that is put into it. There must be causes in nature ${ }^{i}$ continually operating, which restore the air after being thus spoiled. But in towns it is, probably, consumed faster than it can be adequately restored; and the larger the town is, or the more the inhabitants are crowded together, the more this inconvenience must take place.

But I must proceed to some more of Mr. Muret's observations.-At the end of the Second Essay, \&c. I have given an account of several facts which prove the probabilities of life to be higher among females than males. Agreeably to this it appears, that in
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the district of Vaud, half the females don't die till the age of 46 and upwards, though half the males die under 36 . This great difference is in some measure owing to the military and commercial emigrations among the males; but it appears undeniably, that their greater mortality contributes likewise to it. The number of males who died, for a course of years, in 39 parishes of this district, was 8170 ; of females 8167 ; of whom the numbers that died under one year of age were 1817 males, and 1305 females; and under 10 years of age, 3099 males, and 2598 females. In the beginning of life, therefore, and before any emigrations can take place, the rate of mortality among males appears to be much greater than among females: And this is rendered yet more certain, by the account Mr. Muret gives of the proportion of the deaths among males and females in the first year of life at Vevey. In this town, he acquaints us, that for 20 years ending in 1764 , there died in the first month, of males 135, to 89 females; and, in the first year, 225 to 162.——To the same effect it appears, from a Table given by Susmilch ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$, that in Berdin 203 males die in the first month, and but 168 females; and in the first year, 489 to 395 ; and also, from a Table of Struycli's, that in Holland, 396 males die in the first year, to 306 females.-What is

[^48]most of all remarkable is, that these accounts shew, that both at Vever and Berlin the still-born males are to the still-born females, as 30 to 21 , or nearly in the propor-tion given by the accounts referred to in p. 109.

The whole number of inhabitants at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$ VEY in $1 / 64$, was 3350. Of these 1931 were females, and only 1419 males. Sixtysix were widowers, and 200 widows. The number of backelors, above 16 years of age; was 529; and of virgins, above 14 years of age, 734. See Mr. Muret's Tables, p. 124.

Mr. De Parcieux at Paris, and Mr. Wargentin in Sweden, have observed, that not only women live longer than men, but that married women live longer than single women. The registers examined by Mr. Muret confirm this; and it appears in some of them, that, of equal numbers of single and married women between 15 and 25, more of the former died than of the latter, in the proportion of 2 to 1. This is a difference so great, that it must, I suppose, have been in some degree accidental. The fact, however, in general, when understood with abatements for that part of female life which is most exposed to the dangers of childbearing, is highly probable; for first, the women who marry are likely to be a select body, consisting of the more healthy and vigorous part of the sex. And secondly, it is reasonable to expect that in this, as well
as in all other instances, the consequences of following nature must be favourable.

The facts recited here, and at the end of the Second Essay, prove ${ }^{1}$, that there is a difference between the mortality of males and females.-I must however observe, that it may be doubted, whether this difference, so unfavourable to males, is natural; and the following facts will prove, that I have reason for such a doubt.

It appears, from several registers in Susmilch's works, that this difference is much less in the country parishes and villages of Brandenburg, than in the towns: And, agreeably to this, it appears likewise, from the accounts of the same curious writer, that the number of males in the country comes much nearer to the number of females.

In 1056 small villages in Brandenburg, the males and females, in 1748, were 106,234, and 107,540 , or to one another as 100 to $101 \frac{1}{3}$. In twenty small towns they were 9544, and 10,333 ; or as 100 to $108 \frac{1}{4}$. In Berlin they were, exclusive of the garrison, 39,116 and 45,938; or as. 100 to $117 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$.

At the time the accounts, mentioned in p. 49, were taken of the inhabitants in the province of New-Jersey in America, they were distinguished particularly into males and females under and above 16.

[^49]In 1738, the number of
Males under 16 was, 10639. Females 9700.
Males above 16 - 11631 . Females 10725.
In 1745, these numbers were
Males under 18 14523, Females 13754. Males above 16 - 15087 . Females 13704.

The inference from these facts is very obvious. They seem to shew sufficiently, that human life in males is more brittle than in females, only in consequence of adventitious causes, or of some particular debility, that takes place in polished and luxurious son cieties, and especially in great towns ${ }^{m}$.

[^50]
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smanal average of births and deaths in all Sweons for 13 . years.-See the Memoirs of the Royal Academy of Sciences at Stockholm, pullished at Paris, 1772, p. 20, \&c. Births Deaths
In the four summer months.......28080 18880
In the four winter months ....... 81327 . 20690
In April and May .................. 14078 . 12274
In October and November .......... $171788^{\text {B }} 8612$
Annual average of lirths and deaths in Stock holm, for five years. : Ibid:
. Births Deaths

Summer ......................... 938 . 1515
Winter................... .a....., 870, 1139
April and May . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 426 739
October and November . . . . . . . . . . 469 645
Whole number of lirths and deaths at Gainsborough, for 20 years ended at 1771.

Births Deaths
Summer........................... . 779 590
Winter ........................... 811 765
April and May . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 427 390
October and November ............ 410 \$45
Whole number of deaths at Warrington in Lapcashire, . for eight years ended at 1780.

## Deaths

Summer . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 692
Winter ............................. . . . . . . . 968
April and May .......................... . . . 508
October and November . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 280
Whole numler of lirths and deaths at Manchestar, for nine years ended at 1780.

|  | Births | Deaths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer | . 3308 | 1788 |
| Winter | . 3603 | 2427 |
| April and May | . 1956 | 1098 |
| October and Novemle | 1756 | 1022 |
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Whole number of births and deaths at Eccize noar Mancnearen, for five years ended at 1779. Births Deaths
Summer ....................... 440 415
Winter ........................... 521 455
April and May . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 314 . 226
October and November . . . . . . . . . . 212234
The deaths at Cumsrex, for the years 1772, 1773, and 1774, were, in summer, 340 ; in winter, 478 ; in April and May, 185 ; in October and November, 274. And they were more numerous in Autumn than Spring, only because in one of these years the small-pox carried off 90 persons in Octoler and November.

General Causes of Population, ©c. 137

Of Population; the general Causes which promote or obstruct it; and the present State of it in England compared with its State formerly.

From the proportion of the births to the deaths in the district of VAUD, as mentioned in p. 118, it follows, by the rule in the Note, p. 52, that the inhabitants ought to double their own number in 120 years But the fact is, that so many migrate into foreign armies and with commercial views, that their increase is scarcely sensible. Mr. Muret, after observing this, enters into a general account of the causes which obstruct population in his country. Among these he insists particularly on Luxury and the Encrossing of Farms. I wish his observations on these subjects were not applicable to the present state of this kingdom : But, perbaps, there is no kingdom in the world to which they are so applicable.-In consequence of the easy communication, lately created, between the different parts of the kingdom, the London fashions and manners and pleasures, have been propagated every where; and almost every distant town and village now vies with the capital in all
kinds
kinds of expensive dissipation and amusement. This enervates and debilitates; and, together with our taxes, raises every where * the price of the means of subsistence checks marriage, and brings on poverty, dependance, and venality. With respect, particularly, to the custom of engrossing farms, Mr . Muret observes, with the highest reason, that a large tract of land, in the hands of one man, does not yield so great a return, as when in the hands of several, nor does it employ so many people; and, as a proof of this, he mentions two parishes in the district of Vaud, one of which (once a little village) having been bought by some rich men, was sunk into a single demesne; and the other (once a single demesne), having fallen into the hands of some peasants, was become a little village. How many facts of the former kind can this country now furnish? And there is reason to apprehend they will go on increasing. The custom of engrossing farms eases landlurds of the trouble attending the neccssities of little tenants and the repairs of cottages.-A great farmer, by having it more in his power to speculate

[^51]and command the markets, and by drawing to himself the profits which would have supported several farmers, is capable, with less culture, of paying a higher rent. Their superiors, therefore, find their account in this evil. But it is, indeed, erecting private benefit on public calamity; and, for the sake of a temporary advantage, giving up the nation to depopulation and distress.-We have, for many years, been feeling the truth of this observation ${ }^{\text {b }}$.

Dr. Davenant (the best, while not venal, of all political 'writers), tells' us, that at Michaelmas, in the year 1685, it appeared

[^52]by a survey of the hearth-books ${ }^{c}$, that the number of houses in all England and Wales was $1,300,000$, of which 554,631 were houses of only one chimney. See Dr. Davenant's Works, Vol. II. p. 203.-In his Essay on Ways and Means, \&c. Vol. I. p. 33, he gives a particular account of the number of houses in every county, according to the hearth-books of Lady-day, 1690; and the sum total then was $1,319,215$.-At the restoration it appeared by the same hearthbooks, that the number of houses in the kingdom ${ }^{\text {d }}$ was $1,230,000$. - In the interval, therefore, between the restoration and the revolution; the people of England had increased above 300,000; and "of " smaller tenements, Dr. Davenant ob" serves e, there bad been, from 1666 to 1688 , " about 70,000 new foundations laid."-But what a reverse has taken place since ?- In 1759 the number of houses in England and Wales was 986,482; of which not more than 330,000 were houses having less than seven windows; and 282,429 were cottages

[^53]not charged on account of poverty:-In 1765, notwithstanding the increase of buildings in London, the number of houses was reduced to $980,692^{f}$; of which 276,149 were cottages not charged. According to these accounts then, our people have, since the year 1690, decreased near a milliou and a half. And the waste has fallen principally on the inhabitants of cottages; nor indeed could it fall any where more unhappily; for, from cottages our navies and armies are supplied, and the lower people are the chief strength and security of every state. g-

What


#### Abstract

See Considerations on the Trade and Finances of this Kingdom, p. 95, 97, 98, printed for Wilkie, 1766. Sce also p. 20, \&cc. of this volume; and my Appeal to the Public on the Sulject of the National Debt, p. 86, \&c.It deserves particular notice, with respect to the accounts here given of the number of houses in 1759 and 1765, that, being returns made by the surveyors of the bouse and window-duties throughout all England and Wales, they are subject to no such deficiencies as those in the account of the number of houses in London, taken by Mr. Maitland from the parish books, and mentioned in the Note, p. 20.-The reason is, that no landlord or tenant can ever consent that any tuo or more hooses belonging to him, should be charged by the assessors of the window-tax as single houses; because, in this case, he would be taxed too high, and pay more than the law required. The number of houses, therefore, subject to the house and window-duty, given in the above returns, must probably be the full number of such houses in the kingdom. - Cottagers are indisputably the most beneficial race of people we have: "They are bred up in greater " simplicity, live more primitive lives, more free from " vice


What renders this calamity more alarming is, that the inhabitants of the cottages thrown down in the country, fly to LonDos and other towns, there to be corrupted and perish.-I know I shall be here told that

## "6 vice and debauchery, than any other set of men of the

« lower class; and are best formed and enabled to sustain * the hardships of war, and other laborious services.
" Great towns are destructive both to morals and health, " and the greatest drains we have; for where many of * the lower sort of people crowd together, as in London,
"Norwich, Birmingham, and other manufacturing towns,
"they are obliged to put up with bad accommodations, " and an unwholesome and confined air, which breeds "contagious distempers, debilitates their bodies, and "s shortens their lives. Since, therefore, it is apparent " that all such towns must cause a diminution or waste " of people, we cannot be at a loss to trace the spring " which feeds these chamels. The country must be the "place; and cottages and small farms the chief nurseries "which support population."-Hints to Landed Gentlemen, p. 243, 244.-In what follows a representation is made of the misery of cottagers in their present state, and proposals offered for better accommodating and encouraging them, which do honour to Mr. Kent's public spirit and humanity.
${ }^{\text {n }}$ Dr. Davenant says, from Mr. King's Observations, "that the supply of London alone takes up above half "the neat increase of the kingdom."-Is it then to be wondered at, that the supply of the waste in all the towns of the kingdom, added to that increase of luxury and taxes, and of the drain to our armies, and navies, and foreign settlements, which has taken place within these 70 years, should have so far exceeded the increase of the kingdom, as to produce the depopulation I have men-tioned?-It has been asserted by political calculators, that no population can bear more than one soldier for every hundred souls. This is saying a great deal too much;
that the Revenue thrives. But this is not a circumstance from which any encouragement can be drawn. It thrives, by a cause that is likely in time to destroy both itself and the kingdom; I mean, by an increase of luxury ${ }^{i}$, producing such an increase of consumption and importation as secretly accelerates ruin, while at present (as far as the Revenue is concerned) it overbalances the effects of depopulation.-What remedies can be applied in such circumstances?-The answer is obvious.

Enter immediately into a decisive enquiry
much; but were it true, the number of our soldiers and sailors, even in peace, would alone be sufficient to reduce us to nothing in a little time.

A flourishing commerce, though favourable to popu lation in some respects, is, I think, on the whole, extremely unfavourable; and, while it flatters, may be destroying: particularly, by increasing luxury, the worst enemy of population, as well as of public virtue; and, by calling off too many persons from agriculture to unhealthy trades and the sea-service.-Suppose 100,000 soldiers and sailors, added to other burthens, to have been formerly the whole number the nation could bear without decreasing. In such circumstances, it is plain, that any causes which doubled or tripled that number, would depopulate with rapidity.
${ }^{1}$ For example. In London, those who used to satisfy themselves with one house, or perhaps half a house, must now have two houses. Those who used to live plain, must now live high; and those who used to walk, must now be carried. This is the reason of the increase of consumption and of buildings in Londen, and not an increase of the inhabitants, for the number of inhabitants is certainly (if any regard is due to the bills) less gow than it was fifty years ago.
into the state of population in the kingdom.Promote agriculture.-Drive back the inhabitants of towns into the country.-Establish some regulations for preserving the lives of infants. Discourage luxury, and celibacy, and the engrossing of farms. Let there be entire liberty; and maintain public peace by a government founded, not in constraint, but in the respect and the hearts of the people.But above all things, if it be not now too late ; " find out means of avoiding the mise"ries of an impending bankruptcy, and of "easing the nation of that burden of debts " and taxes under which it is sinking."

I will here enter a little more minutely into the consideration of some of the heads now mentioned, and of the present compared with the former state of the body of the people in this kingdom.

One of the most obvious divisions of the state of minkind is, into the wild and the civilized state. In the former, man is a creature rude, ignorant, and savage; running about in the woods; and living by hunting, or on the spontaneous productions of the earth. In this state, the means of subsistence being scarce, and a large quantity of ground necessary to support a few, there can never be any inconsiderable increase. In the latter state, man is a creature fixed on one spot, employing himself in cultivating the ground,
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and enjoying the advantages of science, arts, and civil government. Of this last state there are many different degrees or stages, from the most simple to the most refined and luxurious. The first or the simple stages of civilization, are those which favour most the increase and the happiness of mankind: For in these states, agriculture supplies plenty of the means of subsistence; the blessings of a natural and simple life are enjoyed; property is equally divided; the wants of men are few, and soon satisfied; and families are easily provided for. On the contrary. In the refined states of civilization property is engrossed, and the natural equality of men subverted; artificial necessaries without number are created; great towns propagate contagion and licentiousness; luxury and vice prevail; and, together with them, disease, poverty, venality, and oppression. And there is a limit at which, when the corruptions of civil society arrive, all liberty, virtue, and happiness must be lost, and complete ruin follow. Our American colonies are at present, for the most part, in the first and the happiest of the states I have described; and they afford a very striking proof of the effects of the different stages of civilization on population. In the inland parts of NorthAmerica, or the back settlements, where the modes of living are most simple, and almost every one occupies land for himself, there is an increase so rapid as to have hardly rol. in.
L.
any
any parallel. Along the sea-coast, where trade has begun to introduce refinement and luxury, the inhabitants increase more slowly: and in the maritime towns (if I may judge from the bills of mortality at Boston, mentioned in p. 42,) they do not increase at allk.

But to confine my thoughts to my own country. Here, it is too evident that we are far advanced into that last and worst state of society, in which false refinement and luxury multiply wants, and debauch, enslave, and depopulate. Among the evils of this state, and the causes of depopulation, I have mentioned the accumulation of property.
"Only revive (says Mr. Susmilch) the " laws of Licinius, forbiding any Roman " to hold more than seven jugera of land; " or that of Romulus, which limited every " Roman to two jugera, and you will soon " convert a barren desart into a busy and " crowded hive." The doubts of some ingenious men on this subject, have, indeed, greatly surprized me. I can scarcely think of a more evident maxim, than that " the " division of property promotes population." -Let a tract of ground be supposed in the

[^54]hands of a multitude of little proprietors and tenants, who maintain themselves and families by the produce of the ground they occupy, by sheep kept on a common; by poultry, hogs, \&c.; and who, therefore, have little occasion to purchase any of the means of subsistence. If this land gets into the hands of a few great farmers, the consequence must be, that the little farmers will be converted into a body of men who earn their subsistence by working for others, and who will be under a necessity of going to market for all they want ${ }^{1}$. And, subsistence in this way being difficult, families of children will become burdens, marriage will be avoided, and population will decline.At the same time there will, perhaps, be more labour, because there will be more compulsion to it. More bread will be consumed, and, therefore, more corn grown; because there will be less ability of going to

[^55]the price of other food. Parishes, likewise, will be more loaded, because the number of poor will be greater. And towns and manufacturers will increase, because more will be driven to them in quest of places and employments.-This is the way in which the engrossing of farms naturally operates: And this is the way in which, for many years, it has been actually operating in this kingdom.

It deserves particular notice, that the observations now suggested shew, that the very causes which produce depopulation among us, may, for some time, promote tillage; and I will take this opportunity to add, that they will also account for the following fact. -In the year 1097, wheat was at $\mathscr{E} .3$ a quarter, and other grain proportionably dear. But there was no clamour, and the exportation went on. See a valuable and useful pamphlet, entitled, Three Tracts on. the Corn Trade, page 100, 107, 145. At present, though the quantity of money (or of what passes for money) is doubled, when wheat is below this price, and in general before any grain, except oats, gets above the prices at which the law used to allow a bounty on exportation, there is an alarm, the poor are starving, and the exportation is prohibited. I referred to this fact in the Note, p. 138; and the true reason of it seems to be, that the high price of bread was not, at the time I have mentioned, of
essential consequence to the lower people, because they could live more upon other food which was then cheap; and because also being more generally occupiers of land, they were less under a necessity of purchasing bread. Whereas now, being forced by greater difficulties, and the high price of all other food, to live principally or solely on bread, if that is not cheap, they are rendered incapable of maintaining themselves.

In confirmation of this account, I will beg leave to mention, that though during the whole last century, corn (wheats rye, oats, and barley) was generally dearer than it has been, at an average for 40 years to 1773 ; yet flesh-meat was about half its present price: And that, in an Act of Parliament of the 25th of Henry VIII. beeff; veal, pork, and mutton are mentioned as the food of the poor, and their price limited to about a balfpenny a pound. Beef and pork, in particular, were sold in London at two pounds and a half, and three pounds for a penny; at the same time that wheat was at 7 s . and 8 s . a quarter ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$, and bore the same proportion to the price of flesh as it would

[^56]bear now, were it at about $£ .4$ a quarter. See Chronicon Pretiosum, p. 116.-It appears, indeed, that our ancestors took great care to keep the price of flesh low for the
poor;
By a statute of 1 Philip and Mary, 1553, leare was given to export these three kinds of grain till they rose to these prices. Il. p. 387.

By an ordinance in 1563, the exportation prices were fixed to 10 s . per quarter for wheat; 8s. for rye, pease, and leans; and 6 s . 8d. for malt.-And in 1593, to 11. for wheat ; 13s. 4d. pease and beans; and 12 s . barley and malt. Ib. p. 401 and 442.

Prices per Quarter.
Of Wheat. Of Malt. Of Oats. ©. s. d. ©. s. d. e. s. d. In $\therefore$ 1491, 0 14 8-0 $000-000$ 1494, 040 4 0 O $0-0.000$ 1504, 0 F 8 (0) 0 O 0000 $1512,062-010-020$ 1521, $1000<000000$
From 1553 to - 1556, $080-050000$
Before barvest; in 1557, $2134-2$ 20-0 000 After harvest, in 1557,0 8 0—— $50-0100$ $1560,080-050-050$
Before harvest, in 1574, $2160-000000$ After harvest, in 1574, $140-0000000$ $1587,340-0000000000$
A dearth occasi-
oned by ex- 1594, $2160-0000000$ cessive expor- 1595, $2134-1000000$ tation; and in 1596, $4 \times 00-1 \quad 68-0000$ rains

## Average Price.

From 1606 to - 1706, $1186-1,20-0000$ From 1707 to $-1765,1126-119 \longrightarrow 000$ From 1766 to $-1772,2 \quad 36-0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \longleftarrow \quad 190$
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poor; and this was one of the reasons of the many proclamations published by Queen Elizabeth, James I. and Charles I. against eating flesh in Lent and on fish-days; and against

See Bp. Fleetwood's Chronicon Pretiosum, from p. 113 to p. 121; and Three Tracts on the Corn Trade, p. 98, \&c.

With these prices of corn let us compare the prices of flesh, at two or three different periods.

In 1512, the price of wheat was from 5 s .8 d. to 6 s .8 d . in Yorkshire. See the Regulations and Estallishment of the Houshold of Henry Algernon Percy, the fifth Earl of Northumberland, at his Custles of Wresill and Lekingfield, in Yorkshire, begun Anno Dom. 1512, page 2, 4. Let us call the mean price 6s. 2 d . The price of malt was 4 s . and of oats 2 s . We may therefore reckon, that the nominal price of grain at this time was abqut a seventh of its nominal price for the last 40 years.

The price of a fat ox at the same time, and in the same county, was 13s. 4d.; of a lean ox, 8s.; of a wether, 1 s .8 d. ; of a calf, 1 s .8 d. ; of a hog, 2 s . Ib . p. 5, 6, 7.-The nominal price of meat, therefore, was no more than about a 15 th of its present price, and bore the same proportion to the price of corn that it would now bear, were it at half its present price.-A like inference may be drawn from comparing the following prices :

Wheat, in 1549, was about. 12s. per quarter in Losdon. Malt, 10s. Barley, 9s. Rye, 6is. 6d. Oats, 4s. -A middling ox, 11. 18s. A wether, 3s. Butter, three farthings and a penny a pound, Cheese, a halfpenny a pound. See Maitland's History of London, page 143, 144.
"In 1574, there was a great dearth, and wheat was -" before harvest, at 21.16 s . per quarter; and beef at "Laminas so dear, as to be sold at twopence halfpenny " a pound," See Chronicon Pretiosum, p. 123. That is, beef compared with wheat, was at least one half cheaper than it is now.
against the erection. of new buildings in London, and the residence in it of the nobility and gentry.

The reason now assigned accounts farther for the great variations in the price of grain which used to take place formerly. These were such as could not be now endured; but, bread being then less a necessary article of subsistence, they were less felt and regarded.

I have taken for granted, in those observations, that the quantity of ground brought

In 1445, wheat was at 4s. 6d. per quarter. In 1447, at 8 s . In 1448, at 6 s .8 d . In 1449, 5 s .-A bullock, in $1445,5 \mathrm{~s}$. A sheep, 2 s . $5 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~d}$. A hog, 1s. $11 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. _-Fine cloth for surplices, in 1446, 8d. per ell. Clothing for a year, at the same period, of a common servant of hasbandry, 3s. 4d. Of. a chief carter and shepherd, 4s. Of a bailiff of husbandry, 5s. lb. page 108, 109, 160.-Clothing, therefore, at this time, seems to have been cheaper in comparison of the price of corn than even' flêsh.

The weight of silver coin formerly, to the weight of silver coin of the same denomination now, was from 1461 to 1509 , as 62 to $37 \frac{1}{2}$. From 1509 to 1543, as 62 to 45 . From 1552 to 1600 , as 62 to 60 . And from 1600 to the present time as 62 to 62 . But nothing depends on this in the present enquiry; the object of which is, not the proportion of the prices of the different articles of subsistence now to their prices formerly, but the proportion to one another of their prices non, in comparison with the same proportion formerly. And this may be as welt deduced from the nominal as from the alsolute prices.-Thus. The price of bread now is nearly the same that it was 100 years ago; but, in comparison with the price of beef and mutton, it is at least one half cheaper.
under tillage in this kingdom is now more than ever it was. This is generally believed; and, if true, the causes of it have been those I have mentioned, in conjunction with the encouragement given to the growth of corn by the bounty on exportation, and the increase of luxury occasioning an increase of horses, and rendering even the poor averse to all bread except that made of the ${ }^{n}$ finest flour. But, pethaps, the fact may not be so certain as some think it. At least, there is reason to apprehend, that whatever the increase of tillage might have been for 50 or 60 years after the Revolution, it is now at an end.-I have lately received an account of a large common field in Leicestershire, which used to produce annually 800 quarters of corn, besides maintaining 200 cattle; but which now, in consequence of being inclosed and getting into few hands, produces little or no corn; and maintains no more cattle than before, though the rents are considerably advanced.-This is only one instance among many of an evil that has been prevailing for some time, and which is the general effect of the laws for inclosing open fields.-In Northamptonshire and Leicester-

[^57]shire, inclosing has greatly prevailed ; and most of the new-inclosed lordships, says a very sensible writer, " ate turned into pas" turage; in consequence of which, many " lordships have not now 50 acres ploughed " yearly, in which 1500 , or at least 1000 " were ploughed formerly; and scarce an " ear of corn is now to be seen in some that " bore hundreds of quarters.-And so se" verely are the effects of this felt, that " worse wheat has been lately sold in these "counties on an average, at 7 s . and 78. ©́d. " the Winchester bushel, for many months " together, than used to be sold at $3 s .6 d$. " and 4s. And $5 s$. and $58.6 d$. has been "given for malt that has been usually " bought there at little more than half-a"crown." See a pamphlet, entitled, $A n$ Enquiry into the Reasons for and against inclosing Open Fields, by the Rev. Mr. Addington. Published in 1772 for Mr. Buckland, Paternoster Row. In the counties of Northampton and Leicester, says the same writer, p. 43, " the decrease of the inhabi" tants in almost all the inclosed villages in " which they have no considerable manu" facture, is obvious to be remarked by " every one who knew their state 20 or 30 " years ago, and sees them now; and that " to a degree that cannot but give every " true friend to his country the most sen" sible concern. The ruin of former dwell" ing-houses, barns, stables, \&c. shew every " one
"' one who passes through them that they " were once better inhabited. A hundred " houses and families have, in some places, "dwindled into eight or ten. The land-' " holders, in most parishes that have been " inclosed only 15 or 20 years, are very few " in comparison of the numbers who occa" pied them in their open field state. It is " no uncommon thing to see four or five " wealthy graziers engrossing a large in" closed lordship, which was before in the " hands of 20 or 30 farmers, and as many "s smaller tenants or proprietors. All these " are hereby thrown out of their livings. " with their families, and many other fa-" " milies which were employed and !sup"ported by them." Ib. p. 37. Sec an account of Norfolk, in some respects similar to this, in my Appeal to the Public' on the Subject of the National Debt, p. 93, \&c. I can scarcely think of any thing that should be more alarming than such accounts.How astonishing is it that our parliament, instead of applying any remedy to these evils, should chuse to promote them, by passing every year, bills almost without number for new inclosures ${ }^{\circ}$ ?

The

[^58]The device, says Lord Bacon, (Essays, civit and moral, Sect. 20.) " of King Henry VII. * was profound and admirable, in making " farms and houses of husbandry of a * standard; that is maintained with such " a proportion of land to them, as may " breed a subject in convenient plenty and " no servile condition, and to keep the "plough in the hands of the owners and " not kirelings." "Inclosures," says the same great writer (in his History of the Reign of Henry the Seventh), "began at "that time (or in 1.489) to be more fre"quent, whereby arable land was turned ". into pasture, which was easily managed ". by a few herdsmen. This bred a decay ": of people. In remedying this inconve" nience, the King's wisdom and the Par". liament's was admirable. Inclosures they ". would not forbid; and tillage they would " not compel; but they took a course to ".take away depopulating inclosures, and de"populating pasturage by consequence. The "ordinance was, that all houses of husban-
sures, therefore, however gainful they may be at present to a few individuals, are undoubtedly pernicious.-On the contrary. Inclosures of waste lands and commons uould be useful, if divided into small allotments, and given up to be occupied at moderate rents by the poor. But if, besides lessening the produce of fine wool, they lear hard on the poor by depriving them of a part of their subsistence, and only go towards increasing farms already too large, the advantages attending them may not much exceed the disadrantages.

$$
\mathrm{dry}
$$
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"dry, with 20 acres of ground to them, " should be kept up for ever, together with " a competent proportion of land to be oc" cupied with them, and in no wise to be " severed from them. By these means, the " houses being kept up, did, of necessity, " enforce a dweller; and the proportion of " land for occupation being also kept up, "did, of necessity, enforce that dweller not "to be a beggar P." The statute here mentioned was renewed in King Henry the Eighth's time; and every person who converted tillage into pasture subjected to a forfeiture of half the land, till the offence was removed. See Mr. Anderson's Chronological Deduction of Commerce, Vol. I. page 347.In a law of the 25th of the same reign, it is set forth, " that many farms, and great "plenty of cattle, particularly sheep, had " been gathered into few hands, whereby " the rents of lands had been increased, and " tillage very much decayed; churches and " towns pulled down; the price of provi" sions excessively enhanced, and a mar" vellous number of people rendered inca" pable of maintaining themselves and fa" milies; and, therefore, it was enacted; " that no person should keep above 2000 "s sheep, nor hold more than two farms." Ib. p. 363. In the 3d of Edu. VI. a bill was brought in for the benefit of the poor,

[^59]for rebuilding decayed farm houses, and maintaining tillage against too much inclosing. Parliamentary Hist. Vol. Ill. p. 247. Int the year 1638 , there was a special commission from Charles I. for enforcing the statute of the 30th of Elizabeth, by which no cottage was allowed in any country place, without at least four acres of land to it, to prevent the increase of the poor, by securing to them a maintenance; nor were any inmates allowed in any cottage, to secure the full cultivation of the land, by diffusing the people more over it. See Rymer's Foed. 20, 256 , and 340.-By an Act in Cromuells time, no new house was to be built within ten miles of London, unless there were four acres of land occupied by the tenant. Parliamentary History, Vol. XXI.

Such was the policy of former times.Modern policy is, indeed, more favourable to the higher classes of people; and the consequence of it may in time prove, that the whole kingdom will consist of only gentry and beggars, or of grandees and slawes.

I cannot conclude this Supplement without adding one farther observation which has struck me on the present subject. As in former times the number of the occupiers of land was greater, and all had more opportunities of working for themselves, it is reasonable to conclude, that the number of people willing to work for others, must have been smaller, and the price of day-labour higher.
higher. This is now the case in our American colonies; and this likewise, upon enquiry, I find to have been the case in this country formerly.——The nominal price of day-labour is at present no more than about four times, or at most five times higher than it was in the year 1514. But the price of corn 9 is seven times, and of flesh-meat and raiment about fifteen times higher. See the Note, p. 149.-So far, therefore, has the price of labour been from advancing in proportion to the increase in the expences of living, that it does not appear that it bears now half the proportion to those expences that it did bear formerly ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$.

Upon the whole. The circumstances of the lower ranks of men are altered in almost every respect for the worse. From little oocupiers of land, they are reduced to the state

[^60]of day-labourers and hirelings; and at the same time their subsistence in that state is become more difficult, in consequence of the cause just assigned; and also of luxury, which has extended its influence even to them, though starving, and rendered tea, fine wheaten bread, and other delicacies, necessary to them, which were formerly unknown among them.-Such a change cannot but draw after it important consequences. They are the lower people chiefly who pay the taxes of a state, fight its battles, carry on its commerce, and maintain its splendor. In every country, the higher ranks are a very small body, compared with them. Even in this country, where their numbers are probably much lessened, they are still more the majority than is cemmonly imagined; for, from the returns made by the surveyors of the house and window-duties, it appears, that near three-fourths of all the houses in the kingdom are houses not having more than seven windows ${ }^{\text { }}$.

[^61]
## POSTSCRIPT,

CONTAINING

A Review of the Controversy relating to the State of Population in England and Wales since the Revolution.

THE observations, in the preceding Supplement, on the population of this kingdom, are the same with those which have been published in the former editions of this work. A more particular account of the evidence which seems to prove a progressive decrease in our population, has been given in an Essay on, this subject first published at the end of Mr. Morgan's. Treatise on the Doctrine of Annuities and Assurances on Lives and Survivorships, and since republished with the addition of an Appendix, containing remarks on Mr. Eden's objections in his fifth letter to Lord Carlisle. These publications have been lately followed by. others on the same subject; particularly, Mr. Wales's Enquiry into the present State of the Population of England and Wales; and Mr. Howlett's Examination of Dr. Price's Essay on the Population of England; and a pamphlet entitled The Uncertainty of vol. IL. M
the
the present Population of this Kingdom, deduced from a ca: oldid Review of the Accounts lately given of it by Dr. Price on the one Hand, and Mr. Eden, Mr. Wales, and Mr. Howlett, on the other.

In the Preface to the Essay just mentioned, fearing that I might have expressed my conviction too strongly, I referred myself to the candour of the Public, and desired that my assertions might not be regarded any farther than they were supported by undeniable facts. The prospect of an increasing depopulation is so discouraging, that nothing but the fairest overbalance of evidence should engage us to admit it. I thought such evidence did exist, and, therefore, stated it; believing that satisfaction ought never to be founded on imposition, and that by endeavouring to apprize the kingdom of its true state, I might be doing it an important service. -.-The ingenious Author of the pamphlet last mentioned, writes in the character of one who doubts, and wishes only to know how things are; but Mr. Wales and Mr. Howlett zealously maintain; in opposition to the arguments I have produced, that our population is in ${ }_{-1}$ creasing fast. My intention in this Postscript is to give as fair and yet as brief an account as I can of the present state of this dispute, by reciting the evidence offered on both sides, and making such remarks upon it as shall appear to me necessary.

The

The principal evidence to prove that our population has declined, is taken from the comparison stated in page 140 of this Volume (but more particularly in the Essay), between the number of houses in the kingdom at different periods from the Revolution to the present time.

| Houses in $E$ and Wales day 1690 | $\text { and }\}$ | ,215 | ling <br> any one |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Charged an } \\ & \text { chargeable } \end{aligned}$ | Excused for poverty. | Tatal. |
| Houses in 1750 | 729,048 |  |  |
| in 1759k | 704,053 | 282,429 | 2 |
| in 1761 | 704,543 | 276,149 | 980,698 |
| in 1777 | 701,473 | 251,261 | 952,784 |

The number of houses at Lady-day 1690, is stated distinctly by Dr. Davenant for every county (see his Works, Vol. I. p. 38); and represented by him as an important instruction derived from the hearth-books then existing, and containing accounts fairly kept and stated. Ib. p. 136, 378.

The numbers for the subsequent years are given from the returns to the tax-office of the eurveyors of the house and window-duties in every district in the kingdom, made by the order of government in those years.

[^62]A comparison of these numbers with those given by Dr. Davenant, affords an evidence which, as far as it can be trusted, is full and decisive. I know of nothing which has been urged against Dr. Davenant's account, except that by houses he meant families; but it has been observed, that the difference between the number of families and houses in the kingdom, is by no means considerable enough to account for the excess in Dr. Davenant's total; and that, were the contrary true, it is evident he must have meant houses, because he has divided this total into two numbers (namely, 1,208,000 and 111,215 ) the first of which he supposes to be the number of houses having ground about them; and the second, the houses not having ground about them.

The principal objections which have been made to the other accounts are the following:
: First; the cottages are included in them, and these being excused, and no account kept of them, the surveyors could not be correct in returning them.

- This is certainly true. But it should be remembered, that the same objection holds against the returns of the cottages made from the hearth-tax; that if in any instance such returns have beca made from conjecture, they are more likely to exceed the trutb, than to fall short of it; and that it is quite incredible that these returns should be so de-
ficient as not to give above two out of five of the true number; or that the cottages of the poor should be almost equal to all the other houses in the kingdom, which must be the case if there has been no decrease.-I have been, however, assured that in some districts, the returns of the cottages have been made from actual surveys, and may be depended on.-And, if in other districts, they have been made carelesly, or perhaps in some not at all, an allowance on this account of an omission of half the cottages would still leave the number of houses short of what it was formerly.

According to the returns, the decrease in the cottages has been much more considerable than in the other houses; and, in the interval between the last two returns, amounted to 24,888 . Such an authority only as the returns of the cottages, gives no sufficient reason for believing this. But there are two facts which give it credibility. The first is, that acknowledged destruction of cottages which has been the consequence of the increase of large farms. And the other is, that decrease of the houses charged having seven windows or less, amounting to 24,651 , which took place in the same interval of time. Sce the account of this decrease in the Essay on the population of England and Wales, p. 11.-To this nothing has been opposed but a strange objection of Mr. Howlett's, implying, that;
on account of the distresses of the poor, it is not possible that these houses and the cottages should decrease together.

The same writer has endeavoured to discredit all the returns to the tax-office, by observing, in p. 60, that they have represented the number of houses as diminished (since 1755) in some places where it is known they have increased. He instances in Thaxted in Essex, consisting of 350 houses; two parishes in the same county and one in Kent, consisting between them of only 206 houses; and Maidstone, consisting of 1106 houses. He gives no other proof that these places have not decreased than a bare assertion; and if I may judge from his principal instance (or Maidstone), his account of the returns for these places deserves no regard. According to him, the return of the houses for this town in 17777 was 633 , and less by 23 than in 1755: Whereas the number returned in that year of inhabited houses only ${ }^{-}$ paying the house and window-duties, and therefore exclusive of all the other houses (which were included in the general return for the county) was 727 ; as any one may know who can either enquire at the taxoffice, or will consult the accounts printed by the House of Commons in 1781.

Mr. Howlett, after making this objection to the tax-office accounts, informs the public (p. 62), from the authority of some surveyor of the window duties, that doubtless there
was no return at all of the cottages in 1777.
——It is difficult to account for so gross an error. In the first session of the present parliament, Lord Mahon moved the House of Commons for an account of all the returns to the tax-office of the houses in the kingdom. In consequence of this motion, the general return for 1777 was, among other returns, laid by the commissioners of the tax-office before parliament. This return was afterwards printed, and it distinctly specifies the number of cottages, as well as of other houses, in every county; and it is the same with the return for 1777 which I have given at the beginning of this Postscript, but more at large in the Essay on the Population of England and Wales.

After finding Mr. Howlett so mistaken in this and some other instances ${ }^{1}$, I might, I think, be excused were I to save myself the trouble of taking any farther notice of him. There are, however, some other mistakes into which he has fallen, still more important and palpable, which in what follows it will be proper to mention.

In this argument, a great deal depends on the proportion of the houses charged and chargeable, and consequently entered in the books of the assessors, to the whole number of houses in the kingdom. The return in 1777 makes this proportion to be as 701,473

[^63]to 952,734 , or as 3 to 4 nearly. See p. 163. A comparison of this proportion with the like proportion in a great variety of parishes and towns in different parts of the kingdom, ascertained by careful enumerations, would shew how far it deviates from truth, and what addition ought to be made to the excused houses, in order to obtain the whole number of houses.-I am not possessed of many such accounts. Those which I think most to be depended on are the following.

Total of Houses
Houses. charged.
Beccles in Suffolk - - 468297
Bungay - - - 326220 Henham, Sotherton, Shipmea-)
dow, Weston, and two other 135106 parishes in Suffolk -
Wenhaston ${ }^{m}$ in Suffolk $-\frac{-76}{1005} \frac{73}{696}$
${ }^{m}$ Only 56 houses have been reckoned in this parish; but in the office accounts 73 houses are charged, in cousequence of the division of several cottages deemed single houses, into two or three separate dwellings, holding so many families. One of the excused houses in this parish (and also in Bungay) is an alms-house, and in this account reckoned but one house, though consisting of several apartments, and therefore capable of being reckoned 5 or 6 houses; and in all accounts of this kind it should be remembered, that some differences will arise, as a house or cottage containing two or more families, having no communication, is reckoned a single or two or more houses.

Weston

## Postscript.

| B | Total of Houses. 1005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Houses } \\ \text { charged. } \\ 6 \mathrm{~g} 6 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southwold,Aldeburgh,Orford, |  |  |
| and Gorlestone, parishes in Suffolk | $720$ | 563 |
| Remainder of the district in |  |  |
| Suffolk in which these parishes are | $\} 5906$ | 4859 |
| Warrington in Lancashire, with its vicinity | $\{1941$ | 558 |
| Sandwich in Kent ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |  | 349 |
| Christleton in Lancashire, by an exact survey in 1780 | $\} 102$ | 72 |
| First totals | 10,252 | 7097 |
| Add Sudbury division | 7740 | 4122 |
| Second totals | 17,992 | ,21 |

Weston parish consists only of 21 houses, Shipmeadow of 11, Henham of 15, and Sotherton of 24. It is not conceivable that any parishes should have been always so small; and yet there are multitudes of such parishes in Suffolk, Norfolk, Northamptonshire, Sussex, Kent, and some other counties, and some of them provided with large churches. In Norfolk, particularly, the dilapidated churchcs in some places, and their disproportionate size in others, prove that it must have been formerly more populous. Even Norwich itself bears evident marks of having been once a much more considerable city.
${ }^{n}$ According to an accurate account taken by Mr. Boys in 1776, the number of inhabitants was 2252, or $3 \frac{9}{10}$ to a house; though three workhouses containing 33 persons, and two hospitals containing 21 persons, are reckoned as only five families.

Accounts collected by Mr. Wales. See his Enquiry, p. 39, 43, 47, \&c.

Total of Houses Houses. charged.
The two divisions of Ag -) bridge and Morley in the 21,929 12,832 WestRiding of Yorkshire,
Twenty-eight villages in \} Northamptonshive
Westhall, Wangford,Holton, Spexhall, Swilland, Tiuddenham, Westerfield, Wisset, Witnesham, Blytiford, and Bramfield, parishes in Suffolk
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Ashill, Clapton, Ilminster; } \\ \text { and Wayford, in Somer- } \\ \text { setshire }\end{array}\right\} 388 \quad 134$

| Third totals |
| ---: |
| Add the Second totals |

Fourth total

If we may judge from the first totals, which are those alone in which from my own enquiry I can confide, and which (including in them a town with its vicinity full of the poorest manufacturers, where the proportion of charged houses is lower than I have found it any where else) may not possibly be an improper guide in this case, the proportion
portion of charged to the whole number of houses will be as 7097 to 10,252 . And, since the charged and chargeable houses are. known by the returns in 1777 to have been then 701,473 , the whole number of houses in the kingdom will come out $1,013,000$, or nearly a million, as I have reckoned it. If we add to these totals those of Sudbury and its neighbourhood, where also (because full of poor manufacturers) the proportion of charged houses is particularly low, the number of houses in the kingdom will come out $1,125,000$._-If we judge by the accounts Mr. Wales has collected, this number will come out $1,187,000$ If we judge by all these accounts taken together it will come cut $1,159,000$.

All these determinations shew a great diminution in the number of houses since the Revolation, nor (supposing Dr. Davenant's account right, or even not very wrong) is it possible to reckon it equal now to what it was then without contradicting all probzbility.

A confirmation of this might be derived from Mr. Houcleti's accounts, could they be trusted. He has (in his Examination of Dr. Price's Essay, p. 139, \&c.) given a list of towns and parishes in 20 different counties, in which the total of houses is 29,262 by enumeration, and 17,225 by the returns of the surveyors. 'The last of these totals in-
cludes in it only the charged houses; and it gives a proportion of these to all the houses in the kingdom, which makes their number 1,191,000. But the truth is, that Mr. Howlett's account of the returns of the surveyors cannot at all be depended on; and the following particulars will abundantly prove this.

The numbers returned for Beccles, Bungay, Shipmeadow, Mettingham, and Homersfield in Suiffolk, were in $1780^{\circ}$, according to him, $169,260,7,21$, and 21 for these places respectively. -I am assured, on the contrary, that the numbers (when the last general return was made in 1777) were 297, $220,11,27$, and 23, returned as charged; and $171,106,0,3$, and 11 , returned as cxcused.-The numbers returned for Northampton, Maidstone, Chester, and Shrewsbury, he makes to be $768,623,1227$, and 967 respectively; whereas it appears, from the accounts printed by the House of Commons in 1781; that the numbers returned to the tax-office for these towns in $17 \%$, were, 706, 727, 1244, and 904 , exclusive of the wninhabited, and excused hòuses which were likewise returned, but included in the totals for the counties.

But Mr. Howlett has here fallen into a still greater mistake; for, through haste or inattention, he has taken the numbers in his
-. . ${ }^{\circ}$ There was no return in this jear.
list (being in reality only the number of houses taxed given very inaccurately) for the whole of the numbers ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ returned, including uninhabited and excused houses; and, arguing upon this mistake, he makes the houses in the kingdom 1,600,555; which is above a third more than, by computing in his own way, he must have found them had he not fallen into this mistake ${ }^{9}$.

[^64]It is necessary to observe, that the method here used of deducing the total of
houses

Howlett chosen to add to his own list the whole of my list in the Essay, as well as that part of it just mentioned which gives the highest allowance, he would have found (taking 4338 for the number of houses at Manchester and Salford in 1773, and not 4268 as he makes it) the total of houses to be 41,030, and of inhabitants 244,422; and eonsequently the allowance to a house not to be so much as five and one-fifth to a house.

Mr. Houlett's additions, with Sandwich and Eastry, and the additions which have been made (in the Table in p. 70) to the Table in the Essay on the Population of England and Wales, will make the total of houses 52,036 , and of inhabitants 268,568 , and the allowance 5 and a sixth.

It should be considered, that these totals, consisting chiefly of the houses and inhabitants in five of the most populous towns in the kingdom, give most probably a proportion of inhabitants to houses too high for the kingdom at large. If we throw out Birmingham and the town of Minchestre, the remainder will perhaps make \& properer mixture of great and small towns and country parishes; and the totals (or 41,675 and 210,158 ) will give $5{ }_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ to to house. If Livenpooc is likewise thrown out, the totals will give less than 5 to a house.

In the Table just referred to I have given the number of houses and inhabitants at Birmingham from a survey in 1770 ; when the houses were 6025 , and the inhabitants 30,804 ; of whom 15,363 were males, and $15,44 \mathrm{I}$ females.--I have lately been informed that, according to a very accurate survey of Birmingham in autumn 1782, the houses (exclusive of the hamlet of Deretend) were then 8125 , of which 291 were uninhabited. Froin the same account I learn, that the annual average of burials at Birmingiam (exclusive of Deretend) for four years to 1774, was 1116; and for six years to 1780, was 1842. --The number of inhalitants in 1770 , divided by the first of these averages, makes the proportion dying ansually at Birningham to be one in $27 \frac{7}{5}$; which, being very
nearly
houses in the kingdom from the proportion (ascertained by surveys) of the houses taxed
nearly the same with the proportion dying annually at Liverpool and Manchester, cannot probably be far from right : and this number (or $27 \frac{3}{3}$ ) multiplied by the second average, makes the inhabitants in 1780 to be 97,039 . In order, however, to allow for the increase of Birmingham, and to be more sure of finding a number not less than the truth, let the burials in 1782 be reckoned 1500, and the proportion dying annually 1 in 28 ; and it will follow that the inhabitapts were then 42,000 , and the number of persons in a house $5 \frac{1}{6}$, ineluding about 700 in the workhouse and hespital.-I am sensible that this falls below the common estimates; but I pay no regard, in cases of this kind, to any estimates which are not derived from carefil surveys.

The annual average of births at Birmingkam was (ace cording to the register) 1408 for 10 years to 1780 . The excess of the births above the deaths is plainly owing to that over-proportion of people in the first stages of pape ture life, which always takes place in towns, in consequence of their being kept $\mu \mathrm{p}$ or increased by un influx of people from other places. See the First of the follows ing additional Essays. That this is the cause of the ing crease of Birmingham is undoubted, for the excess of the births cannot arcount for a 40th part of the incresse; and before iv became so rapid aș it has been for some time, the burials exceeded the births, the annual average of the former having been, if the register deserves any regard, 708 ; and of the latter, 619.-The same register makes the annual medium of burials for 10 years to 1697 to have been 156, and of births, 150 . But this only confirms an observation before made, that the registers in former times were very deficient; for it is not probable, that Birmingham was then so smalla town; and, an old accoumt which I have seen of a survey in 1700 makes it to consist in that year of 2504 houses, and 15,032 inhabitants. The register, therefore, did not thea give above a third of the births and buriaks.

In p. 71, I have also given the number of houses and iuhabitants
to the totals of houses in country towns and parishes, must be too favourable; because this
inhabitants at Maidstone in Kent, from a survey in 1781. I have since learnt, that another survey was made at Maidstone in September 1782 ; and as some instruction may be derived from it, I will here give the sesults just as I find them in a pamphlet published in this town by Mr. Howlett, and entitled, Observations on the increased Population, Healthiness, \&c. of the town of Maidstone.

Familics. Houses. Inhabitants. Males. Females. Male

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Proportion of children under } 15 \text { to the } \\ \text { total of inhabitants in the town }\end{array}\right\}$ as 100 to 309
In the parish out of the town - as 100 to 235
In the town one in 17 of the women exceeds 70 years of age, and one in 24 of the men; but in the country only one in 41 of the women exceeds this age, and one in 36. of the men.
Annual average (according to the regisier) in the whole parish for 20 years-
Of births to 1702130 Of marriages 29 Of burials 132

| to 1722 | 120 | - | 30 | - | 118 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| to 1742 | 129 | - | 40 | - | 144 |
| to 1762 | 143 | - | 46 | - | 140 |
| to 1782 | 160 | - | 50 | - | 148 |

By a survey in 1695, the inhabitants were 3676.
From
this proportion in London, Southwark, and all Middlesex, (containing at least an 8th or

From these particulars it seems to appear, that Maidstone, at the beginning of this century, was a decreasing town; but that lately it has been increasing, not by an excess of births, but, like other towns, by drawing supplies from other places. The ratio of the births to the burials (if it can be depended on) and the great overproportion of persons in mature life in the town, prove this.

The number of females in it turned of 70 is greater than the number of males, partly, because males are more short-lived, but chiefly in this instance because the males, after removing to the town, are taken off again to the navy, army, \&c. And the proportion of both males and females turned of 70 in the country is smatler than in the town, because removals from thence are chiefly to the town; and these being also chiefly removals of females, the town is rendered, at every age, much fuller of females than of males.

It is farther observable, that the town, when compared. with the country round it, appears to be particularly unfavourable to population, the proportion of children under 15 being much less there than in the country.The same is remarkable in the country round Manchester. See the First of the following additional Essays.

It seems, indeed, that the consumption of towns tends to promote the population of the country near them; and were they fed with people only from hence, they would not probably be so prejudicial as they are to population. But the fact is, that there are few towns which would not soon come to nothing, did they draw their supplies of people only from the adjacent country. So true is this of London in particular, that, notwithstanding this natural tendency of its consumption, there is scarcely a village or parish within ten or twelve miles of it, in which, if we may believe Mr. Howlett's extracts from the registers, the births do not fall considerably short of the burials. See his Examination, \&c. p. 96, 97, \&c.

In a note at the beginning of the First of the following vol. 11 .

9th of the kingdom) is, and, for obvious reasons, must be much higher than it is in the other districts of the kingdom. The returns in 1777 make the houses taxed in London, Southuvark, and all Middlesex to be 77,008 , and the total of houses 90,570 ; whereas the same returns for the whole kingdom make the former to be 701,473 , and the latter 952,734._I think it worth adding, that from a return for London and Middlesex, in 1780, and laid before parliament, it appears that the number of empty houses in this part of the kingdom had increased, between 177.7 and 17.80, from 3,381 to 6,810 .

The evidence now insisted on, taken from the returns of the surveyors and assessors of the house and window-duties, is the only. direct evidence comprehending the whole kingdom with which we are furnished on this subject; and it is so discouraging, that I do not wonder that the advocates for the increase of our population endeavour to discredit it; and I. should certainly join them, in this, were I less desirous to know things
additional Essays, it appears that the number of houses at Manchester, exclusive of Salford, in 1773, was 3446, including 44 empty houscs. My friend Dr. Percival has just informed me, that at the end of last year (1782) a new and very accurate enumeration of this town (exclusive of Salford) was completed, which made the housè then to be 4606. An addition, therefore, has been made to Manchester of 1160 houses within the last ten years.
as they are, then to prove them what I wish them.-The care and attention of Mr. Rose (now one of the secretaries to the treasury, But lately the secretary of the tax-office), in collecting these returns, cannot, I believe, be doubted; and he who considers that' they are founded upon old taxes; and made upon oath, will not be able easily to persuade himself. that they can be very grossly deficient.

Mr. Wales, a writer whose abilities I respect and whose accounts I am not inclined to distrust, has collected several accounts of enumerations of houses in or about 1750 and 1780 , which he thinks afford a presumptive proof of a general increase during that period. I will transcribe his summary of them, p. $48^{\text {r }}$.

| Houses in 1750. | Houses is 1780. |
| :---: | :---: |
| North Riding in Yorkshive . . . . . . . 1716 | 1985 |
| Eight villages in the West-Riding . . . . 784 | 943 |
| Seventeenvillagesin Derlyshire . . . 1001 | 1348 |
| Twenty-seven villages in North- ampsonshire 1036 | 1024 |
| Fourteen parishes in Suffolk (families) 653 | 704 |
| Four parishes in Sussex . . . . . . . . . 144 | 223 |
| Four villages in Somersetshire...... . 428 | 388 |

Mr. Wales has added an account taken from the returns (which in this instance

[^65]he is willing to trust) of the surveyors for Agbridge and Morley divisions in the WestRiding of Yorkshire. From these returns it appears, that in 1761 the houses in these divisions were 17,704 ; that in 1767, they were 20,526; and in 1779, 21,929 .

I will add a similar account of a district in the county of Suffolk, where

$$
\text { In } 1761 \begin{cases}\text { the houses charged were } \\ \text { the houses excused } \text { were } & 5584 \\ 1391\end{cases}
$$

In $1777\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{6975} \\ \text { the houses charged were } \\ \text { the houses excused were }\end{array} \frac{\begin{array}{l}6118 \\ \hline\end{array}}{\frac{7639}{}}\right.$

There has undoubtedly been an increase in Yorkshire, and perhaps also in Derbyshire; but he that will judge of it from the numbers in these accounts will be in danger of being misled: For I understand, that it is in part an apparent increase only, owing to the conver.ion of houses holding two or more families, and formerly charged as single houses, into apartments having no communication, and therefore now charged as so many separate houses.-The inducements to such conversions among the lower ranks of people have been so great since 1761, as to be irresistible. For first, their poverty has increased, and therefore they have found it more necessary to save every needless expence.
pence:-And secondly, in 1761 the win-dow-duties were nearly doubled; and houses having 8 or 9 windows, before excused, were subjected to the payment of 1 s . per ann. for every window. In 1766 these duties were again increased, and houses having only seven windows were subjected to them. By dividing, therefore, single houses holding more than one family into several tenements having each of them few windows, the tax upon them might be either lessened or entirely avoided'. The decrease of small farms has likewise contributed to this change, by causing many farm-houses to be turned into cottages for day-labourers

Perhaps, these have been the only causes of the increase of the district in Suffolk just mentioned; and there is reason to believe that they have been the principal causes of the increase in Agbridge and Morley divisions in Yorkshire. For the returns shew an increase in these divisions equal to above a 6th of the whole number of houses in so short a time as six years, or from 1761 to 1767 ; but afterwards, or from 1767 to 1779, they do not shew half this increase in double the time. The first increase, therefore, was probably occasioned, as I have observed, by the alteration in the window-

[^66]duties.
duties in 1761; nor, indeed, could it have any other cause than either this, or the desertion of other parts of the kingdom; for it was too great and too sudden to be accounted for by an excess of the births above the deaths, which is the only cause that can produce a general and permanent increase.

There is one more source of information on the subject of our population which is of particular importance; I mean, a comparison of the births and burials and marriages at different periods. Such a comparison for the whole kingdom would decide the question I am discussing. But we are far from being furnished with the means of making it. It is, however, the evidence on which the advocates for a progressive increase in our population principally rely; and I shall here give a fair representation of it, with such remarks as a regard to truth will render necessary.

Baptisms. Burials.
Annual average of baptisms and burials about or soon after the Revolution, in 33 parishes in ten counties, taken indiscriminate1460

1518 ly in different parts of England.-SeeMr.Wales's Enquiry, p. $49^{\text {t. }}$
${ }^{2}$ In Mr. Wales's list the average of burials corresponding to the births, is not given for Liverpool and Bowden in Lancashire, and for Lamborn, Shefford, and Wilford in Berkshive; and, therefore, these places are not included in this account.

Baptisms. Burrials.
Annual average in the same parishes for some years $4064 \quad 3537$ before 1780.-Ib. p. 50.)
Annual average of baptisms and burials about the year 1745 in 142 patishes in 4712 406\% 21 counties taken indis-criminately.-Ib. p. 53 .
Annual average in the same? parishes between 1770$\} 7179 \quad 6689$

Annual average of births and burials in the Deaneries of
Melineth, Elvel, Buillt, Hay, and Brecon in the diocese of
St. David's.-Ibid. p. 65.
From 1700 to 1730 - 341 325
From 1730 to 1760 - $715 \quad 587$
From 1760 to 1763 or $1 \% 64 \quad 727 \quad 580$
Annual average in the other parts of the diocese
From 1700 to 1730 - 888
From 1730 to 1760 - $1111 \quad 921$
From 1760 to 1763 or $1764 \quad 1302 \quad 1183$
Annual average in the whole diocese of St. David's
From 1700 to 1730 - 12291078
From 1730 to 1760 - $1826 \quad 1508$
From 1760 to 1763 or $1764 \quad 2029 \quad 1663$ All

All these accounts have been extracted from the parish registers. The deficiencies in these registers, and the carelessness with which they are kept, have been often complained of. I wish, therefore, something had been said to establish their credit; or at least to shew, that they have been preserved entire, and that they were not more deficient formerly than they are now ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Supposing them

- May it not be doubted whether at the Revolution the parish registers had recovered from the confusion into which all church affairs had been thrown in the times of the civil war and commonwealth ?-The number of popish and protestant dissenters was then probably much greater than it is now.- But the observation most to the present purpose may be, that registers of mortality are of late origin, and have been for a course of years growing more and more into use and estimation. Among the Dissenters in London the registratio.• of births was, some years ago, much neglected. At present it is more practised in consequence of notifications of the establishment of a public register, which have been read annually from the pulpit. And in the country I suspect, that people of all denominations are got so much more into the habit of reckoning it important, as sometimes to register in more than one place.
" In 1588 Henry the Eighth gave orders that the in"cumbent of every parish should keep true and exact re" gisters of all christenings, weddings, and funerals in "his district. But this order, in many places, was lit" tle regarded till Queen Elizabeth, in 1558, gave another "order for keeping them more exactly. Yet after all "they were but remissly kept in many parishes, and " often committed only to loose papers, by which means " some were lost, some rotted away, and others were "devoured. To remedy these evils, orders were given " in 1559, that all registers should be kept in parch" ment-books only, and that all preceding ones which "could
them correct, they take in but a very inconsiderable part of the kingdom, and chiefly that very part which, it is well known, has increased, but the increase of which must have been, in some measure, occasioned by removals from other parts of the kingdom. The second of these accounts is the principal; and, if from the numbers in it are deducted the births and burials in Manchester, Rochdale, and Warrington in Lancashire; and in Skeffield, Wakefield, Halifax, \&c. in Yorkshire, the remainder will be, in the first period, 1630 births per ann. and 1408 burials; and,
"could be found, should be transcribed into new books. "But no place in England slighted these orders so muck " as London; for, except in two or three years of great "plagues, we find no bills in London till 1604._But " neither country nor city registers, where there has been, " or still is any considerable body of dissenters, popish or " protestant, are much to be relied on after 1644, when " the division in the church first broke out. And even " in places where there are no dissenters, registers are " little to be regarded on account of several unhappy "coucurring circumstances, as the negligence or fre"quent absence of the register-kecper, and the igno"rance, poverty, mistakes, and prejudices of several of " the people."-See the preface to the New Observations on Town and Couniry Bills of Mortality, by Dr. Short, p. 9, \&c.

In London the bills did not include the distempers till 1629 ; nor the ages till 1728; and still it is well known that they are very defective.

Conclusions drawn from registers of burials, be they ever so exact, are rendered more uncertain than is commonly imagined, by epidemics, and the different degrees of healthiness or sickliness of different years. This may be learnt in some measure from what is related of Swe-den. See the Remarks on Tab. 44.
in the second 2010 births per ann. and 1502 Burials, which makes a small increase.

The first account overthrows itself by making the burials at the Revolution in eleven counties to exceed the births. These counties, therefore, if we are to judge from these extracts, must have been then decreasing. The increase which appears at present is almost entirely the increase of the towns just mentioned; and if they are struck out, the remainder in this first account, as well as the second, will be little; and that little will shew a decrease in Somersetshire, no increase in Nottinghamshire, and only a small increase even in Yorkshire.

Mr. Wales's third list shews an increase at the beginning of this century so rapid in the diocese of St. David's as in 30 years to double the inhabitants of five deaneries; but, in the other parts of the diocese, so much slower, as in the same time not to add a quarter to the inhabitants.- It deserves notice farther, that they represent the increase which took place in the first period as changed into a decrease in the second and third periods. This will appear upon considering, that had the increase in the first period been continued to the end of the second, the annual averages at the end of this second period, (or which is nearly the same) the annual averages from 1760 to 1763, must have been much greater than they are; for they must have borne the same pro-
portion
portion to the averages of the second period that the moan between these averages and the averages of the first period bear to these last averages. That is, in the five deaneties, the average of burials about 1760 should have been to 587 as the mean between 587 and 325 (or as 450) is to 325 . It should have been, therefore, 823 (or some numbet not very distant from this) instead of 586 ; which last number is so much too little as to be nearly equal to the annual burials about the middle of the second period; and, therefore, if not very wrong, proves a decrease must have taken place.

By the same reasoning it will appear, that in the whole diocese, if the increase in the first period had continued, the burials at the end of the second, or the beginning of the third period should have been nearly 1808 , instead of 1663. The same conclusions may be deduced by computing from the births.

These are circumstances which give a suspicious appearance to this register evidence ${ }^{\star}$; but there is a third circumstance which destroys its credit.

At the same time that, in the five deaneries, they shew an extravagant increase in the first period, they give the births and

[^67]burials nearly equal, and therefore make it impossible there should have been any increase $y$.-The like will be observed presently of the whole diocese.

That part of the kingdom where the parish registers give the strongest proofs of an increase is the diocese of Chester.——The following is a summary of the extracts from them as I have received it from a friend in the diocese.
In the archdeaconry $\}$ in 1717, $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Births. } \\ \text { of Curials. } \\ \text { of Chester }-\end{array}\right\}$ in $1779,16791 \quad 12573$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { In the wholediocese }\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned} & \text { in 1717, } 106048 \\ & \text { in } 1779,21463 \\ & 16085\end{aligned}$

There appears here an increase which has doubled the inhabitants in 62 years; and there is no reason to doubt but that this part of the kingdom (including in it some of the chief manufacturing towns in Lancashire, Cheshire, and Yorkshire) has considerably increased. I cannot, however, trust my belief of this merely to these extracts ${ }^{2}$; for they destroy

[^68]destroy their own authority by giving a proportion of the births to the burials, which is inconsistent with any such increase, as will appear from the following observations.

If the annual average of burials about 1717 is multiplied by 35 (a multiplier which, in the case of a large country district cannot be much too high), it will appear that the whole number of inhabitants in the diocese was then 306,000. The excess of the births above the burials was 1849, or the 160th part of the inhabitants; and this is an excess which, supposing the increase produced by it uniformly accelerated, without being once checked by sickly seasons and emigrations (that is, supposing it a: much greater increase from a given surplus: of births than there is reason to expect,) could not have doubled the inhabitants in less time than 115 years, as may be found by' computing in the manner directed in the Note, p. 52. If, therefore, agreeably to the parish extracts, they were doubled in 62 . years, it must have been the effect, not of the excess of the births above the burials
tainty of the Population of the Kingdom, mentions a very material circumstance relating to the registers of births kept in Lancashire, and some other northern counties."I am assured," says he, " by the most authentic in"formation, that, in consequence of the late multiplica"t tion of chapels, it is no uncommon thing for baptisms " (and sometimes burials) to be entered, in some parishics " in these counties, twice over; first in the chapel re" gister, and afterwards, for greater security, in that of "s the mother church, p. 28.".
the only general cause of theincrease of countries), but of an influx of people from other parts of the kingdom; and, therefore, proves no more than that one part of the kingdom: has gained by taking away from other parts. And this may probably have happened in this diocese. The truth, however, more probably is, that the parish registers do not give us true information in consequence either of having been more deficient formerlv, or not having been duly; preserved. See the Notes in p. 184, \&cs

I his observation is applicable to all the other accounts which.I have met with taken, from parish registers, In the diocese of St. David's there appears, by the extracts, to have been an addition (between 1715 and 1760 ) of three fifths to the inhabitants. But the excess of the births above the deaths will not account for more than a third of this: increase; and as very probably more people. leave Wales than flock into it, either (in conformity to the excess of the births) : theres may have been no increases: or the negister in the first period: must have been so deficient as to give the births near a third less than the truth ${ }^{\text {: }}$

This argument holds equally with respectto the second of the accounts taken from. Mr. Wales. And his first account carries;

[^69]4s before observed, impossibility on the face of it.

The following is a summary of Mr. Howlett's accounts, taken from p. 128 of his Examination, \&c.
Annual average of births and burials for 20 years about the Revolution, compared with the annual average for the last 20 years, in 68 parishes in Kent, 43 in Essex, and 17 in Surry.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { About the Revolution } & - & 2993 & 3054 \\ \text { For the last } 20 \text { years } & - & 3947 & 3983\end{array}$
In the same parishes, with the addition of 18 in Sussex, 15 in five southern counties, 29 in Suffolk, the city of Norwich, and five parishes in Wales.
About the Revolution
For the last 20 years $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Births. } \\ \hline\end{array}$
To these accounts Mr. Howlett has added (in p.131) a comparison of the births and burials for two periods of five years in 162 parishes in 20 counties; the first period be-
${ }^{6}$ There are many errors in Mr. Howlett's. numbers, but I have not discovered any that will materially affect the proportion of the totals here given.

In a postscript he has added to the parishee abovementioned the births and burials in 17. others; and all together make the annual averages,

| , | Births. | Burials. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At the Revolution. . | . . 8375 | 8498 |
| At.present . . . . . . . . . . | . 11195 | 11389 |
|  |  | nni |

ginning with 1758, 1700, or 1761; and the second with 1773, 1775, or 1776 .

> Annual average Annual average of births. of burials.

In the first period - 9527.9910
In the second period - 1191 1060
This is all the register evidence which Mr. Howlett has produced, exclusive of Mr. Wales's, and that taken from the parish registers in the diocese of Chester already noticed. This evidence he has displayed with great pomp, and insisted upon as a full proof of an astonishing increase in our population. But never before was an evidence offered so absurd and self-destructive. For it should be observed, that, according to these accounts, the deaths in the kingdom from the Revolution to the present time have exceeded the births ${ }^{\text {c }}$. :Mr. Howlett, therefore, will, I hope,
e It may be said, that the excess of burials in this and the other accounts before noticed, is occasioned by a great over-proportion of omissions in the registration of births. But what confidence can be placed in registers which admit of such defects? or how is it to be known that they were not much greater formerly, agreeably to the observations in the Note, p. 184 ?

The omission of still-born and unbaptized infants scarcely deserves notice, because they contribute nothing to population, and are probably, in most places, omitted in the burials as well as the births. And with respect to other omissions, were we to reckon them a tenth of the births, and only half as much of the burials, still an. excess of births would be left, which would be almost equally inadequate to the increase.
thope, some time or other, inform us how the increase in which he triumphs has been produced.——But to be serious: An excess of deaths cannot exist long in any kingdom. The appearance of it, therefore, in these extracts must be owing either to their being miserably erroneous; or to their being taken mostly from touns; for in these it seldom happens that an excess of deaths does not take place; nor is there any worse cause

In short ; let the registers of births be ever so deficient, the increase they shew must have taken place if they were not more deficient formerly than they have been lately: And yet, this increase could not take place unless they were deficient to a degree which is incredible, and which, were it credible, would render them unworthy of much notice. - The increase, for instance, which on this supposition must have taken plaee in the diocese of Chester, cannot be accourted for from the excess of births without reckoning the omissions in the registers of births equal in both periods to at least a third of the registered births, even though the registers of burials are reckoned correct and complete. This will appear to any one who will calculate in the manner explained in p. 189, \&c. The supposition, therefore, must be wrong that the registers of births were not more deficient formerly than they have been lately.

The effect which the omission only of baptisms among Dissenters may have, will appear from the following fact. -The number of baptisms at Sandwich in Kent, among Protestant Dissenters (exclusive of Baptists) was

From 1690 to 1699 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 120
From 1730 to 1739 .. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 58
Fram 1770 to 1779 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 13
The number of baptisms in the same town for the same periods respectively was, exclusive of Dissenters, 755, 744, and 758.

YOL. 11.
0
Or
or symptom of depopulation than their increase.

All the evidence taken from the parish registers has been now laid before the reader, as far as I am acquainted with it. I am informed that Mr. Wales and Mr. Howlett are proceeding with their enquiries ${ }^{d}$; and I hope
${ }^{a}$ I have not sought for any accounts of this kind, not chusing to give trouble to obtain so indecisive and precarious an evidence. The following are all I can add from my own information to those already given.

|  |  | Annual births | Annual burials | Annual marriages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{r} \text { Lincolnshire-Swinderly parish } \\ 10 \text { years to } 1690 \end{array}\right\}$ |  | 7.3 | 7.5 | 2.5 |
|  | . to 1720 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 2.0 |
|  | - to 1770 | 7.1 | . 5.0 | 1.4 |
|  | Durham-Staindrop parish $\}$ | 37.6 | 28.5 | 7.0 |
|  | to 1771 | 49.3 | 44.8 | 12.9 |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { Kent-Tenterden parish } \\ 20 \text { years to } 1729\end{array}\right\}$ | 29.8 | 33.6 | 9.1 |
| - | to 1769 | 34.5 | 34.0 | 11.9 |
|  | Sandwich parish \} 10 years to 1629 | 148.3 | 159.6 | 41.3 |
|  | to 1689 | 103.2 | 95.8 | 11.7 |
|  | to 1739 | 74.4 | 70.4 | 16.3 |
|  | to 1779 | 75.8 | 68.8 | 21.3 |
|  | Eastry parish $\}$ | 20.1 | 12.1 | 6.4 |
|  | 10. years to 1629 | 13.7 | 12.2 | 2.6 |
|  | to 1739 | 17.3 | 18.0 | 4.2 |
| : | to 1779 | 20.7 | 13.4 | 5.2 |
| $\therefore 8$ | Ward parish? | 7.6 | 4.9 | 1.2 |
|  | 10 years to 17393 |  |  |  |
|  | to 1779 | 6.7 | Woodnes | slorough |

I hope they will be able hereafter to offer to the public some more consistent and probable accounts. When, however, I consider the reason there is for believing that the parish registers were in former periods particularly defective, I cannot help doubting whether any examination of them is capable of furnishing with sufficient evidence to prove that our population has not decreased since the Revolution. I question even whether it can inform us properly of the proportion of births to deaths in the kingdom. This alone, could it be ascertained, would enable us to form some judgment of the present state of our population, and to determine, with some probability, whether it is increasing or decreasing. If we unite all the extracts before given, rejecting Mr. Howlett's, this proportion will come out $\frac{223}{10 \%}$. Were these extracts

|  | Annual births | Anneal burials | Annual marriages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woodneslorough parish $\}$ | 15.5 | 10.9 | 7.3 |
| 10 years to 1719 to 1779 | 14.8 | 12.4 | 4.1 |
|  | 27.7 | 25.7 | 6.6 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{r}20 \\ \text { years to } 1578 \\ \text { to } 1777\end{array}\right\}$ | 27.7 50.0 | 35.7 | 6.6 11.9 |
| Cornwall-Liskeard parish $\}$ | 51.7 | 45.3 | 18.0 |
| 20 years to 1719 | 51.7 | 45.3 | 13.0 |
| , to 1769 | 48.3 | 45.3 | 12.8 |
| Devonshire_Okeford parish $\}$ | 12.2 | 8.0 |  |
| 20 years to 1719 | 12.2 | 7.5 |  |
| Staffordshire-Biddulph 20 years $\}$ | 3 | 6 | 43 |
| Sto 1719$\}$ | . 3 | . 6 | 4.3 |
| to 1739 | 27.8 | 21.1 | 4.4 |
| to 1769 | 38,9 | 21.1 | 6.1 |
| - 02 |  |  | to |

to be depended on, they would probably give this proportion too high for the kingdom at large, because taken chiefly from the register of the diocese of Chester, the most populouis and flourishirig part of the kingdom ${ }^{*}$. We may, howeveri, argue upon it, and reckon it the just proportion for England and Wales, exclusive of London and its environs; on which supposition, if we reckon the annual births such as, in consequence of multiplying by 35, will make the inhabitants of England,

[^70]exclusive of Lendom, four millions and a half, the annual burials will be nearly 128,000 , and the births 164,000 , leaving $2 n$ annual excess of 36,000 ; and this is an exocesa which would produce an increase in most other countries, notwithstanding the waste in their capitals, and all the other causes which usually check the increase of countries ${ }^{\text {s }}$. But

| 'The proportion of births to deaths in all\} Sweden for 9 years to 1763 was ...... | to 100 |
| :---: | :---: |
| In the kingdom of Naples for 5 gears to |  |
| 1777 ……................... |  |
| 928,918 to 793,931, or | 117 to 100 |

Annual average of births, deaths, and marriages in Breslaw, Glogav, and the other towns of Silessia for sour years to 1778 .

Anpual average of birthr, deathe, and marriames in the country parishes and villages of Silussin for the same period.
Births. Deathen Maraiages. Prepqrition of birth Proportion of birtho $5 \$ 99442894$ H1848 $\quad 45$ to $10 \quad 125$ to 100
8ilsina appears from hence to consist of near two millons of inhabitasts; of whom the inhabitents of towns are about a sixth p part.

The following accounte (copied from the Tables at the and of the Firxt Vedume of Mr. Susmilch's Gottliche Ordmeng, 8d Edition) will shew, in some measure, the maval progress of popalation in a country. They will also serve for a contrast to the inconsistent extracts which I have siven from our parish registep; for it will appear that

But perhaps. there are few kingdoms now existing in which most of these causes operate
instead of shewing an increase too great for the surplus of births, they always (in cousequence of sickly years and other causes) shew a much smaller increase than it was capable of producing.

In the old Prusslan dominions and the provinces of Brandenlurg.

Proportion Proportion
Annual aycrage. Births. Burials. Marriages. of births to of births to marriages. burials.
4 years to $170166247 \quad 44680 \quad 18145 \quad 36$ to 10148 to 100 7 years to $1728 \quad 82934 \cdot 60821 \quad 20726 \quad 40$ to 10136 to 100 6 years to $17.56102935 \quad 78863 \quad 24487 \quad 40$ to 10136 to 100

In the kingdom of Prussia and dukedom of Lithuania.
Proportion Proportion
Apuual average. . Births. Burials. Marriages. of births to of births to marriages. burials:
10 years to $1702 \quad 21963 \quad 14718 \quad 5908 \quad 97$ to 10150 to 100 $\begin{array}{lllllll}5 & \text { years to } 1716 & 21602 & 11984 & 4968 & 39 & \text { to } \\ 10 & 180 & \text { to } 100\end{array}$ 5 years to 1756. $28392 \quad 19154 \quad 5599 \quad 50$ to 10148 to 100
N. B. In 1709 and 1710 a pestilence carried off 247,783 of the inhabitants of this country ; and in 1736 and 1737 epidemics prevailed, which again checked its increase.

## In the Churmark of Brandensung.

| Annual average. | Births. | als. | Marriag | Proportion of births to marriages. | Proportion of births to burials. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 years to 1702 | 13433 | 7605 | 3597 | 37 to 10 | 176 to 100 |
| 4 ycars to 1756 | 2348 | 18840 | 6646 | . 38 to 10 | 124. 40100 |

## Duchy of Pombrania.

| Annual avarage | Births. | Buriala. | 1 | Proportion of births to | Proportion of births ton burials. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Miarriag |  |  |
| 6. years to 1702 | 6540 | 4647 | 1810 | 36 to 10 | 140 to 100 |
| 6 years to 1708 | 7455 | 4208 | 1875 | 39 to 10 | 177 to 100 |
| 6 years to 17:26 | 8432 | 5627' | 2131 | 39 to 10 | 150 to 100 |
| 4 years to 1756 | 12767 | 9281 | 2957 | 43 to 10 | 137 to 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  | In |

- Digitized by Google
operate so much as in this. Few kingdoms have been engaged within so short a period

In this instance the inhabitants appear to have been almost doubled in 56 years, no very bad epidemic having. once interrupted the increase; but the three years immediately following the last period (to 1759) were years so sickly that the births were sunk to 10,229 , and the burials raised to 15,068 .

Neumark of Brandenburg.
Proportion Proportion Annasl average. Births. Burials. Marriages. of births to $\begin{gathered}\text { of births to } \\ \text { marriages, } \\ \text { burials. }\end{gathered}$ 5 years to $1701 \quad 5433 \quad 3483 \quad 1456 \quad 37$ to 10155 to 100 5 years to 1726 5 Jears to $1756 \quad 7978 \quad 5567 \quad 1891 \quad 42$ to 10143 to 100
Epidemics prevailed for 6 years from 1736 to 1741, which checked the increase.

## Dukedom of Magdeburg.

Proportion Proportion
Annual average. Births. Burials. Marriages. of birthy to of births to marriages. burials.
5 years to $1702 \quad 6431 \quad 4103 \quad 1681 \quad 38$ to 10156 to 100
5 years to $1717 \quad 7590 \quad 5335 \quad 2076 \quad 36$ to 10142 to 100 5 years to $17568850 \quad 8069 \quad 2193 \quad 40$ to 10.109 to 100

The years 1738; 1739, 1740, 1741, 1750, and 1751 were particularly sickly.

## Duchy of Halberstadt.

Annual average. Births. Burials. Marriages. \begin{tabular}{c}
Births to <br>
marriages.

 

Births to <br>
burials.
\end{tabular}

Duchy of Ravensberg.
Annual average. Births. Burials. Marriages. Births to Births to $\begin{gathered}\text { marriages. burials. }\end{gathered}$

| 5 years to 1692 | 3899 | 2552 | $96 \%$ | 40 to 10152 to 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 years to 1756 | 5041 | 3814 | $\cdots 1371$ | 36 to 10132 to 100 |
| Dukedom |  |  |  |  |

a petiod in 80 many desolating wars. Few kingdoms have had such armies and garrison, and

## Duhedom of Clevg and County of Mark,

 Aqnual avérage. Blirchs. Burtals. Marriages. Births to Births to| 4 years to 1701 | 6249 | 4132 | 1729 | 36 to 10 | 151 to 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 years to 1739 | 7358 | 6535 | 1741 | 42 to 10 | 134 to 100 |
| 4 years to 1756 | 7614 | 5567 | 1966 | 38 to 10 | 136 to 100 |

## Autinian Milanges;

Consisting in 1774, of 211,479 families, and 1,116,852 inhabitants $;$ and in 1769 , of $1,101,723$ inhabitants, of whom 9638 were priests, 5616 friars, and 7140 monks and nuns.
Admadataterage of Births. Burfall. Marriages. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Births to } \\ & \text { marriages. }\end{aligned}$ Births to $\begin{aligned} & \text { deaths. }\end{aligned}$ 1769,1773 \& $17744405040030 \quad 9619 \quad 45$ to $10 \quad 110$ to 100
N. B. The last of these years appears to have been particularly sickly 3 for the burials exceeded the births, and were 9156 higher than the average of the years 1769 and 1773.

## Denmark.

| Ansual average if | Births. | Burials. | Births to barialu. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 years to 1747 | 22996 | 18864 | 121 to 100 |
| 5 years to 1786 | 24298 | 21706 | 112 to 100 |

Epidemies prevailed in 1755, and 1756, which made. the burials in those years nearly equal to the births.

The medium of these ten years is nearly 20,000 ; and, multiplying it by 35 , will make the number of inhabitants then in Denmark 700,000.

## Norway.

| anala avers | irth | Burials. | Births to burials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| years to 1747 | 17522 | 10955 | 160 to |
| 14 years to 1756 | 19947 | 14661 | 136 to 100 |
| Multiplying 16000 (the average of burials in Norway for four years to 1756) by 35, will make the number of nhapitants 560,000 in 1756. |  |  |  |

and settlements to maintain in so many distant regions, and in such unhealthful climates. No kingdom ever supported such a navy, or carried on so extensive a foreign commerce, or wanted, on these accounts, such a supply of men for the sea-service: Nor was there ever a kingdom which consisted so much of people employed in trades and manufactures, which shorten life, or whose metropolis was so large, or half so large, in comparison with the number of its inhabitants.-If we include in Londons all the parishes and little towns near London, where, almost universally, the burials exceed the births; it is moderate to reckon that the former exceeds the latter in this part of the kingdom about 10,000 annually ; and that, consequently, London demands a recruit of people every year equal to this, number. Forty years ago there was this excess of burials within the bills only. This

In 1056 country parishes and villages in the Churmark of Brandenlurg, consisting (in 1748) of 106,204 males, and 107,540 females.

In seven market-towns and 54 country-parishes in Eng, land, consisting (in 1740) of 10434 families and 46,650 inhabitants, according to Dr. Shurt's New Oiservutions, p. 133.
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { Annaal average. } & \text { Births. } & \text { Burials. Marriages. } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Births to } \\ \text { marriages. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Births to } \\ \text { Durials. }\end{array} \\ \text { In } 1748 & 1575 & 1360 & 399 & 40 \text { to } & 10 & 115\end{array}\right)$
will make the annual surplus for the whole kingdom 26,000 , which may probably be sufficient, or perhaps more than sufficient, to supply all the waste occasioned by sickly seasons, emigrations to the colonies, and the other causes I have mentioned.-But the truth is, that it cannot be reckoned with any degree of confidence, that there exists any such surplus.

Mr. King, in 1693; stated the births of the kingdom, exclusive of those in London, at 170,000 , and the burials at 148,000 , which makes the proportion of the former to the latter as 115 to 100. See Dr. Davenant's Works, Vol. II. p. 180. Mr. King deduced this from the assessments then imposed on births, marriages, and burials; and he has shewn such sagacity in his other estimates, that I cannot help paying some regard to him in this. If he was right, the lingdom has probably been decreasing, such a surplus being incapable of supporting a population so encumbered as ours, and which ever since Mr. King's time has, had such increasing demands upon it.

I cannot help taking this opportunity to observe, that there is reason to believe that poor countries (provided the ground supplies them with plenty of food, and the poverty of the inhabitants consists only in their wanting conveniencies and elegancies, in other countries deemed necessaries) increase faster than rich countries. 'The reason is obvious. The greatest enemies
enemies of population are the artificial wants, the accumulation of property, and the luxury and vices which are the constant attendants of opulence, and which prevent a regular and early union between the sexes. The inhabitants of poor countries are more simple, more healthy, and more virtuous; and, wanting little besides food, families are no burdens, and the prolific powers of nature have free scope to display themselves.Perhaps Ireland is one instance of this. If we may depend on an account in the Philosophical Transactions (Abridgement, Vol. III. p. 666.) the number of people in Ireland, in 1695, did not much exceed a million. At present they are, I suppose, about two millions. -According to an account published annually at Dublin, in Watson's Almanack, the houses in Ireland, in 1754, were 395,439 . In 1967 they were increased to 424,046; and in 1777 to 448,426 . But I have been informed that this account is of no authority, and deserves little credit. Nor can I learn that there are in Ireland any documents from which a judgment tolerably correct can be formed of the progress and present state of its population. It might have been expected, that the hearth-tax would have furnished such documents : But this is not the case; and all that is known with certainty is the yearly produce of the tax ; the average of which for the last five years to 1781 , having been $£ 60,648$, makes
the number of hearths that pay the tax (at 2s. per hearth) to be 606,480. It is supposed that a house may be allowed for every twa hearths, and that a third of the bouses are excused on account of inability, and, an. these suppositions, the number of house will exceed $400,000 \mathrm{~g}$; and, consequently, the inbabitants will be (as just reckoned) about two millions ${ }^{\text {h }}$.

Sweden,

In the year 1787 the following account was returned te the House of Commons of Ireland, of the number of houses in that kingdome paying hearth-money.

| No. af House日 containing |  | Hauses ining | No. $\boldsymbol{f}$ contai | ouse: ing | Ho. of House containius |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eparths. | Hearthy |  | Heaptht |  | Heartha. |
| 1 397,644 | 15 | 99 | 28 | 4 | 454 |
| 2 2.f,031 | 16 | 127 | 30 | 16 | 461 |
| 8 7,582 | 17 | 46 | 31 | 4 | 50.3 |
| - 5,542 | 18 | 42 | 32 | 4 | 55 |
| 5. 4,062 | 19 | 23 | 33 | 6 | 56 |
| 6 . 3,556 | 20 | 61 | 34 | 3 | 67 1 |
| 7 3,330 | 21 | 1.8 | 35 | 3 | 921 |
| 8 2,209 | ? | 10 | 86 | 6 | 1121 |
| ค. 285 | 23 | 9 | 37 | 1 | Houses exempted |
| 10.772 | 24 | 20 | 39 | 1 | by lames |
| $11 \quad 316$ | 25 | 20 | 40 | 7 |  |
| $12 \quad 295$ | 26 | 10 | 41 | 3 |  |
| $18 \quad 147$ | 27 | 5 | 42 | 8 |  |
| 14. 139 | 28 | 8 | 44 | 2 |  |

From this table it appears that the number of heartbs (ex. clusive of those exempted by law) is 612,577 ; and therefore, on the supposition adopted in this postscript, the whole number of houses in Ireland will be s08,384.--Bur if the preceding accounts be accurate, their real number amounts to 474,234 , and consequently the inhabitants will rather exceed two millions and a quarter.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ A survey of Brlpast yas made in Jan. 1782, from which it appeored, that it consinted of 2026 bouses, containing

Sweden, Norway', and the kingdom of Naples, are increasing fast'; and also Russia, if we may judge from the following facts.

In the viceroyalty of Tweer (in 1780) there died 4315 males; 3646 females; but there were born 11948 males, and gol 3 females. The marriages were 6074.

In the eparchy of Vologda the deathis in the same year were 2688 males, and $237^{7}$ females. The births were 6517 males, and 5366 females. The marriages 3232 .

In both these provinces, therefore, the births were considerably more than dotible the deaths; and the increase must be rapid.

At the beginning of the same year (1780) there were found in the district of Moscow 137,698 males, and 134,918 females; of whom died in the course of the year 2101 males and 1601 females, or the 65 th part of the males, and 84th part of the females. But there were born in the course of the year 4546 males, and 4075 females, which added 5919 (or a 46 th patt) to the inhabitants; and the number of inhabitants ac-
talning 18,105 inhabitants, 6133 of whom were males,
and 6972 females.- Looms 388 ; and houses for selling
bier and spitits 119 , or a 17 th part of all the houses.-
On Jan. 1,1757 , the number of looms was 399 , and the
houses 1779 , containing 8549 inhabitants, of whom 79 ;
were Protestunts, and 536 Papists.
' See the Preliminary Observations to Table XLIV; and the Essay on the Population of England, p. 14.
tually counted at the end of the year was 140,143 males, and 137,392 females ${ }^{k}$.

But there exists probably among mankind no such increase as that among the United States of North-America, according to the account of it in p. 49, \&c.

The reflection on these facts must be mortifying to this country (the richest upon earth) if it be indeed true that our population is declining. But we must comfort ourselves by considering that in this case, value is of more consèquence than number. Commerce, arts, and liberty, once placed the little state of Athens at the head of the world; and the same causes once raised this island to the same eminence.

To the direct evidence already stated of a decrease in our population, it is proper to add the following facts.

1st. The decrease of London: This I must reckon certain, till some other satisfactory reason ${ }^{1}$ can be given for a diminution since 1727 , of more than 7000 per $a n n$. in the registered burials, and near 2000 in the registered births.

Secondly.

[^71]Secondly. The decrease in the produce of the hereditary and temporarary excise upon beer. This was almost the only excise that existed before the Revolution; and though the country was then poorer, it produced a quarter more than it has lately. This fact, together with the objections to the inference I have drawn from it, may be found distinctly stated in the Essay on the Population of England, \&c. p. 13, \&c. and p. 45, \&c.
and thereifse, cannot account for this diminution; nor do the burials in them amount to a number equal to it.

Annual medium of registefed burials in London.
For five years to 1722 inclusive 26,443
to $1727 \quad 26,747$
to 1732 26,582
to $1737 \quad 26,848$
to 1742 28,344
to 1748 23,884
to 1753 22,006
to 1758 20,875
to 1763 22,593
to 1768 23,319
to 1773 22,754
For four years to $1777 \quad 20,945$
Forthree years to $1780 \quad 20,438$
For two years to $1782 \quad 19,313$
Annual medium of registered births in London.
For five years to $1727 \quad 18,898$
to $1768 \quad 16,291$
to 1782 - 16,966
The decrease which this Table shews to bave taken place lately in the excess of burials above the births, has been ascribed to an improved state of London with respect to its influence on the health of its inhabitants; but the true reason is the fact referred to at the beginning of this note.

Thirdly.

Thirdly. The growing distrest ationg the lower orders of people, who are the majority of the nation, deserves to be particularly attended to on this subject. Tiッincrease of the poor tates proves this jest; and it seems to be universally achnowhedged. A people at their ease will increase ; $1 \cdots$ no creasing difficulties in procuring the mants of subsistence, producing a forced insurary, and an aversion to marrisge, suust wepo pulate.

The increased 1roduce of the taxes on candles, leather, \&c. the inclosures of waste lands, and the imprownents in agriculture which have taken place lately, have been urged in opposition to these facts. But I am afraid they only prove that luxury has increased consumption more than it has lessened the number of our people.

Upon the whole. I beg it may be remembered, that my opinion, in this instance, is by no means a clear and decided conviction. 1 may probably be influenced too much by a desire to maintain an assertion once delivered. - Some time or other, perhaps, the Legislature will think this a point worth its attention. Much light may be thrown upon it, and the state of our population kept constantly in view, by only ordering exact registers to be kept of the births, burials, and marriages in the king dom. This is done in other kingdoms. It
has fately been done in France; and the result has been a discovery that the population of France exceeds all, that had been conjectured concerning it ${ }^{\text {th }}$. Should a like discovery be the consequence of carrying such an order into execution here, it will give the kingdom an ericouragemont which at present it greatly wants: and I shall rejoice in my own confutation.
${ }^{m}$ Seo the Appendix to a Discourse on the Lipue of our Country, delivered by the Author on November 4th, 1789, to the Society for commerrorating the Rtevofution in Great Brikain. - In this Appendix it is observed, that the medium
 of France, wae


If 834,865 , the number of deaths to 1780 , be multiplied Gy SF, agreeably to the rule in P. 189 , It will appeaf that the whole number of intudiciots in that toingent rexoecto tuenty-nime millions. M.

# OBSERVATIONS 

## BY

## THE EDITOR.

IN consequence of an Act of Parliament passed for that purpose in the year 1802, a survey was made of the population of the kingdom; when it appeared from the accounts delivered in by the different surveyors that the number of houses in England and Wales amounted to $1,633,399$, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the number of families.to $1,896,723$, and the number of inhabitants to $9,343,578$ of whom $4,715,711$ were males, and $4,627,867$ were females.

Thesé accounts, if they be correct, seem to contradict both observation and experience, not only in giving the proportion of inhabitants to a house much greater than they have been found in former enumerations, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ but more particularly in making the number of males to exceed that of the females;-a circumstance I believe seldom or ever known to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \text { Inhabited houses . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . }, 575,923 \\
& \text { Uninhabited houses . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1,63,476,399 \\
& \text { Whole number . . . . . . } \\
& \text { b See page 70, \&c. in this Volume. }
\end{aligned}
$$

have
have taken place in any other part of the world. They exhibit also the curious phenomenon of every five houses throughout the kingdom containing six families, while there are more than 57,000 houses untenanted l-Admitting, however, the accuracy of these statements, what a melancholy proof do they afford of the impoverished condition of the country ? Out of one million and a half of houses, above 800,000 are excused on account of poverty from all taxation; and even of the remainder almost one half are so wretched as to be altogether exempted from the window-rates ${ }^{\text {e }}$, and to be charged only with the payment of three shillings a year for the house-tax.

From a view of the manner in which this survey has been formed and conducted, it is hardly possible to imagine a measure so illfitted for obtaining any useful information. It appears to have been instituted for the mere purpose of determining a controversy; and even in this it has totally failed of its object. Whether the population of the country increases or diminishes,-in other words, whether the gloomy opinions of Dr . Price are better founded than the more sanguine assertions of his adversaries, is a point which must still remain the subject of future

[^72]diseussion. From these statements no aecurate judgment can be formed. They leave the question involved in the same uncertainty in which they foand it, and are likely to serve no other end than that of continning the dispute among those whe are more eager to maintairt an hypothesis than to acquire a real knowkedge of the truth.

Had the number of births and burials been given in each district during the last three or four years-Had a separate account been taken for each year of all the children under the age of five years-Had the rest of the male and female inhabitants been divided into distinct classes from the age of 5 to 10 years-from the age of 10 to 15 years, and so on for every five years to the extremity of hfe; -notemty would the actual state of the population have been obtained, but also such furthet information in political arithmetic as world have been highly important to this eountry: It is to be hoped, therefore, if another sarvey should ever take place (and I am sure the necessity of it is not lessened by the late costly attempt) that those who shall have the management of it will recollect, that in ordet to ascertain the real state of the population of the country, a more complicated process is necessary than the mere enumeration of its inhabitants. M.

## TWO

## ADDITIONAL ESSAYS.

## ADDITIONAL ESSAYS.

First Additional Essay.

Observations on the Difference between the Duration of Human Life in Towns and in Country Parishes and Villages.

Read to the Royal Society, June 22, 1775, and published in the 65th Volume of the Philosophical Transactions, Part II.

THIS Society has lately been much obliged to Dr. Percival, for the accounts he has communicated of the state of population in Manchester and other adjacent places ${ }^{2}$. These accounts contain some facts, which appear to me curious and important. From the

[^73]the last in particular, there appears to be reason for concluding, that whereas a 28th part of the inhabitants die annually in the town of Manchester, not more than a 56th part die annually in the adjacent country. This implies a.difference so great between the rates of human mortality in these different situations, that some, whose-judgments I reverence, have thought it incredible. I will, therefore, beg leave to offer the following observations on this subject.
care there were, in the summer of $\mathbf{1 7 7 3}$, in the town of

| Manchester 3402 | Salford, <br> 866 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5817 | .Familias . . . . . . . . 1098 |
| 10548 | .Males . . . . . . . . . . . 2248 |
| 11933 | .Females . . . . . . . . . 2517 |
| 7724 | . Married . . . . . . . . . 1775 |
| 432. | Widowers .. .... .... 89 |
| 1064 | .Widows . . . . . . . . . 149 |
| 7782 | Under $15 . .$. . . . . . . 1788 |
| 3252. |  |
| 342 . | . Male Lodgers .. . . . . . . 13 |
| 150. | .Femate Lodgers... . . . . 13 |
| 44. | . Empty houses . . . . . . . 26 |

Accorfing to a survey in $177^{4}$ there wete in the parish of Manchester, containing thinty-ome towaships, exclusive of the towns of Manchester and Salford, Tenanted Houses . . . . 2371 Under 15 .5545
Families.. . . . . . . . . . 2525 )
flhabitants. . . . . . . . 13786
Males
6942
Females ............ . . . 6844
Married
.4319
Widowers ........... 232
Widans
315 Empty houses

Above $70 . .$. . . . . . . . . 261
Above 80. . . . . . . . . . . . 87
Maie Lodgers . . . . . . . . 68
Female Lodgers . . . . . . 51
Empty houses . . . . . . . 41
In

In the first place, the evidence in this instance is such as seems to leave little room for doubt. From an accurate survey it appears, that the number of inhabitants in the town was 27,246 in the year 1773. The number of deaths the same year (and also the average for 1772,1773 , and 1774), was $073^{\text {b }}$; that is, a 28 th part of the number of inhabitants. From an equally careful survey it appears, that the number of inhabitants in that part of the parish of Manchester which lies in the country, was 13,780. The number of deaths in 1772 was $240^{\circ}$; that is, a 56 th part of the number of inhabitants. The chief objection to this evidence is, that the number of deaths in that part of the parish which lies in the country is given only for one year; whereas the average of several years ought to be given. But first, the number of deaths in 1772 , in the town, was nearly the same with the medium for seven years; and from hence there

[^74]arises a probability, that in the adjacent country, the number of deaths, in the same year, could not have been much lower than the medium. Secondly, supposing it lower, there is the highest probability, that it was not more than a 4th or 5th lower. Suppose then the true annual medium to be 300 , instead of 246 , and it will follow, that whereas a 28th part of the inhabitants die in the town annually, a 46th part die in the country; and this is a difference very considerable. But farther, I would observe, that the difference which this survey gives between the rate of mortality in the town of Manchester and the adjacent country, is confirmed by a variety of other accounts. It may be stated ingeneral, that whereas in great towns, the proportion of inhabitants dying annually is from 1 in 19 to 1 in 22 or 23 , and in moderate towns from 1 in 24 to 1 in $28^{\circ}$; in country parishes and villages, on the contrary, this proportion seldom exceeds 1 in 40 to 50 . The proofs of this are numerous and unexceptionable; and I have elsewhere given a particular account of them. I will here only mention the following facts.

[^75]The number of inhabitants at Stockholm in 1763 was 72,979. The average of deaths for the six preceding years had been 3802 d . One, therefore, in nineteen died there annually.

At Rome, an account is taken every year of the number of inhabitants; and, in the year 1771, it was 159,675. The average of deaths for ten years had been 7367. One, therefore, in $21 \frac{1}{2}$ died annually.

In London I have shewn, with an evidence which I think little short of demonstration, that at least 1 in $20^{\frac{3}{4}}$ of the inhabitants die annually . And, from a particular survey

[^76]and a very accurate register of mortality at Northampton, it appears, that 1 in $26 \frac{1}{2}$ die there annually.

Let these facts be compared with the following. In 1767, a survey was made of the inhabitants of the island of Madeira, under the direction of Dr. Thomas Heberden, and their number was found to be 64,614 . The average of burials for eight preceding years had been 1293. Only 1 in 50, therefore, of the inhabitants died annually (see Philosophical Transactions, vol. Ivii. P. - 101 .)

The district of Voud, in Sevitzerlaud, in 1766, contained 112,951 inhabitants. The average of deaths for ten preceding years had been 2504. Only 1 in 45, therefore, died annually ${ }^{\text {f. }}$

The number of inhabitants in the parish of $-4 c k w o r t h$, in the county of York, in 1757, was 603; and the average of deaths for ten years had been $10_{\frac{7}{8}}^{\frac{7}{8}}$, or a 56 th part. In 1767 , the inhabitants were increased to 728; and the annual average of deaths was $15{ }^{3}$, , or nearly a 47 th part ${ }^{6}$.

The reason of this striking difference between the rate of human mortality in towns

[^77]and
and in country parishes and villages must be, first, the luxury and the irregular modes of life which prevail in towns; and, secondly, the foulness of the air. But it has been int quired, whether the migrations of people from the country to towns may not produce this difference, by lessening the proportion of inhabitants that die in the country, and increasing the same proportion in towns? In answer to this inquiry I would observe; Grst, that this difference being a difference of near a half, it is apparently much greater than can be aceounted for by any such cause. Biat, secondly, it should be considered, that if migrations lessen the namber of deaths, they aloo lessen the number of inhabitants; and that it depends entirely on the ages at Which the inhabitants remove from a place, whether the effeet of their removal shall be lowering of raising the proportion of the annual deaths to the number of inhabitants. In the present case, the truth appears to be, that the most common age of migration from the country is such as raises this proportion in the country. This will be evident from the following considerations. The period of life in which persons remove from the country to settle in towns is chiefly the beginning of mature life, or from the age of 10 or 15 to 25 or 30 . 'Towns, therefore, will be inhabited more by people in the firmest parts of life; and, on the other hand, the country will be inhabited more
by people in the weakest parts of life; and the consequence of this is, that in the coun ${ }^{2}$ try, the inhabitants must die faster in proportion to their number than they others wise would, and that in towns they must die more slowly. In particular, the number of children is always much greater in the country than in towns; and this is a circumstance which must be extremely unfavourable to the former: for it is well known, that there are no years of life, in which so many die as the first three or four years. Till the age of five, human life, like a fire beginning to burn, is very feeble; and in some situations more than half, and in others, a third or fourth of all that are born die before that age. After this, life grows less and less precarious till it acquires its utmost vigour at 10 or 12; and of the living at this age, not above 1 in 70 or 80 dies annually in the worst situations; and in the best situations, not above 1 in 150 or 160 . After 15, life declines, and continues to do so more and more, till it becomes quite extinct in old age. If therefore, in any situation, the inhabitants consist more of persons in mature life, and yet die faster, it must be owing to some particular causes of mortality that operate there. This is the case in all towns where any observations have been made. Manchester, in particular, is not only kept up, but increases fast, by removals to
it of persons in the prime of life. The country round it increases likewise; but it is by an excess of the births above the deaths; that is, by accessions to it of children in the very feeblest part of life. This ought to raise the proportion of annual deaths to inhabitants in the country, much above the same proportion in the town; but, instead of this, it is near one-half lower.

It may be needless to add any thing to these observátions.

In order, however, to put this matter out of all doubt, I will observe farther, that it appears in fact, from the accounts furnished by Dr. Percival, that the number of inhabitants in the period of life when mankind

- die fastest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (that is in the first and last stages of life), is considerably less in the town of Manchester than in the adjacent country. The number of inhabitants in the town under 15 and above 50 , is 13,467 ; in the country, 7305. And the whole number is, in the town, 27,246; in the country, 13,786. In the town, therefore, the inhabitants, in the first and last stages of life, do not make half the whole number; but in the country they make considerably more

[^78]than half. At Achzoorth, likewise in York shire, the inhabitants under 15 and above so are more than half the whole number; and the same is true at Hale near Altringham; at Harwich; at Daruent, near Blacliturn, in Lancashire; and at Cockey Moori, near Bolton,
' I am much indebted to Dr. Perciend for the following account of these places. The society belonging to the chapel at Hale is composed of 140 males, 136 females, 92 biarried persons, 8 widowers, 12 widows, 105 ander 15 , and 41 above 50 . The deaths, during saven peacs have been 28, and the births 63 . Mr. Euars's congregration at $H$ nuwich, consists of 305 individuals; viz. 149 males, 156 femates, 94 married persons, 9 widowers, 8 widows, 127 under 15 years of age, and 50 above 50 : The births, for seven years, 101 ; the deaths 32. A66th part, therefore, die annually in both these places. The Rev. Mr. Smalley's congregation at Darwent, consists of 1 cix 0 individuals; wiz. 900 mates, 950 females, 640 married persons, 30 widowers, 48 widows, 737 pemops under the age of 15, and 218 above 50. Dusing the last seven years the births have amounted to 508, the deaths to 233. A 56th part, therefore die ammually. Mr. Burnes's congregation at Cockeg Mfoor, consists of 154 fanilies and 211 individuais; namely, 320 males, 391 females, 248 married persons, 10 widowers, 27 widows, 252 persons under the age of 15, and 99 above 50. Deaths in' seven years 114; in which period the deaths were considerably increased by an uncommon fatality of the small-pox. One person in 14 died annually. The Rev. Mr. Mercer's congregation at Chotibent in Larncashirc, consists of 1160 persons ; vic. 554 males, 606 females, 173 males and 150 females under the age of ten, 83 males and 91 females above $50,3.5$ mairied persons, 26 widowers, and 43 widows. The baptisms during six years, wanting sin wceks, have amounted to 293 , and the deaths 169. One person, thercfore, in 41 died annually. Thess surve;s were made in the year 1773.-In August 1774 the inhabitants of Tallenthall and Wacerton (two parishes in

Bolton, in the same county; and yet in some of these places it appears, that not a 6oth part of the inhabitants die annually.

At Slockholm, in 1763, the inhabitants under the age of 5 were only a 12 th; above 70 , only a 46 th part of the whole number. But in all Sweden, the number under 5 was a 7th; and above 70, near the 32d part of all the inhabitants: and yet 35
the neighbourhood of Chester) were surveyed. The former consisted of 382 males and 399 females, of whom 462 were above 14 years of age. The latter contained 310 males and 322 females, of whom 406 were above 14 years of age.-At Tattenhall the annual average of christenings, for 10 years ending in 1773 , had been 28 ; of burials, 13.-At Waverton the same average had been $19 \frac{8}{\frac{8}{10}}$ and $8{ }^{4} \frac{4}{0}$. -In the former parish, therefore, a 60th part of the inhabitants, and in the latter a 75th part had died annually.-In 1775 the town and parish of Ashton under Line (distant 8 miles from Manchester, and consisting of manufacturers and farmers) were surveyed. The number of inhabitants was 5097, of whom 2534 were males; and 2513 females; 1679 were married; and their ages were, under five, 896-from 5 to 10, 764-from 10 to 20, 1011 -from 20 to 50, 1882from 50 to 70,471 -from 70 to 90,73 . Of these 2700 at least, or more than half, must have been under 15, and above 50.-See a communication of Dr. Percival's in the Philosophical Transactions, vol. lxvi. p. 160.

I will add here that, according to an accurate survey communicated to me by one of the gentlemen concerned in making it, of the township of Leeds, in Yorkshire, it consisted (in 1775) of 15,216 inhabitants in the town, and 1905 inhabitants in the villages and country near the town. The number of males was 8112; of females' 9009 ; of whom 6309 were married; 724 were widows, and 417 widowers; 1833 were females, and 861 males above 20 who had never married; and 3765 were girls, and 3712 boys under 20.
die in the town to 19 in the whole kingdom. This may be easily deduced from Table I. in the Postscript, page 233.

To the accounts which give the proportion of inhabitants to annual deaths so high as 50 or 60 to 1, it has been farther objected, that if true, it must follow, that in such situations half the inhabitants must live to 50 or 60 years of age. But were this a right inference, there would be nothing in it incredible. For though in most cities one-half die in the first two or three years after birth; yet, in many country situations, the greater part live to marry: and in the parish of Ackworth, particularly, it appears with undeniable evidence from the Register, that one-half of all born there live to the age of 46 . It appears also, with equal evidence, from M. Muret's Tables in the Bern Memoirs for 1766, that in 43 parishes in the district of Vaud, onehalf of all born there live beyond the age of 41. In truth, did all mankind lead natural and virtuous lives, that waste of the species which happens in infancy and childhood would not take place, and few would die except in old age. The inference, however, which I have mentioned, cannot be made with reason. It is just only in the particular case of an uniform decrease in the probabilities of living from birth to old age; and this is a case that has never existed. In all other cases, there is not any necessary connexion between the proportion of inhabitants
tants dying annually, and the age to which the greater part live. In most cities onehalf, as I have just observed, of all that are born die before two or three years of age. But it cannot be imagined, that there is any place where so many as one-half or a third of the inhabitants die every year.

But to return to Dr. Percival's account of the town and parish of Manchester. It appears from this account, that the number of children under 15 compared with' the number of inhabitants between 14 and 51 , is greater in the country than in the town of Manchester, in the proportion of no less than 5 to $4^{k}$. It follows, therefore, that though in consequence of a constant influx of people to the town, it is more filled than the country with inhabitants in the most vigorous periods of life; yet one child in four less is born in the town than in the country. This is a remarkable circumstance, and the reasons of it must be the two following. First, the town inhabitants being lèss healthy, and dying faster, have not the same strength of constitution with the country inhabitants. Secondly, in the town a

[^79]smaller proportion of the adult inhabitants marry; and they marry later than in the country. The survey fully proves this; for it appears, that though the number of inhabitants at the most common marrying ages, compared with the whole number of the living above the age of 14 , is smaller in the country than the town; yet the proportion of the married to the living above 14, is very nearly the same in both situations. And there are more widows and widowers in the town than in the country in the proportion of near 16 to 11 . We learn from hence, I think, clearly, in what manner towns operate in checking population; and preventing the increase of mankind.

Dr. Percival informs us, that the reverend and learned Dr. Tucker has been led, by some observations he has made at Bristol, to doubt whether the common opinion is right, with respect to the disproportion between the number of male and female births; and that he, therefore, wishes a farther inquiry may be made into this subject. This has induced me to collect the following facts, which, I think, will abundantly settle this point.

Born Males. Females. Proportion.

| In London for the last 110 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| years, or from 1664 to | 862293 | 817072 | 20 to 19 |
| 1773 . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |
| Paris, for 8 years, ${ }^{\text {, . . . . . }}$ | 79693 | 76481 | 25 to 24 |

[^80]Leyden,

Born Males. Females, Proportion.

Leyden, for 50 years, ${ }^{m}$... Vienna, for 27 years, ending ? 1746
Berlin, for 40 years, ending $1761^{\circ}$
Kurmark of Brandenlurgh, for nine years, ending $1759^{\circ}$
Dukedom of Magdelurgh, for 38 years, ending $\{15322714598521$ to 20 1759"
All the Prussian towns, for a course of years, . . . . .
In a great number of country parishes, for a course of years,'
In the same country parishes, for another period of years, ${ }^{\text {t }}$. . . .....
Leeds, Manchester, Coventry, \&c. for a period of years,"
In the same towns, for? another period ${ }^{\times} . . . .$. \}

46773 44933, 26 to 25 $6706064893 \quad 31$ to 30 $71188 \quad 67431 \cdot 20$ to 19 $102425 \quad 96521 \quad 18$ to 17 69182665907221 to 20 . $59067 \quad 56 \underline{2} 8221$ to 20 $89530 \quad 84954$, 19 to 18 108784 103449. 20 to 19 $57084 \quad 54128 \quad 20$ to 19 $23889502271201 \quad 20$ to 19
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Sweden, for } 9 \text { years, ending } \\ \text {. } 1763, \ldots . . . . . . . . .\end{array}\right\} 41600739612420$ to 19
Mr. Derham, in his Physico-Theology, p. 175, has stated the proportion of male to female births at 14 to 13 , and this proportion has ever since been generally received as the

[^81]true one; but it appears from this Table, that it ought to have been stated at 20 to 19 . But though it appears that the number of males born is in this proportion greater than the number of females born, yet, in most places, the number of males living has been found to be less than the number of females. The reason is, without doubt, that males are more short-lived than females; and this owing partly to the peculiar hazards to which males are subject, and their more irregular modes of life; but it is owing principally to some partıcular delicacy in the male constitution which renders it less durable: For there are many observations which prove, that the greater mortality of males takes place cbiefly in the first and last stages of life. A few facts of this kind I will beg leave to mention, because I have just met with them.

In the parish of St. Sulpice, at Paris, during 30 years, 5 males under a year old died to 4 females. But under 10 , only 13 males died to 12 females (see Susmilch. Tables, vol. II. p. 30).

In Stockholm, during 9 years ending in $1 / 63$, the number of still-borns amounted to 666 ; of whom 390 were males, and 276 females; that is, 10 to 7 . The number of the living in that city above the age of 80 was, in 1760,332 ; of whom 248 were females, and 8.4 males, or near 3 to 1 . In the whole kingdom of Sueden, including all town and country inhabitants, the number
of still-borns, during the 9 years just mentioned, was 19,845; of whom 11,424 were males, and 8421 , females, or near 4 to 3. The number of the living in the , whole kingdom consisted of more females than males, in the propertion of 10 to 9 . It consisted of more females turned of 80 than males, in the proportion of 33 to 19 ; and of more females turned of 90 than males in the proportion of near 2 to 1 . See a Memoir of M. Wargentin's in the; Memoires abreges de l'Academie Royale des Sciences de Stockholm, printed at Paris in 1772, p. 21. Having now had occasion to refer again to this Memoir, I will just add, that it appears, that by the excess of the births above the deaths, Sweden gains every year an addition of above 20,000 inhabitants; and that in 6 years they increased from 2,323,195 to $2,446,394$. I am afraid, were regulations established for a similar inquiry in this kingdom, we should be far from finding our state so encouraging. London alone is a gulph which probably swallows up an increase equal to almost the whole increase ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ of Sweden.

[^82]POSTSCRIPT.

## POSTSCRIPT.

THE following Tables have beeen selected from several'more of the same kind in M. Wargentin's Memoir on the state of population in Sweden. I have inserted them here, because they fully verify most of the obsertions in the preceding paper, and contain more distinct and authentic information on the subject of human mortality than I have ever before met with.

## TABLEI.

## Shewing the Rate of human Mortality in Sweden.

|  | Annual being t rage of years, 1762, | deatbs, the avef three 1761, \& 1763. | Number of the 1 | living in | $1763 .$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males. | Femal. |  | Males. | Females. |
| Still-born | 1324 | 988 | Born | 47216 | 44892 |
| Died under 1 | 11172 | 9850 | Living under 1 | 36094 | 35453 |
| Died between 1 \& 3 | 4393 | 4336 | Living betn. 1 \& 3 | 66059 | 67234 |
| $3-5$ | 2206 | 2249 | 3-5 | 66454. | 67711 |
| 5-10 | 2151 | 2057 | 5-10 | 130019 | 130758 |
| 10-15 | 933 | 834 | 10-15 | 126696 | 128021 |
| 15-20 | 711 | 658 | 15-20 | 108312 | 109985 |
| 20-25 | 834 | 756 | 20-25 | 92299 | 105115 |
| 25-30 | 883 | 863 | 25-30 | 88056 | 101003 |
| 30-35 | 1020 | 1146 | 30-35 | 85936 | 95811 |
| 35-40 | 955 | 923 | 35-40 | 74826 | 81453 |
| 40-45 | 1180 | 1170 | 40-45 | 67448 | 74854 |
| 45-50 | 1099 | 938 | 45-50 | 52398 | 59551 |
| 50-55 | 1280 | 1113 | 50-55 | 47298 | 56646 |
| 55-60 | 1177 | 1097 | 55-60 | 37086 | 45537 |
| 60-65 | 1586 | 1721 | 60-65 | 34892 | 44925 |
| 65-70 | 1237 | 1566 | 65-70 | 20649 | 28964 |
| 70-75 | 1322 | 2041 | 70-75 | 15454 | 23159 |
| 75-80 | 1092 | 1695 | 75-80 | 8858 | 13556 |
| $80-85$ | 917 | 1446 | 80-85 | 4620 | 7487 |
| Above 85-90 | 414 | 650 | - 85-90 | 1508 | 2694 |
| Above . . . . 90 | 215 | 379 | Above . . . 90 | 527 | 988 |
| Total of annual Deaths, | 36777 | 37488 | Total of living at all ages, | 1165489 | 1280905 |
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In this Table it is observable, that the number of the living, in every equal division of life from birth, decreases continually till all become extinct; and that though the males born are more than the females born, in the proportion of 20 to 19 ; yet the males living of all ages are less: in number, in the proportion of $1,165,489$ to $1,280,905$, or mearly of 10 to 11 ; notwithstanding which, the males that die annually are to the females as 52 to 53 .

TABLE II.

## Shewing the Rate of human Mortality at Stockholm.



In this Table it may be observed, that the number living at every age from birth decreases only till five. Between 5 and 10 Stockholm begins to receive recruits from the country, and they come in faster and faster till 35 ; after which age it appears, that more die than come in; and that the living in every subsequent period goes on decreasing continually till the end of life. It is farther observable, that this Table exhibits a greater difference than the former, between the mortality of males and females.

A comparison of these Tables will shew a striking contrast in other respects between the state of human mortality in the whole kingdom of Sweden and in its capital. In order to make this more obvious and unexceptionable, I will add the following Table, deduced from all M. Wargentin's Tables taken together.

TABLE III．

| In all Sweden for nine years． |  |  | In Stockholm for 9 years． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Females． | Males． | Females． |
| Still b | 1 in 36 | 1 in 47 | 1 in 32 | 1 in |
| Died under 1 of all born， | in $4 \frac{4}{3}$ | $t$ in ， $4{ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| Died annually of the living betwn． \＆ | 1 in $17 \frac{5}{3}$ | 1 in $17 \frac{3}{4}$ | 1 in 7 | 1 in $7 \frac{5}{3}$ |
| Between．．．．．．3－5 | 1 in $34 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 in 96 | 1 in 13⿺⿻丅⿵冂⿰⿱丶丶⿱丶丶⿸厂⿱二⿺卜丿， | 1 in 16 |
| 5ewren．．．．．5－10 | 1 in 71 | 1 in 76 | 1 in 34\％ | 1 in 39 |
| 10－15 | 1 in 149 | 1 in 161 | 1 in 79 | 1 in 114 |
| 15－20 | 1 in 149 | 1 in 164 | 1 in 59 | 1 in 99 |
| 20－25 | 1 in 108 | 1 in 139 | 1 in 44 | 1 in 79 |
| 25－30 | 1 in 98 | 1 in 113 | 1 in 33 | 1 in 58 |
| 30－35 | 1 in 85 | 1 in 84 | 1 in 31 | 1 in 43 |
| 35－40 | 1 in 78 | 1 in 91 | 1 in $26 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 in 39 |
| 40－45 | 1 in 56 | 1 in 63 | 1 in 23 | 1 in 31 |
| 45－50 | 1 in 49 | in 65 | 1 in 19\％ | 1 in 28. |
| 50－55 | 1 in 37 | 1 in 50 | 1 in $16 \frac{1}{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ in ${ }^{\text {in }}$ in 248 |
| 55－60 | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { in } & 31 \\ 1 & \text { in } & 23\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { in } \\ 1 & \text { in } & 40 \\ 26\end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { in } \\ 1 & \text { in } & 11 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { in } \\ 1 & \text { in } & 24 \\ \end{array}$ |
| 65－70 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1\end{aligned}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ in 18t | 1 in $9 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 in 13 ${ }^{\frac{\pi}{3}}$ |
| 70－75 | 1 in $11 \frac{1}{3}$ | 1 in $11 \frac{1}{4}$ | 1 in $73^{3} 0$ | 1 in 8 |
| 75－80 | 1 in 8 | 1 in $8 \frac{1}{T}$ | 1 in 4t | 1 in 5 |
| 80－85 | 1 in $5_{4}^{2}$ | 1 in $5 \frac{1}{3}$ | 1 in $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 in 3d |
| 85－90 | 1 in $3 \frac{1}{3}$ | 1 in | 1 in | 1 in $2{ }^{\frac{3}{3}}$ |
| Above ．．．．． 90 | 1 in 21 | 1 in $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 in 3 3 ${ }^{3}$ | 1 in $2 \frac{1}{3}$ |
| Died of all living at all ages， | 1 in 331 | 1 in 36 | 1 in 17\％ | 1 in $21 \frac{1}{4}$ |

## A general

A general Bill of all the Christenings and Burials in the Parish of Ackworth, in the County of York extracted from the Parish Register, for ten Years, from March 25, 1747, to March 25, 1757.

| In ten years christened, In ten years buried, |  |  | Males 6 <br> Males 5 <br> \|Tot. $\mid$ | 62. Females 65. <br> 58. Females 49 . |  | Total, Total, Males | 127. <br> 107. <br> Fem. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whereof have died |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 2 years old, | 6 | 11 | 17 |  | ople | 0 |  |  |
| Between 2 and 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Ca | ncer. | 1 | 0 |  |
| 5-10 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | olic. | 1 | 0 |  |
| 10-20 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | nsumptions | 10 | 13 | 3 |
| 20-30 | 6 | 2 | 8 |  | opsy. |  | , |  |
| 30-40 | 2 | 3 | 5 |  | ers | 23 | 12 | 35 |
| -50 | 11 | 3 | 14 |  | ants | 6 | 7 | 13 |
| $50-60$ | 9 | 2 | 11 |  | nacy | 0 | -1 | 1 |
| 60-70 | 9 | 7 | 16 |  | age | 9 | 15 | 24 |
| $70-80$ | 9 | 8 | 17 |  | sey. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $80-90$ |  | 6 | 7 |  | insey | 0 | 1 |  |
| 90-100 | 1 | 1 | . 2 |  | $11-$ pox | 1 | 0 |  |
| Of all, in 10 years, | 58 | 49 | 107 | Of the tempers. | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { dis dis- } \\ 10 \mathrm{yrs} . \end{array}\right\}$ | 56 | 51 | 107 |
| In this parish there are $\left\{\begin{array}{l}160 \text { Houses, } 12 \text { of which are uninhabited. } \\ 603 \text { Souls of the following ages, viz. }\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 2 years old, | 12 | 19 | 31 | Berween | 40 and 50 | 40 | 22 | 62 |
| Between 2 and 5 | 25 | 19 | 44 |  | $50-60$ | 38 | 33 | 71 |
| 5-10 | 30 | 38 | 68 |  | 60-70 | 25 | 14 | 39 |
| 10-20 | 59 | 58 | 117 |  | 70-80 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| 20-30 | 55 | 41 | 96 |  | 80-m0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| $30-40$ | 26 | 33 | 59 |  | 90--100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Total of all | ages | 318 | 285 | Ho3 |

A general Bill of all the Christenings and Burials in the Parish of Ackworth, in the County of York, for 10 years, from March 25, 1757, to March 25, 1767.


|  | 1762 | 1763 | 1764 | 1765 | 1766 | 1767 | 1768 | 1769 | 1770 | 1771 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parish churc | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Families. | 35739 | 35696 | 35453 | 35771 | 35894 | 36375 | 36409 | 36521 | 37449 | 37285 |
| Bishop | 42 | 62 | 45 | 45 | 51 | 52 | 54. | 47 | 52 | 62 |
| Priests | 2742 | 2699 | 2718 | 2617 | 2531 | 2652 | 2676 | 2819 | 3031 | 2925 |
| Religious | 4381 | 4291 | 3588 | 4509 | 4258 | 4105 | 4310 | 4088 | 3792 1692 | 3739 1594 |
| Nuns. | 1725 | 1892 | 1661 | 1759 | 1684 | 1738 | 1709 | 1695 | 1692 939 | 1594 491 |
| Collegians and scholars. | 868 | 970 | 763 | 888 | 734 | 1153 | 907 491 | 1197 592 | 939 72 | 491 665 |
| Cardinals courts or atteodants.. | 812 | 791 | 765 | 544 | 827 | 588 | 491 | 592 1970 | 72 1426 | 665 1386 |
| Poor pensioners of the hospital. . | 1050 | 8.58 | 1271 | 1725 | 1903 | 2839 390 | 2010 | 1970 405 | 1426 446 | 1386 402 |
| Prisoners | 339 | 240 | 336 | 402 | 370 | 390 88577 | 251 88865 | 405 88415 | 86610 | 87547 |
| Males of all ages | 90239 | 87396 | 88618 | 87205 | 88280 | 88577 | 88865 | 88415 70491 | 866183 | 87547 72128 |
| Females of all ages . . . . . . . . | 67219 | 71423 | 73286 | 70890 | 69588 | 71183 | 69982 120820 | 121455 | 120385 | 72128 119984 |
| Above 14 years of age . . . . . . | 120696 36762 | 123211 | 125391 36508 | 120300 37795 | 119661 <br> 38207 | 122150 37610 | 120820 | 121455 37451 | 120385 | 119984 39691 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}37 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 61 | $\begin{array}{r}75 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -86 | 120 | 49 | -63 | 77 | 84 | 91 |
| Blacks . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9 | 11 | 8 |  | 12 | a | 10 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| Devotees | 18 | 30 | 28 | 31 | 23 | -22 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 20 |
| Births | 4989 | 5336 | 5420 | 4828 | 4962 | 4310 | 4.595 | 4891 | 4967 | 4216 |
| Deaths | 7149 | 6493 | 7361 | 8375 | 7722 | 7528 | 9574 | 6972 | 6646 | 5850 |
| Total of inhabitants . . . . . . . . | 157 | 158819 | 1618 | 158095 | 157868 | 15976 | 158847 | 15890 | 1584 | 159675 |

## ESSAY II.

Proofs of the Insalubrity of marshy Situations: In a Letter to the Rev. Dr. Horsley, read to the Royal Society, Jan. 13, 1774, and published in the Philosophical Transactions. Vol lxiv. p. 96.

Dear:Sir,

DR. Priestley's paper on the noxious effects of stagnant waters, read last Thursday to the Royal Society, brought to my. remembrance a Table exhibiting the rate of mortality in a parish situated among marshes, which, I had seen in . Mr. Muret's. Obseivations, published in the Memoirs : for 1766 of the Economical Society at Bern. I have since examined this Table, and found that it contains a full confirmation of Dr. Priestley's assertions. This parish is a part of the district of Vaud, belonging to the canton of Bern, in Switzerland, and contained 169 families, and 696 inhabitants. Mr. Muret's Table of the rate of mortality in it is formed from a register of the ages at which all died in it for 15 years. With this Table he has also given Tables vol. II.

R
from
from like registers of the rates of mortality in seven small towns; in 36 country parishes and villages; in' 16 parishes situated in the Alps ; in 12 corn parishes; and in 18 vintage parishes.-From comparing these Tables it appears that the probabilities of living are highest in the most hilly parts of the province, and lowest in the marshy parish just mentioned. The difference is indeed remarkable, as will appear from the following particulars. One half of all born in the mountains live to the age of 47. In the marshy parish, one half live only to the age of 25. In the hills one in 20 of all that are born live to 80 . In the marshy parish, only one in 52 reachea this age. In the hills, a person' aged 40 has a chance of 80 to 1 , for living a year. In the marshy parish, his chance for living a year is not 30 to $1 .-$ In the hills, persons aged 20,30 , and 40 , have an even chance for living 41, 33, and 25 years respectively. In the fenny parish, persons, at these ages, have an even chance of living only 80,23 , and 15 years.

I am sensible that observations for only 15 years, in one small parish, do not afford as decisive and ample an authoritys, in the presenticase, as there is reason to wish for; and that; therefore, the perfect exactness of the particulars I have recited, cannot be depended on.-They are, however, sumf. ciently
cienitly diear the troth to demonstrate, in gez meral, the unhealthfulnesd of a marshy situation; and as the register from which they are derived is the only one, in such a situation, which I have ever met with, and Dr. Alexander's experimènts may lead some to vefy wrong conclusions on this subject; I could not belp thinking, that there would be no impropriety in sending you the aceount thave now given. If you think it of any importance, I shall be obliged to you for reading it to the Royal Society.

1 cannot help taking this opportúnity to add my wishes, that such registers of mortality as those published by Mr. Muret, were established in every part of this kingdom. We might then determine immediately every such question as that which has occasioned this letter; and know certainly what influence different airs and different situations have on the duration of life. Two ingenious physicians, Dr. Percival at Manchester ${ }^{2}$, and Dr. Haygarth at Chester, have lately, with much zeal, promoted institutions of this kind; and a great deal of useful information may be expected from the accurate and comprehensive registers of mortality, which, under
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their direction, have been established in these towns. But the instruction arising from these establishments cannot be complete, till they become universal.

I am, Sir,<br>Your most obedient<br>and humble Servant, RICHARD PRICE.

Newington Creen,<br>Dec. 81, 1778.

GENERAL

# GENERAL INTRODU்CTION, 

containing

An Account of the New Tables of the Duration of Human Life at Chester, Warrington, the Kingdom of Sweden, Stockholm, London, छ'c. inserted in the following Collection of Tables.

I HAVE in the second Essay in this Volume, p. 97, and in the Postscript to the 4th Chapter in the preceding Volume, p. 210, given an account of the improvement which was made in the former edition of this work, of the Table of Observations for Northampton, and of my reasons for wishing to discard the tables of the values of single and joint lives, founded on Mr. De Moivre's hypothesis, and substituting in their room the tables in the following collec-tion.-I was farther enabled to improve this work, in that edition of it, by inserting tables
tables formed from a register of mortality established near uwenty years ago at Chrs TER,-This register was formed on the plan proposed in the 2d Essay in this Volume, page 111 , and, therefore, is more comprehensive than any register of the same kind that has been hitherto established.

Chester is a healthy town, of moderate size, where the births had, for many years, a little exceeded the burials; and the register to which I refer had the particular advantage of being under the direction of Dr. Haygarth, its founder * as well as conductore. As it gives an aecurate accoupt of the distempers of which all the inhabitants die in every season, and at eyery age, it contains much physical instruction; hut my viewa lead me only to take notice of that part of it which gives the law according to which human life wastes in all its different stages, both ạmong males and females.

A summary of this part of the registor is given in the introduction to the Chrster tables, in the following collection of tables.

Concerning these Tables it is necessary I should make the following observations.

The Table for females must be considered as particularly çorrect, because the number
a This able and ingenious physician has given another proof of his zeal to render his professional qharacter as useful as possible, by instituting a plan, which he has been carrying on at Chesler, for preventing the spread of the sunall-pox by infection, and thus gradually. extesminating it.
of females born and buried in Chester are: very nearly equal. - On the contrary; the number of males born being about an 8th greater than the number buried, it follows that, in the table of decrements for males, the number of the living, and consequently the probabilities of living at every age, for at least 10 or 15 of the first years of life, must be given too low.

The expectation of a female at birth is, according to these tables, nearly $33 \div$ years; and of a male 28 . The number of females, therefore, at Cunster, is to the number of males as $33 \frac{1}{4}$ to $28 \frac{1}{y}$, or in the proportion of 8000 to 6771 , which is the pror portion discovered by a survey in 1774, when the females in this city were found to be 8016 , and the males $6697^{\text {b }}$.

These tables are farther confirmed by the proportion which they give of the number of males and females living under 15 to the whole number. This proportion is by the

- It appeared from this survey (made with great care under the direction of Dr. Haygarth) that in 1774 there were in the tea parishes of Chester, including the suburbs, Families. lnhabitants. Males. Females.

| 3428. | 14718 | $6(6)$ | 8016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Married. | Widowers. | Widows. | Under 15 : |
| 4881 | 258 | 736 | 4486 | Above 70. Recovered of the small- Dead of the smallpox in 1774.

$625 \quad 1183$
IH of the small-pox
in Jan. $17{ }^{2} 5$.
19
pox in 1774. 02
Not had the small-pos in Jan. 1775. 1060
tables nearly that of 4486 to 14,888 , and the actual numbers found by the enumeration in 1774, were 4486 and 14,713 .

In like manner; the number of the living above 70 was, by the same survey, found to be 625 ; and the tables give this number nearly the same.

The expectation at birth, taking males and females together, is at Chester, by the tables, rear 31; and therefore one in 31 ought to die annually. But the quotient arising from dividing the number of inhabitants ( 14,713 ) by 1.59 (the medium of annual burials from 1772 to 1781), will shew that in reality no more than one in 36 die annually.-The reason of this difference is, first, that the births exceed the burials; and that, consequently, a table which takes the burials for its radix, must give the expectations of life too low.-A second reason is, the emigration of males from Chester; in consequence of which, though more males than females are born, and though males are also more short-lived; yet fewer die at Chester, many dying in the army, navy, militia, \&c. The effect of the first of these causes will be particularly exemplified hereafter, in the case of the kingdom of Sweden.

Observations similar to these may be made on the tables in the following collection, formed from a register of mortality at Warrington in Lancashire, founded and conducted by the ingenious Dr. Aikin (then the physician
sician there,) to whose kindness and communicativeness, as well as to Dr. Haygarth's, I have been much obliged. See Tables 41st and 42d.

The expectation of a male just born, at Warrington, is, by these tables, 204: of a female $25 \frac{1}{5}$; and of males and females taken together, 23 t' nearly.

In the beginning of 1781 Dr . Aikin procured an enumeration of the houses and inhabitants in Warrington and its vicinity, consisting of the town of Warrington, the township as far as the lays are collected, Poulton, Fearnhead, and Woolston. The number of houses, including 74 uninhabited, was 2000; of inhabitants 9501, or $4{ }^{\frac{5}{5} \frac{5}{88}}$ to a house.-The number of inhabitants divided by 302 (the annual average of burials for 9 years from 1773 to 1781) gives $31_{3}^{2}$, but divided by 321 , the annual average of burials for five years, from 1777 to 1781 (which, in this case, seems the fairest average) gives $29 \frac{3}{3}$. There is, therefore, in this town, a greater difference between the proportions dying annually, as determined by enumeration and by calculation from the register, than there is at Chester; and the reason is, that the two causes just mentioned operate more here. The births in particular (the annual average of which for the 5 years just mentioned was 411) exceed the burials much more at Warrington; and therefore the burials are much more below
the true average, and the probabilities of living exhibited by the table of decrements, much more below the true probabilities. Every one must be struck with the difference, in respect of longevity, which these tables exhibit between the inhabitants of Warringten and Chester; and it will appear moro semarkable when it is considered, that about an 8th or 9th of the inhabitants included in the Warrington bills, are inhabitants of the country for a mile or two round Warrington. ——Chester appears, indeed, to be an extraordinary exception to the hurtful effects of towns on the duration of life. The probabilities of living in it, though lower than in country parishes, are considerably higher than in any other city where observations have been made. I am not qualified to explain the causes which give it this distinction. A probable account of them has been given by Dr. Haygarth, in a paper printed at Chester, and containing Observation on the Population and Diseases of Chester in 1774.

It is farther observable, that these tables agree in exhibiting, in a striking light, the difference between the probabilities of living among males and females. But this difference will appear more evidently from the Tables for Sweden, of which I am next to give an account.

There are two sorts of data for forming tables of the probabilities of the duration
of human life every age, One is furo nished by registers of mortality shewing the numbers dying at all ages. The other, by. the proportions of deaths at all ages to the numbers living at those ages discovered by. surveys or enumerations.-Tables formed from the former of these data, are correct only when there is no considerable fluctur. ation among the inhabitants of a place, and the births and burials are equal. When there are more removals from than to a place, and the births exceed the burials, as is almost always the case in country parishes and villages, tables so formed give the prom babilities of living too low. When the contrary happens, as is generally the case in towns, they give the probabilities of living. too high; But tables formed from the latter of these data, are subject to no errors. They must be correct, whatever the fluctuations are in a place, and how great soever the inequalities may be between the births and burials.--II know of no observations extant which furnish the means of forming such tables, except those published by the late Mr . Wargentiu in the Memoirs of the Academy of Sciences at Srockноlm, in 1776; an abstract of which I have given in the first additional Essay in this volume; and a continuation of which, from 1.763 to 1776, Mr. Wargentin with the greatest goodness, communicated to me some time before his death. These observations are more curious than any that
have
have been yet published, and leave us little to wish for on this subject, except that similar observations were made in other kingdoms under the direction of men equally able and ingenious with Mr. Wargentin.-It is from the result of all these observations taken together, that I have constructed 'Tables 44th, 45 th, \&c. in the following collection.

The Tables for Sweden at large, compared with those for Stockholm the capital, confirm, in a very striking manner, all that I have said in this volume, and other parts of this work, of the difference between the duration of life in great towns, and in the country.-They likewise furnish the most indisputable evidence for the shorter duration of the lives of males than of females; and it deserves particular notice, that the tables for Sweden at large differ, in this respect, but little from the tables formed from Dr. Haygarth's Observations at Chester. These observations give sufficient data for calculating, with some correctness, distinct tables of the values of lives among males and females, taken separately and conjunctly; but I have preferred for this purpose the Sweden observations, because (as hath been just observed) more correct in. their nature; and because also (being made on the inhabitants of a whole kingdom for 21 years, and the enumeration which gives them their chief value having been repeated at seven different periods) they are much
more to be depended on, and must give a juster valuation of lives among mankind at large, including all town and country inhabitants.

I have, for my own satisfaction; con' structed tables for Sweden and Stockholm from the former of the data I have mentioned (or the numbers dying every year in every stage of life, as given by Mr. Wargentin) ; but being afraid of crowding this volume too much with tables, I have not inserted them. The reader, if he chuses to make such tables for himself, is furnished with sufficient means of doing it in the first Essay in the beginning of this volume: and he will find, on comparing them with Tables 44th, \&c. all the errors exemplified arising from the common methods of constructing tables of observation. In particular; he will find that though it appears from the tables for Sweden in the following collection, that the true expectation of a child just born in that kingdom, taking males and females together, is $35_{\frac{1}{\prime}}^{\prime}$; yet, a table formed from the numbers dying in every stage of life in the method described in the second Essay in this Volume, will, (in consequence of the births exceeeding the burials near a third of the burials) give this expectation only 25 years and three quarters; in connexion with which, he will also find, that in all the first stages of
life it gives the probabilities of living muck too low.

I must add, that such a table formed fort Stockholm, and compared with the correct table (or Table 46th), will exhibit all the errors in the common tables for London; der scribed in the Ensay just referred to ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$

For

- In a table thus constructed (that is? on the suppo wition that all who die at Stockholm were born there) the nambers in the dellumn of the living will be,
Malds. . Fernules.

$$
10 \text { 亿,502 } \because 8,422
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
16 \\
19 \\
19 & 5,108 & 5,915 \\
20 & 5,869 & 5,180 \\
& 5,140
\end{array}
$$

Totals, including the mon-
242,100 285,36\%
These totals-rivided by 10,000, sind that quatients atio minishod by hadf unity, give 28.71 the expectation of a male at birth in Slockholm, and 28 the expectation of female. The expectation, therefore, at birth of males and fermales conjointy, is, by this table, $: 25.85^{\prime}$ (or $255_{3}^{4}$ ) which agrees almost exactly with the expectation at birth by

For instance: According to the correct table, the expectation of a male at birth in gtockholm is only $14 \frac{1}{4}$; and of a female 18. But in a table formed from the deaths only, in the same manner with Table 13th for London, the former expectation comes out no less than $23 \frac{3}{4}$, and the latter 28.-A-Again. The correct table makes 62 hundredths die annually of the males living between birth
by a table formed in the same manner for London. See Essay II. p. 82, and Table 13th, in the following col-lection.-It deserves particular notice, that there is like agreement between these tables at every age between birth and the utmost extent of life, as will sufficiently appear from the following comparison.

Expictations of males and females conjointly, by a tas ble of observations constructed from the bills, on the supposition that all who die were born.

| at Srocriolm. |  | at London. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayo 10. | 964 | - | 37 |
| 20 | 29 | - | 294 |
| 30 | $23 \frac{1}{3}$ | - | $24{ }^{10}$ |
| 40 | $19 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | $19 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 50 | $15 \frac{4}{5}$ | - | 15\% |
| 60 | 117 | - | $11{ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| 70 | 73 | - | 8 |

With these expectations compare the true expectations at stockholms deduced from Table 44th.

| Age 10 | 333 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 26 \% |
| 30 | $22{ }^{2}$ |
| 40 | 17t |
| 50 | 13. |
| 60 | $9 \frac{1}{1}$ |
| 70 | 64 |

and five years of age; one in $3 \frac{1}{3}$ of the males living between 5 and 10 ; one in 65 , between 8 and 10 ; one in 69 , between 10 and 20 ; one in 40, between 20 and 30 ; one in $29 \frac{1}{2}$ between 30 and 40 ; one in 22, between 40 and 50 ; one in 16 , between 50 and 60 ; one in 11 , between 60 and 70 ; and one in 7 between 70 and 80 . But the other table, would make only 43 hundredths die between birth and five years of age; one in 70 , between 5 and 10 ; one in 120, between 8 and 16 ; one in 117 , between 10 and 20 ; one in 50 , between 20 and 30 ; one in 30 , between 30 and 40 ; one in 23 , between 40 and 50 ; one in $18 \frac{1}{2}$, between 50 and 60 ; one in $13 \frac{1}{2}$, between 60 and 70 ; and one in 9 between 70 and 80.

Of pemales, the correct table makes fifty-nine hundredths die annually of the living between birth and five years of age; one in 39 of the living between 5 and 10 ; one in 90 , between 8 and 16 ; one in 107 , between 10 and 20; one in 68, between 20 and 30 ; one in 41 , between 30 and 40 ; one in 30 ; between 40 and 50 ; one in $24 \%$; between 50 and 60 ; one in 15, between 60 and 70 ; and one in $7 \frac{1}{2}$, between 70 and 80 . But the other table would make only fortytwo hundredths ${ }^{d}$ of females die between birth and five years of age; one in 72, - d Compare the last note with the correct Table, or Table 46th.
betweeni 5 and 10 ; one in 180, between 8 . and 16; one in 191, between 10 and 20; one in 70, between 20 and 30 ; orre in 42, between 30 and 40 ; one in 35 , between 40 and 50 ; one in 32 , between 50 and 00 ; one in 21, between 60 and 70 ; and one in $10 \frac{1}{5}$, between 70 and 80 .

Farther. The correct table makes the number of inhabitants (taking males and females together) dying annually at Stockholm, to be nearly a 16 th and a half. The other would make it a 26 th part of the inhabitants; whereas the number actuatly dying is nearly a 1gth.-The former table gives this preportion too great, because, in consequence of giving the trae order in which agiven mumber born witl die, it gives only the ext pectation at' birth in Stochtholm; and therefore, cannot include the expertation at entrance of those who begin their residence in Slackholme after infancy.-The other mast give this proportion too little, for the reasons explained in the 2d Essay, p. 82, \&c.

In order to make a table constructed for Stockitolan in the manier mentioned in the note p .253 , a just representation of the inha-

[^84]bitants, the numbers of the living (the decrements continuing the same) should be diminished at every age by a number equal to the annual average of new-comers at and after that age. After this diminution, the table will exhibit the same probabilities of life at every age with Table 46th; and if the sum of the remaining numbers is divided by the sum of the decrements, the quotient lessened by half unity will, agreeably to the rule in p. 86 of this Volume, give the number which I have called the expectation at entrance, and consequently the true proportion of inhabitants dying. annually.-But there being no observations which make a subtraction of this kind at every particular age practicable; it is necessary to. be satisfied with such a subtraction at the ibeginning of mature life as that directed in the 2 d Essay, p. 84, \&c. The Stockholm observations happily give a proof of the necessity and use of this subtraction, by informing us of the true probabilities of living at Stockholm, as exhibited in Table 46th; and at the same time furnishing us with the means of constructing a table (like the 13 th for London) of the probabilities of living in this town, on the supposition that all who die were born there. Let therefore, (since the excess of the burials above the births is nearly the same ${ }^{f}$ in both cities) the correction be applied

[^85]to this last table which has been applied to Table 1 3th for London. That is; let it be supposed that one quarter of all males and females who die at $\$ t o c k h o l m$, begin their residence in their 20th year; and in conformity to this supposition, let 2500 , or a quarter of the radix, be subtracted from all the numbers living at every age before 20, preserving the decrements the same. The result will be a table which, when compared with Table 46th; will appear to exhibit more nearly the true probabilities of living in all the stages of life. By giving them, how:ever, too high, it will appear that the correction ${ }^{8}$ has not been sufficient; and that,

- After this correction, the numbers in the note, p. 253, will be

|  |  | Living. |  |  | Living. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males at age | 0 | 7,500 | Females at age | 0 |  |
| $\cdots \quad$. | 1. | 4,582 4,022 |  | 2 | 4,760 4,148 |
|  | ${ }^{2}$ | 4,022 3,199 |  | 2 | 4,148 3,309 |
|  | 10 | 2,802 |  | 10 | 2,909 |
| : ... | 15 | 2,608 |  | 15 | 2,790 |
|  | 19 | 2,415 |  | 19 | 2,680 |
|  | 20 | 4,865 |  | 20 | 5,145 |
|  | 25 | 4,480 |  |  | 4,854 |
|  | sc. | \&c. | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Therefore the expectation at entrance of malcs is 18 -"̈r, of females is $23 \mathrm{~T}_{\frac{3}{8}}$; of both conjointly $20, \frac{8,75}{3}$; but these expectations are really (as appears from the observations) $16.80-20.93$, and 18.59 respectively.

$$
\text { s } 2 \quad \text { consequently }
$$

consequently, the expectation at entrance will come out, though much nearer, yet stili chove the truth.

I have thought it worth while to make these observations, in order to shew, from an unquestionable fact, what juidgment ought to be formed of the tables for Loondon in tho following collection; and it seems imposair ble not to be couvinced by them that though these tables give the probabilities of the:duration of life in London (and consequently the valuos of life-annuitiks) strikingly lover than in ather situations, yet they, do not give them low enough; and that, in particular, the number by which the annual deaths ought to be multiplied to find the number of inhabitants, and which Table 14th determines to be $20 \frac{8}{8}$, is not probably so much 2520.

In short. From the agreement in almost every particular between the London and Stockholn bills, and between two tables formed on the same principles from the deaths only in both towns, it seems a necessary conclusion that, since one of these tables (even after the correction explained in the fourth essay) gives certainly too favourable a representation of human life, the other must do the same.

The following fact has some tendeney to confirm this conclusion.

It appears from the midwifery reports of the general Westminster Infirmary, that of

1618 married men, and 1618 married women, examined by. Dr. Bland the physician to this Infirmary, only 329 of the, men and $495^{\circ}$ of the women, had been born in London; ; that is, a fifth of the men, and somewhat more than a guarter of the women. But the correction I have been considering implies, that a number equal to half of all turned of 20 in London, are natives of Londont; and therefore, if we may judge at all from this fact, it must be an insufficient correction.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Dr. Bland's account in the Philosophical Tratssetions, Vol. 7 1st,' Part 11. . p. 370.-OOf the whold nuaber (3236) four-sevenths, or 1870, were bern in the duffernt countice of England and Walos; 209 in Scotland; 280 in Irelarat; and 53 were foreigness. .

TABLE

The present Value of $\mathcal{E}$ to be received at the End of any Number of Years, not exceeding 100 ;

|  |  | Sp |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 980392 | . 975609.970874 | g(66184. | .96i538 | . 956938 |
| 2 | . 961168 | . 951814.942596 | . 933511. | . 924556 | . 915780 |
| 3 | . 942322 | . 928599.915142 | . 901943 . | . 888996 | . 876297 |
| 4 | . 923845 | . 905950.388487 | .871442. | . 854804 | . 838561 |
| 5 | . 905731 | .883854.862609 | . 841973. | . 821927 | :809451' |
| 6 | . 887971 | . $862297\|.837484\|$ | . 813501. | . 7903 l 5 | .767.896 |
| 7 | .870560 | . 841265.813092 | . 785991.7 | .759918 | . 734828 |
| 8 | . 853490 | .820746\|.789409 | .7594d2. | . 730690 | . 708185 |
| 9 | . 836755 | . 800728.766417 | .733731. | . 702587 | . 672904 |
| 10 | .8¢0348 | . 781198.744094 | . 708919 | . 675564 | . $6+3928$ |
| 11 | .804263 | . 762145.722421 | . 684.946 | . 649581 | . 616199 |
| 12 | .788493 | .743556 701380 | .667 788 | . 624597 | . 589664 |
| 13 | . 773083 | 725420.680951 | :639407 | . 600574 | . 564272 |
| 14 | . 757875 | . 707727.661118 | . 61.7782 | . 577475 | . 539973. |
| 15 | . 743915 | . 6904651.641862 | . 596891. | . 555265 | . 516720 |
| 16 | . $7284+6$ | . 673625.623167 | . 576706 | .533908 | . 494469 |
| 17 | . 714162 | . 65 7 195.605016 | . 557204. | . 519373 | . 473176 |
| 18 | . 700159 | . 647166.587395 | . 538361 . | . 4933628 | . 452800 |
| 19 | . 686431 | . $625528^{\circ} .570280^{\circ}$ | . 520156 . | . 474642 | . 433302 |
| 20 | . 672971 | . $610271^{\prime} .553676$ | . 502566 | . 4563837 | . 414643 |
| 21 | . 659776 | .595386 . 53754.9 | . 485571. | . 438834 | . 596787 |
| 22 | . $6+6839$ | . 5 S 0865.521893 | . 469151. | . 421955 | . 379701 |
| 23 | . 634156 | . 566697 . 506692 | . 453286 | . 405726 | . 363350 |
| 24 | . 621721 | . 552875.491 .934 | . 437957 . | . 390121 | . 347703 |
| 25 | .609531 | . 539391.477606 | . 423147 | . 375117 | . 332731 |
| 26 | . 597579 | . 526235 .463695 | . 4 '8838 | . 360689 | . 318402 ) |
| 27 | . 5858862 | . $513399 \mid .450189$ | .39j012 | 346817 | . 304691 |
| 28 | . 574374 + | . 500878.437077 | . 381654. | . 333477 | . 291.571 |
| 29 | . 563112 | .488661 ${ }^{\text {2 }} 4243+6$ | . $968748^{\prime}$. | .320631 | . 279015 |
| 30 | .558071 | . $4767+3$ 2 411.987 | . 356275. | . 308319 | .267000 |
| 31 | -541:46 | . 4 (i5115 . 399987 | . 344230 . | .296460 | . 255502 |
| 32 | . 5306333 | .45:3770 .338337 | . 332590. | .2ヶ5058 | .244500 |
| 33 | .520290 | . $412703 . .377020$ | . 321343. | . 27 4094 | . 233971 |
| 34 | . 510028 | .431905. 366045 | . 310476 | . 263552 | . 223896 |
| 35 | . 500008 | . 421371.33 .383 | .299977. | .253415 | 214254 |
| 36 | . 4902223 | .411093.345032 | . 2898.33 . | . 243669 | . $2 \times 628$ |
| 37 | . 480611 | .401067 334.983 | . 280032. | . 234297 | . 196199 |
| 38 | . +71187 | . 391285.325220 | .270562!. | . 225285 | . 187750 |
| 39 | . 4619.48 | . 381741.315754 | . 261413 . | .216621 | . 179665 |
| 40 | .4.58890 | . 3794391.306 .557 | . 252572 | 208289 | . $1719 ? 9$ |
| 41 | $1.444010^{\circ}$ | $.3533+7 \times 97028$ | . 241031 ! | .200278\| | . 164525 |

discounting at the Ratesidfl2, $2 \frac{1}{2}, 3,3 \frac{i}{4}, 4$, $4 \perp, 5,6,7,8,9$ and 10 per cent Compound Interest.

|  |  | $5 \cdot{ }^{7 p}$ | 8 percent. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 9i2381. | .943396 934579 | . 925926.91 | 909090 |
| 2 | 907029 | .889996\|.873438 | .858.338.841680 | 826446 |
| 3 | ¢863838 | :839619 816297 $^{\text {c }}$ | . 793832.772183 | . 751314 |
| 4 | . 822702 | .792094 -762895 | .735029 708425 | . 683013 |
| 5 | .783526 | .747258.712986 | . $680583.6+9931$ | . 620921 |
| 6 | . 746215 | :704961 .666342 | .630169 .596267 | . 564473 |
| 7 | . 71068 | 6665057 .622749 | . 533490.547034 | . 513158 |
| 8 | . 676839 | .627412. 582009 | .540268 .501866 | . 466507 |
| 9 | . 044609 | : 59 +898. 543933 | . $500249 \cdot 460427$ | . $42+097$ |
| 10 | .613913 | :558395. 508349 | .463193 -422410 | . 385543 |
| 11 | . 584679 | . 526788.475092 | . 428882.387532 | . 350493 |
| 12 | . 556837 | .496969.444012 | . 397113.355534 | . 318630 |
| 13 | . 530321 | . 468839.414964 | . 367698.326178 | :289664 |
| 14 | . 505068 | . 442301.387817 | . 340461 -299246 | . 263331 |
| 15 | . 481017 | . 417265.362446 | . 315241 -274538 | . 239392 |
| 16 | . 458112 | . 393646.338734 | .291890-251869 | . 217629 |
| 17 | .436297 | .371364.316574 | .270269.231073 | . 197844 |
| 18 | .415521 | . 3503444.29586 .4 | .250249.211993 | . 179858 |
| 19 | -395734 | .330513.27650S | . 231712.194489 | . 163508 |
| 20 | -376889 | . 311805.258419 | . $21+548.178430$ | . 148643 |
| 21 | . 358942 | . 294155.241513 | . 198655.163698 | . 135130 |
| . 22 | 3+1850 | . 277505.225713 | $.1839+0.150181$ | . 122845 |
| 23 | . 325571 | . 261797.210947 | . 170315.197781 | . 111678 |
| 24 | . 310068 | .246979.197146 | .157699 .126404 | . 101525 |
| 25 | -295303 | .232999. 184249 | . 1446018.115967 | . 092296 |
| 26 | .281241 | .219810.172195 | . 135201.106392 | . 08390 - |
| 27 | :267848 | -207368. 160930 | .125186. 097607 | .07627T |
| 28 | . 255094 | '195630.150402 | . 115913.089548 | . 009349 |
| 29 | . 242946 | 184557. 140562 | . 107527.0821 .54 | . 063039 |
| 80 | . 231377 | .174110.131367 | .n99377 .075371 | .057308 |
| 31 | 220359 | -164255-122773 | . 092010.069147 | .052095 |
| 32 | -209866 | .154957 \| 114741 | . 085200.06343 S | . 047302 |
| 33 | -199873 | .146186.107234 | . 078889.058200 | . 043056 |
| 34 | -190355. | .137912.100219 | .073045 .053394 | . 039142 |
| 35 | -181290 | . 130105 -09366 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | .067684.048936 | . 03553. |
| 36 | . 172657 | $.1227+1.087535$ | .062624 044941 | .032349 |
| 37 | - 264436 | . 115793.081808 | . 057985.041230 | . 02.9408 |
| 38 | -156605 | . 11092394.076456 | .053690.037820 | . 026734 |
| 39 | -149148 | .10305G . 071455 | . 049713.084703 | 024304 |
| 40 | -142046 | . 0972224.066780 | . 046031.031837 | 022094 |
| 41 | $\underline{135282}$ | . 091719.602412 | .0426211.029208 | . 020080 |

TABLE $L_{0}$ ccntinued.

|  | 2p | T | Pp |  |  | \%remil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 |  |  | 288959 | . 235779 | 192575 | 1 |
| 43 | 426769 | S45838 | 280543 | . 22780 | 185168 | . $15066{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 44 | 418401 | . 337409 | (27a382 | . 22010 | 178 | . 144173 |
| 45 | 410197 | -32917, | +264489 | .2126 | 17119 |  |
| 46 | 402158 | .321146 | .256737 | . 20546 | 164614 | . 132023 |
| 47 | -394268 | . 315318 | -249959 | . 19855 | , 158088 | . 126838 |
| 48 | . 986537 | .305671 | 1.241999 | :191896 | . 159195 | . 120898 |
| 49 | \$7\$958 | .298216 | .23+950 | . 185820 | . 146344 | . 115692 |
| 59 | . 371528 | . 290942 | 2.228147 | . 179058 | , 140713 | . 110710 |
| 51 | -36424, | . 283846 | 6. 221463 | . 172998 | . 136301 | . 105942 |
| 52 | -357101 | . 276923 | . 215013 | -167148 | -130097 | . 101380 |
| 53 | -350099 | . 270168 | 8.208750 | . 161496 | -125093 | . 097014 |
| 54 | . $3+3294$ | -263579 | 202670 | . 15 | . 120282 | . 092837 |
| 55 | 336504 | . 257150 | - 196767 | . 15075 | . 115656 | . 088889 |
| 56 | -329906 | . 250878 | -191036 | . 1456 | 111807 | . 085013 |
| 57 | -323487 | .24+7.59 | 9. 185472 | . 1407 | 106430 | . 081353 |
| 58 | -317095 | . 238790 | . 180070 | -13597 | 102817 | 077849 |
| 59 | -310878 | : 232966 | 6. 174825 | . 13137 | .098363 | . 074497 |
| 60 | -304782 | . 227283 | 1. 169733 | . 1269 | .093060 | . 071289 |
| 61 | -298806 | . 221740 | -164789 | . 122642 | .091404 | . 068219 |
| 62 | -292947 | . 216332 | -159990 | . 118495 | . 087859 | . 065281 |
| 63 | -257203 | 211055 | 155330 | . 1144 | 084508 | . 062470 |
| 64 | - 281572 | -20;907 | . 150806 | . 110616 | .081258 | .059780 |
| 65 | 276051 | . $20088{ }^{\text {d }}$ | - 146413 | . 106875 | . 078.13 | . 057206 |
| 66 | . 270638 | . 195986 | . 142149 | . 1032 | 075128 | . 054743 |
| 67 | -265331 | -19120; | -1.38009 | . 099769 | 4,49288 | . 052385 |
| 68 | -260128 | . 186542 | . 133989 | . 0963 | -069460 | .050129 |
| 69 | -255023 | . 18.199 | 130086 | . 0981 | 466788 | .047971 |
| 70 | -250027 | . 177533 | -126897 | . 0899 | . 064219 | . 045905 |
| 71 | -245125 | . 173228 | . 122619 | .096943 | . 061749 | .043928 |
| 72 | -240319 | -168998 | . 119047 | .084003 | .059974 | .042037 |
| 73 | -235607 | -164s76 | 4. 115580 | . 081162 | .057091 | .040226 |
| 74 | -230987 | .160854 | 1112214 | . 07841 | . 054895 | .038494 |
| 75 76 | -226458 | $\cdot 156931$ | 1. 108945 | . 07576 | .05978 | . 0368386 |
| 77 | -217664 | . 14.43649 | $\begin{aligned} & 4-10 \$ 772 \\ & 9-102691 \end{aligned}$ | . 0737804 . | . 01048801 | .035250 |
| 78 | -213396 | -146296. | . 099700 | .068336 | .046924 | . 032280 |
| 79 | . 209212 | . 14217 | 096796 | . 066026 | .045120 | . 030390 |
| 80 | . 205109 | . 138704. | . 093977 | .063798 | . 043388 | .029550 |
| 81 | 201088 | .135321. | . 091240 | . 061636 | . 041716 | .028287 |
| ; 82 | -197145 | -13202: | . 088582 | ,059551 | 1040111 | . 087069 |
| \$3 | -193279 | . 12880 | . 186002 | .0j7538 | .038569 | 025903 |
| 84 | -189490 | . 12505 | .083497 | .055592. | . 037085 | .024787 |
|  | + | . 12299 | O81065 | . 053 | .035659 | . 023720 |

TABLE I. continued.


## TABLE I. continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86 | . 182132 | . 119604 | . 078704 | . 051890 | .03428 | . 022699 |
| 87 : | . 178560 | . 116687 | . $076+12$ | . 050141 | .032969. | .021721 |
| 88 | . 175059 . | . 113841 | . 074186 | . 048445 | . 031701 | . 020786 |
| . 89 | . 171627 | . 111065 | . 072026 | :046807 | .030481 | . 019891 |
| 90 | .168261 | . 108356 | .069928 | . 04.5224 | . 029309 | . 019034 |
| 91 | .164962 | . 105713 | . 067891 | . 043695 | . 028182 | . 018215 |
| 92 | . 161727 | . 103134 | .065914 | . 042217 | . 027098 | . 017430 |
| 93 | . 158556 | . 100619 | .063994 | . 040789 | .026056 | . 016680 |
| 94 | . 155447 | . 098165 | . 062130 | . 039410 | . 025053 | . 015961 |
| 95 | . 152399 | . 095770 | . 060320 | . 038077 | .024090 | . 015274 |
| 96 | . $1+9+11$ | . 093435 | .058562 | .03679 | . 023163 | . 014616 |
| 97 | -146482 | . 091156 | .05685S | . 035546 | . 022272 | . 013987 |
| 98 | .14j6C9 | . 088932 | .05.5902 | .034344 | . 021416 | . 013385 |
| 99 | . 140793 | . 086763 | . 053594 | . 03318 ? | . 020592 | . 012808 |
| 100 | 1.138033 | .0846+7 | . 052033 | . 032060 | . 019800 | . 012257 |

Tables.

## TABLE I. continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 1015056 | . 00 |  | . 000275 |
| 87 | .014339 | .006286 .002777 | .001836.00055 | . 000250 |
| 88 | . 013657 | .005930.002595 | $.001144,000508$ | :000227 |
| 89 | .013006 | .005595.002425 | .001060,000466 | . 000207 |
| 90 | .012387 | . 005278.00226 | .000981.000428 | . 000188 |
| 91 | . 011797 | .004979.002118 | .000908.000392 | . 000171 |
| 92 | \}.011235 | .004697.001980 | . 000841.000360 | . 000155 |
| 93 | . 0107.00 | .004432 00185 | . 000779.000330 | . 000141 |
| 94 | .010191 | . 004181.00172 | .000721. 000303 | . 000128 |
| 95 | . 009705 | .003944.001616 | .000668.000278 | . 000116 |
| 96 | . 009243 | .003721 0.00151 | .000618.000255 | 06 |
|  | . 008803 | .003510.001411 | .000572.000234 | . 000096 |
| 98 | . 008384 | .003312.001319 | .000530.000214 | . 000087 |
| 99 | . 007985 | .003124.001233 | .000491'. 000197 | . 000079 |
| 100 | . 007604 | .002947',001152 | .0004.54'.000180 | .000072 |

The present Value of an Anourity of Ore Poond Gor any Nupber of Yeass not exceeding 100,

|  |  |  |  |  |  | per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 503 | . 9756 | C708 | . 9602 | . 9615 |  |
|  | 95'5 | t: 924 | 1.9134 | $1.39 \% 7^{\circ}$ | 1.8861 | .8594 |
| - | 2. 38 | 20.60 | 2.8286 | 2.8c.16 | 2.7751 | . 2.1234 |
|  | ¢8:77 | $\because 7619$ | 3.7170 | $3.675^{\circ}$ | 3.0299 | 3.5459 |
| 5 | $\therefore .7134$ | 4.648 | 4.5797 | 4.5151 | 4.4518 | 4.3294 |
|  | j.fict | 5.50s1 | 34171 | 5.3286 | 5.2421 | 5.1758 |
|  | 0.4719 | 0.3493 | 0.2302 | 6.1145 | 6.0020 | 50863 |
| S | 7.3:54 | 7.171 | 7.0196 | 6.8740 | $0.73: 7$ | 0.4632 |
| $\checkmark$ | 8.16 .22 | 7.776 | 7.78:91 | 7.6077 | -48.33 | 7.4078 |
| 10 | 8.982, | 8.75 .20 | 8.5302 | 8.5166 | S. 109 | 7.1217 |
| '11 | 9.786 s | 9.3142 | 9. 526 | 9.0115 | $8.760{ }^{1}$ | 8.3064 |
| 12 | $10.51{ }^{\circ}$ | 10.237 | 9.9540 | 2.6633 | 9.3850 | 8.s632 |
| 13 | 11.348 | 10.983 | 10.6349 | 10.3027 | 9.9856 | 9.3935 |
| 14 | 12.106 | 11.690 | 11.2960 | 10.9205 | 10.5631 | 9.8956 |
| 15 | 12.849 | 12.581 | 11.9379 | 11.5174 | 11.1184 | 10.3796 |
| 16 | 13.577 | 13.035 | 12.5611 | 12.0941 | 11.65231 | 10.8377 |
| 17 | 14.91 | 13.712 | 13.1661 | 12.6513 | 12.1656 | 1.2740 |
| 18 | 14.992 | 14.353 | 13.7535 | 13.1897 | 12.6593 | 11.6895 |
| 19 | 15.078 | 14.978 | 14.3238 | 13.7098 | 13.13341 | 12.0853 |
| 20 | 16.351 | 15.589 | 14.8774 | 14.2124 | 13.59031 | 12.4622 |
| 21 | 17.011 | 16.184 | 15.4150 | 14.6980 | 14.0291 1 | 12.8211 |
| 22 | 17.658 | 16.765 | 15.9369 | 15.1671 | 14.4511 | 13.1630 |
| 23 | 18.292 | 17.332 | 16.4436 | 15.6204 | 14.8568 | 3.4885 |
| 24 | 18.913 | 17.884 | 16.9355 | ,6.0584 | 15.2469 | 886 |
| 25 | 19.593 | 18.424 | 17.4131 | 16.4815 | 15.6220 | 14.0939 |
| 26 | 20.121 | 18.950 | 17.8768 | 16.8904 | 15.9827 | 14.3751 |
| 27 | 20.706 | 19.464 | 18.3970 | 17.2:54 | 16.3295 | 6430) |
| 28 | 21.281 | 19.964 | 18.7641 | 17.6070 | 16.6630 | 14.8981 |
| 29 | 21.844 | 20.453 | 19.1884 | 18.0358 | 16.9,37 1 | 15.1410 |
| 30 | 2:.396 | 20.930 | 19.6004 | 18.3920 | 17.2980 | 5.3724 |
| 31 | 22.937 | 21.395 | 20.0004 | 18.7363 | 17.5884 1 | 5.5928 |
| 32 | 23.408 | 21.849 | 20.3887 | 19.06s9 | 17.8735 | 5.8026 |
| 33 | 2.) 988 | 22.291 | 20.7657 | 19.3902 | 18.1476 | 6.0025 |
| 34 | 24.498 | 92.723 | 21.1318 | 19.7007 | 18.4111 | 229 |
| 35 | 24.998 | 23.145 | 21.4572 | 20.0007 | 18.6646 | 6.3741 |
| 36 | 25488 | $\because 3.556$ | 21.8322 | 20.2905 | 18.9082 ' | 6.5468 |
| 37 | $\because 5.969$ | 23.957 | 22.1672 | 20.5705 | 19.14251 | 6.7112 |
| 38 | $20.4+0$ | 2+.3 48 | 2 S .4924 | 20.8411 | 19.3678 | 0.8678 |
| 39 | 26.902 | 24.730 | $2 \because .8082$ | 21.1025 | $19.58+4$ | 7.0170 |
| 40 | $\because 7.355$ | 23.102 | 23.1147 | 21.3551 | 19.7927 | 17.1590 |
| 41 | 127.799 | 25.466 | 23.4124 | 21.5991 | 19.9950 | 17.2943 |

Tuhinas:
200
at the several Rates of $2 ; 2 \frac{1}{2}, 3,3 \frac{1}{2}, 4,5,6$, $7,8,9$, and 10 per cent.

| 12 |  | 7 peer ceme | 8 percent. | Peper cent. | 70 per cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 9459 | . 9345 | .9259 | . 9174 | . 9090 |
| 2 | 1.8539 | 1.8080 | 1.7 .32 | 1.7591 | $1.7 \$ 55$ |
| 3 | 2.6730 | 2.6243 | 2.5770 | 2.5312 | 2.4868 |
| 4 | 3.4651 | 3.8872 | 3.9121 | 3.3397 | 2.1698 |
| 5 | 4.2129 | 4.1001 | 3.9997 | 3.8896 | 3.7907 |
| 6 | 4.9179 | 4.7665 | 4.622 28 | 4.48 .69 | 4.3552 |
| 7 | 5.5828 | 5.389 ? | $5.206 \beta$ | 5.0329 | 4.8634 |
| 8 | 6.2097 | 5.0712 | 5.7466 | 5.3348 | 5.3849 |
| 9 | 6.8016 | 6.5152 | 6.2468 | 5.9952 | 5.759. |
| 110 | 7.3600 | 7.0235 | 6.7100 | 6.4170 | 6.1443 |
| 11 | 7.8868 | 7.4986 | 7.13:9 | 6.8051 | 6.4950 |
| 12 | 8.5888 | 7.9426 | 7.5360 | 7.1607 | 6.81 .36 |
| 113 | 8.8526 | 8.3576 | 7.9037 | 7.4869 | 7.1039 |
| 14 | 92949 | 8.7454 | $8.2+42$ | 7.7861 | 7.3666 |
| 15 | 9.7128 | 9.1079 | 8.5396. | 8.0606 | 7.6060 |
| 16 | 10.1058 | 9.4466 | 8.8518 | 8.5123 | 7.8237 |
| 17 | 10.4772 | 9.7682 | 9.1216 | 8.5486 | 8.0815 |
| 18 | 10.8276 | 10.039 | 9.9718 | 8.75:6 | 8.2014 |
| 19 | 11.1581 | 10.335 | 9.6.135 | 8.9501 | 8.3649 |
| 20 | 11,4699 | 10.594 | 9.8181 | 9. 2285 | 8.5135 |
| 21 | 11.7640 | 10.835 | 10.016. | 9.2982 | $8.6+86$ |
| 29 | 12.0415 | 11.061 | 10.200 | 9.44:24 | 8.7715 |
| 23 | 12.3438 | 11.272 | 10.871 | 9.5802 | 8.8832 |
| 24 | 12.5508 | 11.469 | 10.528 | 9.7066 | $8.98+7$ |
| 25 | 12.7838 | 11.633 | 10.674 | 9.8223 | 9.) 170 |
| 156 | 18.0031 | 11.825 | 10.809 | 9.9289 | 9.1609 |
| [97 | 18.2105 | 11.986 | 10.985 | 10.026 | 9.2372. |
| 128 | 19.4061 | 12.187 | 11.051 | 10.116 | 93065 |
| 29 | 13.5907 | 12.87 | 11.158 | 10.198 | 9.3696 |
| 80 | 13.7648 | 12.409 | 11.8257 | 10.273 | 9.4269 |
| 31 | 13.9290 | 12.531 | 11.349 | 10.342 | 9.4790 |
| ${ }_{33}$ | 14.10890 | 12.646 | 11.485 | 10.406 | 9.5269 |
| 38 | 14.23002 | 12.753 | 11.513 | 10.464 | 9.5694 |
| 34 | 14.3681 | 12.854 | $11.586^{\prime}$ | 19.517 | 9.6i985. |
| 35 | 14.4982 | 12.947 | 11.654 | 10.566 | 9.6441 |
| 86 | 14.6209 | 13.035 | 11.7 .17 | 10:611 | 9.6765 |
| 89 38 | 14.73667 | 13.117 | 11.775 | 10.652 | 9.7059 |
| 8 | 14.8460 | 19.199 | 11:828 | 10.690 | 9.7326 |
|  | $14.9+90$ | 19.264 | 11.898 | 10.725 | 9.7369 |
| 40 | 15.0468 | 13.331 | 11.924 | 10.757 | 9.7790 |
| 611 | 15.1380 | 13.894 | 11.967 | 10786 | 9.7991 |

TABLE II. continued.

| Years. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 28. | 2 | 23.7015 |  |  |  |
| 43 | 28.6 | 26. | 23.9819 | 22.0627 | 20.3707 |  |
| 44 | 29.079 | 26.503 | 24.2542 | 22.2828 | 20.5488 |  |
| 45 | 29.490 | 26.833 | 24.5187 | 22.4955 | 20. 7200 |  |
| 46 | 29.89'2 | 27.154 | 24.7754 | 22.7(109 |  |  |
| 47 | 30.286 | 27.467 | 25 | 22.8994 | 21.0429 | 0 |
| 48 | 30.67 3 | 27.773 | 25.2667 | 23.0912 | 21.1951 | 1 |
| 49 | 31.052 | 28. | 25.5016 | 23.2766 | 21.3414 | 18.1687 |
| 50 | 31.423 | 28.362 | 25.7297 | 23.4556 | 21.4821 | 18.2559 |
| 51 | 31.787 | 28.646 | 25.9512 | 23.6286 | 21.6174 | 18.3389 |
| 52 | 32.144 | 28.923 | 26.1662 | 23.7958 | 21.7 |  |
| 53 | 32.495 | 29.193 | 26.3749 | 23.9573 | 21.8726 | 334 |
| 54 | 32.898 | 29.456 | 26.5776 | 24.1133 | 21.9929 |  |
| 55 | 33.174 | 29.713 | 20.7744 | 24.2941 | 22.1086 | 384 |
| 56 | 33.504 | 29:964 | 26.9654 | 24.4097 | - |  |
| , 57 | 33.828 | 30.209 | 27.1509 | 24.5504 | 22.3267 | 605 |
| ${ }^{5} 88$ | 34.145 | 30.448 | 27.3310 | 24.6864 |  |  |
| '59. | 34.456 | 30.681 | 27.5058 | 24.817 .8 | 22.5284 | 57 |
| 60 | 34.760 | 30.908 | 137-6755 | 24.9447 | 27 |  |
| 61 | 35.059 | 31.180 | 27.8403 | 25.0674 | 22.7148 | 02 |
| 62 | 35.359 | 31.346 | 28.0003 | 25.1859 | 2. |  |
| 63 | 35.639 | 31.557 | 28.1556 | 25.3004 | 22.8 | 50 |
| :64 | 35.921 | 31.763 | 28.3064 | 25.4110 |  |  |
| 65 | 36.197 | 31.964 | 28.4528 | 25.5178 | 23. | 0 |
| 66 | 36.468 | 32.150 | 2 m .5951 | 25.6211 | 33.1218 |  |
| 67 | 36.733 | 32.351 | 23.7330 | 25.7209 | 23.1 | 390 |
| -68 | 36.993 | 32.538 | 28.8670 | 25.8173 |  |  |
| 69 | 37.248 | 32.720 | 28.9971 | 25.9104 | 23.3302 | 498 |
| 70 | 37.498 | 52.397 | 29.1234 | 26.009 | 23.3945 |  |
| 71 | 37.743 | 33.071 | 29.2460 | 26.0873 | 23.45 | 19.3739 |
| 72 | 37.984 | 33.240 | $29.3650!$ | 26.1713 | 23.5156 |  |
| 83 | 38.219 | 33.404 | 29:4806, | 26.2525 | 3.5127 | 19.4321 |
| $8 \pm$ | 38.450 | 33.565 | 29:5923. | 26.3309 | 3.6276 | 19.4592 |
| 75 | 38.677 | 33.722 | 29.5018 | 26.4067 | 23.6804 | 19.4849 |
| 72 | 38.809 | 33.875 | 29.8076 | 26.4799 | 23.731 | 19.5094 |
| 77 | 39.116 | 34.125 | $29.9103:$ | 26.5506 | 23.1799 | 19.5328 |
| 78 | 39.330 | 3+. 170 | 30.0.)99 | 26.6190 | 23.8268 |  |
| 79 | 39.539 | 34.819 | 30.1067 | 26.6850 | 23.8720 | 19.5762 |
| 80 | 39.744 | 3+.451 | 30.2007 | 26.7488 | 23.9153 | 19.5964 |
| 81 | 39.945 | 34-5 57 | 30.2420 | 26.8104 | 23.9571 | 19.6156 |
| 82 | 40.142 | $3+719$ | 30.389. | 26.8700 | 23.9972 | 19.6339 |
| 83 | 40.336 | 34.847 | 30.4665 | 26.9475 | 24.0357 | 19.6514 |
| 84 | 40.525 | 34,973 | 330.5500 | 26.9831 | 24.0728 | 19.6680 |
| 85 | 40.71 t | 35.096 | 30.631 | 27.036 |  | 9. |

TABLE II. continued

| Hown | ver.cent | 7 per ceut. | 8 per cent. | - | 10 per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 15.294 .5 | 13.452 | 12.006 | 10.513 | 9.8173 |
| 43 | 15.3061 | 13.507 | 12.043 | $10.937^{4}$ | 9.8339 |
| 44 | 15.3831 | 13.557 | 12.077 | 10.860 | 0:3490 |
| 45 | 15.4558 | 13.605 | 12.108 | 10.881 | 9.8628 |
| 46 | 15.5243 | 13.650 | 12.137 | 10.900 | 9.8752 |
| 47 | 15.5890 | 13.691 | 12.164 | 10.917 | 9.8866 |
| 48 | 15.65001 | 13.730 | 12.189 | 10.993 | 9.8969 |
| -49 | 15.7075 | 13.766 | 12.212 | 10.948 | 9.9062 |
| 50 | 15.761 S | 13.800 | 12.233 | 10.961 | 9.9148 |
| [31 | 15.8130 | 13.832 | 12.253 | 10.974 | 9.9:25 |
| F 52 | 15.8613 | 13.862 | 12.271 | 10.985 | 9.9295 |
| 33 | 15.9069 | 13.889 | 12.288 | 10.995 | 9.9859 |
| 54 | 15.9499 | 13.915 | 12.304 | 11.005 | 9.9418 |
| 55 | 15.9903 | 13.939 | 12.318 | 11.013 | 9.9471 |
| 56 | 16.0288 | 13.962 | 12.352 | 11.022 | 9.9519 |
| 57 | 16.0649 | 13.983 | $12.3+4$ | 11.029 | 9.9562 |
| 58 | 16.0989 | 14.003 | 12.356 | 11.036 | 9.9602 |
| 59 | 16.1311 | 14.021 | 12.366 | 11.042 | 9.9638 |
| 60 | 16.1614 | 14.039 | 12.376 | 11.047 | 9.9671 |
| 61 | 16.1900 | 14.055 | 12.385 | 11.053 | 9.9701 |
| 62 | 16.2170 | 14.070 | 12.394 | 11.057 | 9.9728 |
| 63 | 10.2424 | 14.084 | 12.402 | 11.062 | 9.9753 |
| 04 | 16.2664 | 14.697 | 12.409 | 11.066 | 9.9775 |
| 65 | 16.2891 | 14.10+ | 12.415 | 11.070 | 9.9796 |
| 66 | 16.3104 | 14.121 | 12.429 | 11.073 | 9.9814 |
| 67 | 16.3306 | 14.132 | 12.427 | 11.076 | 9.9831 |
| 68 | 16.5496 | 14.142 | 12.433 | 11.079 | 9.9846 |
| 69 | '16.3076 | 14.151 | 12.436 | 11.082 | 9.9860 |
| 70 | 16.3845 | 14.160 | 12.442 | 11.084 | 9.9873 |
| 71 | 16.4005 | 14.168 | 12.447 | 11.086 | 9.9884 |
| 72 | 16.415.5 | 14.176 | 12.450 | 11.085 | 9.9895 |
| 73 | 16.42 .7 | 14.183 | 12.454 | 11.090 | 9.9904 |
| 74 | 16.4.11 | 14.190 | 12.457 | 11.092 | 9.9913 |
| 75 | 16.4.55s | 14.196 | 12.461 | 11.093 | 9.9921 |
| 76 | 16.4677 | 14.202 | 12.165 | 11.095 | 9.9928 |
| 77 | 16.4790 | 14.207 | 12.466 | 11.096 | 9.9935 |
| 78 | 16.4896 | 14.212 | 12.468 | 11.097 | 9.9940 |
| 79 | 16.4996 | 14.217 | 12.471 | 11.098 | 9.99+6 |
| 80 | 16.5091 | 14.222 | 12.473 | 11.099 | 9.9951 |
| 81 | 16.5180 | 14.226 | 12.475 | 11.100 | 9.9955 |
| 82 | 16.5264 | 14.230 | 12.477 | 11.101 | 9.9959 |
| 183 | 16.5343 | 14.233 | 12.478 | 11.102 | 9.9963 |
| 84 | 16.5418 | 14.237 | 12.480 | 11.103 | 9.9966 |
| 85 | 16.5489 | 14.240 | 12.1 .1 | $1: 104$ | 9.9969 |

TABLE II. continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86 | 40.893 | 35.215 | 30.7098 | 27.0887 | $24.1428 \cdot 19.6988$ |
| 87 | 41.071 | 35.332 | 30.7862 | 27.1388 | $24.1757,19.7132$ |
| 88 | 41.247 | \$5.446 | 30.8604 | 27.18732 | $24.2074,19.7268$ |
| 89 | 41.418 | 35.557 | 30.9324 | 27.23412 | 24.237919 .7398 |
| 90 | 41.586 | \$5.665 | 31.0024 | 27.2793 | 24.267219 .7522 |
| 91 | 41.751 | 35.771 | 31.0703 | 27.3230 | 24.2954,19.7640 |
| 92 | 41.913 | 35.874 | 31.1562 | 27.3652 | 24.3225:19.7752 |
| 93 | 42.072 | 35.975 | 31.2002 | 27.4060 | 24.3486 19.7859 |
| 94 | 42.227 | 36.073 | 31.2623 | 27.4454 | 24.373619 .7961 |
| 95 | 12.380 | \$6.169 | 31.3226 | 27.4835 | 24.397719.5058 |
| 95 | 42.529 | 36.262 | 31.3812 | 27.52032 | 24.420919 .8151 |
| 97 | 42.675 | 36.953 | 31.4880 | 27.5558 | 24.443119 .8259 |
| 98 | 42.819 | 36.442 | 31.4932 | 27.5902 | 24.464619 .8323 |
| 99 | 42.960 | 36.529 | 31.5468 | 27.6234 | 24.4852 19.8403 |
| 100 | 43.098 | 36.614 | 31.5989 | 27.6554 | 84.5050/19.8479 |
| Parp | 30.000 | 40.000 | 153.3833 | 28.57 | 5.00 ciol20.0000 |

TABLE

TABLE II. continued.

| Years. | 6 per ceat. | 7 per cent | 8 per cent. | 9 per cent. | 10 per cept. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86 | 16.5556 | 14.243 | 12.483 | 11.104 | 9.9972 |
| 87 | 16.5618 | 14.246 | 12.484 | 11.104 | 9.9974 |
| 88 | 16.5678 | 14.248 | 12.485 | 11.105 | 9.9977 |
| 89 | 16.5784 | 14.251 | 12.486 | 11.105 | 9.9979 |
| 90 | 16.5787 | 14.253 | 12.487 | 11.106 | 9.9991 |
| 91 | 16.5836 | 14.255 | 12.488 | 11.106 | 9.9982 |
| 92 | 16.5883 | 14.257 | 12.489 | 11.107 | 9.9984 |
| 93 | 16.5928 | 14.259 | 12.490 | 11.107 | 9.9985 |
| 94 | 16.5969 | 14.261 | 12.491 | 11.107 | 9.9987 |
| 95 | 16.6009 | 14.262 | 12.491 | 11.108 | 9.9988 |
| 96 | 16.6046 | 14.264 | 12.492 | 11.108 | 9.9989 |
| 97 | 16.6081 | 14.265 | 12.492 | 11.103 | 9.9990 |
| 98 | 16.6114 | 14.266 | 12.493 | 11.108 | 9.9991 |
| 99 | 16.6145 | 14.268 | 12.493 | 11.108 | 9.9992 |
| 100 | 16.6175 | 14.269 | 12.494 | 11.109 | 9.9992 |
| Perp. | 16.6667 | 14.286 | 12.501 | 11.111 | 10.000 |

## 0

## Tables.

## TABLE III.

Shewing the Sum to which $£ 1$ Principal will in-:

|  |  |  |  |  |  | ent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.02000 | 1.02500 | 1.030,000 | 1.035,000 |  |  |
| 2 | 1.04040 | 1.05062 | 1.060,900 | 1.071,225 | 1.081,600 | 102,500 |
| 3 | 1.06120 | 1.07689 | 1.092,727 | 1.108,717 |  | 157,625 |
| 4 | 1.08243 | 1.1038 | 1.125,508 | 1.147,523 | 1.169,858 | 215,506 |
| 5 | 1.10408 | 1.13140 | 1.159,274 | 1.187,686 |  | 276,281 |
| 6 | 1.12616 | 1.15969 | 1.19+,052 | 1.229,255 | 1.265,319 | 40,095 |
| 7 | 1.14868 | 1.18868 | 1.299,373 | 1.272,279 |  |  |
| 8 | 1.17165 | 1.21840 | 1.266,770 | 1.316,809 | 1.368,569 | 55 |
| 9 | 1.19509 | 1.24886 | 1.301,773 | 1.362,897 | 1.423,311 |  |
| 10 | 1.2: 599 | 1.28008 | 1.310,916 | 1.410,598 | 1.4§0,244 | 28,594 |
| 11 | 1.24337 | 1.31208 | 1.381,233 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1.26824 | 1.34488 | 1.425,760 | 1.511,06 | 1.601,03 | .795,356 |
| 13 | 1.29360 | 1.37851 | 1.468,535 | 1.563 | 1.665, | 885,649 |
| 14 | 1.31947 | 1.41297 | 1.512,580 | 1.618,694 | 1.731, | 979,931 |
| 15 | 1.34586 | 1.44829 | 1.557,967 | 1.675,348 | 1. 800, |  |
| 16 | 1.37278 | 1.48450 | 1.604,706 | 1.733,986 | 1.872,981 | 2.182,874 |
| 17 | 1.40024 | 1.52161 | 1.652,847 | $1.79+, 675$ | 1.947, | 18 |
| 18 | 1.42824 | 1.55965 | 1.7' 2,433 | 1.857,489 | 2.025 | 619 |
| 10 | 1.45681 | 1.59865 | 1.7.53,506 | 1.922,i01 | 2.106 | 0 |
| 20 | 1.4859.4 | 1.68861 | 1.80', 111 | 1.989,7 88 | 2.191 | 653,297 |
| 21 | 1.51566 | 1.67958 | $1.860,29$ | 2.059,431 | 2. | 2 |
| 22 | 1.54 is7 | 1.72157 | 1.916, 03 | 2-131,511 | 2.369 | .925,260 |
| 23 | 1.57689 | 1.76461 | 1.973,386 | 2.206, | 2.464 | .071,523 |
| 2 | 1.60843 | 1.80872 | 2.032, | 42.283,328 | 2.563,20 | .225,099 |
| 25 | 1.64660 | 1.85394 | 2.093,777 | 2.363,244 | 2.665,83 | .386,354 |
| 2 | 1.67341 | 1.90029 | 2.156,591 | $12 \cdot 445,958$ | 2.772, | -555,672 |
| 27 | 1.70688 | 1.94780 | 2.221,2 | 9'2.531,567 | 2.883,36 | -733,456 |
| 28 | 1.74102 | 1.99649 | 2.287,927 | 2.620,171 | 2.998,70 |  |
| 29 | 1.77584 | 2.04640 | 2.356,5 | 2.711,877 | 3.118,6 | 35 |
| 80 | 1.81136 | 2.09756 | 2.427,20 | $2 \cdot 806,793$ | 3.243, |  |
| 31 | 1.84758 | 2.15000 | 2.500,0 | $0,2 \cdot 905,031$ | 3.373,13 | 538,059 |
| 32 | 1.88454 | 2.20375 | 2.575 | 23.006,707 | 3.508,0 |  |
| 33 | 1.92223 | 2.25885 | 2.652,335 | 5'111,942 | 3.648,381 | 5.003,188 |
| 34 | 1.96067 | 2.31532 | 2.731,905 | 5 3.220,860 | 3.794 | .253,347 |
| 35 | 1.99988 | 2.37326 | 2.813,862 | 23.333,590) | 3.946,08 | 5.516,015 |
| 36 | 2.03988 | 2.43253 | 2.898,278 | 8 3.450,266 |  | .791,816 |
| 37 | 2.08068 | , 2.49334 | ,2.98:5,226 | 6\|3.57 1,025 | 4.268,08 | . 081,406 |
| 38 | 2.12229 | 2.55568 | 3.074,783 | 3 3.696,011 | 4.438,81 | i.385,477 |
| 39 | 2.:6474 | 2.61957 | 3.167 , 2 | 3.825,371 | + $4.616,365$ | 6.704,751 |
| 40 | $2.21 \cdot 503$ | 2.68506 | '3.202,087 | $3.950,259$ | 4.s01,02 | .039,985 |
| 41 | 12.25220 | 2.75219 | 3.35 ${ }^{\text {c, }}$, 98 | 14.097,833 | 14.993,06 | 7.391,988 |

crease-at Compound Interest in any Number of Years not exceeding a hundred.

| Yoans. | 6 per cent. |  |  |  | ent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.060,000 | 1.07000 | 1.03000 | 1.09000 | 1.10000 |
| 2 | 1.123,600 | 1.14490 | 1.16640 | 1.18810 | 1.21000 |
| 3 | 1.191,016 | 1.22504 | 1.25971 | 1.29502 | 1.33100 |
| 4 | 1.262,476 | 1.31079 | 1.36048 | 1.41158 | 1.46410 |
| 5 | 1.338,225 | 1.40255 | 1.46932 | 1.53862 | 1.61051 |
| 6 | 1.418,519 | 1.50073 | 1.58687 | 1.67710 | 1.77156 |
| 7 | 1.503,630 | 1.60578 | 1.71382 | 1.82803 | 1.94871 |
| 8 | 1.593,848 | 1.71818 | 1.85093 | 1.99256 | 2.148 .58 |
| 9 | 1.689,478 | 1.83845 | 1.39900 | 2.17189 | 2.35794 |
| 10 | 1.790,847 | 1.96715 | 2.15892 | 2.36736 | 2.59374 |
| 11 | 1.898,298 | 2.10485 | 2.33163 | 2.58042 | 2.85311 |
| 12 | 2.012,196 | 2.25219 | 2.51817 | 2.81266 | 3.13842 |
| 13 | 2.132,928 | 2.40984 | 2.71962 | 3.06580 | 3.45227 |
| 14 | 2.260,903 | 2.57853 | 2.93719 | 3.34172 | 3.79749 |
| 15 | 2.396,558 | 2.75903 | 3.17216 | 3.64248 | 4.17724 |
| 16 | 2.540,351 | 2.95216 | 3.42594 | 5.97030 | 4.59497 |
| 17 | 2.692,772 | 3.15881 | 3.70001 | 4.32763 | 5.05447 |
| 18 | 2.854,339 | 3.37993 | 3.99601 | 4.71712 | 5.55991 |
| 19 | 3.025,599 | 3.61652 | 4.31570 | 5.14166 | 6.11590 |
| 20 | 3.207,135 | 3.86968 | 4.66995 | 5.60441 | 6.72749 |
| 21 | 3.399,563 | 4.14056 | 5.03383 | 6.10880 | 7.40024 |
| 22 | 3.603,537 | 4.43040 | 5.43654 | 6.65860 | 8.14027 |
| 23 | 3.819,74G | 4.74052 | 5.87146 | 7.25787 | 8.95430 |
| 24 | 4.048,934. | 5.07236 | 6.34118 | 7.91108 | 9.84973 |
| 25 | 4,291,870 | 5.42743. | 6.84847 | 8.623081 | 10.8347 |
| 26 | 4.549,382 | 5.80735 | 7.39635 | 9.399151 | 11.1981 |
| 27 | 4.822,345 | 6.21386 | 7.98806 | 10.2450 | 13.1099 |
| 28 | 5.111,686 | 6.64883 | 8.62710 | 11.1671 | 14.4209 |
| 29 | 5.418,387 | $7.11+25$ | 9.31727 | 12.1721 | 15.8630 |
| 30 | 5.743,491 | 7.61225 | 10.0626 | 13.2676 | 17.4494 |
| 31 | 6.088,100 | 8.14511 | 10.8676 | 14.4617 | 19.1943 |
| 32 | 6.453,386 | 8.71527 | 11.7370 | 15.7633 | 21.1137 |
| 33 | 6.840,589 | 9.32533 | 12.6760 | 17.1820 | 23.2251 |
| 34 | 7.251,025 | 9.97811 | 13.6901 | 18.7284 | 25.5476 |
| 35 | 7.686,086 | 10.6765 | 14.7853 | 20.4139 | 28.1024 |
| 36 | 8.147,252 | 11.4239 | 15.9681 | 22.2512 | 30.9126 |
| 37 | 8.636,087 | 12.2236 | 17.2456 | 24.2538 | 34.0039 |
| 38 | 9.154,252 | 13.0792 | 18.6252 | 26.4366 | 37.4043 |
| 39 | 9.703,507 | 13.9948 | 20.1152 | 28.8159 | 41.1447 |
| 40 | 10.285,717 | 14.9744 | 21.7245 | 31.4094 | 45.2592 |
| 41 | 10.902,861 | 10,0226 | 23.4624 | 34.2362 | 49.7851 |

T 2

## TABLE III. continued.

|  |  | 2t per cent | 3 per cent. | 3i per cent. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 2.29724 | 2.82099 | 3.460,695 | 4.241,258 | 5.192,783 |  |
| 43 | 2.34318 | 2.89152 | 3.564,516 | 4.389,702 | 5.400,495 | 8.149666 |
| 44 | 2.39005 | 2.96380 | 3.671,452 | 4.543,341 | 5.616,515 | 8.537,150 |
| 45 | 2.43785 | 3.03790 | 3.781,595 | 4.702,358 | 5.841,175 | 8.985,007 |
| 46 | 2.48661 | 3.11385 | 3.895,043 | 4.866,941 | 6.074,822 | 90435,238 |
| 47 | 253634 | 3.19169 | 4.011,895 | 5.037,284 | 6.317,815 | 9.905,971 |
| 48 | 2.58707 | 3.27148 | 4.132,251 | 5.213,583 | 6.570,528 | 10.401,269 |
| 49 | 2.63881 | 3.35327 | 4.256,219 | 5.396,065 | 6.833,349 | 10.921.358 |
| 50 | 2.69158 | 3.43710 | 4.383,906 | 5.584,926 | 7.106,683 |  |
| 51 | 2.74541 | 3.52303 | 4.515,423 | 5.780,399 | 7.390,950 | 12.0 |
| 52 | 2.80032 | 3.61111 | 4.650,885 | 5.982,713 | 7.686,588 | 12.642,808 |
| 53 | 2.85633 | 3.70139 | 4.790,412 | 6.192,108 | 7.99.4,058 |  |
| 54 | 2.91346 | 3.79392 | 4.984,124 | 6.408,832 | 8.313, | 13.938,696 |
| 55 | 2.97173 | 3.88877 | 5.082,148 | 6.633,141 | 8.646,366 |  |
| 56 | 3.03116 | 3.98599 | 5.234,613 | 6.865,301 | 8.992,221 | 15.367, |
| 57 | 3.09178 | 4.08564 | 5.391,651 | 7.105,586 | 9,351,910 |  |
| 58 | 3.15362 | 4.18778 | 5.553,400 | 7.354,282 | 9.725,986 | 6.9 |
| 59 | 3.21669 | 4.29247 | 5.720,003 | 7.611, | 0.115,026 | 17.7 |
| 60 | 3.28103 | 4.39978 | 5.891,603 | 7.878, ${ }^{\prime} 90$ | 10.519,627 |  |
| 61 | S.3+66 | 4.50978 | 6.068,351 | 8.153,824, | 10.940,412 | 19.613 |
| 62 | 3.413 | 4.62252 | 6.250,201 |  | 11 978,029 |  |
| 63 | 3.48185 | 4.73809 | 6.437,913 | 8.734,58 | 11.833, |  |
| 64 | 3.55149 | 4.85654 | 6.631,051 | 9.040,290 | 2.306, | 22 |
| 65 | 3.62252 | 4.97795 | 6.829,982 | 9.356,700 1 | 12.798,735 |  |
| 66 | 13.69+97 | $5.102+0$ | 7.034,882 | 9.684,185 | $13.810,6$ | 25.031,895 |
| 67 | 13.76887 | 5.22996 | 7.245,928 | 10.023,131 | 13.843, 11 |  |
| 68 | 3.84425 | 5.36071 | 7.463,306 | [10.373,941 1 | 14.396,836 | 27.597,664 |
| 69 | 3.92113 | 5.49473 | 7.687,205 | 10.737,029 | 14.972,709 | 28.977,548 |
| 70 | 3.99955 | 5.63210 | 7.917,821 | 11.112,825 | 15.571,618 | 30.426,425 |
| 71 | +.07954 | 5.77290 | 8.155,356 | 11.501,774 1 | 16.194,483 | 31.947,746 |
| 72 | 4.16114 | 5.91722 | 8.400,017 | 11.904,336 | 16.842,2 |  |
| 73 | 4.24436 | 6.06515 | 8.652,017 | 12.320,988 1 | 17.515,95 | 35.222,990 |
| 74 | 4.32925 | 6.21678 | $8.911,578$ | 12.752,222 | 18.216,591 | 36.983,510 |
| 75 | +.41583 | 6.37220 | 9.178,925 | 13.198,550 | 18.945,2j4 | 38.832,685 |
| 76 | 4.30415 | 6.53151 | 9.454,293 | 13.660,499 1 | 19.703,064 | +0.774,320 |
| 77 | 4. 39423 | 6.69480 | 9.737,922 | 14.138,617 ${ }^{2}$ | 20.491, 187 | 42.815,036 |
| 78 | 4.68612 | 6.86217 | 10.030,059 | 14.638,468 2 | 21.310,834 | 44.953,688 |
| 79 | 4.77984 | 7.03379 | $10.330,961$ | $15.145,640$ | $22.163,868$ | 47.201,372 |
| 80 | 4.87513 | 7.20956 | 10.640,890 | 15.675,737 2 | 23.049,799 | 49.561,441 |
| 81 | 4.97294 | 7.38980 | 10.960,117 | 16.224,388 ${ }^{2}$ | 23.971,791 | 52.039,513 |
| 82 | 5.07240 | 7.57455 | 11.288,9201 | 16.792,24.1 ${ }^{2}$ | 24.950,662 | 4,641,488 |
| 83 | 5.17383 | 7.76 .391 | 11.627,588 1 | 17.379,970 2 | 25.927,889 | $57.373,563$ |
| 84 | 5.27733 | 7.95801 | 11.976,416 | 17.988,269 | .965, | 0.242,241 |
| 85 | 5.3828i/ ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | 8.15696 | 12.335,708 | .617,858\|2 | 28.043,6 | 3.254 .353 |

TABLE III. continued.

| Years. | 6 per sent. 7 per cen | \% per cent. | 9 per cent. | 10 per ceat. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 11.557,092-17.1442 | 25.3594 | 37.3175 | 54.7636 |
| 43 | 12.250,454 18.5443 | 27.5666 | 40.6761 | 60.2400 |
| 44 | 12.985,481 19.6284 | 29.5559 | 44.3369 | 66.2640 |
| 45 | 13.764,610 21.0024 | 31.9204 | 48.3272 | 72.8904 |
| 46 | 14.350,4877 22.4726 | 34.4740 | 52.6767 | 80.1795 |
| 47 | 15.465,916 24.0457 | 37.2320 | 57.4176 | 88.1974 |
| -48 | 16.398,874. 25.7289 | 40.2105 | +i2.5852 | 97.0172 |
| 49 | 17.377,504 27.5899 | 43.4274 | 68.2179 | 106.718 |
| 50 | 18420,154 29.4570 | 46.9016 | 74.3575 | 117.390 |
| 51 | 19.525,363 31.5190 | 50.6537 | 81.0496 | 129.129 |
| 52 | 20.696,885 33.7253 | 54.7060 | 88.3441 | 142.042 |
| 53 | $21.958,698$ 36.0801 | 59.0825 | 96.2961 | 156.947 |
| 54 | 23.255,020 38.6121 | 63.8091 | 104.961 | 171.871 |
| 55 | 24.650,321 41.3150 | 68.9138 | 114.403 | 189.059 |
| 56 | 26.129,340 44.2070 | 74.4269 | 124.705 | 207.965 |
| 57 | 27.697,101 47.3015 | 80.3811 | 135.928 | 228.761 |
| 58 | 29.358,927 50.6126 | 86.8116 | 148.162 | 251.637 |
| 59 | 31.120,463 54.1555 | 93.7565 | 161.496 | 276.801 |
| 60 | 32.987,690 57.9464 | 101.257 | 176.031 | 304.481 |
| 61 | 34.966,952 62.0026 | 109.357 | 191.874 | 334.929 |
| 62 | 37.064,969 66.3428 | 118.106 | 209.142 | 368.422 |
| 63 | 39.888,867 70.9868 | 127.554 | 227.965 | 405.265 |
| 64 | 41.646,199, 75.9559 | 137.759 | 248.482 | 445.791 |
| 65 | 44.144,971/ ${ }^{\text {81.2728 }}$ | 148.779 | 270.845 | 49.370 |
| 66 | 46.793,669 86.9619 | 160.682 | 295.222 | 539.407 |
| 67 | 49.601,290 93.0492 | 173.536 | 321.792 | 599.348 |
| 68 | 52.577,367 99.5627 | 187.419 | 350.753 | 652.683 |
| 69 | 55.732,009 106.532 | 202.413 | 382.391 | 717.951 |
| 70 | 59.0¢ 5,930 113.989 | 218.606 | 416.730 | 789.746 |
| 71 | 62.620,485 121.968 | 236.094 | 454.235 | 868.721 |
| 72 | 66.377,715 130.506 | 254.982 | 495.117 | 955.593 |
| 73 | 70.360,378 139.641 | 275.381 | 539.677 | 1051.15 |
| 74 | 74.582,000 149.416 | 297.411 | 588,248 | 1156.26 |
| 75 | 79.056,920 159.876 | 321.204 | 64 !. 190 | 1271.89 |
| 76 | 83.800,336 171.067 | 346.900 | 698.398 | 1399.08 |
| 77 | 88.828,356 183.042 | 374.632 | 761.798 | 1538.99 |
| 73 | 94.158,057 195.854 | 40+6. 625 | 830.360 | 1592.89 |
| 79 | 99.807,541] 209.564 | 486.995 | 905.093 | 1562.18 |
| 80 | 105.795,993 224.234 | 471.954 | 986.5.51 | 2048.40 |
| 81 | 112.143,753,239.930 | 309.711 | 1075.34 | 2258.24 |
| 82 | 118.872,373/256.725 | 550.488 | 1172.12 | 2478.56 |
| 83 | 126.004,720\|474.696 | 594.527 | 1277.61 | 2726.49 |
| 84 | 133.565,004,293.925 | 642.089 | 1392.59 | 2999.06 |
| 85 | 141.578,904 314.500 | 693.4j6 | 1517.98 | 3<298.96 |

TABLE III. continued.

| rs. 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 per ceam | 5 per cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 865.49053 | 8.36088 | 12.705 | 19.269,483 | 29.165,349 |  |
| 875.60034 | 8.56991 | 13.086,953 | 19.948,915 | 30.331,963 |  |
| 885.71235 | 8.78415 | 13.479,56I | 20.641,952 | 31.545,241 | 73.224,820 |
| S9 5.82660 | 9.00376 | 13.883,948 | 21.364,521 | 32.807,051 | 76.886,061 |
| 905.94313 | 9.22885 | 14.300,467 | 22.112,175 | 34.119,333 | 80.730,365 |
| 91,6.06199 | 9.4595 | 14.729,481 | 22.886,102 | 35.484,10 | 84.766,383 |
| 92,6.18323 | 9.69606 | 15.17 I,365 | 23.687,11 | 36.903,470 | 89.005,227 |
| 936.30690 | 9.93846 | 15.626,506 | 24.516, | 38.379,609 | 93.455,488 |
| 94.6.43503 | 10.1869 | 16.095,301 | 25.374,2 | 39.91479 | 98.128,269 |
| 9.50 .56169 | 10.4416 | 16.578,160 | 26.262,323 | 4.5111,38 | 03.034,676 |
| 966:69293 | 10.7026 | 17.075,505 | 27.181,510 | 43.171,84 | 08.186,410 |
| 976.82679 | 10.9702 | 17.587,770 | 28.132,862 | 44.898,71 | 13.595,730 |
| 986.96332 | 11.2444 | 18.115,403 | 29.117,5 | 46.694,66 | 19.275,517 |
| 997.10259 | 11.5255 | 18.658,866 | 30.136,6 | 48.562,450 | 25.259,293 |
| 1007.24464 | 11.8137 | 19.218,63 | 1.191,4 | 0.504,9 | 1.501,257 |

TABLE
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## TABLE IV.

Shewing the Sum to which $\mathscr{E}_{1}$ per ann. will in-

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 | 1.00000 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2.0200 | 2.02500 | 2.030,000 | 2.03.5,000 | 2.040,009 | 2.050,000 |
| 3 | 3.06040 | 3.07562 | 3090,900 | 3.106,223 |  | 3.152,500 |
| 4 | 4.12160 | 4.15251 | 4.183,627 | 4.214,942 | 4.2+6,464 | 4.310,185 |
| 5 | 5.20404 | 5.25633 | 5.309, 135 | 5.362,265 |  |  |
| 6 | 6.30812 | 6.38773 | 6.468,409 | 6.550,152 | 6.632,973 | 6.801,912 |
| 7 | 7.43428 | 7.54743 | 7.662,462 | 7.779,407 | 7.898,294 | 03 |
| 8 | 8.58296 | 8.73611 | 8.892, 936 | 9.051,686 | 9.214,226 | 9.349,105 |
| 9 | $9.75+62$ | 9.95451 | $10.159,106$ | 10.368,495 |  | $11.026,56+$ |
| 10 | 10.9497 | 11.2033 | 11.463,879 | 11.731,393 | 12.006,107 | 19.577,592 |
| 12 | 12.1687 | 12.4834 | 12.807,793 | 13.141,991 | $19.486,351$ | 14.206,787 |
| 12 | 13.4120 | 13.79 .35 | 14.192,029 | 14.601,961 | 15.025,805 | 13.917,126 |
| 13 | 14.6803 | 15.1404 | 15.617.790 | 16.113,030 |  |  |
| 14 | 15.9739 | 16.5189 | 17.086,324 | 17.676,986 | 18.291,911 | 19.598,631 |
| 16 | 17.2934 | 17.9319 | $18.598,913$ | 19.295,680 | 20.023,587 | 21.578,565 |
| 16 | 18.6392 | 19.3802 | $20.156,881$ | 20.971 | 21.824,531 | 23.657,491 |
| 17 | 20.0120 | 20.8647 | 21.761,587 | 22.705,015 | 23.697,512 | 25.841),960 |
| 18 | 21.4123 | 22.3863 |  |  | 25.645,412 | 28.132,384 |
| 19 | 22.8405 | 23.9460 |  |  |  | 30.539,003 |
| 9 | $2+.2973$ | $25.54+6$ | 26.870, 374 | 98.279,68 ! | 29.778,078 | 33.065,954 |
| 21 | 25.7833 | 27.1832 | 28.676,485 | 30.269,470 |  | 35.719,251 |
| 22 | 27.2989 | 28.8628 | 30.536,780 | 32.325,902 | 34.247,969 | 38.505,914 |
| 2 | 28.8449 | 30.5844 | 32.452,883 | 34.460, |  | 41,430,475 |
| 2 | 30.4218 32.0302 | 32.3490 34.1577 | 34.426,470 | 36.666,528 | 39.082,604 | 44.501,998 |
| 2 | 32.0302 | 34.1577 | 36.459,264 | 38.949,856 | 41.645,908 | $47.727,098$ |
| 26 | 33.6709 $35.34+3$ | 36.0117 37.9120 | 38.553,042 | 41.319,101 | +4.311,744 | 51.113,453 |
| $\mid 27$ | $35.34+3$ | 37.9120 | 40.709,633 | 43.759,060 | +7.084,914 | 54.669,126 |
| 28 | 37.0512 | 39.8598 | 42.930,922 | 46.290,627 | 49.967,582 | 58.402,58? |
| 29 | 38.7922 | 41.8562 | 45.218,850 | 48.910,799 | 52.966,286 | 62.322,711 |
| 30 | 40.5680 | 48.9027 | 47.575,415 | 51.622, $\mathrm{i77}$ | 36.084,937 | 66.438,847 |
| 31 | 42.379 | 46.0002 | 50.002,678 | 54.429,470 | 59,328,335 | 70.760,780 |
| 32 | 44.2270 | 48.1502 | 52.502,758 | 57.334,502 | 62.701,468 | $75.298,529$ |
| 3 | 46.1115 | 50.3540 | 55.077, | 60.341, | 66.209,597 | $80.063,770$ |
| 34 | 48.0338 | 52.6128 | 57.730,176 | 63.453,152 | 69.857,908 | 85.066,959 |
| 35 | +9.9944 | 54.9282 | 60.462,081 | $66.674,012$ | 73.652,224 | 90.320, 50 |
| 36 | 51.9948 | 57.3014 | 63.275, | 70.007,603 | 77.598,813 | 95.836, ${ }^{\text {a } 2}$ |
| 37 | 54.0342 | 59.7839 | 66.174, | 73.457,36 | 1.702, | 01.688,13s |
| 38 | 56.1149 58.2372 | 62.2272 | 69.159,44 | 028,894 | 85.970,336 | 7.709,545 |
| 39 | 58.2372 60.4019 | 64.7829 67.4025 | 72.234,232 | 80.724,906 | 90.409, 149 | 14.095, 12 s |
| 40 | 60.4019 | 67.4025 | $75.401,259$ | 84.550,277 | 95.025,515 | 20.799,774 |
| $+1$ | 62.6100 | 70.0876 | 78.663,297 | 88.509,537 | 6, | ? |

## crease at Compound Interest in any Number of Years not exceeding a hundred.

| Teme. | 6 per cent. | per cent. | 8 per cent. | 9 per cent. | t. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.000,000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |
| ,2 | 2.060,000 | 2.07000 | 2.08000 | 2.09000 | 2.10000 |
| 3 | 3.183,600 | 3.21490 | 3.24640 | 3.27810 | 3.91000 |
| 4 | 4.374,616 | 4.43994 | 4.50611 | 4.57312 | 4.64100 |
| 5 | 5.637,092 | 5.75073 | 5.86660 | 5.98471 | 6.10510 |
| 6 | 6.975,318 | 7.15329 | 7.33592 | 7.52338 | 7.71561 |
| 7 | 8.393,837 | 8.65402 | 8.92280 | 9.20043 | 9.48717 |
| 8 | 9.897,467 | 10.2598 | 10.6366 | 11.0284 | 11.4958 |
| 9 | 11.491,915 | 11.9779 | 12.4875 | 18.0210 | 13.5794 |
| 10 | 18.180,794 | 13.8164 | 14.4865 | 15.1929 | 15.9874 |
| 11 | 14.791,642 | 15.7836 | 16.6454 | 17.5602 | 18.5311 |
| 12 | 16.869,941 | 17.8884 | 18.9771 | 20.1407 | 21.3842 |
| 13 | 18.882,187 | 20.1406 | 21.4952 | 22.9533 | 24.5227 |
| 14 | 21.015,065 | 22.5504 | 24.2149 | 26.0191 | 27.9749 |
| 15 | 25.275,969 | 25.1290 | 27.1521 | 29.3609 | 31.7724 |
| 16 | 25.672,528 | 27.8880 | 30.3242 | 38.0033 | 35.9497 |
| 17 | 28:212,879 | 30.8402 | 39.7502 | 36.9787 | 40.5447 |
| 18 | 30.905,652 | 38.9990 | 37.4502 | 41.3013 | 45.5991 |
| 19 | 33.759,991. | 87.3789 | 41.4462 | 46.0184 | 51.1590 |
| 20 | 36.785,591 | 40.9964 | 45.7619 | 51.1601 | 57.2750 |
| 21 | 39.992,726 | 44.8651 | 50.4229 | 56.7645 | 64.0025 |
| 82 | 48.392,290 | 49.0057 | 55.4567 | 62.8733 | 71.4027 |
| 23 | 46.925,827 | 53.4961 | 60.8932 | 69.5319 | 79.5480 |
| 24. | 50.815,577 | 58.1766 | 66.7647 | 76.7898 | 88.4973 |
| 25 | 54.864,512 | 63.2490 | 78.1059 | 84.7008 | 98.3470 |
| 26 | 59.156,382 | 68.6764 | 79.9544 | 93.3239 | 109.181 |
| 87. | 63.706,765 | 74.48838 | 87.8507 | 102.723 | 121.099 |
| 28 | 68.528,111, | 80.6976 | 95.3888 | 112.968 | 134.209 |
| 29 | 73.639,798 | 87.3465 | 103.965 | 124.135 | 148.630 |
| 50 | 79.058,186 | 94.4607 | 113.283 | 136.307 | 164.494 |
| 31 | 84.801,677 | 102078 | 123.345 | 149.575 | 181.943 |
| 32 | 90,889,778 | 110.218 | 184.213 | 164.036 | 201.137 |
| 38 | 97.343,164. | 118.933 | 145.950. | 179.800 | 222.251 |
| 34 | 104.183,754 | 128.258 | 158.626 | 196.982 | 2454476 |
| 35 | 111.434,779 | 138.236 | 172.316 | 215.710 | 271.024 |
| 96 | 119.120,866 | 148.913 | 187.102 | 236.124 | 299.126 |
| 97 | [127.268,118 | 160.337 | 20.3.070 | 258.375 | 330.039 |
| 58 | 195.904,205 | 172.531 | 220.915 | 282.629 | 364.043 |
| 39 | 145.058,458 | 185.640 | 238.941 | 309.066 | 401.447 |
| 40 | 154.761,965 | 199.635 | 259.056 | 387.882 | 442.592 |
| 41 | 165.047,68 | \|214.609 | 280.781 | 369.291 | \|487.851 |

$\therefore$ TABLE IV. continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 67 | 75 | 85.483,892 |  |  | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 71.8927 | 81.51 | 92.719,861 | 105.78 1,672 | 121.029,392 |  |
|  | 74.3305 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 76.817 |  |  |  | 132.94, 300 |  |
| 48 | 79 |  | , |  |  |  |
| . | 81.9 |  | 8.540 |  |  |  |
| 50 | 84.57 |  | 112 |  | 67 |  |
| 5 | 87.270 | 100.921 | 117.180,773 | 196.582 | 9.77. $\cdot$,707 |  |
| 52 | 99.016 |  | 121.696,196 |  |  |  |
| 53 | 92.816 | 108.055 | 126.3+7,082 | 148.3 | 4.8.51,306 | 245.498,973 |
| 54 | 95.67 | 111.756 |  |  | 182.845,958 |  |
| 55 | 98.5865 | 115.550 |  | 6 | 191.159,173 |  |
| 56 | 101.558 | 119.439 | 141.153 | 80,030 |  |  |
| 57 | 104.589 | 123.425 | 146.388, | 174.445, | 0s.747,761 |  |
| 58 | 107.681 | 127.511 | 151.780,0 |  |  |  |
|  | 10.834 | 131.699 | 157.333, |  | 187 |  |
|  | 4.15 | 135.991 | 163.053 |  |  |  |
|  | 17.332 | 14.3 .391 | 168.9 | 204.394,9i3 | 248.510,312 | 372:262,903 |
|  | 120.679 | 144.901 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.092 | 149.523 |  |  |  | 412,469,851 |
|  | 27.574 | 154.261 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.126 | 159. 118 | 194 |  | 94,968;380 |  |
|  | .748 | 164.696 |  |  |  | 480.637,911 |
|  | 138.43 | 169.198 | 208. |  |  |  |
| 68 | 142.21? | 174.429 | 215.413 |  |  |  |
| 69 | 146.056 | 179.789 | -22.906 |  |  |  |
| 71 | 14.9 .977 | 185.284 | 230.5 |  |  |  |
| 71 | 153.97 .7 | 190.916 |  |  |  |  |
| 72 | 158.057 | 1196.089 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | 162.218 | 202.006 | 255.067, | 323.430, | 412.898;822 | 684/447,817 |
| 74 | 166.402 | - 2.8 .671 | :63.719, |  |  |  |
|  | 170.791 | 1214.883 | -72.630, | 880 | 448.631 |  |
|  | 175.297 | 221.200 |  | 1.728 | - 576 |  |
| 77 | 1.9 .711 | 227.79 | 291264 | 5.312,06 | 487.279,686 | 836.260,724 |
| 7 | 18.4 .30 .5 | 234.480 | 301.001 | 9.5:7.677 |  |  |
|  | 992 | 241.348 | 311.032 | 4.161,146 | 599.08 1,708 | 924,027,448 |
|  | 193.771 | 243.382 |  |  | 551.244,976 | 971.228,8:1 |
|  | 199.047 | 255.502 | 332.0 | 4.34.982, | 574.2 | 020.790,262 |
|  | 203.620 | '262.982 | 3 32.9 |  | 98.266,566 |  |
|  | 208.692 | 270.5:6 | 354.252,94 | 467:999, | 23.197, 229 | 1127471,264 |
|  | 213.860 | 278.320 | 365.880,535 | 485.379, | 4,125,118 |  |
|  | 219.143 | 286.278 |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE IV. continued.

| Years. | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 175.950,544 | 230.632 | 304.243 | 403.528 | 537.636 |
| 43 | 187.607,577 | 247.776 | 329.583 | 440.845 | 592.400 |
| 44 | 199.758,031 | 266.120 | 356.949 | 481.521 | 652.640 |
| 45 | 212.743,513 | 285.749 | 386.505 | 525.858 | 718.904 |
| 46 | 226.508,124 | 306.751 | 418.426 | 574.186 | 791.795 |
| 47 | 241.098,612 | 329.224 | 452.900 | 626.862 | 871.97 .4 |
| 48 | 256.564,528 | 353.270 | 490.132 | 684.230 | 960.172 |
| 49 | 272.958,400 | 378.998 | 530.342. | 746.865 | 1057.18 |
| 50 | 290.335,904 | 406.528 | 573.770 | 815.083 | 1163.90) |
| 51 | $308.756,058$ | 485.985 | 620.671 | 889.441 | 1281.29 |
| 52 | 32S.281,422 | 467.504 | 67.1.325 | 970.490 | 1410.42 |
| 53 | 348.978,307 | 501.230 | 726.031 | 1058.83 | 1552.47 |
| 54 | 370.917,006 | 537.816 | 785.114 | 115.5 .13 | 1708.7.1 |
| 55 | 394.172,026 | 575.928 | 848.923 | 1260.09 | 1880.59 |
| 56 | 418.822,348 | 617.243 | 917.857 | 1374.50 | 2069.65 |
| 57 | 444.951;689 | 661.450 | 992.264 | 1499.2) | 2277.61 |
| 58 | 472.648,790 | 708.752 | 1072.64 | 1635.13 | 2506.37 |
| 59 | 502.007,717 | 759.364 | 1159.45 | 1783.29 | 2758.91 |
| 60 | 533.128,180 | 813.520 | 1253.21 | 1944.79 | 3034.81 |
| 61 | 566.115,871 | 871.466 | 1854.47 | 2120.82 | 3339.29 |
| 62 | 601.082,824 | 933.469 | 1463.32 | 2312.69 | 3674.22 |
| 63 | 638.147,79s | 999.812 | 1581.93 | 2521.84 | 4042.65 |
| 64 | 677.436,661 | 1070.79 | 1709.48 | 2749.80 | 4447.91 |
| 65 | 719.082,860 | 1146.75 | 18+7.24 | 2998.28 | 4893.70 |
| 66 | 763.227,83: | 1228.03 | 1996.02 | 3269.13 | 5384.07 |
| 67 | 810.021,502 | 1314.99 | 2156.71 | 3564.35 | 59:3.48 |
| 68 | 859.632,792 | 14()8.03 | 2330.24 | 3886.14 | 6516.83 |
| 69 | 912.200,100 | 1507.60 | 2517.66 | 4236.90 | 7169.51 |
| 70 | 967.932,1(iy | 1614.13 | 2720.08 | 4619.22 | 7887.46 |
| 71 | 1027.005,099 | 1728.12 | 2938.68 | 5035. 95 | 8677.21 |
| 72 | 1089.628,585 | 1850.09 | 3174.78 | 5+90.18 | 9545.93 |
| 73 | 11.56.006,300 | 1980,59 | $3+29.76$ | 5935.30 | 10501.53 |
| 74 | 1226.366,679 | 2120.24 | 3705.14 | 6524.98 | 11552.68 |
| 75 | 1 Su0.948,679 | 2209.05 | 4002.55 | 7113.23 | 12708.95 |
| 76 | 1380.005,60( | 2429.53 | +323.76 | 7754.42 | 13980.85 |
| 77 | 1463.80j,936 | 2600.60 | +670.66 | 8453.32 | 15379.93 |
| 78 | 1552.634,292 | 2783.64 | 5045.31 | 9215.12 | 16918.92 |
| 79 | 1646.792,350 | 2979.49 | 5449.94 | -00) 5.4 | 18011.82 |
| 80 | 17+6.599,891 | 3189.06 | 58.6 .03 | 110950.5 | 20+74.00 |
| 81 | 1852.395,584 | 3413.29 | 6.358.89 | 11937.1 | O2592.40 |
| 82 | $1964.539,037$ | 1653.22 | (6568.60 | 13012.7 | 24775.64 |
| 83 | 208\%.412,016 | 3909.95 | 1+19.08 | 114184.5 | 07254.20 |
| 84 | 2:09.416,737 | 4184.65 | 5013.61 | 1546\%.2 | 29980.62 |
| 85 | 2342.981.74 | $1+478.57$ | 186:55.70 | $116 \times 54.8$ | 329.906 |

Tables.

## TABLE IV. continued.

|  | 2pot ce | percent | 3 per ceat. | 312 per cent. | 4 per cent. | 5 per ceme. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86 |  | 29 | 390.192,660 | 521.985,252 | 704.133,728 |  |
| 87 | 230.017 | 302.796 | 402.398,440 | 5+1.254,737 | 733.299,07i | 1374.758,493 |
| 88 | 235.617 | 311.566 | +1 5.985,393 | 501.198,652 | 763.63!,04. | 1444.496,418 |
| 89 | 241.330 | 320.150 | +29.46+,955 | 581.840,605 | 795.176,28 | 1517.721,238 |
| 90 | 247.156 | 329.154 | +43.348,903 | 603.205,027 | 8.27.983,333 | 1594.607,300 |
| 91 | 253.099 | \$38.383 | 457.6+9,370 | 625.317,292 | 862.102,667 | 675.337,665 |
| 92 | 259.161 | 347.842 | +72.378,851 | 648.203,305 | 897.586,773 | 1760.104,549 |
| 93 | 265.345 | 357.538 | +87.530,21; | 671.890,420 | 984.490,244 | 1849.109,776 |
| 94 | 271.651 | 367.477 | 503.176,723 | 696.406,585 | 972.869,354 | 1942.565,263 |
| 95 | 278.084 | 377.664 | 519.272,025 | 721.780,815 | $1012.784,648$ | 2040.693,528 |
|  | 284.646 | 388.105 | 535.850,186\| | 748.043,144 | 1054.296,084 | 2143.728,205 |
| 97 | 201. 339 | 398.808 | 552.925,692 | 775.224,654 | 1097.467.875 | z231.914,613 |
|  | 298.166 | 409.778 | 570.513,462 | 803.357,517 | 1142.366,599 | 2365.510,346 |
| 90 | S05.129 | +21.023 | 588.628,8 | 332.475,030 | 1189..661,254 | 2484.785, |
| 100 | \|312.232| | +32.54.8 | 07 | 862.611,656 | 1237.623,704 2 | 2610.025.156 |
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TABLE IV. continued.

| Treand | 6 per ceut. | 7 per ceat. | 8 per cont. | ${ }^{9}$ percent | n. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ' 86 | 2484.560,645 | 4793.07 | 9349.16 | 18372.7 | 36278.65 |
| 87. | 2634.634,284 | 5129.59 | 10098.0 | 20027.2 | 39907.52 |
| 88 | $2793.712,341$ | 5489.66 | 10906.9 | 21830.7 | 43899.87 |
| 89 | 2962.335,082 | 5874.93 | 11780.4 | 23796.5 | 48290.20 |
| 90 | 3141.075,187 | 6287.18 | 12783.9 | 25939.1 | 53120.22 |
| 91 | 9330.539,698 | 6728.28 | 13742,8 | 28274.7 | 58433.25 |
| 92 | 3531.372,080 | 7200.26 | 14843.2 | 30820.4 | $6+277.57$ |
| 93 | 3744.254,405 | 7705.28 | 16031.7 | 38595.2 | 70706.38 |
| 94 | 3969,909,669 | 8246.65 | 17315.2 | 36619.8 | 77777.96 |
| 95 | 4209.104,249 | 8823.85 | 18701.5 | 39916.6 | 85556.76 |
| 96 | 4462.650,504 | 9+42.52 | 20198.6 | 43510.1 | 94113.43 |
| 97 | 4731.409,534 | 10104.5 | 21815.5 | 47427.0 | 105525.8 |
| 98 | 5016.294,106 | 10812.3 | 23561.7 | 51696.4 | 113879.3 |
| 99 | $5318.271,753$ | 11570.7 | 25447.7 | 56350.1 | 125268.3 |
| 100 | L5638.368,058 | 12381.6 | 127484.5 | 61422.6 | 137796.1 |

## Construction

Construction of the four preceding Tables.
! THESE Tables may be met with in most of the books which treat of compound interest and annuities ; -but there has been, in this work, so much occasion for referring to them, that it was necessary to save the reader the trouble of turning to other books for them.

The 1st, 2d, 3d, \&c. numbers in the first table, are the quotients of unity divided by the 1 st, $2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$, \&c. powers ro spectively of $\mathscr{E}_{1}$ increased by its interest for a year; that is, $\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{r^{2}}, \frac{1}{r^{3}}, \& c$. $r$ signifying $\mathscr{E}_{1}$ increased by its interest for a year; or $1.02,1.025,1.03,1.035,1.04,1.05, \& c$, according as the interest is $2,2 \frac{1}{2}, 3,3 \frac{1}{2}, 4$, 5, \&c. per cent.

The 2d, 3d, 4th, \&c. numbers in the second table, are the sums of the 1 st and 2 d ; of the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{~d}$, and 3 d ; of the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{~d}$, 3d, and 4th, \&c. \&c. numbers respectively in the first Table.

The numbers in the 3d Table are the powers of $\mathscr{E}_{1}$ increased by its interest for a year; that is, $r, r^{2}, r^{3}, \& c$.

The 2d, 3d, 4th, \&c. numbers in the 4th Table, are the sums of the 1 st and 2 d ; of the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{~d}$, and 3 d ; of the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$, and 4th, \&c. numbers in the 3d Table, with unity added.

## [287]

Uses of the preceding Tables.
Question I. To what sum or annuity will any given sum or annuity increase in a given number of years, at a given rate of compound interest?

Ans. Multiply the number in Table 3d under the given rate and opposite to the given number of years, by the given sum or annuity, and the product will be the answer.

Example. The produet of $\mathscr{E} 40$ into 2.0258 (that is, $\mathfrak{E}^{21.032 \text { ) is the sum to }}$ which $£_{40}$ principal will increase in 18 years, reckoning interest at 4 per cent. 5 and the same product is likewise the anmuity to which an annuity of $\neq 40$ will increase in the same time, reckoning the same interest.

Quest. II. To what sum will a given annuity amount at a given rate of compound interest for a given number of years?

Ans. Multiply the number in the fourth Table under the rate and opposite to the given number of years, by the given annuity, and the product will be the answer.

Example. The product of $£ 40$ into 25.6454 (that is, $\mathscr{E}^{1025.826)}$ ) is the sum to which £40 per ann. will amount in 18 years, reckoning interest at 4 per cent.

Quest. III. In what number of years will a given sum or annuity increase to another given sum or annuity in consequence of being improved at a given rate of interest ?

Ans. Divide the latter sum or annuity by the former. Find the quotient (or the number nearest to it) in the third Table, under the given rate, and the years opposite to it will be the answer.

Example. The quotient of $£_{1025.826}$ divided by 40 , is 25.6454 , which number, under 4 per cent. in the third Table, is opposite to 18 years; which, therefore, is the number of years in which $\mathscr{E}_{40}$ will increase to $\neq 1025.826$ if improved at 4 per cent. compound interest.

Quest. IV. In what time will a given anmuity amount to a given sum at a given rate of interest ?

Ans. Divide the given sum by the given annuity. Find the quotient (or the number nearest to it) in the fourth Table under the given rate, and the number of years corresponding to it will be the answer.

Example. A person owes $\mathscr{E} 1000$, and resolves to appropriate $\mathscr{£} 10$ per ann. of his income towards discharging it. In what time will such an appropriation, interest being at 4 per cent. amount to a sum equal to the debt ? - 1000 divided by $\neq 10$ gives $\mathscr{E} 100$. The number in the fourth Table, under 4 per cent. and nearest to this
quotient, is 99.8265 , which corresponds to 41 years; and this, therefore, is the time in which such an appropriation would sink the debt. In like manner, it may be found that an appropriation of a million per ann. would, in the same time, sink a public debt of a hundred millions, carrying 4 per cent. interest; and, in 56 years a debt of two hundred millions; and in 82 years, a debt of six hundred millions.

Quest. V. In what time will a given principal be annihilated by taking out of it, at the end of a year, a given sum; and after that, the same sum annually, together with its growing interests?

Ans: In the same time in which an equal annuity would amount to the given principal.

A person, therefore, possessed of $\mathscr{E}_{1000}$ capital, bearing interest at 4 per cent. would, by Quest. IV. reduce it to nothing in 41 years, by taking out of it $£_{10}$ at the beginning of the first year, and as much more every following year as would be necessary, together with the interest of the remaining capital, to make his annual income constantly $\mathscr{E} 50$.

## TABLE V.

Shewing the Probabilities of the Duration of Life, as decided by Dr. Halley from Observations on the Bills of Mortality of Breslaw.

| es. |  | Decre. Oflife. | Ases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Defer } \\ & \text { fife } \end{aligned}$ | Agest |  | Pfeme. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1000 | 145 | 31 | 523 | 8 | 612 | 232 | 10 |
| 2 | 855 | 57 | 32 | 515 | 8 | 622 | 222 | 0 |
| 3 | 798 | 38 | 33 | 507 | 8 | 632 | 212 | 10 |
| 4 | 760 | 28 | 34 | 499 | 9 | 64 | 202 | 10 |
| 5 | 732 | 22 | 35 | 490 | 9 | 651 | 192 | 10 |
| 6 | 710 | 18 | 36 | 481 |  | 661 | 182 | 10 |
| 7 | 692 | 12 | 37 | 472 | 9 | 671 | 172 | 10 |
| 6 | 680 | 10 | 38 | 463 | 9 | 681 | 162 | 10 |
| 9 | 670 | 9 | 39 | 454 | 9 | 691 | 152 | 10 |
| 10 | 661 | 8 | 40 | 445 | 9 |  | IUA | ${ }^{3}$ |
| 11 | 653 | 7 | 11 | 436 | 9 |  | 13.1 | 11 |
| 12 | 646 | 6 | 42 | 127 | 10 | 721 | 120 | 11 |
| 13 | 640 | 6 | 43 | 417 | 10 | 73 | 109 | 11 |
| 14 | 634 | 6 | 44 | 407 | 10 | 74 | 98 | 10 |
| 15 | 628 | 6 | 45 | 397 | 10 | 75 | 88 | 10 |
| 16 | 022 | 6 | 46 | 387 | 10 | 76 | 8 | 10 |
| 17 | 616 | 6 | 47 | 397 | 10 | 77 | 68 | 10 |
| 18 | 610 | 6 | 48 | 367 | 10 | 78 | 58 | 8 |
| 19 | 604 | 6 | 49 | 357 | 11 | 79. | 49 |  |
| 20 | 598 | - 6 | 50 | 346 | 11 | 90 | 41. | 7 |
| 21 | 592 | 6 | 51 | 335 | 11 | 81 | 3 n | 6 |
| 22 | 586 | 7 | 52 | 324 | 11 | 82 | 28. |  |
| 23 | 579 | 6 | 53 | 313 | 11 | 83 | 23 | 1 |
| 24 | 573 | 6 | 54 | 302 | 10 | 84 85 | 19 |  |
| 25 | 567 | 7 | 55 | 292 | 10 | 85 |  | 4 3 |
| 26 | 560 | .$^{7}$ | 56 | 282 | 10 | 88 |  | 3 <br> 3 |
| 27 | 553 | 7 | 57 | 272 | 10 | 87 88 | 8 <br> 5 | 3 2 2 |
| 28 | 546 539 | 8 | 58 59 | 252 | 10 | 88 8 |  | 2 |
| 30 | 531 | 8 | 60 | +242 | 10 |  | , 1 | 1 |

## TABLE VI.a

Shewing the present Values of an Annuity of $\mathcal{E 1}$ on a Single Life, aecording to Mr. De Moitre's hypothesis. See Vol. I. p. 2.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 19.736 | 18.160 | 16.791 | 15.595 | 14.544 | . 12.790 |
| 9 | 19.868 | 18.269 | 16.882 | 15.672 | 14.607 | 12.839 |
| 10 | 19868 | 18.269 | 16.582 | 15.672 | 14.607 | 12.839 |
| 11 | 19.736 | 18.160 | 16.791 | 15.595 | 14.544 | 12.790 |
| 12 | 19.60-3 | 18.049 | 16.698 | 15.517 | 14.480 | 12.74 |
| 13 | 19.469 | 17.987 | 16.604 | 15.437 | 14.412 | 12.691 |
| 14 | 19.331 | 17.823 | 16.508 | 15.356 | 14.342 | 12.639 |
| 15 | 19.192 | 17.707 | 16.410 | 15.273 | 14.271 | 12.586 |
| 16 | 19.050 | 17.588 | 16.311 | 15.189 | 14.197 | 12.532 |
| 17 | 18.905 | 17.467 | 16.209 | 15.102 | 14.123 | 12.476 |
| 18 | 18.759 | 17.344 | 16.105 | 15.015 | 14.047 | 12.419 |
| 19 | 18.610 | 17.220 | 15.999 | 14.923 | 13.970 | 12.361 |
| 20 | 18.458 | 17.093 | 15.891 | 14.831 | 13.891 | 12.301 |
| 21 | 18.395 | 16.963 | 15.781 . | 14.737 | 13.810 | 12.239 |
| 22 | 18.148 | 16.830 | 15.669 | 14.641 | 13.727 | 12.177 |
| 23 | 17.990 | 16.696 | 15.554 | 14.543 | $13.64 \%$ | 12.112 |
| 24 | 17.827 | 16.559 | 15.437 | 14.4.42 | 13.555 | 12.045 |
| 25 | 17.664 | 16.419 | 15.318 | 14.340 | 13.466 | 11.978 |
| 26 | 17.497 | 16.277 | 15.197 | 14.235 | 13.375 | 14.908 |
| 27 | 17.327 | 16.183 | 15.073 | 14.128 | 13.282. | 11.857 |
| 28 | 17.154 | 15.985 | 14.946 | 14.018 | 13.186 | 11.763 |
| 29 | 16.979 | 15.835 | 14.816 | 18.905 | 13.088 | 11.688 |
| 30 | 16.800, | 15.682 | 14.684 | 18.791 | 12.988 | 14.610 |
| S1 | 16.680 | 15.526 | 14.549 | 13.673 | 12.855 | 14.530 |
| 32 | 16489 | 15.367 | 14.411 | 18.553 | 12.780 | 11.449 |
| 33 | 16.248 | 15.204 | 14.270 | 13.430 | 12.673 | 11.365 |
| 34 | 16.037 | 15.039 | 14.126 | 13.304 | 12.562 | 18.278 |
| 35 | 15.864 | 14.871 | 13.979 | 13.175 | 12.449 | 11.189 |
| 36 | 15.666 | 14.699 | $13.82 y$ | 13.04* | 12.333 | 11.098 |
| 37 | 15.465 | 14.524 | 13.676 | 12.909 | 12.214. | 11.003 |
| 38 | 15.260 | 14.345 | 13.519 | 12.771 | 12.091. | 10.907. |
| 39 | 15.053 | 14.163 | 18.359 | 12.630 | 11.96 6 | 10.807 |
| 40 | 14.842, | 13.978 | 13.196 | 12.485 | 11.837 | 10.704 |
| 41 | 14.626 | 13.78 .9 | 13.028 | 12.337 | 11.705 | 10.599 |

a This Table is the same with Mr. De Moiure's Table of the values of single lives, published in his Treatise on Life Annvities, and carried is far as the age of $7 \theta$, to three places of decimals, by Nr. Dodson in is Mashenatical Repository, Vol. II. p. 169.
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TABLE VI. continued.

| Age. | 3 per cent\| |  |  |  |  | 6 per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 14.407 | 13.596 | 12.858 | 12.185 | 11.570 | 10.490 |
| 43 | 14.185 | 13.399 | 12.683 | 12.029 | $11.431 \cdot$ | 10.378 |
| 44 | 13.938 | 13.199 | 12.504 | 11.870 | 11.288 | 10.263 |
| 45 | 13.728 | 12.993 | 12.322 | 11.707 | 11.142 | 10.144 |
| 46 | 13.493 | 12.784 | 12.135 | 11.540 | 10.992 | 10.021 |
| 47 | 13.254 | 12.571 | 11.944 | 11.368 | 10837 | 9.895 |
| 48 | 13.012 | 12.354 | 11.748 | 11.192 | 10.679 | 9.765 |
| 49 | 12.764 | 12.131 | 11.548 | 11.012 | 10.615 | 9.630 |
| 50 | 12.511 | 11.904 | $11.34+$ | 10.827 | 10.348 | 9.492 |
| 51 | 12.255 | 11.673 | 11.135 | 10.638 | 10.176 | $9.3+9$ |
| 52 | 11.994 | 11.437 | 10.921 | 10.443 | 9.999 | 9.201 |
| 63 | 11.729 | 11.195 | 10.704 | 10.243 | 9.817 | 9.049 |
| 54 | 11.457 | 10.950 | 10.478 | 10.039 | 9.630 | 8.891 |
| 55 | 11.183 | 10.698 | 10.248 | 9.829 | 9.437 | 8.729 |
| 56 | 10.902 | 10.443 | 10.014 | 9.614 | 9.239 | 8.561 |
| 57 | 10.616 | 10.181 | 9.773 | 9.393 | 9.036 | 8.387 |
| 58 | 10.325 | 9.913 | 9.527 | 9.166 | 8.826 | 8.208 |
| 59 | 10.029 | 9.6 .40 | 9.275 | 8.933 | 8.611 | 8.023 |
| 60 | 9.727 | 9.361 | 9.017 | 8.694 | 8.389 | 7.831 |
| 61 | 9.419 | 9.076 | 8.753 | 8.449 | 8.161 | 7.633 |
| 62 | 9.107 | 8.786 | 8.482 | 8.197 | 7.926 | 7.428 |
| 63 | 8.787 | 8.488 | 8.205 | 7.938 | 7.684 | 7.216 |
| 64 | 8.462 | 8.185 | 7.921 | 7.672 | 7.435 | 6.997 |
| 65 | 8.132 | 7.875 | 7.631 | 7.399 | 7.179 | 6.770 |
| 66 | $7.79+$ | 7.558 | 7.933 | 7.119 | 6.915 | 6.535 |
| 67 | 7.450 | 7.234 | 7.027 | 6.831 | 6.643 | 6.292 |
| 68 | 7.099 | 6.902 | 6.714 | 6.534 | 6.362 | 6.040 |
| 69 | 6.743 | 6.565 | $6.39+$ | 6.230 | 6.073 | 5.779 |
| 70 | 6.378 | 6.219 | 6.065 | 5.918 | 5.775 | 5.508 |
| 71 | 6.008 | 5.865 | 5.728 | 5.596 | 5.468 | 5.228 |
| 72 | 5.631 | 5.505 | 5.383 | 5.265 | 5.152 | 4.937 |
| 73 | 5.246 | 5.136 | 5.029 | 4.926 | 4.826 | 4.636 |
| 74 | 4.854 | 4.759 | 4.666 | 4.576 | 4.489 | 4.324 |
| 75 | 4453 | 4.973 | 4.293 | 4.217 | 4.143 | 4.000 |
| 76 | 4.046 | 3.978 | 3.912 | 3.847 | 3.784 | 3.664 |
| 77 | 3.63: | 3.575 | 3.520 | 3.467 | 3.415 | 3.315 |
| 78 | 3.207 | 3.163 | 3.111 | 3.076 | 3.034 | 2.953 |
| 79 | 2.776 | 2.741 | 2.707 | 2.673 | 2.641 | 2.578 |
| 80 | 2.354 | 2.309 | 2.284 | 2.259 | 2.235 | 2.188 |
| 81 | 1.886 | 1.867 | 1.850 | 1.832 | 1.816 | 1.783 |
| 82 | 1.429 | 1.411 | 1.406 | 1.394 | 1.384 | 1.362 |
| 83 | 0.961 | 0.955 | 0.950 | 0.943 | 0.987 | 0.925 |
| 94 | 0.484 | 0.483 | 0.481 | 0.479 | 0.476 | 0.472 |
| $8: 5$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.090 | 0.000 |

## TABLE VII.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint continuance of Two Lives, according to Mr. De Moivre's Hypothesis ; computed by the Rule in Note (L). See Vol. I. p. 2 and 3, and Chapter 4th, P. 204, \&c.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age of tre } \\ & \text { joungere. } \end{aligned}$ | Age of the eldest. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } \\ \text { at } 3 \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { \& per Cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Value at 5 per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 10 | 15.206 | 13.342 | 11.855 |
|  | 15 | 14.878 | 13.093 | 11.661 |
|  | 20 | 14.503 | 12.808 | 11.430 |
|  | 25 | 14.074 | 12.480 | 11.182 |
|  | 30 | 13.585 | 12.1.02 | 10.884 |
|  | 35 | 13.025 | 11.665 | 10.537 |
|  | 40 | 12.381 | 11.156 | 10.128 |
|  | 45 | 11.644 | 10.564 | 9.646 |
|  | 50 | 10.796 | 9.871 | 9.074 |
|  | 55 | 9.822 | 9.059 | 8.391 |
|  | 60 | 8.704 | 8.105 | 7.572 |
|  | 65 | 7.417 | 6.980 | 6.585 |
|  | - 70 | 5.936 | 5.652 | 5.391 |
| 15 | - 15 | 14.574 | 12.860 | 11.478 |
|  | 20 | 14.225 | 12.593 | 11.266 |
|  | 25 | 13.822 | 12.281 | 11.022 |
|  | 30 | 13.359 | 11.921 | 10736 |
|  | 35 | 12.324 | 11.501 | 10.402 |
|  | 40 | 12.207 | 11.013 | 10.008 |
|  | 45 | 11.496 | 11.440 | 9.541 |
|  | 50 | 10.675 | 9.767 | 8.985 |
|  | 55 | 9.727 | 8.975 | 8.318 |
|  | 60 | 8.632 | 8.041 | 7.515 |
|  | 65 | 7.377 | 6.934 | 6.544 |
|  | 70 | 5.932 | -5.623 | 5.364 |
| 20 | 20 | 13.904 | 12.341 | 11.067 |
|  | 25 | 13.531 | 12.051 | 10.840 |

TABLE VII. continued.

| Age of the youngest. | Age of the eldest. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Value } \\ \text { at s per Cent. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } \\ 4 \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}$ | $\text { at } 3 \text { percive }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 30 | 13.098 | 11.711 | 10.565 |
|  | 35 | 12.594 | 11.314 | 10.278 |
|  | 40 | 12.008 | 10.847 | 9,870 |
|  | 45 | 11.325 | 10.297 | 9.400 |
|  | 50 | 10.536 | 9.648 | 8.880 |
|  | 55 | 9.617 | 8.879 | 8.233 |
|  | 60 | 8.549 | 7.967 | 7.448 |
|  | 65 | 7.308 | 6.882 | 6.495 |
|  | 70 | 5.868 | 5.590 | 5.333 |
| 25 | 25 | 13.192 | 11.786 | 10.621 |
|  | 30 | 12.794 | 11.468 | 10.367 |
|  | 35 | 12.333 | $11.093{ }^{\circ}$ | 10.067 |
|  | 40 | 11.776 | 10.655 | 9.708 |
|  | 45 | 11.130 | 10.131 | 9.278 |
|  | 50 | 10.374 | 9.509 | 8.761 |
|  | 55 | 9.488 | 8.766 | 8.134 |
|  | 60 | 8.452 | 7.880 | 7.371 |
|  | 65 | 7.241 | 6.826 | 6.440 |
|  | 70 | 5.826 | 5.551 | 5.294 |
| 30 | 30 | 12.434 | 11.182 | 10.133 |
|  | 35 | 12.010 | 10.838 | 9.854 |
|  | 40 | 11.502 | 10.428 | 9.514 |
|  | 45 | 10.898 | 9.936 | 9.112 |
|  | 50 | 10.183 | 9.345 | 8.620 |
|  | 55. | 9.338 | 8.634 | 8.018 |
|  | $60^{\circ}$ | 8.338 | 7.779 | 7.280 |
|  | 65 | 7.161 | 6.748 | 6.373 |
|  | 70 | 5.777 | 5.505 | 5.254 |
| 35 | 35 | 11.632 | 10.530 | 9.600 |
|  | 40 | 11.175 | 10.157 | 9.291 |
|  | 43 | 10.622 | 9.702 | 8.913 |
|  | 50 | 9.955 | 9.149 | 8.450 |
|  | 55 | 9.156 | 8.476 | 7.879 |

Tables.
TABLE VII. continued.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age of the } \\ & \text { youngest. } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Age of the } \\ & \text { eldest. } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } \\ \text { at } 4, \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 60 | 8.202 | 7.658 | 7.172 |
|  | 65 | 7.066 | 6.662 | 6.294 |
|  | 70 | 5.718 | 5.450 | 5,203 |
| 40 | 40 | 10.777 | 9.826 | 9.014 |
|  | 45 | 10.283 | 9.418 | 8.671 |
|  | 50 | 9.677 | 8.911 | 8.244 |
|  | 55 | 8.936 | 8.283 | 7.710 |
|  | 60 | 8.038 | 7.510 | 7.039 |
|  | 65 | 6.951 | 6.556 | 6.198 |
|  | 70 | 5.646 | 5.383 | 5.141 |
| 45 | 45 | 9.863 | 9.063 | 8.370 |
|  | 50 | 9.331 | 8.619 | 7.987 |
|  | 55 | 8.662 | 8.044 | 7.500 |
|  | 60 | 7.831 | 7.332 | 6.875 |
|  | 65 | 6.807 | 6.425 | 6.080 |
|  | 70 | 5.556 | 5.300 | 5.063 |
| 50 | 50 | 8.892 | 8.235 | 7.660 |
|  | 55 | 8.312 | 7.738 | 7.230 |
|  | 60 | 7.568 | 7.091 | 6.664 |
|  | 65 | 6.623 | 6.258 | 5.926 |
|  | 70 | 5.442 | 5.193 | 4.964 |
| 55 | 55 | 7.849 | 7.332 | 6.873 |
|  | 60 | 7.220 | 6.781 | 6.386 |
|  | 65 | 6.379 | 6.036 | 5.724 |
|  | 70 | 5.201 | 5.053 | 4.833 |
| 60 | 60 | 6.737 | 6.351 | 6.001 |
|  | 65 | 6.043 | 5.730 | 5.444 |
|  | 70 | 5.081 | 4.858 | 4.653 |
| 65 | 65 | 5.547 | 5.277 | 5031 |
|  | 70 | 4.773 | 4.571 | 4.385 |
| 70 | 70 | 4.270 | 4.104 | 3.952 |

Tables.

## TABLE VIII.

Shewing the Probabilities of Life at Nozwich. See page 98 in this Volume.

| Agce. | Persons living. | of Lifect | Ages. | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Persoos } \\ \text { livitag. } \end{array}\right\|$ | \| Dectic| | Ages. |  | Decer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1185 | 320 | 32 | 392 | 6 | 63 | 174 | 9 |
| 1 | 865 | 160 | 33 | 386 | 6 | 64 | 165 | 9 |
| 2 | 705 | 60 | 34 | 380 | 6 | 65 | \|156 | 9 |
| 3 | 645 | 32 | 35 | 374 | 6 | 66 | 147 | 9 |
| 4 | 613 | 230 | 36 | 368 | 6 | 67 | 138 | 9 |
| 5 | 590 | 20 | 37 | 362 | 6 | 68 | 129 | 9 |
| 6 | 570 | 16 | 38 | 356 | 6 | 69 | 120 | 9 |
| 7 | 554 | 13 | 39 | 350 | 7 | 70 | 111 | 9 |
| 8 | 541 | 11 | 40 | 343 | 6 | 71 | 102 | 8 |
| 9 | 530 | 9 | 41 | 337 | 6 | 72 | 94 | 8 |
| 10 | 521 | 7 | 42 | 331 | 6 | 73 | 80 | 8 |
| 1 t | 514 | 6 | 43 | 325 | 7 | 74 | 78 | 8 |
| 12 | 508 | 6 | 44 | 318 | 7 | 75 | 70 | 8 |
| 13 | 502 | 5 | 45 | 311 | 7 | 76 | 62 | 7 |
| 14. | 497 | 5 | 46 | 304 | 7 | 77 | 55 | 7 |
| 15 | 492 | 5 | 47 | 297 | 7 | 78 | 48 | 6 |
| 16 | 487 | 5 | 48 | 290 | 7 | 79 | 42 | 5 |
| 17 | 482 | 5 | 49 | 283 | 7 | 80 | 37 | 5 |
| 18 | 477 | 5 | 50 | 276 | 7 | 81 | 32 | 4 |
| 19 | 472 | 5 | 51 | 269 | 7 | 82 | 28 | 4 |
| 20 | 467 | 6 | 52 | 262 | 7 | 83 | 24 | 4 |
| 21 | 461 | 6 | 53 | 255 | 8 | 84 | 20 | 3 |
| 22 | 455 | 6 | 54 | 247 | 8 | 85 | 17 | 3 |
| 23 | 449 | 6 | 55 | 239 | 8 | 86 | 14 | 3 |
| 24 | 443 | 6 | 56 | 231 | 8 | 87 | 11 | 2 |
| 25 | 437 | ${ }^{6}$ | 57 | 223 | 8 | 88 | 9 | 2 |
| 26 | 431 | 7 | 58 | 215 | 8 | 89 | 7 | 2 |
| 27 | 424 | 7 | 59 | 207 | 8 | 90 | 8 | 2 |
| 28 | 417 | 7 | 60 | 199 | 8 | 91 | 3 | 2 |
| 29 30 | 410 | 6 | 61 | 191 | 8 | 92 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 31 | 404 | 6 | 62 | 183 | 9 | 93 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | 398 | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Tables.

## TABLE IX.

Shewing the Probability of the Duration of Life in London, deduced by Mr. Simpson from Observations on the Bills of Mortality in London for 10 years, from 1728 to 1737.

| Ages. | Persons living. | Decr. | Ages. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Persons } \\ \text { living. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decr. } \\ & \text { of Life. } \end{aligned}$ | Ages. | Persons living. | Decr. of Life |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1000 | 320 | 27 | 321 | 6 | 54 | 135 | 6 |
| 1 | 680 | 133 | 28 | 315 | 7 | 55 | 129 | 6 |
| 2 | 547 | 51 | 29 | 308 | 7 | 56 | 123 | 6 |
| 3 | 496 | 27 | 30 | 301 | 7 | 57 | 117 | 5 |
| 4 | 469 | 17 | 31 | 294 | 7 | 58 | 112 | 5 |
| 5 | 452 | 12 | 32 | 287 | 7 | 59 | 107 | 5 |
| 6 | 440 | 10 | 33 | 280 | 7 | 60 | 102 | 5 |
| 7 | 430 | 8 | 34 | 273 | 7 | 61 | 97 | 5 |
| 8 | 422 | 7 | 35 | 266 | 7 | 62 | 92 | 5 |
| 9 | 415 | 5 | 36 | 259 | 7 | 63 | 87 | 5 |
| 10 | 410 | 5 | 37 | 252 | 7 | 64 | 82 | 5 |
| 11 | 405 | 5 | 38 | 245 | 8 | 65 | 77 | 5 |
| 12 | 400 | 5 | 39 | 237 | 8 | 66 | 72 | 5 |
| 13 | 395 | 5 | 40 | 229 | 7 | 67 | 67 | 5 |
| 14 | 390 | 5 | 41 | 222 | 8 | 68 | 62 | 4 |
| 15 | 385 | 5 | 42 | 214 | 8 | 69 | 58 | 4 |
| 16 | 380 | 5 | 43 | 206 | 7 | 70 | 54 | 4 |
| 17 | 375 | 5 | 44 | 199 | 7 | 71 | 50 | 4 |
| 18 | 370 | 5 | 45 | 192 | 7 | 72 | 46 | 4 |
| 19 | 365 | 5 | 46 | 185 | 7 | 73 | 42 | 3 |
| 20 | 360 | 5 | 47 | 178 | 7 | 74 | 39 | 3 |
| 21 | 355 | 5 | 48 | 171 | 6 | 75 | 36 | 3 |
| 22 | 350 | 5 | 49 | 165 | 6 | 76 | 33 | 3 |
| 23 | 345 | 6 | 50 | 159 | 6 | 77 | 30 | 3 |
| 24 | 339 | 6 | 51 | 153 | 6 | 78 | 27 | 2 |
| 25 | 333 | 6 | 52 | 147 | 6 | 79 | 25 |  |
| 26 | 327 | 6 | 53 | 141 | ) 6 |  |  |  |

Tables.

## TABLE X.

Shewing the Expectations of life in London, according to the preceding Table. See Mr. Simpson's Select Exercises, p. 255.

| Age. | Expectation. | Age. | Expectation. | Age. | Expectation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 19.2 | 27 | 25.1 | 54 | 14.5 |
| 1 | 27.0 | 28 | 24.6 | 55 | 14.2 |
| 2 | 32.0 | 29 | 24.1 | 56 | 13.8 |
| 3 | 34.0 | 30 | 23.6 | 57 | 13.4 |
| 4 | 35.6 | 31 | 23.1 | 58 | 13.1 |
| 5 | 36.0 | 32 | 22.7 | 59 | 12.7 |
| 6 | 36.0 | 33 | 22.3 | 60 | 12.4 |
| 7 | 35.8 | 34 | 21.9 | 61 | 12.0 |
| 8 | 35.6 | 35 | 21.5 | 62 | 11.6 |
| 9 | 35.2 | 36 | 21.1 | 63 | 11.2 |
| 10 | 34.8 | 37 | 20.7 | 64 | 10.8 |
| 11 | 34.3 | 38 | 20.3 | 65 | 10.5 |
| 12 | 33.7 | 39 | 19.9 | 66 | 10.1 |
| 13 | 33.1 | 40 | 19.6 | 67 | 9.8 |
| 14 | 32.5 | 41 | 19.2 | 68 | 9.4 |
| 15 | 31.9 | 42 | 18.8 | 69 | 9.1 |
| 16 | 31.3 | 43 | 18.5 | 70 | 8.8 |
| 17 | 30.7 | 44 | 18.1 | 71 | 8.4 |
| 18 | 30.1 | 45 | 17.8 | 72 | 8.1 |
| 19 | 29.5 | 46 | 17.4 | 73 | 7.8 |
| 20 | 28.9 | 47 | 17.0 | 74 | 7.5 |
| 21 | 28.3 | 48 | 16.7 | 75 | 7.2 |
| 22 | 27.7 | 49 | 16.3 | 76 | 6.8 |
| 23 | 27.2 | 50 | 16.0 | 77 | 6.4 |
| 24 | 26.6 | 51 | 15.6 | 78 | 6.0 |
| 25 | 26.1 | 52 | 15.2 | 79 | 5.5 |
| 26 | 25.6 | 53 | 14.9 | 30 | 5.0 |

## TABLE LVII.

Shewing the Value of Annuity on One Life, according to the Probabilities of Life in London. See Mr. Simpson's Select Exercises, p. 260.

| $8$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | \|cc|c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 18.8 | 16.21 | 1 | 31 | 14.8 | 12.9 |  | 56 | 10.1 |  | 8.4 |
| 7 | 18.91 | 16.3 | 14.2 | 32 | 14.6 | 12.7 | 11.3 | 57 | 9.9 | 8. | 8.2 |
| 8 | 19.0 | 16.4 | 14.3 | 33 | 14.4 | 12.6 | 11.2 | 58 | 9.6 | 8. | 8.1 |
| 9 | 19.0 | 16.4 | 14.3 | 34 | 14.2 | 12.4 | 11.0 | 59 | 9.4 | 8. | 8.0 |
| 10 | 19.0 | 16.4 | 14.3 | 35 | 14.1 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 60 | 9.2 |  | 7.9 |
| 11 | 19.0 | 16.4 | 14 | 36 | 13.9 | 2.1 | 10.8 | 61 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 7.7 |
| 12 | 18.9 | 16.3 | 14.2 | 37 | 13.7 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 62 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 7.6 |
| 13 | 18.7 | 16.2 | 14.1 | 38 | 13.5 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 63 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 7.4 |
| 14 | 18.5 | 16.0 | 14.0 | 39 | 13.3 | 11.6 | 10.4 | 64 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 7.3 |
| 15 | 18.3 | 15.8 | 13.9 | 40 | 13.2 | 110 | 10.3 | 65 | 8.0 | 7. | 7.1 |
| 16 | 18.1 | 15.6 | 13.7 | 41 | 13.0 |  | 10.2 | 66 | 7.8 | 7. | . |
| 17 | 17.9 | 15.4 | 13.5 | 42 | 12.8 | 11.2 | 10.1 | 67 | 7.6 | 7 | 6.7 |
| 18 | 17.6 | 15.2 | 13.4 | 43 | 12.6 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 68 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.6 |
| 19 | 17.4 | 15.0 | 13.2 | 44 | 12.5 | 11.0 | 9.9 | 69 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 6. |
| 20 | 17.2 | 14.8 | 13.0 | 45 | 12.3 | 10.S | 9.8 | 70 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| 21 |  |  | 12.9 | 46 | 12.1 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 71 | 6.7 |  | 6.0 |
| 22 | 16.8 | 14.5 | 12.7 | 47. | 11.9 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 72 | 6.5 | 6. | 5.8 |
| 23 | 16.5 | 14.3 | 12.6 | 48 | 11.8 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 73 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
| 24 | 16.3 | 14.1 | 12.4 | 49 | 11.6 | 610.2 | 9.8 | 74 | 5.9 |  | 5.4 |
| 25 | 16.1 | 14.6 | 12.3 | 50 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 9.2 | 75 | 5.6 |  | 5 |
| 26 | 15.9 | 13.8 | 12.7 | $51^{\circ}$ | 11.2 | 9.9 | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 15.6 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 52 | 11.0 | 9.8 | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 15.4 | '13.4 | 11.8 | 53 | 10.7 | 79.6 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 15.2 | 13.2 | 11.7 | 54 | 10.5 | 5.9 .4 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 15.0 | 13.1 | 11.6 | 55 | 10.3 | 39.3 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |

Tables.

## TABLE XII.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives according to the Probabilities of Life in London. See Mr. Simpson's Select Exercises, p. 266.

| Aficter |  | Reat | $\underbrace{\text { value et }}_{\text {Ver }}$ | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Ver }} ^{\text {Vane at }}$ | Afticter | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {atafe }}$ |  | Valoe at | Vorctert |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 10 | 14.7 | 13.0 | 11.6 |  | 20 | 12.8 | 11.3 | 10.1 |
|  | 15 | 14.3 | 12.7 | 11.3 |  | 25 | 12.2 | 10.8 | 9.7 |
|  | 20 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 10.8 |  | 30 | 11.6 | 10.3 | 9.2 |
|  | 25 | 13.1 | 11.6 | 10.2 |  | 35 | 10.9 | 9.8 | 8.8 |
|  | 30 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 9.7 |  | 40 | 10.2 | 9.2 | 8.4 |
|  | 35 | 11.5 | 10.2 | 9.1 | 20 | 45 | 9.5 | 8.6 | 7.9 |
|  | 40 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 8.6 |  | 50 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.4 |
|  | 45 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 8.1 |  | 55 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 6.9 |
|  | 50 | 9.3 | 8.4 | 7.6 |  | 60 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.4 |
|  | 55 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 74 |  | 65 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 5.9 |
|  | 60 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 6.6 |  | 70 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
|  | 65 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 6.1 |  | 75 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.8 |
|  | 70 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 4.9 |  | 25 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 9.4 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 9.0 |
|  | 15 | 13.9 | 12.3 | 11.0 |  | 35 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 8.6 |
|  | 20 | 13.3 | 11.8 | 10.5 |  | 40 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 8.2 |
|  | 25 | 12.6 | 11.2 | 10.1 |  | 45 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 7.8 |
|  | 30 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 25 | 50 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 7.3 |
|  | 35 | 11.2 | 10.0 | 9.0 |  | 55 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 6.8 |
|  | 40 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 8.5 |  | 60 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 6.3 |
|  | 45 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 8.0 |  | 65 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 5.8 |
|  | 50 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 7.5 |  | 70 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 5.3 |
|  | 55 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 7.0 |  | 75 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
|  | 60 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 65 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.0 |  | 30 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 8.0 |
|  | 70 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 30 | 35 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 8.3 |
|  | 75 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.8 |  | 40 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 8.0 |

Tables.
TABLE XII. continued.

| , ingic. | Afor |  |  |  | Atemen | Afatide |  | per ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Stict |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 45 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 7.6 |  | 65 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
|  | 50 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 45 | 70 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.0 |
|  | 55 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 6.7 |  | 75 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
|  | 60 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 65 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 5.7 |  | 50 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 6.2 |
|  | 70 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.2 |  | 55 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
|  | 75 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 50 | 60 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 5.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 5.3 |
| 35 | 35 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 8.0 |  | 70 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 4.9 |
|  | 40 | 9.4 | -8.5 | 7.7 |  | 75 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 |
|  | 45 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 7.0 |  | 55 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
|  | 55 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 6.6 |  | 60 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 5.5 |
|  | 60 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 55 | 65 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 5.2 |
|  | 65 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 5.6 |  | 70 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 4.8 |
|  | 70 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.1 |  | 75 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.3 |
|  | 75 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.6 |  | 60 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.2 |
| 10 | 40 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 60 | 65 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 4.9 |
|  | 45 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 7.1 |  | 70 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
|  | 50 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 6.8 |  | 75 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
|  | 55 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $60$ | 7.0 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 65 | 05 | 5.8 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.7 4.4 |
|  | 65 70 | 6.4 5.7 | 5.9 5.4 | 5.5 5.1 | 65 | 75 | 4 | 4.0 | 4.4 4.0 |
|  | 75 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.6 |  | 70 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 2 |
| 45 | 45 | 8.3 | 4 | 0.7 |  | 75 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.9 |
|  | 50 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 75 | 75 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
|  | 55 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE XIII.

Shewing the Probabilities of Life in London, on the Supposition that all who die in London were born there. Formed from the Bills, for 10 Years, from 1759 to 1768 . See Essay II.' page 89, 8 cc .

| Ages | Persons living. | of Li | Age. | Persons living. |  | Ages. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Persons } \\ \text { living. } \end{array}$ | Drecr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 1000 | 240 | 31 | 404 | 9 | 62 | 132 | g |
| 1 | 760 | 99 | 32 | 395 | 9 | 63 | 125 | 7 |
| 2 | 661 | 42 | 33 | 386 | 9 | 64 | 118 | 7 |
| 8 | 619 | 29 | 34 | 377 | 9 | 65 | 111 | 7 |
| 4 | 590 | 21 | 35 | 368 | 9 | 66 | 104 | 7 |
| 5 | 569 | 13 | 36 | 359 | 9 | 67 | 97 |  |
| 6 | 556 | 10 | 37 | 350 | 9 | 68 | 90 | 7 |
| 7 | 546 | 7 | 38 | 341 | 9 | 69 | 83 | 7 |
| 8 | 539 | 5 | 39 | 332 | 10 | 70 | 76 | 6 |
| 9 | 534 | 4 | 40 | 322 | 10 | 71 | 70 | 6 |
| 10 | 530 | 4 | 41 | 312 | 10 | 72 | 64 | 6 |
| 11 | 526 | 4 | 42 | 302 | 10 | 73 | 58 | 5 |
| 12 | 522 | 4 | 43 | 292 | 10 | 74 | 53 | 5 |
| 19 | 518 | 3 | 44 | 282 | 10 | 75 | 48 | 5 |
| 14 | 5.15 | 3 | 45 | 272 | 10 | 76 | 43 | 5 |
| 15 | 512 | 3 | 46 | 262 | 10 | 77 | 38 | 5 |
| 16 | 509 | 3 | 47 | 252 | 10 | 78 | 33 | 4 |
| 17 | 506 | 3 | 48 | 242 | 9 | 79 | 29 | 4 |
| 18 | 503 | 4 | 49 | 233 | 9 | 80 | 25 | 3 |
| 19 | 499̇ | 5 | 50 | 224 | 9 | 81 | 22 | 3 |
| 20 | 494 | 7 | 51 | 215 | 9 | 82 | 19 | 3 |
| 21 | 487 | 8 | 52 | 206 | 8 | 83 | 16 | 3 |
| 22 | 479 | 8 | 53 | 198 | 8 | 84 | 13 | 2 |
| 23 | 471 | 8 | 54 | 190 | 7 | 85 | 11 | 2 |
| 24 | 463 | 8 | 55 | 183 | 7 | 86 | 9 | 2 |
| 25 | 455 | 8 | 56 | 176 | 7 | 87 | 7 | 2 |
| 26 | 447 | 8 | 57 | 169 | 4 | 88 | 5 | 1 |
| 27 | 439 | 8 | 58 | 162 | 7 | 89 | 4 | 1 |
| 28 | 431 | 9 | 39 | 155 | 8 | 90 | 3 | 1 |
| 29 | 422 | 9 | 60 | 147 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 413 | 9 | 61 | 139 | 7 |  |  |  |

## TABLE XIV.

Shewing the true Probablities of Life in London till the Age of 19. See Essay II. p. 92, \&cc.

| Age. | Persons <br> living | Decrementi <br> of Life. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 750 | 240 |
| 1 | 510 | 99 |
| 2 | 411 | 42 |
| 3 | 369 | 29 |
| 4 | 340 | 21 |
| 5 | 319 | 13 |
| 6 | 306 | 10 |
| 7 | 296 | 7 |
| 8 | 289 | 5 |
| 9 | 284 | 4 |
| 10 | 280 | 4 |
| 11 | 276 | 4 |
| 12 | 272 | 3 |
| 13 | 269 | 3 |
| 14 | 266 | 3 |
| 15 | 263 | 3 |
| 16 | 260 | 3 |
| 17 | 257 | 4 |
| 18 | 253 | 4 |
| 19 | 249 | 5 |
| 20 | 494 |  |

## TABLE XV.

Shewing the true Probabilities of Life in London for all Ages, formed from the Bills for 10 Years, from 1759 to 1768 . See Essay II. page 86, \&c.

| $\text { \|Ages. } \mid$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Persons } \\ & \text { living. } \end{aligned}$ | Decr of Life. | Ages. | Persons living. | Decr. of Life. | Ages. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Persons } \\ & \text { living. } \end{aligned}$ | Decr. of Life. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1518 | 486 | 31 | 404 | 9 | 62 | 132 | 7 |
| 1 | 1032 | 200 | 32 | 395 | 9 | 63 | 125 | 7 |
| 2 | 832 | 85 | 33 | 386 | 9 | 64 | 118 | 7 |
| 3 | 747 | 59 | 34 | 377 | 9 | 65 | 111 | 7 |
| 4 | 688 | 42 | 35 | 368 | 9 | 66 | 104 | 7 |
| 5 | 646 | 23 | 36 | 359 | 9 | 67 | 97 | 7 |
| 6 | 623 | 20 | 37 | 350 | 9 | 68 | 90 | 7 |
| 7 | 603 | 14 | 38 | 341 | 9 | 69 | 83 | 7 |
| 8 | 589 | 12 | 39 | 332 | 10 | 70 | 76 | 6 |
| 9 | 577 | 10 | 40 | 322 | 10 | 71 | 70 | 6 |
| 10 | 567 | 9 | 41 | 312 | 10 | 72 | 64 | 6 |
| 11 | 558 | 9 | 42 | 302 | 10 | 73 | 58 | 5 |
| 12 | 549 | 8 | 43 | 292 | 10 | 74 | 53 | 5 |
| ,13 | 541 | 7 | 44 | 282 | 10 | 75 | 48 | 5 |
| 14 | 534 | 6 | 45 | 272 | 10 | 76 | 43 | 5 |
| 15 | 528 | 6 | 46 | 262 | 10 | 77 | 38 | 5 |
| 16 | 522 | 7 | 47 | 252 | 10 | 78 | 33 | 4 |
| 17 | 515 | 7 | 48 | 242 | 9 | 79 | 29 | 4 |
| 18 | 508 | 7 | 49 | 233 | 9 | 80 | 25 | 3 |
| 19 | 501 | 7 | 50 | 224 | 9 | 81 | 22 | 3 |
| 20 | 494 | 7 | 51 | 215 | - 9 | 82 | 19 | 3 |
| 21 | 487 | 8 | 52 | 206 | 8 | 83 | 16 | 3 |
| 22 | 479 | 8 | 53 | 198 | 8 | 84 | 13 | 2 |
| 23 | 471 | 8 | 54 | 190 | 7 | 85 | 11 | 2 |
| 24 | 463 | 8 | 55 | 183 | 7 | 86 | 9 | 2 |
| 25 | 455 | 8 | 56 | 176 | 7 | 87 | 7 | 2 |
| 26 | 447 | 8 | 57 | 169 | 7 | 88 | 5 | 1 |
| 27 | 439 | 8 | 58 | 162 | 7 | 89 | 4 | 1 |
| 28 | 431 | 9 | 59 | 155 | 8 | 90 | 3 | 1 |
| 29 | 422 | 9 | 60 | 147 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 413 | 9 | 61. | 139 | 7 |  |  |  |

Tables.

## TABLE XVI.

Shewing the Probabilities of the Duration of Human Life in London, and formed from the Bills for ten Years, from 1771 to 1780.

| A | Living. | Decr.\|| | Age. | Living. | Decr. | Age. | Living. | Decr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 284.52 | 9018 | 34 | 7049 | 190 | 68 | 1831 | 130 |
| 1 | 1.9434 | 3000 | 35 | 7759 | 190 | 69 | 1701 | 130 |
| 2 | 16434 | 1536 | 36 | 7569 | 190 | 70 | 1571 | 130 |
| 3 | 14.98 | '20) | 37 | 7379 | 190 | 71 | 1441 | 120 |
| 4 | 13608 | 800 | 38 | 7189 | 190 | 72 | 1321 | 120 |
| 5 | 128.98 | 500 | 39 | 6949 | 200 | 73 | 1201 | 120 |
| 6 | 12398 | 318 | 40 | 6799 | 210 | 74 | 1081 | 110 |
| 7 | 12980 | 210 | 41 | 6589 | 210 | 75 | 971 | 110 |
| 8 | 11870 | 160 | 42 | 6379 | 210 | 76 | 861 | 100 |
| 9 | 11710 | 131 | 43 | 6169 | 210 | 77 | 761 | 100 |
| 10 | 11580 | 150 | 44 | 5959 | 210 | 78 | 661 | 90 |
| 11 | 11450 | 130 | 45 | 5749 | 200 | 79 | 571 | 80 |
| 12 | 11320 | 130 | 46 | 5549 | 200 | 80 | 491 | 70 |
| 13 | 11190 | 130 | 47 | 5349 | 200 | S1 | 421 | 60 |
| 14 | 11060 | 130 | 48 | 5149 | 210 | 82 | 361 | 52 |
| 15 | 10930 | 130 | 49 | 4949 | 193 | 83 | 309 | 48 |
| 16 | 10800 | 130 | 50 | 4756 | 190 | 34 | 261 | 44 |
| 17 | 10670 | 130 | 51 | 4566 | 190 | 85 | 217 | 40 |
| 18 | 10540 | 135 | 52 | 4376 | 180 | 86 | 177 | 35 |
| 19 | 10405 | 135 | 53 | 4196 | 180 | 87 | 142 | 30 |
| 20 | 10270 | 140 | 54 | 4016 | 180 | 88 | 112 | 25 |
| 21 | 10130 | 150 | 55 | 3836 | 170 | 89 | 87 | 20 |
| 22 | 9980 | 155 | 56 | 3666 | 170 | 90 | 67 | 15 |
| 23 | 9825 | 155 | 57 | 3496 | 165 | 91 | 52 | 12 |
| 24 | 9670 | 160 | 58 | 3331 | 160 | 92 | 40 | 10 |
| 25 | 9510 | 160 | 59 | 3171 | 160 | 93 | 30 | 8 |
| 26 | 9350 | 160 | 60 | 3011 | 160 | 94 | 22 | 7 |
| 27 | 91.90 | 170 | 61 | 2851 | 150 | 95 | 15 | 6 |
| 28 | 9020 | 170 | 62 | 2701 | 150 | 96 | 9 | 5 |
| 29 | 8850 | 171 | 63 | 2551 | 150 | 97 | 4 | 3 |
| SO | 8679 | 180 | 64 | 2401 | 150 | 98 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | 8499 | 180 | 65 | 2251 | 140 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 8319 | 180 | 66 | 2111 | 140 | Tot. 5 | 2781 | $28+5$ |
| 33 | 8139 | 190 | 67 | 1971 | 140 |  |  |  |
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## Remarks on the preceding Table.

According to this Table, the numbers dying in every decad of life from 20 to old age, are the very numbers given by the bills. For instance. The sum of the deerements in the Table between 20 and 30 , between 30 and 40 , between 40 and 50 , between 50 and 60 , between 60 and 70, between 70 and 80, between 80 and 90 , and above 90 , are 1591, 1880, 2043, 1745, 1440, 1080, 423, and 68 , respectively; and these are the average numbers which, according to the bills, have died annually in London, in these several divisions of life, from 1771 to 1780 . The sum of all these numbers is 10,270 , which, therefore, agreeably to the directions in the 2d Essay, p. 84, \&c. is given in the Table as the number of the living at the age of 20.

The proportions of the decrements before 20, are likewise exactly the same with those given by the bills. For instance. The number (deducting the abortive and still-born) given by the bills as having died annually under two years of age from 1771 to 1780, is 7000 ; and the numbers given as having died between 2 and 5, between 5 and 10, and between 10 and 20 , are 2060,768 , and 763 . These decrements, according to the Table, are 12018, 3535, 1318, and 1810: which numbers are in the same proportion to one another with the former numbers; and the numbers
numbers of the living corresponding to these decrements ate so adjusted, as to make the number dying annually between 8 and 16 , as small as is consistent with any degree of ctedibility; that is, they have been so adjusted as to make this last number only an 80th part of the whole number living, which is a smaller proportion than Mr. Wales says have for 20 years died of children of the same ages in Christ's Hospital, though neat a third reside in the country. See the note, p. 88, in this volume.

It' should be observed here, that the number living at 20 , and the proportions of the decrements before 20 , and the probabilities of living in one division of life being obtained of assumed, all the numbers in the secorid cólumn of this Table, are so far der termined as to render it impossible to fall into any material error in fixing them. It is necessary to add, that though the particular decrements under two years of age, between 2 and 5 ; \&c. are given by the bills too stmall; this affords no reason for concluding that their proportions are not given right. ${ }^{\circ}$ On the contrary; the reasons mentioned in the note, p. $99, \ln$ this volume, seent tod prove they may be depended on.

The dccount now given shews, that most probably the preceding Table exhibits the probatbilities of living considerably too high before the age of 20; and it does this certainly from 20 to 35 or 40 , for the reasons $\times 2$ explained

## 308 Remarks on the preceding Table.

explained in p. 84, 85, \&c. in this Volume; and in old age it gives the probabilities of living rather higher than they are in situations the most healthful. We may, therefore, safely conclude that it exhibits the state of human life in London as upon the whole more favourable than it is. According to this Table, however, one half of all born in London die in the first four years; and the expectation of a child at birth is only $19{ }_{3}^{3}$. It is farther observable, that for all ages after 20, it agrees so nearly with Table 9th formed from the bills from 1728 to 1737 , and with Table 15 th formed from the bills from 1759 to 1768 , as to demonstrate that, for the last 50 years, there has been no change in the state of London which has greatly affected its influence on the duration of human life. This will appear from the following comparison.

| Expectations <br> of Life at | By Table 9th | By Table 15/h | By Table IUL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 28.9 | 29.3 | 29.6 |
| 25 | 26.1 | 26.6 | 26.7 |
| 30 | 23.6 | 24.1 | 24.1 |
| 35 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 21.6 |
| 40 | 19.0 | 19.5 | 19.3 |
| 45 | 17.8 | 17.0 | 17.4 |
| 50 | 16.0 | 15.9 | 15.5 |
| 65 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 13.6 |
| 60 | 12.4 | 11.7 | 11.7 |
| 65 | 10.5 | 9.7 | 9.8 |
| 70 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.9 |

It
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It cannot but be reckoned remarkable, that the duration of human life in London should come out by the bills so nearly the same at the three periods for which the Table mentioned in this comparison were formed. A small difference, indeed, appears from the age of 20 to 30 in favour of London in its present state; but it must not be depended on as a reason for concluding that London is now less prejudicial to health than it was; for Mr. Simpson, in forming Table 9th, did not take, as I have done, the decrements of life between 20 and 30 exactly from the bills, but extended his corrections very properly to this division of life as well as those preceding it; and had I done the same, the expectations for 20 and 25 , deduced from Tables 15th and 16th, would have been less than they are.-With respect to all ages before 20, nothing certain can be collected from these Tables. The last makes, indeed, one half of the children born to survive 4 years of age, whereas the other Tables make one half live only to three years of age ; but it should be recollected, that this difference has been occasioned by the act of parliament passed in 1767, and mentioned in the note, p. 24, in this Volume, requiring all parish children to be sent into the country for six years. If only a thousand burials of infants under two years of age, and born in London, have by this act been taken out of the bills, which used to be, and ought
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to be, included in them, it will follow that one half of the children born in Londos do not live to three years of age; and a table constructed in the manner of the last table, would have shewn this as well as the other tables.—Mr. Howlett tells us, that this
$\therefore$ deficiency amounts to 2100 ; and were this true, it would follow that London is now more fatal to children than ever it was. But I have learnt not to rely on Mr. Howlett's accounts. See the note in p. 24 in this Volume.

This Table would have been very nearly the same, had it been formed from the bills for the last five years from 1777 to 1781 , instead of being formed as it is from the bills for ten years from 1771 to 1780 .

## TABLE XVII.

Shewing the Probabilities of the Duration of Humen Life at all Ages, formed from the Register of Mortality at Northampton, for 46 Years from 1735 to 1780.

| Age. | Uving | Decr.\| | Age. | Liviog. | Decr. 11 | Age. | Living | Deor. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11650 | 1340 | 31 | 4310 | 75 | 65 | 1632 | 80 |
| 3 months | 10810 | 554 | 92 | 4235 | 75 | 66 | 1552 | 80 |
| 6 months | 9756 | 553 | 33 | 4160 | 75 | 67 | 1472 | 89 |
| 9 months | 9203 | 563 | 34 | 4085 | 75 | 68 | 1392 | 80 |
| 1 Year | 8650 | 1367 | 35 | 4010 | 75 | 69 | 1312 | 80 |
| 2 Years | 7283 | 502 | 36 | 3935 | 75 | 70 | 1232 | 20 |
| 3 | 6781 | 335 | 37 | 3860 | 75 | 71 | 1152 | 80 |
| 4 | 6446 | 197 | 38 | 3785 | 75 | 72 | 10,2 | 80 |
| 5 | 6249 | 184 | 39 | 3710 | 75 | 73 | 992 | 80 |
| 6 | 6065 | 140 | 40 | 3635 | 76 | 73 | 912 | s0 |
| 7 | 5925 | 110 | 41 | 3559 | 77 | 75 | 832 | 80 |
| 8 | 5815 | 80 | 42 | 3482 | 78 | 76 | 752 | 77 |
| 9 | 5735 | 60 | 43 | 3404 | 78 | 77 | 675 | 73 |
| 10 | 5675 | 52 | 44 | 3326 | 78 | 78 | 602 | 68 |
| 11 | 5623 | 50 | 45 | 3248 | 78 | 79 | 534 | 65 |
| 12 | 3573 | 50 | 46 | 3170 | 78 | 80 | 469 | 63 |
| 18 | 5523 | 50 | 47 | 3092 | 78 | 81 | 406 | 60 |
| 14 | 5473 | 50 | 48 | 3014 | 78 | 82 | 346 | 57 |
| 15 | 5423 | 50 | 49 | 2936 | 79 | 83 | 289 | 55 |
| 16 | 5873 | 53 | 50 | 2857 | 81 | 84 | 234 | 48 |
| 17 | 5320 | 58 | 51 | 2776 | 8.2 | 85 | 156 | 41 34 |
| 18 | 5262 | 63 | 52 | 2694 | 82 82 | 86 | 1.15 | 34 28 28 |
| 19 | 5199 | 67 | 53 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2612 \\ & 2530\end{aligned}\right.$ | 82 82 | 888 | 111 83 | 28 |
| 20 | 5132 5060 | 72 | 54 55 | 2530 | 82 82 | 88 89 | 83 62 | 216 |
| 21 | 5060 4985 | 75 | 55 | 2448 | 82 82 82 | 89 90 | 62 46 | 12 |
| 22 | 49885 | 75 | 5 | '2284 | 82 | 91 | 34 | 10 |
| 24 | 4835 | 75 | 53 | 2202 | 82 | 92 | 24 | 8 |
| 25 | 4760 | 75 | 59 | '2120 | 32 | 93 | 16 | 7 |
| 26 | 4685 | 75 | 60 | 2038 | 82 | 94 | 4 | 5 |
| 27 | 4610 | 75 | 61 | 1956 | 82 | 95 | 4 | 3 |
| 28 | 4535 | 75 | 62 | 1874 | 81 | 96 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | 4460 | 75 | 63 | 1793 | 81 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 4385 | 575 | 64 | 1712 | 80 | Total | 299198 | 16.3 |
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N. B. The decrements in this Table for the four quarters of the first year of life, are given nearly in conformity to the Chester register of mortality (see Table 42d in this collection) ; and the same is true of the decrements at 3 and 4 years of age, the Northampton register affording no direction at these ages, because it gives only the totals of deaths under two years of age, and between two and five. Many more observations on the method I have pursued in forming this Table, may be found in the Postseript to the 4th Chapter in the first Volume, p. 210, \&c. and in the second Essay in this Volume, p. 97, \&c.

It is proper to add, that it has been taken to be the foundation and guide of the business transacted by the Society in Chatham Place, for Equitable Assurances on Lives and Survivorships; and that the Tables of this Society, which will be given hereafter, together with the Tables of the values of single and joint lives from Table XIX. to Table XXXIV. have been all calculated from it.

## TABLE XVIII.

Shewing the Expectations of Human Life at every Age, deduced from the Northampton Table of Observations.

| Ageo. | Expectat. | Ages. | Expectat | Ages | 14xpectat | es | Exprcas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 25.18 | 25 | 30.85 | 50 | 17.99 | 75 | 6. 34 |
| 1 | 32.74 | 26 | 30.33 | 51 | 17.50 | 76 | 6.13 |
| 2 | 37.79 | 27 | 29.82 | 52 | 17.02 | 77 | 5.83 |
| 3 | 39.55 | 28 | 29.30 | 53 | 10.54 | 78 | 5.48 |
| 4 | 40.58 | 29 | 28.79 | 54 | 16.06 | 79 | 5.11 |
| 5 | 40.84 | 30 | 28.27 | 55 | 15.58 | 80 | 4.75 |
| 6 | 41.07 | 31 | 27.76 | 56 | 15.10 | 81 | 4.41 |
| 7 | 41.03 | 32 | 27.24 | 57 | 14.63 | 82 | 4.0 y |
| 8 | 40.79 | 33 | 26.72 | 58 | 14.15 | 83 | 3.80 |
| 9 | 40.36 | 34 | 26.20 | 59 | 13.68 | 84 | 3.58 |
| 10 | 39.78 | 35 | 25.68 | 60 | 13.21 | 85 | 3.37 |
| 11 | 39.14 | 36 | 25.16 | 61 | 12.75 | 86 | 3.19 |
| 12 | 38.49 | 37 | 24.64 | 62 | 12.28 | 87 | 3.01 |
| 13 | 37.83 | 38 | 24.12 | 63 | 11.81 | 88 | 2.86 |
| 14 | 37.17 | 39 | 23.60 | 64 | 11.35 | 89 | 2.66 |
| 15 | 36.51 | 40 | 23.08 | 65 | 10.88 | 90 | 2.41 |
| 16 | 35.85 | 41 | 22.56 | 60 | 10.42 | 91 | 2.09 |
| 17 | 35.20 | 42 | 22.04 | 67 | 9.90 | 92 | 1.75 |
| 18 | 34.58 | 43 | 21.54 | 68 | 9.50 | 93 | 1.37 |
| 19 | 33.99 | 44 | 21.03 | 69 | 9.05 | 94 | 1.05 |
| 20 | 33.43 | 45 | 20.52 | 70 | 8.000 | 95 | 0.75 |
| 21 | 32.90 | 46 | 20.02 | 71 | 8.17 | 96 | 0.50 |
| 22 | 32.39 | 47 | 19.51 | \% 2 | 7.74 |  |  |
| 23 | 31.88 | 49 | 19.00 | 73 | 7.33 |  |  |
| 24 | 31.36 | 49 | 18.49 | 74 | 6.92 |  |  |

## TABLE XIX.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on a single Life at every Age, according to the Probabilities of the Duration of Human Life at Nobthampton. See Table XVII. p. 311.

| Ages. | Value at 3 percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birth |  | 10.327 | 8.863 |  |  |  |
| 16 year |  | 13.008 | 11.274 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16.021 | 13.465 | 11.563 | 10.107 | 8.963 | 8.046 |
| 2 | 18.599 | 15.633 | 13.420 | 11.724 | 10.991 | 9.321 |
| 3 | 19.575 | 16.462 | 14.135 | 12.348 | 10.941 | 9.812 |
| 4 | 20.210 | 17.010 | 14.613 | 12.769 | 11.315 | 10.147 |
| 5 | 20.473 | 17.248 | 14.827 | 12.962 | 11.489 | 10.304 |
| 6 | 20.727 | 17.482 | 15.041 | 13.150 | 11.66 | 10.466 |
| 7 | 20.853 | 17611 | 15.166 | 13.275 | 11.77 | 10570 |
| 8 | 20.885 | 17662 | 15.226 | 19.937 | 11.84 | 10.631 |
| 9 | 20818 | 17.625 | 15.210 | 13.335 | 11.84 | 10.641 |
| 10 | 20.663 | 17523 | 15.139 | 13.285 | 11.80 | 10.614 |
| 11 | 20480 | 17.393 | $15.0+3$ | 13.212 | 11.75 | 10.5 |
| 12 | 20.283 | 17.251 | 14.937 | 19.130 | 11.68 | 10.517 |
| 13 | 20.081 | 17.103 | 14.836 | 13.04 | 11.61 | 10.461 |
| 14 | 19.872 | 16.950 | 14.710 | 12.958 | 11.54 | 10.401 |
| 15 | 19.657 | 16.791 | 14588 | 12.857 | 11.46 | 10.337 |
| 16 | 19435 | 16.625 | 14.460 | 12.75 | 11.38 | 10.268 |
| 17 | 19.218 | 16.463 | 14.334 | 12.65 | 11.30 | 10.200 |
| 18 | 19.018 | 16.309 | 14.217 | 12.56 | 11.22 | 10.137 |
| 19 | 18.820 | 16.167 | 14.108 | 12.477 | 11.157 | 10.081 |
| 20 | 18.638 | 16.033 | 14.007 | 12.398 | 11.094 | 10.030 |
| 21 | 18.470 | 15912 | 18.917 | 12.329 | 11.04 | 9.988 |
| 28 | 18.311 | 15.797 | 13.838 | 12.26 | 10.99 | 9.947 |
| 23 | 18.148 | 15.680 | 13.746 | 12.200 | 10.94 | 9.907 |
| 24 | 17.983 | 15.560 | 13.658 | 12132 | 10.89 | 9.865 |
| 25 | 17.814 | 15.438 | 13.567 | 12.063 | 10.83 | 0.823 |
| 26 | 17.642 | 15.312 | 13.473 | 11.992 | 10.78 | 9.778 |
| 27 | 17.467 | 15.184 | 13977 | 11.917 | 10.72 | 9.732 |
| 28 | 17.289 | 15.053 | 13278 | 11.841 | 10.66 | 9.685 |
| 29 | 17.107 | 14918 | 18.177 | 11.763 | 1060 | 9.695 |
| 30 | 16922 | 14.781 | 13.072 | 11.682 | 10.53 | 9.584 |
| 31 | 16.732 | 14.639 | 12.965 | 11.598 | 10.47 | 9.531 |
| 39 | 16.540 | 14.495 | 12.854 | 11.512 | 10.40 | 9.476 |
| 33 | 16.343 | 14.347 | 12.740 | 11.4\%3 | 10.33 | 9.418 |
| 34 | 16.142 | 14.195 | 12.623 | 11.331 | 10.260 | 9.959 |

Tables.

TABLE XIX. continued.

| Agcer | Value at 3 percent | 4 percent |  |  |  | 8 Ver cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 15.938 | 14.039 | 12.502 | 11.236 | 10.183 | 9.296 |
| 36 | 15.729 | 13.880 | 12.377 | 11.137 | 10.104 | 9.231 |
| 37 | 15.515 | 13.716 | 12.249 | $11.03 \dot{ }$ | 10.021 | 9.164 |
| 38 | 15.298 | 13.548 | 12.116 | 10.929 | 9.935 | 9.093 |
| 39 | 18.075 | 13.375 | 11.979 | 10.819 | 9.845 | 9.019 |
| 40 | 14.848 | 13.197 | 11.837 | 10.70 | 9.752 | 8.941 |
| 41 | 14.620 | 13.018 | 11.695 | 10.589 | 9.657 | 8.863 |
| 42 | 14.391 | 12.838 | 11.551 | 10.473 | 9.562 | 8.783 |
| 43 | 14.162 | 12.657 | 11.407 | 13.356 | 9.466 | 8.703 |
| 44 | 13.929 | 12.472 | 11.258 | 10.235 | 2.366 | 8.620 |
| 45 | 13.692 | 12.283 | 11.105 | 10.110 | 9.262 | 8.533 |
| 46 | 13.450 | 12.089 | 10.947 | 9.980 | 9.154 | $8.4+3$ |
| 47 | 13.203 | 11.890 | 10.784 | $9.9+6$ | 9.042 | $8.3+8$ |
| 48 | 12.951 | 11.685 | 10.616 | 9.707 | 8.925 | 8.249 |
| 49 | 12.693 | 11.475 | 10.443 | 9.563 | 8.804 | 8.146 |
| 50 | 12.436 | 11.264 | 10.269 | 9.417 | 8.681 | 8.041 |
| 51 | 12.183 | 11.057 | 10.097 | 9.273 | 8.559 | 7.937 |
| 52 | 11.930 | 10,549 | 9.925 | 9.120 | 8.437 | 7.833 |
| 53 | 11.674 | 10.637 | 9.748 | 8.980 | 8.311 | 7.925 |
| 54 | 11.414 | 10.421 | 9.567 | 8.827 | 8.181 | 7.614 |
| 55 | 11.150 | 10.201 | 9.382 | 8.670 | 8.047 | 7.499 |
| 56 | 10.882 | 9.977 | 9.193 | 8.509 | 7.909 | 7.379 |
| 57 | 10.611 | 9.749 | 8.999 | 8.343 | 7.766 | 7.256 |
| 58 | 10.337 | 9.516 | 8.801 | 8.173 | 7.619 | 7.128 |
| 59 | 10.058 | 9.280 | 8.599 | 7.999 | 7.468 | 6.996 |
| 60 | 9.777 | 9.03! | 8.392 | 7.820 | 7.312 | 6.860 |
| 61 | 9.493 | 8.795 | 8.181 | 7.637 | 7.152 | 6.719 |
| 62 | 9.205 | 8.547 | 7.966 | 7.449 | 6.988 | 6.574 |
| 63 | 8.910 | 8.291 | 7.742 | 7.253 | 6.815 | 6.421 |
| 64 | 8.611 | 8.030 | 7.514. | 7.052 | 6.637 | 6.262 |
| 65 | 8.304 | 7.761 | 7.276 | 6.841 | 6.449 | 6.095 |
| 66 | 7.99 i | 7.48: | 7.034 | 6.625 | 6.256 | 5.922 |
| 67 | 7.682 | 7.211 | 6.787 | 6.405 | 6.058 | 5.743 |
| 68 | 7.36: | 6.930 | 6.336 | 6.179 | 5.855 | 5.559 |
| 69 | 7.051 | 6.647 | 6.281 | 5.9+! | 5.646 | 5.370 |
| 70 | 6.734 | 6.361 | 6.023 | 5.716 | 5.434 | 5.176 |
| 71 | 6.418 | 6.075 | 5.764 | 5.479 | 5.218 | 4.978 |
| 72 | 6.103 | 5.790 | 5.504 | 5.241 | $5.001)$ | 4.778 |
| 73 | 5.794 | 5.307 | 5.245 | $5.00+$ | 4.781 | 4.576 |
| 74 | 5.191 | 5.230 | 4.990 | 4.76 .9 | 565 | 5 |

TABLE XIX. continued.

| Ages. | Value at Sper cent | Value at 4 percent | 5 per cent | Value at 6 percent ${ }^{7}$ | Value at 7 per cent. | Value at 8 per ceat. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | 5.199 | 4.962 | 4.744 | 4.542 | 4.354 | 4.180 |
| 76 | 4.925 | 4.710 | 4.511 | 4.326 | 4.154 | 3.994 |
| 77 | 4.652 | 4.457 | 4.277 | 4.109 | 3.952 | 3.806 |
| 78 | 4.372 | 4.197. | 4.035 | 3.8S 4 | 3.742 | 3.609 |
| 79 | 4.077 | 3.921 | 3.776 | 3.641 | 3.514 | 3.394 |
| 80 | 3.781 | 3.643 | 3.515 | 3.394 | 3.281 | 3.174 |
| 81 | 3.499 | 3.377 | 3.265 | 3.156 | 3.055 | 2.960 |
| S2 | 3.229 | 3.122 | 3.020 | 2.926 | 2.836 | 2.751 |
| 83 | 2.982 | 2.837 | 2.797 | 2.713 | 2.632 | 2.557 |
| 84 | 2.793 | 2.708 | 2.627 | 2.551 | 2.479 | 2.410 |
| 85 | 2.620 | 2.543 | 2.471 | 2.402 | 2.337 | 2.275 |
| 86 | 2.462 | 2.393 | 2.328 | 2.266 | 2.207 | 2.151 |
| 87 | 2.312 | 2.251 | 2.193 | 2.138 | 2.085 | 2.035 |
| 88 | 2.185 | 2.131 | 2.080 | 2.031 | 1.984 | 1.939 |
| 89 | 2.013 | 1.967 | 1.924 | 1.882 | 1.842 | 1.803 |
| 90 | 1.194 | 1.758 | 1.723 | 1.689 | 1.656 | 1.625 |
| 91 | 1.501 | 1.474 | 1.447 | 1.422 | 1.398 | 1.374 |
| 92 | 1.190 | 1.171 | 1.153 | 1.136 | 1.118 | 1.102 |
| 93 | 0.839 | 0.827 | 0.816 | 0.806 | 0.795 | 0.785 |
| 94 | 0.536 | 0.530 | 0.524 | 0.518 | 0.512 | 0.507 |
| 95 | 0.242 | 0.240 | 0.238 | 0.236 | 0.234 | 0.232 |
| 96 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## [ 317]

The values of annuities in the preceding Table (and in all the other Tables in this. collection), suppose the payments to be made yearly, and to begin at the end of a year; except in the single instance of an annuity on a life aged half a year, the value of which is given in the preceding Table, on the suppositions that the first payment is to be a half-yearly one made at the end of half a year, and that all the subsequent payments are yearly ones.

If all the payments are to be half-yearly payments, and to be made at the end of every half year from the time of purcirase, their value will be increased about oné--fifth of a year's purchase. When the tabular value (that is, the value of an annuity to commence at the end of a year, and payable yearly) is greater than 11 or 12 years parchase, this addition will give somewhat more, and when less it will give somewhat less than the value of the same annuity payable halfyearly; but in no instance will the error exceed a 20th of a year's purchase.

Tables.

## TABLE XX.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives, having the same common Age, according to the Notthampton Table of Observations. See Table XVII. p. 311.

Difference of Age 0.

| Ages. | Value at 3 per Cent. | Value at 4 per Cent. | Value at 5 per Cent. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yalue e at } \\ & \text { Oper } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1+1. | 491 | 252 | 7.287 |  |
| 2-3 | .12.789 | 11.107 | 9.798 | 8.74 |
| 3-3 | 14.196 | 12.325 | 10.863 | 9.688 |
| 4- | 16.181 | 13.185 | 11.621 | 0 |
| 5 5- | 15.638 | 18.591 | 11.984 | 10,691 |
| 6-6 | 16.099 | 14.005 | $12.858^{\circ}$ | 11.091 |
| 7-7. | 16.8 \% 5 | 14.224 | 12.506 | 11.25 |
| 8-8 | 16.510 | 14.899 | 12.731 | 11.382 |
| 9-9 | 16.483 | 14.396 | 12.744 | 11.40 |
| 10-10 | 16.339 | 14.877 | 12.665 | 11 |
| 11-1,1. | 18.142 | 14.183 | 12.546 | 11.240 |
| 12- | 15.926 | 18.9006 | 12.41 | 8 B |
| 13-13 | 15.702 | 13.789 | 12.268 | 23 |
| 14-14 | 15.470 | 13.604 | 12.118 | 10.899 |
| 15-15 | 15.229 | 13.411 | 11.960 | 10.767 |
| 16 | 14.979 | 13.212 | 11.793 | 10.626 |
| 17-17 | 14.737 | 13.019 | 11.630 | 10.489 |
| 18-18 | 14.516 | 12.841 | 11.483 | 10.365 |
| 19-19 | 14.316 | 12.679 | 11.351 | 10.25 |
| 20-20 | 14.133 | 2.53 | 11.232 | 10.156 |

TABLE XX. continued.

| Ages. | Value at 3 per Cent. | Value at 4 per Cent. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21-21 | 13.974 | 12 | 11.131 | 10.074 |
| 22-22 | 13.830 | 12.293 | 11 | 10.002 |
| 23-23 | 13.68 | 12.179 | 10.95 | 9.928 |
| 24-24 | 13.53 | 12.062 | 10.85 | 9.853 |
| 25-25 | 13.383 | 11.944 | 10.76 | 9.776 |
| 26-26 | 13.230 | 11.822 | 10.667 | 9.697 |
| 27-27 | 13.074 | 11.699 | 10.567 | 9.618 |
| 28-28 | 12.91 | 11.573 | 10.466 | 9.533 |
| 29-29 | 12.75 | 11.445 | 10.36 | 9.448 |
| 30-30 | 12.589 | 11.31 | 10.2 | 9. |
| 31-31 | 12.422 | 11.179 | 10.146 | 9.270 |
| 32-32 | 12.252 | 11.042 | 10.034 | 0.178 |
| 33-33 | 12.079 | 10.902 | 9.9 | 9.082 |
| 34-34 | 11.902 | 10.75 | 9.801 | 8.9 |
| 35-35 | 11.722 | 10.61 | 9.680 | 8.8 |
| 36-36 | 11.539 | 10.46 | 9.55 | 8. 778 |
| 37-37 | 11.851 | 10.307 | 9.42 | 8.670 |
| 38-38 | 11.160 | 10.149 | 9.29 | 8.558 |
| 39-39 | 10.964 | 9.986 | 9.15 | 8.4 |
| 40-40 | 10.764 | 9.820 | 9.01 | 8.322 |
| 41 | 10.565 | 9.654 | 8.876 | 8.202 |
| 42 | 10.369 | 9.491 | 8.737 | 8.083 |
| 43-43 | 10.175 | 9.326 | 8.599 | 7.965 |
| 44-44 | 9.978 | 9.160 | 8.457 | 7.843 |
| 45 | 9.776 | 8.990 | 8.31 | 7.718 |
| 46-46 | 9.571 | 8.815 | 8.162 | 7.589 |
| 47-47 | 9.362 | 8.637 | 8.008 | 7.435 |
| 48-48 | 9.149 | 8.453 | 7.849 | 7.316 |

## Tables.

TABLE XX. continued.

| Ages. | Value at 3 <br> per Ce t. | Value at 4 <br> per Cent. | Value at. <br> per Cent. | Value at 6 <br> per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $49-49$ | 8931 | 8.266 | 7.686 | 7.173 |
| $50-50$ | 8.714 | 8.081 | 7.522 | 7.030 |
| $51-51$ | 8.507 | 7.900 | 7.366 | 6.893 |
| $52-52$ | 8.304 | 7.723 | 7.213 | 6.758 |
| $53-53$ | 8.099 | 7.544 | 7.056 | 6.620 |
| $54-54$ | 7.891 | 7.362 | 6.897 | 6.480 |
| $55-55$ | 7.681 | 7.179 | 6.735 | 6.336 |
| $56-56$ | 7.470 | 6.993 | 6.571 | 6.190 |
| $57-57$ | 7.256 | 6.805 | 6.404 | 6.041 |
| $58-58$ | 7.041 | 6.614 | 6.234 | 5.890 |
| $59-59$ | 6.824 | 6.421 | 6.062 | 5.735 |
| $60-60$ | 6.606 | 6.226 | 5.888 | 5.579 |
| $61-61$ | 6.387 | 6.030 | 5.712 | 5.420 |
| $62-62$ | 6.166 | 5.831 | 5.533 | 5.259 |
| $63-63$ | 5.938 | 5.626 | 5.347 | 5.089 |
| $64-64$ | 5.709 | 5.417 | 5.158 | 4.917 |
| $65-65$ | 5.471 | 5.201 | 4.960 | 4.736 |
| $66-66$ | 5.231 | 4.982 | 4.759 | 4.551 |
| $67-67$ | 4.990 | 4.760 | 4.555 | 4.363 |
| $68-68$ | 4747 | 4.537 | 4.348 | 4.171 |
| $69-69$ | 4504 | 4.312 | 4.140 | 3.977 |
| $70-70$ | 4.261 | 4.087 | 3.930 | 3.781 |
| $71-71$ | 4.020 | 3.862 | 3.719 | 3.584 |
| $72-72$ | 3.781 | 3.639 | 3.510 | 3.387 |
| $73-72$ | 3.548 | 3.421 | 3.304 | 3.193 |
| $74-74$ | 3.324 | 3.211 | 3.105 | 3.005 |
| $75-75$ | 3.114 | 3.015 | 2.917 | 2.827 |
| $76-76$ | 2.920 | 2.833 | 2.750 | 2.668 |

TABLE XX. continued.

| ees. | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { per Ct. } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Value at 4 per Ct. | Value at 5 per Ct. | Value at 6 per Ct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.741 | 2.656 | 2.5 |  |
| 78-78 | 2.550 | 2.470 | 2.410 | 2.34 |
| 79-79 | 2.338 | 2.271 | 2.217 | 2.16 |
| 80 | 2.122 | 2.068 | 2. |  |
| 81 | 1.917 | 1.869 | 1.827 | 1.786 |
| 82-8 | 1.719 | 1.681 | 1.642 |  |
| 83-83 | 1.538 | 1.510 | 1.472 | 1.44 |
| 84-8 | 1.416 | 1.387 | 1.357 |  |
| 85-85 | 1.309 | 1.339 | 1.256 | 1. |
| 86-86 | 1.218 | 1.195 | 1.171 | 1.149 |
| 87-87 | 1.141 | 1.124 | 1.098 | 1.078 |
| 88-8 | 1.103 | . 03 | 1.06 | 1.044 |
| 89-89 | 036 | 1.015 | 1.001 | 0.9 |
| 90-90 | 0.938 | 0.922 | 0.909 | 0.895 |
| 91-91 | 0.769 | 0.756 | 0.748 | 0.737 |
| 92-92 | 0.591 | 0.583 | 0.576 | 0.569 |
| 93-93 | 0.369 | 0.365 | $0.3 \overline{6 ิ 1}$ | 0.357 |
| 94-94 | 0.203 | 0.201 | 0.199 | 0.19 |
| 95-9.5 | 0.060 | 0.060 | 0.059 | 0. |
| 96-96 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.00 |

## TABLE XXI.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northampton Table of Observations. See Table XVII.

Difference of Age five Years.

| es. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { per } \mathbf{C t} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value at } \\ & 4 \text { per Ct. } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Value at } \\ 5 \text { per } \mathrm{Ct} \end{array}\right\| 6$ | Value at 6 per Ct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-6 | 12.347 | 10 |  |  |
| 2-7 | 14.461 | 12.581 | 11.100 |  |
| 3-8 | 15 | 13.310 | 11.75 | 10. |
| 4-9 | 15.80 | 13.775 | 12.165 | 10 |
| 5-10 | 15.97 | 13.93 | 12. |  |
| 6-11 | 16.11 | 14,06 | 12. |  |
| 7-1 | 16.137 | 14. | 12. | 11.192 |
| 8-13 | 16.089 | 14.089 | 12.4 | 11.197 |
|  | 15.957 | 1399 | 12.421 |  |
| 10-15 | 15.762 | 13.841 | 12.30 | 11.048 |
| 11-16 | 15.538 | 13.66 | 12.1 | 29 |
| 12-17 | 15.3 | 13 | 12.0 | 0.805 |
| 13-18 | 15.08 | 13 | 11.864 | 10.685 |
|  | 14.870 | 13.13 | 11.723 | 1 |
| 15-20 | 14.660 | 12.961 | 11.585 | 1. |
| 16-2 | $14.45 \%$ | 12.799 | 11.452 | 10.342 |
| 17-2 | 14.265 | 12.646 | 11.327 | 10.239 |
| 18-23 | 14.082 | 12.50 | 11.20 |  |
| 19-24 | 13908 | 12.36 | 11.09 |  |
| 20 | 13.741 | 12.229 | 10.989 | 9.960 |
| 21 | 13.58 | 12.105 | 10.890 | 9.879 |
| 22-27 | 13.433 | 11.987 | 10.79 | 9.8 |

TABLE XXI. continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.966 |  |  |  |
|  | 12.805 |  |  |  |
|  | 12.64 |  | 10,28 |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.131 | 10.9 | 9.9 |  |
|  | 11.955 | 10.805 | 9:837 |  |
|  | 11.775 | 10.65 | 9.716 |  |
|  | 11.592 | . 5 |  | 8.808 |
|  | 11.40 | 0.354 |  |  |
|  | 11.213 | 10.196 |  |  |
|  | . 21 | 10.037 |  |  |
|  | 10.82 | 9.877 | 9. |  |
|  | 10.635 | 9.716 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , | 9.381 | 8.6 |  |
|  | 10,033 | 9. | 8.49 | 7.878 |
|  | 9.829 | 9.037 | 8.35 |  |
|  | 9.62 | 8.862 |  |  |
|  | 9.4 | 8.683 |  |  |
|  | , 20 | 8.5 | 7.89 |  |
| 46-61 |  | 8.326 | . |  |
| 4-52 | 8.790 | 8.14 | 7.582 |  |
|  | 8.579 | 7.96 |  | 6.945 |
|  | 8.366 | 7.78 |  |  |
|  | 8.1 | 7.593 |  |  |

TABLE XXI. continued.

| Ages. | Value at 3 per Ct | Value at <br> 4 per Ct. | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Value at at } \\ 5 \text { per } \end{array}$ | Value at 6 per Ct . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51-56 | 7.941 | 7.409 | 6.936 | 6.515 |
| 52-57 | 7.730 | 7.225 | 6.774 | 6.371 |
| 63-58 | 7.518 | 7.039 | 6.609 | 6.225 |
| 54-59 | 7.304 | 6.850 | 6.442 | 6.076 |
| 55-60 | 7.088 | 6.659 | 6.272 | 5.924 |
| 56-61 | 6.870 | 6.46 .5 | 6.100 | 5.770 |
| 57-62 | 6.651 | 6.270 | 5.925 | 5.613 |
| 58-63 | 6.427 | 6.070 | 5.744 | 5.450 |
| 59-64 | 6.201 | 5867 | 5.561 | 5.284 |
| 60-65 | 5.970 | 5.658 | 5.372 | 5.11 |
| 61-66 | 5.737 | 5.447 | 5.180 | 4.938 |
| 62-67 | 5.503 | 5.285 | 4.986 | 4.7 |
| 63-68 | 5.265 | 5.017 | 4.786 | 4.576 |
| 64-69 | 5.025 | 4.798 | 4.585 | 4.390 |
| 65-70 | 4.783 | 4.573 | 4.378 | 4.199 |
| 66-7 1 | 1.540 | 4.349 | 4.169 | 4.005 |
| 67-72 | 4.298 | 4.124 | 3.960 | 3.811 |
| 68-73 | 4.059 | 3.901 | 3.752 | 3.616 |
| 69-74 | 43.825 | 3.683 | 3:547 | 3.423 |
| 70-75 | 5 3.599 | 3.471 | 3.347 | 3.2 |
| 71-76 | 63.386 | 3.270 | 3.159 | 3.059 |
| -2-77 | 73.176 | 3.070 | 2.971 | 2.882 |
| 73-78 | 82.963 | 2.869 | 2.780 | 2.701 |
| 74-79 | 92.743 | 2.659 | 2.58 | 2.511 |
| 75-80 | - 2.526 | 2.448 | 2.381 | 2.323 |
| 76-81 | 12.325 | 2.258 | 2.195 | 2.147 |
| 77-82 | 2.131 | 12.077 | 2.013 | 1.975 |
| [78-83 | 1.947 | \| 1.899 | 1.838 | 1.810 |

Tables.

TABLE XXI. continued.

| Ages. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value at } \\ & 3 \text { per Ct. } \end{aligned}$ | Value at 4 per Ct. | Value at 5 per Ct. | Value at 6 per C . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79-84 | 1.793 | 1.751 | 1.750 | 1.672 |
| 80-85 | 1.645 | 1.608 | 1.573 | 1.539 |
| 81-86 | 1.511 | 1.478 | 1.447 | 1.417 |
| 82-87 | 1.385 | 1.356 | 1.329 | 1.30 |
| 83-88 | 1.284 | $1.259^{\prime}$ | 1235 | 1.212 |
| 84-89 | 1.188 | 1.164 | 1.145 | 2 |
| 85-90 | 1.074 | 1.054 | 1.038 | 1.02 |
| 86-91 | 0.921 | 0.902 | 0.892 | 0.87 |
| 87-92 | 0.756 | 0.738 | 0.734 | 0.725 |
| 88-93 | 0.562 | 0.554 | 0.547 | 0.54 |
| 89-94 | 0.377 | 0.373 | 0.369 | 0.36 |
| 90-95 | 0.179 | 0.177 | 0.175 | 0.174 |
| 191-96 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 |

Tabtes.'

## TABLE XXII.

Shewing the Value of an' Annuity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northampton Table of Observations. See Table XVII.

Differtence of Age ten Years.

| Ages: | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { per Cent. } \end{array}$ | Value at 4 per Cent. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value at } \\ & 5 \text { per Cen } \end{aligned}$ | Value at per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-11 | 12.346 | 10.782 | 9.544 | 8:547 |
| 2-12 | 14.239 | 12.488 | 11.010 | 9.857 |
| 3-13 | 14.895 | 13.019 | 11.528 | 10.324 |
| 4-14: | 15:287 | 13.374 | 11.850 | 10.617 |
| 5-15 | 15.391 | 13.479 | 11.954 | 10.716 |
| 6-16 | 15.486 | 13.578 | 12.052 | 10.812 |
| 7-17 | 15.490 | 13.599 | 12.083 | 10.849 |
| 8-18 | 15.436 | 13.569 | 12.070 | 10.847 |
| 9-19 | 15.316 | 13.482 | 12.006 | 10.799 |
| 10-20 | 15.151 | 13.355 | 11.906 | 10.719 |
| 11-21 | 14.974 | 13.217 | 11.797 | 10.631 |
| 12-22 | 14.795 | 13.078 | 11.686 | 10.541 |
| 13-23 | 14.612 | 12.934 | 11.570 | 10.446 |
| 14-24 | 14.424 | 12.784 | 11.450 | 10.348 |
| 15-25 | 14.230 | 12.630 | 11.324 | 10.244 |
| 16-26 | 14.030 | 12.470 | 11.193 | 10.135 |
| 17-27 | 13.832 | 12.311 | 11.063 | 10.027 |
| 1828 | 13642 | 12.158 | 10.939 | 9.924 |
| 19-29 | 13.461 | 12.013 | 10.820 | 9.826 |
| 20-30 | 13.286 | 11.873 | 10.707 | 9.732 |
| 21-31 | 13.121 | 11.742 | 10.600 | 9.644 |

Tables.
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TABLE XXII. continued.-

| Ages. | Value at 3: per Cent. | Value at 4 per Cent. | Value at 5 per Cent. | Value at 0 per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22-32 | 12.961 | 11.615 | 10.498 | 9.561 |
| 23-33 | 12.798 | 11.485 | 10.393 | 9.474 |
| 24-34 | 12.632 | 11.352 | 10.285 | 9.386 |
| 25-35 | 12.463 | 11.217 | 10.175 | 9.295 |
| 26-36 | 12.297 | 11,078 | 10.062 | 9.291 |
| 27-38 | 12.116 | 10,936 | 9946 | 9,105 |
| 28-38. | 11.937 | 10.791 | 9.826 | 9:005 |
| 29-39 | 11.755 | 10.642 | 9.703 | 8.902 |
| 39-40 | 11.568 | 10.490 | 9.576: | 8,795 |
| 31-41 | 11.382 | 10.336 | 9.448 | 8,688 |
| $32-42$ | 11/195 | 10,282 | 9.320, | 8.580 |
| $33-43$, | 11،007 | 10,027 | $9.190{ }^{1}$ | 8,471 |
| 34-44 | 10.817 | 9.869; | 9058 | 8858 |
| 35-45 | 10.622: | 97.06 | $8.921{ }_{1}$ | 8242 |
| 36.46 | 10;424: | 9.540 | 8.781 | 8 P 122 |
| 37-47 | 10:221. | 9.370 | 8.636 | 7.998 |
| 38-48 | 10.014 | 9.195 | 8,487 | 7880 |
| 39-49 | 9.803 | 9 r .015 | 8.333 | 7:737 |
| 40-50 | 9.590: | 8.834 | 8.177 | 7.602 |
| 41-51 | 9.383 | 8.658 | 8.025. | 7.470 |
| 42-5.2 | 9.179 | 8.483 | 7.875 | 7.340 |
| 43-53 | 8975 | 8.308 | 7.724 | 7,208 |
| 44-54 | 8.767 | 8.130 | :7.569 | 7.973 |
| 45-55 | 8.55 .7 | 7.948 | 7.411 | 6.835 |
| 16-56, | 8.344 | 7: 763 | 7.240 | 6.593 |
| 47-571 | 8.127 | 7.5.74: | 708.1 | 6048 |
| 48-58 | 7.907 | 7.382 | 6.915 | 6.498 |
| 49-59 | 7.684 | 7.186 | \% 6.742 | 6.344 |

Tables.

TABLE XXII. continued.

| Ages. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 6 per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50-60 | 7.461 |  | 6.568 |  |
| 51-61 | 7.240 | 6.795 | 6.39 | 6.035 |
| 52-62 | 7.021 | 6.600 | 6.222 | 588 |
| 53-63 | 6.795 | 6.399 | 6.042 | 5.719 |
| 54-64 | 6.568 | 6.196 | 5.860 | . 555 |
| 55-65 | 6.334 | 5.986 | 567 | . 38 |
| 56-66 | 6.098 | 5.774 | 5.479 | 5.209 |
| 57-67 | 5.860 | 5.559 | 5.283 | 5.031 |
| 58-68 | 5.621 | 5.341 | 5.084 | 4.849 |
| 59.69 | 5.380 | 5.12 | 4.883 | 4.665 |
| 60 | 5.139 | 490 | 4.68 | 4.478 |
| 61-71 | 4.898 | 4.679 | 4.476 | 4289 |
| 62-72 | 4.659 | 4.458 | 4.272 | 4.099 |
| 63-73 | 4.420 | 4.236 | 4.066 | 3.908 |
| 64 | 4.1 | 4.019 | 3.86 | . 719 |
| 65 | 3.958 | 3.806 | 3.66 | 3.533 |
| 66-76 | 3.743 | 3.606 | 3.477 | 3.357 |
| 67-77 | 3.529 | 3.40 | 3.289 | 3.18 |
| 68-78 | 3.310 | 3.199 | 3.095 | 2.996 |
| 69-79 | 3.077 | 2.979 | 2.88 | 2.799 |
| 70-80 | 2.843 | 2.757 | 2.675 | 2.598 |
| 71;81 | 2.618 | 2.5 | 2.470 | 2.402 |
| 72-82 | 2.401 | 2.334 | 2.271 | 2.211 |
| 73-83 | 2199 | 2.141 | 2.085 | 2.032 |
| 74-84 | 2043 | 1.991 | 1.941 | 94 |
| 75-85 | 1903 | 1.85 | 1.8 | 69 |
| 76-86 | 1.781 | 1739 | 1.699 | 1.661 |
| 7787 | 1.670 | 1.633 | 1.597 | 1.562 |

TABLE XXII. continued.

| Ages. | Value at <br> 3 per Cent. | Value at <br> 4 <br> per Cent | Value at <br> s per Cent. | Value at <br> 6 per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $78-88$ | 1.580 | 1.546 | 1.514 | 1.483 |
| $79-89$ | 1.456 | 1.427 | 1.400 | 1.373 |
| $80-90$ | 1.302 | 1.278 | 1.255 | 1.234 |
| $81-91$ | 1.096 | 1.078 | 1.061 | 1.044 |
| $82-92$ | 0.877 | 0.864 | 0.852 | 0.840 |
| $83-93$ | 0.622 | 0.614 | 0.606 | 0.599 |
| $84-94$ | 0.408 | 0.403 | 0.398 | 0.394 |
| $85-95$ | 0.189 | 0.187 | 0.185 | 0.183 |
| $86-96$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## TABLE XXIII.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northampton Table of Observations. See Table XVII.

Difference of Age fifteen Years.

| Age. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { pen Cent. } \end{array}$ | Talue rat | Value at | Value at 6 per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,-16 | 11.864 | 10.406 | 9.243 | 8.301 |
| 2-17. | 13.659 | 11.981 | 10.642 | 9.555 |
| 3-18 | 14.277 | 12.531 | 11.134 | 9.998 |
| 4-19 | 14.657 | 12.876 | 11.447 | 10.284 |
| 5-20 | 14.776 | 12.993 | 11.561 | 10.391 |
| 6-21 | 14.904- | 13:121 | 11.685 | 10.510 |
| 7-22 | 14.950 | 13.178 | 11.748 | 10.576 |
| 8-23 | 14.929 | 13.178 | 11.761 | 10.597 |
| 9-24 | 14.834 | 13.112 | 11.715 | 10.566 |
| 10-25 | 14.683 | 12.998 | 11.627 | 10.497 |
| 11-26 | 14.508 | 12.861 | 11.519 | 10.410 |
| 12-27 | 14.323 | 12.715 | 11.402 | 10.314 |
| 13-28 | 14.132 | 12.564 | 11.280 | 10.215 |
| 14-29 | 13.936 | 12.408 | 11.153 | 10.110 |
| 15-30 | 13.734 | 12.246 | 11.021 | 10.001 |
| 16-31 | 13.527 | 12.078 | 10.883 | 9.886 |
| 17-32 | 13.320 | 11.911 | 10.746 | 9.771 |
| 18-33 | 13.121 | 11.750 | 10.613 | 9.660 |
| 19-34 | 12.930 | 11.595 | 10.486 | 9.554 |
| 20-35 | 12.744 | 11.445 | 10.363 | 9.451 |
| 21-36 | 12.567 | 11.302 | 10.246 | 9.354 |
| 22-37 | 12.394 | 11.163 | 10.132 | 9.260 |
| 23-38 | 12.218 | 11.020 | 10.015 | 9.163 |
| 24-39 | 12038 | 10.874 | 9.895 | 9.063 |
| 25-40 | 11.854 | 10.725 | 9.771 | 8.960 |

Tübles.
TABLE XXIII. continued.

| Ages. | 3 Volmor at | Value at 4 per Cent. | Value at 5 per Cen | Valve at 6 per Cent: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26-41 | 11.670 | 10.574 | 9.647 | 8.855 |
| 27-42 | 11.486 | 10.423 | 9.522 | 8.7 .51 |
| 28-43 | 11.302 | 10.272 | 9.396 | 8.645 |
| 29-44 | 11.114 | 10.117 | 9.267 | 8.536 |
| 30-45 | 10.923 | 9.959 | 9.135 | 8.424 |
| 31-46 | 10.728 | 9.797 | 8.998 | 8.309 |
| 32-47 | 10.530 | 9.631 | 8.858 | 8.189 |
| 33-48 | 10.327 | 9.461 | 8.714 | 8.066 |
| 34-49 | 10.120 | 9.286 | 8.565 | 7.938 |
| 35-50 | 9.912 | 9.110 | 8.415 | 7.809. |
| 36-5 1 | 9.707 | 8.927 | 8.267 | $7.68{ }^{\prime}$ |
| 37-52 | 9.503 | 8.763 | 8.119 | 7.553 |
| 38-5 3 | 9.296 | 8.586 | 7.966 | 7421 |
| 39-54 | 9.085 | 8.406 | 7.810 | 7.286 |
| 40-55 | 8.870 | 8.221 | 7.651 | 7.146 |
| 41-56 | 8.655 | 8.035 | 7.489 | 7.005 |
| 42-57 | 8.439 | 7.848 | 7.326 | 6.802 |
| 43-58 | 8.222 | 7.660 | 7.102 | 6.718 |
| 44-59 | 8.003 | 7.469 | 0.994 | 6.570 |
| 45-60 | 7.781 | 7.274 | 6.822 | 6.418 |
| 46-61 | 7.556 | 7.076 | 6.648 | 6.263 |
| 47-62 | 7.328 | 6.875 | 6.469 | 6.104 |
| 48-03 | 7.093 | 6.667 | 6.283 | 5.937 |
| 49-64 | 6.854 | 6.454 | 6.093 | 5.767 |
| 50-65 | 6.611 | 6.236 | 5.897 | 5.590 |
| 51-66 | 6.369 | 6.019 | 5.701 | 5.412 |
| 52-67 | 6.127 | 5.801 | 5.504 | 5.233 |
| 53-68 | 5.884 | 5.580 | 5.303 | 5.050 |
| 54-69 | 5.638 | 5.357 | 5.100 | 4.864 |

Tables.

TABLE XXIII. continued.

| Ages. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { per Cent } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Value at 4 per Cent. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value ai } \\ 6 \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55-70 | 5.391 | 5.13 | 4.893 | 4.674 |
| 56-71 | 5.145 | 4.905 | 4.685 | 4.482 |
| 57-72 | 4.899 | 4.679 | 4.477 | 4.289 |
| 58-73 | 4.656 | 4.455 | 4.269 | 4.096 |
| 59-74 | 4.418 | 4.234 | 4.064 | 3.906 |
| 60-75 | 4.189 | 4.031 | 3.866 | 3.721 |
| 61-76 | 3.974 | 3.821 | 3.679 | 3.546 |
| 62-77 | 3.760 | 3.621 | 3.492 | 3.371 |
| 63-78 | 3.538 | 3.414 | 3.297 | 3.188 |
| 64-79 | 3.303 | 3.192 | 3.088 | 2.990 |
| 65-80 | 3.063 | 2.965 | 2.873 | 2.786 |
| 66-81 | 2.833 | 2.746 | 2.664 | 2.587 |
| 67-82 | 2.610 | 2.533 | 2.461 | 2.393 |
| 68-83 | 2.403 | 2.336 | 2.272 | 2.211 |
| 69-84 | 2.244 | 2.183 | 2.126 | 2.071 |
| 70-85 | 2.097 | 2.042 | 1.991 | 1.941 |
| 71-86 | 1.963 | 1.914 | 1.867 | 1.823 |
| 72-87 | 1.838 | 1.794 | 1.753 | 1.713 |
| 73-88 | 1.736 | 1.697 | 1.660 | 1.625 |
| 74-89 | 1.603 | 1.570 | 1.538 | 1.508 |
| 75-90 | 1.440 | 1.413 | 1.387 | 1.361 |
| 76-91 | 1.221 | 1.200 | 1.180 | 1.160 |
| 77-92 | 0.985 | 0.970 | 0.955 | 0.942 |
| 78-93 | 0.706 | 0.697 | 0.688 | 0.679 |
| 79-94 | 0.458 | 0.453 | 0.448 | 0.443 |
| 80-95 | 0.210 | 0.208 | 0.206 | 0.204 |
| 81-96 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0. | 0.000 |

## TABLE XXIV.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives according to the Northampton Table of Observations. See Table XVII.

Difference of Age twenty Years.

| Ages. | Value at 3 per Cent. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Value at } \\ 4 \text { per Cent. } \end{array}$ | Value at 5 per Cent. | Value at 6 per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-21 | 11.413 | 10.053 | 8.961 | 8.070 |
| 2-22 | 13.172 | 11.605 | 10.344 | 9.313 |
| 3-23 | 13.794 | 12.161 | 10.843 | 9.764 |
| 4-24 | 14.178 | 12.511 | 11.163 | 10.057 |
| 5-25 | 14.301 | 12.633 | 11.281 | 10.170 |
| 6-26 | 14.420 | 12.754 | 11.400 | 10.285 |
| 7-27 | 14.451 | 12.798 | 11.452 | 10.341 |
| 8-28 | 14.417 | 12.786 | 11.455 | 10.354 |
| 9-29 | 14.310 | 12.710 | 11.401 | 10.315 |
| 10-30 | 14.150 | 12.586 | 11.304 | 10.239 |
| 11-31 | 13.965 | 12.441 | 11.188 | 10.144 |
| 12-32 | 13.770 | 12.286 | 11.062 | 10.042 |
| 13-33 | 13.570 | 12.125 | 10.932 | 9.934 |
| 14-34 | 13.363 | 11.959 | 10.796 | 9.822 |
| 15-35 | 13.151 | 11.787 | 10.655 | 9.703 |
| 16-36 | 12.932 | 11.609 | 10.507 | 9.579 |
| 17-37 | 12.714 | 11.430 | 10.358 | 9.454 |
| 18-38 | 12.502 | 11.257 | 10.214 | 9.333 |
| 19-39 | 12.297 | 11.089 | 10.074 | 9.215 |
| 20-40 | 12.096 | 10.924 | 9.937 | 9.100 |
| 21-41 | 11.906 | 10.768 | 9.809 | 8.992 |
| 22-42 | 11.723 | 10.619 | 9.685 | 8.889 |
| 23-43 | 11.540 | 10.470 | 9.562 | 8.785 |
| 24-44 | 11.354 | 10.317 | 9.435 | 8.670 |

TABLE XXIV. continued.

| Ages. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \cdot \text { at } \\ & 4 \text { per Cent. } \end{aligned}$ | $5 \begin{aligned} & \text { Value at } \\ & 5 \text { per Cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Value at 6 per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25-45 | 11.164 | 10.160 | 9.304 | 8.569 |
| 26-46 | 10.970 | 10.000 | 9.170 | 8.455 |
| 27-47 | 10.773 | 9.836 | 9.032 | 8.338 |
| 28-48 | 10.572 | 9.067 | 8.890 | 8.217 |
| 29-49 | 10.366 | 9.495 | 8.7.4-4 | 8.092 |
| 30-50 | 10.160 | 9.321 | 8.596 | 7.966 |
| 31-51 | 0.957 | . 9.151 | 8.451 | 7.841 |
| 32-52 | 9.756 | 8.980 | 8.306 | 7.716 |
| 33-53: | 9.550 | 8.806 | 8.157 | 7.588 |
| 34-54 | 9.342 | 8.629 | 8.005 | 7.457 , |
| 35-55 | 9.131 | 8.448 | 7.849 | 7.322 |
| 36-56 | 8.916 | 8.264 | 7.690 | 7.183 |
| 37-57. | 8.699 | 8.076 | 7.527 | 7.041 |
| 38-58. | 8.477 | 7.884 | 7.360 | 6.894 |
| 39-59. | 8.253 | 7.689 | 7.189 | 6.744 |
| 40-60 | 8.025 | 7.490 | 7.015 | 6.590 |
| 41-61 | 7.796 | 7.290 | 6.838 | 6.434 |
| 42-02 | 7.567 | 7.088 | 6.660 | 6.276 |
| 43-03 | 7.332 | 6.881 | . 6.477 | 0.112 |
| 44-64 | 7.095 | 6.071 | 0.289 | 5.944 |
| 45-65 | 6.850 | 6.453 | 6.094 | 5.769 |
| 46-66 | 6.602 | 6.230 | 5.894 | 5.588 |
| 47-67 | 6.351 | 6.004 | . 5.690 | 5.403 |
| 48-68 | 0.096 | 5.874 | 5.481 | 5.213 |
| 49-69 | 5.839 | 5.541 | 5.268 | 5.019 |
| 508-70 | 5.582 | 5.306 | 5.054 | 4.822 |
| 51-71 | 5.328 | 5.074 | 4.841 | 4.626 |
| 52-72 | 5.077 | 4.845 | 4.630 | 4.430 |

Fables.
TABLE XXIV. continued.

| Ages. | Value at <br> 3 per Cent. | Value at <br> per Cent. | Value at <br> per Cent. | Value at. <br> (per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $53-73$ | 4.829 | 4.614 | 4.417 | 4.234 |
| $54-74$ | 4.585 | 4.389 | 4.208 | 4.040 |
| $55-75$ | 4.350 | 4.171 | 4.006 | 3.852 |
| $56-76$ | 4.129 | 3.966 | 3.815 | 3.674 |
| $57-77$ | 3.908 | 3.761 | 3.623 | 3.494 |
| $58-78$ | 3.682 | 3.549 | 3.424 | 3.308 |
| $59-79$ | 3.440 | 3.322 | 3.210 | 3.105 |
| $60-80$ | 3.197 | 3.092 | 2.992 | 2.899 |
| $61-81$ | 2.964 | 2.870 | 2.782 | 2.699 |
| $62-82$ | 2.739 | 2.656 | 2.578 | 2.504 |
| $63-83$ | 2.530 | 2.457 | 2.387 | 2.321 |
| $64-84$ | 2.371 | 2.305 | 2.242 | 2.182 |
| $65-85$ | 2.223 | 2.163 | 2.107 | 2.053 |
| $60-80$ | 2.089 | 2.035 | 1.984 | 1.936 |
| $67-87$ | 1.963 | 1.915 | 1.870 | 1.826 |
| $68-88$ | 1.860 | 1.817 | 1.777 | 1.737 |
| $69-89$ | 1.722 | 1.685 | 1.650 | 1.616 |
| $70-90$ | 1.545 | 1.515 | 1.486 | 1.459 |
| $71-91$ | 1.303 | 1.280 | 1.259 | 1.238 |
| $72-92$ | 1.044 | 1.028 | 1.012 | 0.997 |
| $73-93$ | 0.743 | 0.733 | 0.723 | 0.714 |
| $74-94$ | 0.480 | 0.474 | 0.469 | 0.464 |
| $75-95$ | 0.219 | 0.217 | 0.215 | 0.213 |
| $76-96$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## TABLE XXV.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northampton Table of Observations. See Table XVII.

Difference of Age twenty-five Years.

| Ages. | Value at <br> 3 per Cent. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Value at } \\ \text { per Cent. } \end{array}$ | Value at 5 per Cent | $6 \text { Value at }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-26 | , |  | 8. |  |
| 2 | 12.722 | 11.264 | 10.080 | 9.104 |
| 3-28 | 13.307 | 11.790 | 10.555 | . 537 |
| 4-29 | 13.661 | 12.116 | 10.855 | 9.813 |
| 5-30 | 13 | 12.220 | 10.959 | 9.913 |
| 6-31 | 13.859 | 12.322 | 11.062 | 10.015 |
| 7-32 | 13.871 | 12.350 | 11.100 | 10.060 |
| 8-33 | 13.820 | 12.323 | 11.090 | 10.061 |
| 9-34 | 13.698 | 12.234 | 11.024 | 10.012 |
| 10-35 | 13.525 | 12.098 | 10.916 | 9925 |
| 11-36 | 13.328 | 11.941 | 10788 | 9.820 |
| 12-37 | 13.120 | 11.773 | 10.651 | 9.707 |
| 13-38 | 12.906 | 11.600 | 10.509 | 9583 |
| 14-39 | 12.686 | 11.420 | 10.360 | 9.464 |
| 15-40 | 12.459 | 11.234 | 10.205 | 9333 |
|  | 12.229 | 11.044 | 10.04 | 8 |
| 17-42 | 12.002 | 10.856 | 9.889 | 9.065 |
|  | 11.785 | 10.677 | 9.739 | 8938 |
| 19-44 | 11.574 | 10.502 | 9.592 | 8.814 |
| 20-45 | 11.367 | 10.330 | 9.448 | 8.692 |
| 2 1-46 | 11.167 | 10.165 | 9.310 | 8.574 |
| 22-47 | 10.969 | 10.001 | 9.173 | 8.458 |
| 23-48 | 110768 | 9.833 | 9.031 | 8.338 |

TABLE XXV. continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24-49 | 10.50 | 9.66 | 8.886 |  |
| 25-50 | 10.356 | 9.488 | 8.739 | 9 |
| 26-51 | 10.154 | 9.318 | 8.595 |  |
| 27-52 | 9.952 | 9.148 | 8.45 | 842 |
| -53 | 9.748 | 8.975 | 8.3 | 7.716 |
| 29-54 | 9.540 | 8.799 | 8.15 | 7.586 |
| 30-55 | 9.329 | 8.619 | 7.999 | . 453 |
| 31-56 | 9.115 | 8.436 | 7.841 | 16 |
| 32-57. | 8.897 | 8.250 | 7.680 | 7.175 |
| 33-58 | 8.677 | 8.060 | 7.51 | 7.031 |
| 34-59 | 8.454 | 7.866 | 7.346 | 6.884 |
| 35-60 | 8.227 | 7.669 | 7.174 | 6.732 |
| $36-61$ | 7.997 | 7.469 | 6.998 | 6.577 |
| 37-62 | 7.765 | 7.265 | 6.819 | 6.418 |
| 38.63 | 7.525 | 7.053 | 6.631 | 6.252 |
| 39-64 | 7.281 | 6.838 | 6.440 | . 081 |
| 40-65 | 7.030 | 6:614 | 6.240 | 1 |
| 41-66 | 6.776 | 6.388 | 6.037 | . 718 |
| 42-67 | 6.522 | 6.159 | 5.831 | . 532 |
| 43-68 | 6.266 | 5.929 | 5.622 | . 343 |
| 44-69 | 6.008 | 5.696 | 5.41 | 5.150 |
| 45-70 | 5.749 | 5.460 | 5.195 | 4.953 |
| 46-7 | 5.488 | 5.222 | 4.978 | 4.753 |
| 47-72 | 5.228 | 4.983 | 4.758 | 4.551 |
| 48-73 | 4.970 | 4.746 | 4.539 | 4.348 |
| 49-74 | 4.716 | 4.51 | 4.322 | 4.146 |
| 50-75 | 4.472 | 4.285 | 4.112 | 3.951 |
| 1-76 | 4.245 | 4.074 | 3.916 | 3.768 |

FOL. I.
Z

## Tables.

TABLE XXV. continyed.

| Agoe. | Vatue |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 4.019 | $3.864^{-1}$ | 3. |  |
| 53-78 | 3.787 | 3.648 | 3.518 |  |
| 54-79 | 3.540 | 3.416 | 3.209 | 3.189 |
| 55-80 | 3.291 | 3.180 | 3.076 | 2.978 |
| 6-81 | 3.051 | 2.95 | 2.861 | 2.774 |
| 57-82 | 2.820 | 2.733 | 2.65 | 2 |
| 58-83 | 2.608 | 2.530 | $2.45 \%$ |  |
| 59-8 | 2.446 | 2.376 | 2.310 | 2.247 |
| 60-85 | 2.297 | 2.234 | 2,174 | 2.118 |
| 61-86 | 2.162 | 2.10 | 2.45 |  |
| 62-87 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2.036 | 1.985 | 1.93. |  |
| 63-88 | 1.932 | 1.886 | 1.843 |  |
| 64-89 | 1.790 | $1.751^{\prime}$ | 1.714 |  |
| 65-90 | 1.606 | 1.575 | 1.544 |  |
| 66-91 | 1.354 | 1.330 | $1.30 \%$ |  |
| 67-92 | 08 | 1.067 | 1.050 |  |
| 68-93 | 0.770 | 9.760 | 0.750 | 0; 940 |
| 69-94 | 0.497 | 0.491 | 0.485 | 0.480 |
| 70-95 | 0. 227 | 0.224 | 0.222 | 0.229 |
| 71-96 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | Q, 0 |

Tables:
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## TABLE XXVI.…

Shewing the Value of an Annurity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northampion Table of Observations.

Difference of Age thirty Years.

| get. | $3 \text { Value at }$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Value at at } \\ & 5 \text { per Cent. } \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 10:605 | 9.4.38 | 8.483 | 7.691 |
| 2-32 | 12.203 | 10.865 | 9.767 | 8.853 |
| 3-33 | 12.743 | 11.355 | 10.213 | 63 |
| 4-34 | 13.061 | 11.651 | 10.488 | 9.618 |
| -35 | 13.136 | 11.7.32 | 10.572 | 9.602 |
| 6-36 | 13.207 | 11.812 | 10.650 | 9.687 |
| 8-37 | 13.195 | 11.819 | 10.676 | 9.716 |
| 8-38 | 13.122 | 11.772 | 10.648 | 1 |
| 9-39 | 12.981 | 11.665 | 10.56 | 9.637 |
| 10-40 | 12.791 | 11:513 | 10.442 | 9.637 |
| $11-41$ | 12.580: | 11.342 | 10.302. | 9420 |
| 12 | 12.363 | 11.165 | 10.156 | 28 |
| 13.43 | 12.144 | 10.985 | 10.007 | 9.173 |
| 14.44: | 11.918 | 10:299 | 9.852 | 9 Q 42 |
| $18 \mathrm{C} 45:$ | 11.687 | 10.607. | 9.690 | 8.905 |
| 16-46 | 11.448 | 10.408 | 9.522 | 8.762 |
| $17-47$. | 11,210 | 10.208 | 9.383 | 8.617 |
| 18.48 | 10975 | 10.013 | 9.186 | 8.453 |
| 19-49 | 10.740 | 9.818 | 9.021 | 8.8 |
| 20-50: | 10.623 | 9.690 | 8.861 | 8.195 |
| 21-51" | 10.313 | 9.454 | 8.712. | 8067 |
| 22-52' | 10.121 | 9.284 | 8,568 | 7.9 |

TABLE XXVI. continued.

| Ages. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Value at | Value at $t$ per Cent. | Value at 5 per Cent. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23-53 | 9.905 | 9.111 | 8.421 | $7.818^{-}$ |
| 24-54 | 9.696 | 8.934 | 8.270 | 7.688 |
| 25-55 | 9.484 | 8.754 | 8.116 | 7.555 |
| 26-56 | 9.269 | 8.570 | 7.958 | 7.419 |
| 27-57 | 9.051 | 8.383 | 7.797 | 7.279 |
| 28-58 | 8.830 | 8.193 | 7.632 | 7.135 |
| 29-59 | 8.605 | 7.999 | 7.464 | 6:988 |
| 30-60 | 8.37. | 7.802 | 7.292 | 6,9372. |
| 31+61: | 8.147 | 7.601 | 7.116 | 6.694 |
| 32-62. | 7.914 | 7.397 | 6.937 | 6.524 |
| 33-63. | 7673 | 7.186 | 6.750 | 6.359 |
| 34-64 | 7.429 | 6.971 | 6.559 | 6.189 |
| 35-65 | 7.177 | 6.747 | 6.360 | 6.010 |
| 36-66 | 6.922 | 6.520. | 6.156 | 5.827 |
| 37-67. | 6.663 | 6.288 | 5.948 | 5.639 : |
| 38-68 | 6.401 | 6.052 | 5.735 | 5.446 |
| 39-69. | 6.137 | 5.813 | 5.518 | 5.249 : |
| 40-70 | 5.871 | 5.571 | 5.298 | 5.047 |
| 41-71 | 5.605 | 5.329 | 5.076 | $4: 844$ |
| 42-72 | 5.341 | 5.087 | 4.854: | 4.640 |
| 43-73 | 5.081 | 4.848 | 4.634 | 4.436 |
| 44-74 | 4.826 | 4.613 | 4.417 | 4.235 |
| 45-75 | 4.580 | 4.386 | 4.206 | 4.040 |
| 46-76 | 4.348 | 4.171 | 4:006 | 3.853 |
| 47-87 | 4.115 | 3.954 | 3.805 | 3.666 |
| $48+78$ | 3.875 | 3.731 | 3.596 | 3.469 |
| 49-79 | 3.619 | 3.490 | 3.369 | 3.256 |
| 50-80 | 3.362 | 3.247 | 3.140 | 3.039 |

TABLE XXVI. continued.

| Ages. | Value at <br> 3 per Cent. | Value at <br> 4 <br> per Cent. | Value at <br> 5 <br> per Cent. | Value at <br> 6 per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $51-81$ | 3.117 | 3.015 | 2.920 | 2.829 |
| $52-82$ | 2.882 | 2.792 | 2.707 | 2.627 |
| $53-83$ | 2.665 | 2.585 | 2.510 | 2.438 |
| $54-84$ | 2.501 | 2.428 | 2.360 | 2.295 |
| $55-85$ | 2.349 | 2.284 | 2.222 | 2.164 |
| $56-86$ | 2.211 | 2.153 | 2.097 | 2.044 |
| $57-87$ | 2.082 | 2.030 | 1.980 | 1.932 |
| $58-88$ | 1.975 | 1.928 | 1.883 | 1.841 |
| $59-89$ | 1.828 | 1.788 | 1.750 | 1.713 |
| $60-90$ | 1.641 | 1.608 | 1.577 | 1.547 |
| $61-91$ | 1.382 | 1.358 | 1.334 | 1.311 |
| $62-92$ | 1.105 | 1.088 | 1.071 | 1.055 |
| $63-93$ | 0.785 | 0.774 | 0.764 | 0.754 |
| $64-94$ | 0.506 | 0.500 | 0.494 | 0.489 |
| $65-95$ | 0.230 | 0.228 | 0.226 | 0.224 |
| $66-96$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## $\therefore$ TABLE XXVII.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the jorixt Continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northamptom Table of Observations.

Difference of Age shirty-five Years.

| Ages. | 3 per Cent. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Valae ait } \\ & \text { i per Cent. } \end{aligned}$ | V.alue at 5 per Cent: | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} V \text { atoe at } \\ 6 \text { ger Centit } \end{array}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | 10.104 | 9.047 | 8.173 | Y:4is : |
| 2-37 | 11.600 | 10.393 | 9.390 | 6.584 |
| 2.88 | 12.087 | 10.838 | 9.800 | 8.928 |
| 4-39 | 12.362 | 13.097 | 10.043 | 9.152 |
| 5-40 | 12.405 | 11.150 | 10.102 | 9.219 |
| 6.41 | 12446 | 11.203 | 10.163 | 9.283 |
| 72 | 12A12 | 11.190 | 10.165 | م209 |
| $8-4$ | 12.325 | 11.130 | 10.124 | 0279 |
| 9.44 | 12.174 | 11.012 | 10.031 | \% 197 |
| 10-45 | 11.976 | 10.851 | 0.900 |  |
| 11-46 | 11.756 | 10.697 | 9.774 | -. 968 |
| 12-47 | 11.525 | 10.481 | 9.598 | 8.827 |
| 19-48 | 11:288 | 10.284 | 9.425 | 8.586 |
| 14-49 | 11.045 | 10.080 | 9.252 | 8.538 |
| 15-50 | 10.799 | 9.872 | 9.076 | 8.386 |
| 16-51 | 10.554 | 9.665 | 8.899 | 8.234 |
| 17-52 | 10.313 | 9.461 | 8.724 | 8.083 |
| 18-53 | 10.076 | 9.260 | 8.552 | 7.934 |
| 19.54 | 9.845 | 9.063 | 8.383 | 7.788 |
| 20-55 | 9.617 | 8.869 | 8.216 | 7.643 |
| 21-56 | 9.394 | 8.679 | 8.053 | 7.502 |
| 22-57 | 9.174 | 8.491 | 7.891 | 7.362 |
| 23.58 | 8.951 | 8.299 | 7.725 | 7.218 |
| 24-59 | 8.725 | 8.104 | 7.556 | 7.070 |
| 25-60 | 8.495 | 7.906 | 7.383 | 6.919 |
| 26.61 | 8.263 | 7.704 | 7.207 | 6.764 |
| 27-62 | 8.028 | 7.499 | 7.027 | 0.605 |
| 28-63 | 7.785 | 7.286 | 6.839 | 6.439 |

TABLE XXVII. continued.

| Ages. | Value at <br> per Cent. | Value at <br> 4 <br> per Cent. | Value at <br> p per Cent. | 6 Value at |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $29-64$ | 7.539 | 7.069 | 6.648 | 6.268 |
| $30-65$ | 7.286 | 6.844 | 6.447 | 6.089 |
| $31-66$ | 7.028 | 6.615 | 6.243 | 5.905 |
| $32-67$ | 6.768 | 6.382 | 6.033 | 5.717 |
| $33-68$ | 6.504 | 6.146 | 5.820 | 5.524 |
| $34-69$ | 6.239 | 5.906 | 5.603 | 5.326 |
| 35.70 | 5.971 | 5.663 | 5.382 | 5.125 |
| $36-71$ | 5.703 | 5.419 | 5.159 | 4.920 |
| $37-72$ | 5.435 | 5.174 | 4.934 | 4.714 |
| $38-73$ | 5.169 | 4.930 | 4.710 | 4.507 |
| $39-74$ | 4.908 | 4.690 | 4.488 | 4,301 |
| $40-75$ | 4.556 | 4.457 | 4.272 | 4.101 |
| $41-76$ | 4.420 | 4.238 | 4.069 | 3.912 |
| $42-77$ | 4.184 | 4.019 | 3.865 | 3.722 |
| $43-78$ | 3.942 | 3.794 | 3.655 | 3.525 |
| $44-79$ | 3.685 | 3.552 | 3.428 | 3.312 |
| $45-80$ | 3.426 | 3.308 | 3.197 | 3.093 |
| $46-81$ | 3.176 | 3.072 | 2.973 | 2.881 |
| $47-82$ | 2.936 | 2.843 | 2.756 | 2.673 |
| $48-83$ | 2.714 | 2.632 | 2.554 | 2.481 |
| $49-84$ | 2.544 | 2.470 | 2.400 | 2.334 |
| $50-85$ | 2.388 | 2.322 | 2.258 | 2.198 |
| $51-86$ | 2.243 | 2.188 | 2.131 | 2.077 |
| $52-87$ | 2.117 | 2.063 | 2.012 | 1.963 |
| $53-88$ | 2.008 | 1.960 | 1.914 | 1.870 |
| $54-89$ | 1.858 | 1.817 | 1.778 | 1.740 |
| $55-90$ | 1.066 | 1.633 | 1.601 | 1.570 |
| $56-91$ | 1.402 | 1.377 | 1.353 | 1.330 |
| $57-92$ | 1.120 | 1.102 | 1.085 | 1.069 |
| $58-93$ | 0.794 | 0.784 | 0.773 | 0.763 |
| $59-94$ | 0.511 | 0.505 | 0.499 | 0.494 |
| 60.95 | 0.233 | 0.230 | 0.228 | 0.226 |
| $61-96$ | 0000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## TABLE XXVIII.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives according to the Northampton Table of Observations.

Difference of Age forty Years.

| Ages. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value at } \\ & 3 \text { per Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ 4 \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ 5 \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Value at 6 per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-41 | 9.523 | 8.585 | 7.800 | 7.135 |
| 2-42 | 10.907 | 9.839 | 8.942 | 8.182 |
| 3-43 | 11.343 | 10.242 | 9.315 | 8.528 |
| 4-44 | 11.578 | 10.468 | 9.531 | 8.733 |
| 5-45 | 11.597 | 10.500 | 9.571 | 8.778 |
| 6-46 | 11.610 | 10.528 | 9.609 | 8.823 |
| 7-47 | 11.550 | 10.491 | 9.589 | 8.815 |
| 8-48 | 11.435 | 10.404 | 9.524 | 8.767 |
| 9-49 | 11.260 | 10.263 | 9.409 | 8.673 |
| 10-50 | 11.044 | 10.085 | 9.260 | 8.548 |
| 11-51 | 10.816 | 9.894 | 9.100 | 8.411 |
| 12-52 | 10.582 | 9.698 | 8.934 | 8.270 |
| 13-53 | 10.344 | 9.497 | 8.763 | 8.123 |
| 14-54 | 10.100 | 9.290 | 8.586 | 7.970 |
| 15-55 | 9.851 | 9.077 | 8.403 | 7.812 |
| 16-56 | 9.595 | 8.858 | 8.214 | 7.648 |
| 17-57 | 9.340 | 8.639 | 8.0 | 7.481 |
| 18-58 | 9.089 | 8.422 | 7.835 | 7.316 |
| 19-59 | 8.841 | 8.207 | 7.648 | 7.153 |
| 20-60 | 8.597 | 2.995 | 7.463 | 8.990 |
| 21-61 | 8.357 | 7.787 | 7.281 | 6.830 |
| 22-62 | 8.119 | 7.580 | 7:100 | 6.670 |
| 23-63 | 7874 | 7.365 | 6.910 | 6.503 |
| 24-64 | 7.626 | 7.147 | 6.717 | 6.331 |
| 25-65 | 7.370 | 6.920 | 6.515 | 6.151 |
| 26-66 | 7.110 | 6.689 | 0.309 | 5.960 |
| 27-67 | 6.847 | 6.454 | 6.098 | 5.776 |

TABLE XXVIII. continued.

| Ages. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { per Cont. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Value at 4 per Cent. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value at } \\ & 5 \text { per Cent. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vabue at } \\ & 6 \text { per Cent. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28-68 | 6.581 | 6.215 | 5.883 | 5.581 |
| 29-69 | 0.313 | 5.973 | 5.664 | 5.383 |
| 30-70 | 6.043 | 5.729 | 5.442 | 5.180 |
| 31-71 | 5.772 | 5.483 | 5.218 | 4.974 |
| 32-72 | 5.502 | 5.236 | 4.992 | 4.767 |
| 33-73 | 5.235 | 4.991 | 4.766 | 4.559 |
| \|34-74' | 4.973 | 4.749 | 4.543 | 4.353 |
| 35-75 | 4.720 | 4.516 | 4.227 | 4.152 |
| 36-76 | 4.481 | 4.295 | 4.123 | 3.962 |
| 37-77 | 4.242 | 4.073 | 3.916 | 3.770 |
| 38-78 | 3.996 | 3.844 | 3.702 | 3.570 |
| 39-79 | 3.734 | 3.598 | 3.471 | 3,352 |
| 40-80 | 3.469 | 3.349 | 3.236 | 3,130 |
| 41-81 | 3.216 | 3.109 | 3.009 | 2.914 |
| 42-82 | 2.973 | 2.878 | 2.789 | 2.705 |
| 43-83 | 2.750 | 2.666 | 2.587 | 2.5.11 |
| 44-84 | 2.581 | 2.505 | 2.433 | 2.365 |
| 45-85 | 2.424 | 2.350 | 2.291 | 2.230 |
| 46-86 | 2.282 | 2.221 | 2.162 | 2.107 |
| 47-87 | 2.148 | 2.093 | 2.041 | 1.991 |
| 48-88 | 2.036 | 1.987 | 1.941 | 1.895 |
| 49-89 | 1.882 | 1.840 | 1.800 | 1.761 |
| 50-90 | 1.685 | 1.651. | 1.619 | 1.590 |
| 5 1-91 | 1.417 | 1.391 | 1.367 | 1.343 |
| 52-92 | 1.130 | 1.113 | 1.095 | 1.079 |
| 53-93 | 0.801 | 0.790 | 0.780 | 0.770 |
| 54-94 | 0.515 | 0.509 | 0.503 | 0.498 |
| 55-95 | 0.234 | 0.232 | 0.230 | 0.228 |
| 56-96 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## Tables.

## TABLE XXIX.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northampton Table of Observations.

Difference of Rge forty-fve Years.

| Ages. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value at at } \\ & 3 \text { per Ceat. } \end{aligned}$ | Value at <br> 4 per Cent. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ 5 \text { par Cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Value at 6 per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-46 | 8.888 | 8.071 | 7.379 | 6.787 |
| 2-47 | 10.147 | 9.221 | 8.435 | 7.760 |
| 3-48 | 10.515 | 9.566 | 8.759 | 8.063 |
| 4-49 | 10.697 | 9.744 | 8.932 | 8.230 |
| 5-50 | 10.679 | 9.742 | 8.941 | 8.248 |
| 6-51 | 10.664 | 9.545 | 8.956 | 8.271 |
| 7-52 | 10.586 | 9.690 | 8.919 | 8.248 |
| 8-53 | 10.458 | 9.591 | 8.841 | 8.188 |
| 9-54 | 10.276 | 9.442 | 8.718 | 8.085 |
| 10-55 | 10.055 | 9.256 | 8.560 | $\underline{9.951}$ |
| 11-56 | 9.814 | 9.052 | 8.386 | 7.801 |
| '12-57 | 9.566 | 8.839 | 8.203 | 7.643 |
| 13-58 | 9.312 | 8.622 | 8.015 | 7.479 |
| 14-59 | 9.053 | 8399 | 7.821 | 7.310 |
| 15-60 | 8.790 | 8.170 | 7.622 | 7.135 |
| 16-61 | 8.521 | 7.935 | 7.416 | 6.953 |
| 17-62 | 8.252 | 7.700 | 7.208 | 6.770 |
| 18-63 | 7.981 | 7.462 | 6.998 | 6.583 |
| 19-64 | 7.714 | 7.226 | 6.789 | 6.396 |
| 20.65 | 7.444 | 6.986 | 6.576 | 6.205 |
| 21-66 | 7.177 | 6.749 | 6.364 | 6.015 |
| 22-67 | 6.911 | 6.512 | 6.151 | 5.824 |
| 23-68 | 6.643 | 6.271 | 5.934 | 5.628 |
| 24-69 | 6.372 | 6.027 | 5.713 | 5.427 |
| 25-70 | 6.099 | 5.780 | 5.489 | 5.223 |

TABLE XXIX. continued.

| Ages. | Value at 3 per Cent | Value at 4 per Cent | Value at 5 per Cent | Value at 6 per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26-71 | 6.826 | 5.532 | 5.263 | 5.016 |
| 27-72 | 5.554 | 5.283 | 5.035 | 4.807 |
| 28-73 | 5.284 | 5.036 | 4.808 | 4.597 |
| 29-74 | 5.019 | 4.792 | 4.583 | 4.390 |
| 30-75 | 4.764 | 4.557 | 4.365 | 4.188 |
| 31-76 | 4.523 | 4.335 | 4.160 | 8.997 |
| 32-7\% | 4.282 | 4.111 | 3.952 | 3.804 |
| 33-78 | 4.035 | 3.881 | 3.737 | 3.602 |
| 34-79 | 3.751 | 3.633 | 3.505 | 3.384 |
| 35-80 | 3.506 | 3.383 | 3.268 | 3.160 |
| 36-81 | 3.251 | 3.142 | 3.040 | 2.944 |
| 37.82 | 3.005 | 2.909 | 2.818 | 2.733 |
| 38-83 | 2.779 | 2.694 | 2.613 | 2.537 |
| 39-84 | 2.607 | 2.530 | 2.457 | 2.388 |
| 40-85 | 2.448 | 2.379 | 2.313 | 2.251 |
| $41-86$ | 2.304 | 2.241 | 2.182 | 2.126 |
| 42-87 | 2.168 | 2.113 | 2.060 | 2.009 |
| 43-88 | 2.055 | 2.006 | 1.959 | 1.914 |
| 44-89 | 1.901 | 1.859 | 1.818 | 1.779 |
| 45-90 | 1.702 | 1.668 | 1.635 | 1.604 |
| 46-91 | 1.431 | 1.405 | 1.380 | 1.356 |
| 47-92 | 1.140 | 1.12 | 1.105 | 1.089 |
| 48-93 | 0.808 | 0.797 | 0.786 | 0.776 |
| 49-94 | 0.519 | 0.512 | 0.507 | 0.501 |
| 50-95 | 0.235 | 0.233 | 0.231 | 0.229 |
| 51-96 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## TABLE XXX.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northampton Table of Observations.

Difference of Age ffty Years:

| Ages. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { per Cent. } \end{array}$ | Value at 4 per Cent. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Value at } \\ 5 \text { per Cent. } \end{array}$ | $6 \text { Value at }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-51 | 8.171 | 7.479 | 6.885 | 6.370 |
| 2-52 | 9.300 | 8.520 | 7.848 | 7.264 |
| 3-53 | 9.611 | 8.815 | 8.128 | 7.529 |
| 4-54 | 9.751 | 8.957 | 8.269 | 7.668 |
| 5-55 | 9.707 | 8.931 | 8.256 | 7.665 |
| 6-56 | 9.659 | 8.902 | 8.241 | 7.662 |
| 7-57 | 9.549 | 8.817 | 8,176 | 7.612 |
| 8-58 | 9.395 | 8.691 | 8.073 | 7.527 |
| 9-59 | 9.191 | 8.519 | 7.927 | 7.403 |
| 10-60 | 8.952 | 8.314 | 7.750 | 7.250 |
| 11-61 | 8.696 | 8.092 | 7.557 | 7.081 |
| 12-62 | 8.433 | 7.863 | 7.357 | 6.905 |
| $13-63$ | 8.161 | 7.625 | 7.147 | 6.719 |
| 14-64 | 7.884 | 7.381 | 6.931 | 6527 |
| 15-65 | 7.597 | 7.127 | 6.705 | 6.325 |
| 16-66 | 7.304 | 6.866 | 6.472 | 6.115 |
| 17-67 | 7.012 | 6.604 | 6.236 | 5.903 |
| $18-68$ | 6.721 | 6.343 | 6.001 | 5.689 |
| 19-69 | 6.434 | 6.084 | 5.766 | 5.476 |
| 20-70 | 6.149 | 5.826 | 5.532 | 5.262 |
| 21-71 | 5.870 | 5.572 | 5.300 | 5.050 |
| 22-72 | 5.595 | 5.321 | 5.070 | 4.840 |
| 23-73 | 5.323 | 5:072 | 4.841 | 4.628 |

TABLE XXX. continued.

| Ages. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value .at } \\ & 3 \text { per Cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Value at 4 per Cent. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Valne at } \\ 5 \text { per Cent. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ 6 \text { per Cent: } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24-74 | 5.056 | 4.827 | 4.615 | 4.419 |
| 25-75 | 4.799 | 4.589 | 4.396 | 4.216 |
| 26-76 | 4.556 | 4.365 | 4.188 | 4.024 |
| 27-77 | 4.313 | 4.140 | 3.979 | 3.829 |
| 28-78 | 4.064 | 3.908 | 3.762 | 3.626 |
| 29-79 | 3.798 | 3.659 | 3.528 | 3.406 |
| 30-80 | 3.530 | 3.406 | 3.290 | 3.181 |
| 31-81 | 3.274 | 3.164 | 3.060 | 2.963 |
| 32-82 | 3.027 | 2.929 | 2.838 | 2.751 |
| 33-83. | 2.800 | 2.713 | 2.632 | 2.555 |
| 34-84 | 2.627 | 2.549 | 2.476 | 2.400 |
| 35-85 | 2.468 | 2.398 | 2.331 | 2.268 |
| 36-86 | 2.323 | 2.260 | 2.200 | 2.143 |
| 37-87 | 2.187 | 2.130 | 2.077 | 2.026 |
| 38-88 | 2.072 | 2.022 | 1.974 | 1.929 |
| 39-89 | 1.915 | 1.872 | 1.832 | 1.792 |
| 40-90 | 1.713 | 1.679 | 1.046 | 1.014 |
| 11-91 | 1.439 | 1.413 | 1.388 | 1.364 |
| 42-92 | 1.146 | 1.128 | 1.111 | 1.094 |
| 43-93 | 0.811 | 0.800 | 0.790 | 0.779 |
| 44-94 | 0.521 | 0.515 | 0.509 | 0.503 |
| 45-95 | 0.236 | 0.234 | 0.232 | 0.230 |
| 46-96 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## TABLE XXXI.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northampion Table of Observations.

Difference of Age ffly-five Years.

| Ages. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Value at 4 periCent. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value at } \\ & \text { j per Cent. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value at } \\ & 6 \text { per Cent. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-50 | 7.412 | 6.843 | 6.340 | 5.911 |
| 2-57 | 8.392 | 7.756 | 7.199 | 6.709 |
| 3-58 | 8.630 | 7.986 | 7.421 | 6.922 |
| 4-59 | 8.712 | 8.075 | 7.514 | 7.017 |
| 5-60 | 8.629 | 8.011 | 7.466 | 6.982 |
| 6-01 | 8.542 | 7.944 | 7.415 | 0.945 |
| 7.62 | 8.400 | 7.828 | 7.319 | 6.805 |
| 8-63 | 8.214 | 7.669 | 7.184 | 6.750 |
| $9 \cdot 64$ | 7.984 | 7.470 | 7.010 | 0.598 |
| 10-65 | 7.718 | 7.236 | 6.803 | 6.414 |
| 11-68 | 7.437 | 6.987 | 6.581 | 6.215 |
| 12-67 | 7.149 | 6.730 | 6.351 | 6.009 |
| 13-68 | 6.857 | 6.468 | 6.116 | 5.706 |
| 14-69' | 6.562 | 6.202 | 5.876 | 5.578 |
| 15-20' | 6.264 | 5.933 | 5.831 | 5.355 |
| 16-71 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 5.964 | 5.660 | 5.382 | 5.127 |
| 17-72. | 5.667 | 5.389 | 5.133 | 4.899 |
| 18-73' | 5.378 | 5.123 | 4.889 | 4.673 |
| 19-74' | 5.098 | 4.866 | 4651 | 4.453 |
| 20-75 | 4.831 | 4.619 | 4.424 | 4.242 |
| 21-76 | 4.583 | 4.391 | 4.212 | 4.046 |
| 22-77 | 4.339 | 4.164 | 4.001 | 3.850 |
| 23-78 | 4.087 | 3.930 | 3.783 | 3.646 |

TABLE XXXI. continued.

| ges. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Value bit } \\ & 3 \text { per Cent. } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Value 4 .per C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.820 | 3.679 | 3.548 | 2 |
| 25-80 | 3.550 | 3.425 | 3.308 | 198 |
| 20-81 | 3.292 | 3.181 | 3.077 | 2.979 |
| 27-82 | 3.043 | 2.945 | 2.853 | 2.765 |
| 28-83 | 2.815 | 2.728 | 2.646 | 2.568 |
| 29-84 | 2.641 | 2.563 | 2.489 | 2.418 |
| 30-85 | 2.481 | 2.411 | 2.34 | 2.280 |
| 31-86 | 2.336 | 2.272 | 2.21 | 2.15 |
| 32-87 | 2.198 | 2.142 | 2.088 | 2.036 |
| 33-88 | 2.083 | 2.033 | 1.985 | 1.939 |
| 34-89 | 1.925 | 1.882 | 1.841 | 1.802 |
| 35-90 | 1.723 | 1.688 | 1.654 | 1.622 |
| 36-91 | 1.446 | 1.420 | 1.395 | 1.371 |
| 37-92 | 1.152 | 1.13 | 1.116 | 1.099 |
| 38-93 | 0.815 | 0.804 | 0.793 | 0.783 |
| 39-94' | 0.523 | 0.517 | 0.511 | 0.505 |
| 40-95 | 0.237 | 0.235 | 0.233 | 0.231 |
| 41-96 | 0.00 | . 000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

## TABLE XXXII.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint Continuance of Two Lives, according to the Norihampton Table of Observations.

Difference of Age sixty Years.'

| Ages. | I Value at <br> 3 per Cent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ \text { + per Cent. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Value at } \\ 5 \text { per Cent. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ 6 \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-61 | 6.571 | 6.123 | 5.725 | 5.372 |
| 2-62 | 7.391 | 6.894 | 6.452 | 6.059 |
| 3-63 | 7.545 | 7.048 | 6.605 | 0.209 |
| 4-64 | 7.562 | 7.076 | 6.641 | 6.251 |
| 5-65 | 7.429 | 6.963 | 6.546 | 6.171 |
| 6-66 | 7.290 | 6.846 | 0.447 | 6.087 |
| 7-67 | 7.104 | 6.684 | 0.306 | 5.963 |
| 8-68 | 6.884 | 0.490 | 6.134 | 5.811 |
| 9-69 | 0.628 | 0.262 | 5.929 | 5.626 |
| 10-70 | 6.347 - | 0.008 | 5.700 | 5.418 |
| 11-71 | 0.056 | 5.744 | 5.460 | 5.199 |
| 12-\%2 | 5.763 | 5.478 | 5.216 | 4.976 |
| $13-73$ | 5.473 | 5.212 | 4.972 | 4.751 |
| 14-74 | 5.188 | 4.950 | 4.731 | 4.528 |
| 15-75 | 4.911 | 4.095 | 4.495 | 4.310 |
| 16-76 | 4.049 | 4.452 | 4.270 | 4.101 |
| 17-77 | 4.388 | 4.210 | 4.045 | 3.892 |
| 18-78 | 4.123 | 3.964 | 3.815 | 3.677 |
| 19-79 | 3.840 | 3.704 | 3.571 | 3.447 |
| 20-80 | 3.569 | 3.443 | 3.325 | 3.214 |
| 21-81 | 3.307 | 3.195 | 3.091 | 2.992 |
| 22-82 | 3.057 | 2.958 | 2.865 | 2.777 |

TABLE XXXII. continued.

| Ages. | Value at <br> 3 per Cent. | Value at <br> per Cent | Value at <br> per Cent | Value at <br> per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $23-83$ | 2.828 | 2.740 | 2.657 | 2.579 |
| $24-84$ | 2.653 | 2.574 | 2.499 | 2.429 |
| $25-85$ | 2.492 | 2.421 | 2.354 | 2.290 |
| $26-86$ | 2.346 | 2.282 | 2.221 | 2.163 |
| $27-87$ | 2.208 | 2.151 | 2.096 | 2.044 |
| $28-88$ | 2.091 | 2.041 | 1.992 | 1.946 |
| $29-89$ | 1.933 | 1.889 | 1.848 | 1.808 |
| $30-90$ | 1.729 | 1.694 | 1.660 | 1.628 |
| $31-91$ | 1.451 | 1.425 | 1.400 | 1.376 |
| $32-92$ | 1.155 | 1.137 | 1.119 | 1.102 |
| $33-93$ | 0.817 | 0.806 | 0.795 | 0.785 |
| $34-94$ | 0.524 | 0.518 | 0.512 | 0.506 |
| $35-95$ | 0.238 | 0.235 | 0.233 | 0.231 |
| $36-96$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

VOL. II.

## TABLE XXXIII.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joint continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northampton Table of Observations. See Table XVII.

Difference of Age sixty-five Years.

| Ages. | Value ${ }^{\text {at }}$ 3 per Cent. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Value at } \\ 4 \text { per Cent. } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Value at } \\ j \text { per Cent. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Value at 6 per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-60 | 5.633 | 5.295 | 4.996 | 4.729 |
| 2.67 | 6.206 | 5.896 | 5.569 | 5.276 |
| 3-68 | 6.330 | 5.905 | 3.641 | 5.352 |
| 4.69 | 6.277 | 5.924 | 5.611 | 5.332 |
| 5-70 | 6.102 | 5.768 | 5.472 | 5.209 |
| 6-71 | 5.925 | 5.6.10 | 5.33.1 | 5.084 |
| 7-72 | 5.714 | 5.418 | 5.157 | 4.929 |
| 8-73 | 5.480 | 5.204 | 4.963 | 4.752 |
| 9-74 | 5.225 | 4.969 | 4.747 | 4.556 |
| 10-75 | 4.90\% | 4.725 | 4.522 | 4.350 |
| 11-76 | 4.707 | 4.487 | 4.301 | 4.148 |
| 12-77 | 4.449 | 4.368 | 4.195 | 3.943 |
| 13-78 | 4.185 | 4.022 | 3.871 | 3.729 |
| 14-79 | 3.904 | 3.\%59 | 3.624 | 3.497 |
| 15-80 | 3.621 | 3.492 | 3.3:2 | 3.259 |
| 16-81 | 3.348 | 3.235 | 3.128 | 3.028 |
| 17-82 | 3.087 | 2.987 | 2.893 | 2.804 |
| 18-83 | 2.849 | 2.760 | 2.0077 | 2.598 |
| 19-84 | 2.608 | 2.589 | 2.513 | 2.142 |
| 20.85 | 2.503 | 2.431 | 2.304 | 2.299 |
| 21.86 | 2.354 | 2.290 | 2.229 | 2.171 |
| 22-87 | 2.216 | 2.158 | 2.104 | 2.151 |
| 23-88 | 2.099 | 2.048 | 1999 | 1.953 |
| 24-89 | 1.939 | 1.895 | 1.854 | 1.814 |
| 25-90 | 1.734 | i. 699 | 1.605 | 1.033 |
| 26-91 | 1.455 | 1.429 | 1.404 | 1.379 |
| 27-92 | 1.158 | 1.140 | 1.122 | 1.105 |
| 28-93 | 0.819 | 0.808 | 0.797 | 0.786 |
| 29-94 | 0.525 | 0.519 | 0.513 | 0.507 |
| 30-95 | 0.238 | 0.236 | 0.234 | 0.231 |
| 31-06 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## TABLE XXXIV.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity on the joinf Continuance of Two Lives, according to the Northampton Table of Observations. See Table XVII.

Difference of Age seventy Years.

| Ages. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Value at } \\ 3 \text { per Cent. } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Value at } \\ 4 \text { per Cent. } \end{array}$ | Value at 5 per Cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value at } \\ & 6 \text { per Cent. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-71 | 4.611 | 4.380 | 4.169 | 3.976 |
| 2-72 | 5.061 | 4.814 | 4.588 | 4.380 |
| 3-73 | 5.051 | 4.811 | 4.591 | 4.389 |
| 4-74 | 4.953 | 4.726 | 4.516 | 4.323 |
| 5-75 | 4.768 | 4.557 | 4.362 | 4.181 |
| 6-76 | 4.599 | 4.403 | 4.221 | 4.053 |
| 7-77 | 4.402 | 4.222 | 4.055 | 3.899 |
| 8-78 | 4.180 | 4.016 | 3.864 | 3.722 |
| 9-79 | 3.921 | 3.775 | 3.638 | 3.510 |
| 10-80 | 3.647 | 8.517 | 3.395 | 3.281 |
| 11-81 | 3.380 | 3.264 | 3.156 | 3.054 |
| 12-82 | 3.122 | 3.020 | 2.924 | 2.833 |
| 13-83 | 2.884 | 2.794 | 2.709 | 2.628 |
| 14.84 | 2.703 | 2.622 | 2.545 | 2.472 |
| 15-85 | 2.535 | 2.462 | 2.393 | 2.327 |
| 16-86 | 2.380 | 2.315 | 2.253 | 2.194 |
| 17-87 | 2.235 | 2.177 | 2.121 | 2.069 |
| 18-88 | 2.112 | 2.061 | 2.012 | 1965 |
| 19-89 | 1.948 | 1.904 | 1.862 | 1.822 |
| 20-90 | 1.739 | 1.704 | 1.670 | 1.638 |
| 21-91 | 1.459 | 1.432 | 1.407 | 1.382 |
| 22-92 | 1.160 | 1.142 | 1.124 | 1.107 |
| 23-93 | 0.820 | 0.809 | 0.798 | 0.788 |
| 24-94 | 0.526 | 0.520 | 0.514 | 0.508 |
| 25-95 | 0.238 | 0.236 | 0.234 | 0.232 |
| 26-96 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

AA 2

> Directions for using the preceding Tables of the Values of Two joint Lives.

IF the two lives have the same common age, or their difference of age is five years, or any multiple of five years, the value of their joint continuance is expressed in the Tables, and may be found by inspection.

If their difference of age is any number of years between 1 and 5,5 and 10,10 and 15 , \&c. the required value may be easily found by the following rule.
" Find, in the preceding Tables, the va" lue of two joint lives, whose difference of "age is that multiple of 5 which is greater "than, but at the same time nearest to, the "difference of age between the proposed " lives; and the oldest of which is of the " same age with the oldest of the proposed " lives.——Find also, in the preceding Ta" bles, the value of two joint lives whose " difference of age is five years less than the " multiple of 5 just mentioned; and the " oldest of which is, in like manner, of the "same age with the oldest of the pro" posed lives; and the 1st, 2d, 3d, or 4th " arithmetical mean between the least and " the greatest of these two values will be "the value sought, according as one of the "proposed lives is one year, 2 years ${ }_{2}$ " 3 years, or 4 years younger than the " other."

Example.

Example.
Let the value be required of two joint lives aged 15 and 18, reckoning interest at 3 per cent.
That multiple of 5 which is greater than the difference between these ages, but comes nearest to it, is 5 .-The value of two joint lives, whose difference of age is 5 years, and the oldest of which is of the same age with the oldest of the two proposed lives; that is, the value of two joint lives aged 18 and 13, is by Table 21st, 15.086: The value of two joint lives whose difference of age is 5 years less, and one of which is also 18: that is, the value of two joint lives aged 18 and 18, is, by Table 20th, 14.516, -These, then, being the values of two joint lives aged 18 and 13 , and of two joint lives aged 18 and 18, it is obvious that the value of two joint lives, aged 18 and 15; must be the third of four arithmetical means between 14.516 and 15.086 .
N.B. The 1st, 2d, 3d, or 4th arithmetical mean between the least and greatest of any two values, is the least increased by 1 , 2,3 , or 4 -fifths of the difference between them.

In the present instance, the difference between the two values is .570 ; its fifth part
is .114 ; and 14.516 increased by thrice this fifth part, makes $14: 858$, the required value of two joint lives aged 18 and 15 .

## Example II.

Let the value be required of two joint lives aged 31 and 45 , reckoning interest at 3 per cent.

That multiple of 5 which is the next greater number to 14 (the difference of age between 45 and 31 ), is 15 . The value of two joint lives, whose difference of age is this number, and the oldest of which is of the same age with the oldest of the proposed lives; that is, the value of two joint lives aged 45 and 30 , is, by Table 23d, 10.923 .

The value of two joint lives, whose difference of age is 5 years less than 15, and the oldest of which is, in like manner of the same age with the oldest of the proposed lives; that is, the value of two joint lives aged 45 and 35 , is, by Table 22d, 10.022 .

These then being the values of two joint lives aged 45 and 30 , and of two joint lives aged 45 and 35 , it follows that the value of two joint lives aged 45 and 31 , must be the 4th of 4 arithmetical means between the least and the greatest of these two values, That is; it is 10.622 (the least) ipcreased by four-fifths of .301 (the difference),
ence), or by 240 , which makes 10.862 the required value of two joint lives aged 45 and 31.

In the same manner may the values not specified in the Tables be found universally for any of the four rates of interest. And that they are sufficiently correct, will appear from the following comparison.

Values of two joint Lives by the Rule just explained, reckoning interest at 3 per cent. compared with the correct Values.

Ages. Value by Rule. Correct Vatue.

| 18 and 14 | 14.972 | 14.978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 and 15 | 14.858 | 14.864 |
| 18 and 16 | 14.744 | 14.744 |
| 18 and 17 | 14.630 | 14.626 |
| Ages. | Value by Rule. | Correct Valu |
| 45 and 31 | 10.862 | 10.869 |
| 45 and 32 | 10.802 | 10.811 |
| 45 and 33 | 10.742 | 10.751 |
| 45 and 34 | 10.682 | 10.688 |

Ages. Value by Rule. Correct Valua
66 and 27
66 and 28
66 and 29
66 and 30

| 7.092 | 7.095 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 7.076 | 7.080 |
| 7.060 | 7.063 |
| 7.044 | 7.046 |

In the higher rates of interest the agreement is greater.

I have been enabled to make this comparison by the Tables in the Office for Equitable

Equitable Assurances, where, in order to lay the foundation of accuracy in conducting the business of the office, it has been thought necessary to compute minutely to four places of decimals the values by the Northampton Observations, at 3 per cent. of two joint lives for every possible difference of age.

The values of any two joint lives being given, the values of the longest of any two single lives are obtained by the following rule.
"From the sum of the values of the " single lives subtract the value of their " joint continuance. The rereainder will " be the value of the longest of the two " lives."

In the former editions of this work, I gave a table of these values; but it is so casy to compute them by this rule, that it is by no means worth while to swell this volume with any such table.

Example. Let it be required to find the value of the longest of two lives aged 10 and 15, interest being at 4 per cent.

The value of a life aged 10, is, by Table 19th, 17523 . The ralue of a life aged 15, is 16.791 . The sum of these two values is 34.314 . The value of the joint continuance of these two lives is (by Table 21st) 13.992, which subtracted from 34.314 , leaves 20.322, the value sought:

## TABLE XXXV.

Shewing the Values of three equal joint Lives, according to the Northampton Table of Observations, reckoning Interest at 4 per cent.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Common } \\ \text { Ase. } \end{gathered}$ | Value at 4 per Cent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conmon } \\ \text { Age. } \end{gathered}$ | Value at $\rightarrow$ perCt. | Common Age. | Value at 4 per Ct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.309 | 25 | 9.796 | 49 | 6.482 |
| 2 | 8.251 | 26 | 9.685 | 50 | 6.317 |
| 3 | 9.632 | 27 | 9.572 | 51 | 6.161 |
| 4 | 10.661 | 28 | 9.457 | 52 | 6.011 |
| 5 | 11.170 | 29 | 9.340 | 53 | 5.859 |
| 6 | 11.707 | 30 | 0.221 | 54 | 5.705 |
| 7 | 12.058 | 31 | 9.099 | 55 | 5.550 |
| 8 | 12.266 | 32 | 8.975 | 56 | 5.393 |
| 9 | 12.298 | 33 | 8.848 | 57 | 5.235 |
| 10 | 12.200 | 34 | 8.718 | 58 | 5.076 |
| 11 | 12.043 | 35 | 8.585 | 59 | 4.916 |
| 12 | 11.865 | 36 | 8.448 | 60 | 4.755 |
| 13 | 11.678 | 37 | 8.309 | 61 | 4.593 |
| 14 | 11.481 | 38 | 8.165 | 62 | 4.432 |
| 15 | 11.274 | 39 | 8.017 | 63 | 4.263 |
| 16 | 11.050 | 49 | 7.865 | 64 | 4.093 |
| 17 | 10.845 | 41 | 7.714 | 65 | 3.914 |
| 18 | 10.656 | 42 | 7.567 | 66 | 3.733 |
| 19 | 10.490 | 43 | 7.423 | 67 | 3.550 |
| 20 | 10.342 | 44 | 7.276 | 68 | 3.366 |
| 21 | 10.222 | 45 | 7.126 | 69 | 3.181 |
| 22 | 10.118 | 46 | 6.972 | 70 | 2.995 |
| 23 | 10.012 | 47 | 6.813 | 71 | 2.810 |
| 24 | 9.905 | 48 | 6.650 | 72 | 2.627 |

Tables.
TABLE XXXV. continued.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Common } \\ & \text { Age. } \end{aligned}$ | Value at 4 per Ct. | Comman Age. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Value at } \\ 4 \text { per } \mathrm{Ct} . \end{array}$ | Common Age. | Value at t per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73 | 2.448 | 81 | 1.245 | 89 | 0.614 |
| 74 | 2.277 | 82 | 1.092 | 90 | 0.563 |
| 75 | 2.119 | 83 | 0.949 | 91 | 0.452 |
| 76 | 1.985 | 84 | 0.860 | 92 | 0.337 |
| 77 | 1.855 | 8.5 | 0.782 | 93 | 0.185 |
| 78 | 1.720 | 86 | 0.716 | 94 | 0.085 |
| 79 | 1.563 | 87 | 0.662 | 95 | 0.015 |
| 80 | 1.400 | 88 | 0.646 |  |  |

## TABLE XXXVI.

Shewing the Values of Threr joint Lives, whose Differences of Age are 10 and 20 Years, according to the Northampton Table of Observations, reckoning Interest at 4 per cent.

Differences of Age 10 and 20 Years.

| Ages. |  |  | Value at 4 per Cent | Ages. |  |  | Value at per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11 | 21 | 8.627 | 23 | 33 | 43 | 8.586 |
| 2 | 12 | 22 | 9.914 | 24 | 34 | 44 | 8.451 |
| 3 | 13 | 23 | 10.344 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 8.313 |
| 4 | 14 | 24 | 10.598 | 26 | 36 | 46 | 8.171 |
| 5 | 15 | 25 | 10.655 | 27 | 37 | 47 | 8.027 |
| 6 | 16 | 26 | 10.708 | 28 | 38 | 48 | 7.878 |
| 7 | 17 | 27 | 10.700 | 29 | 39 | 49 | 7.725 |
| 8 | 18 | 28 | 10.654 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 7.571 |
| 9 | 19 | 29 | 10.562 | 31 | 41 | 51 | 7.420 |
| 10 | 20 | 30 | 10.438 | 32 | 42 | 52 | 7.272 |
| 11 | 21 | 31 | 10.305 | 33 | 43 | 53 | 7.123 |
| 12 | 22 | 32 | 10.170. | 34 | 44 | 54 | 6.971 |
| 13 | 23 | 33 | 10.031 | 35 | 45 | 55 | 6.816 |
| 14 | 24 | 34 | 9.887 | 36 | 46 | 56 | 6.658 |
| 15 | 25 | 35 | 9.738 | 37 | 47 | 57 | 6.497 |
| 16 | 26 | 36 | 9.584 | 38 | 48 | 58 | 6.332 |
| 17 | 27 | 37 | 9.429 | 39 | 49 | 59 | 6.164 |
| 18 | 28 | 38 | 9.278 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 5.994 |
| 19 | 29 | 39 | 9.131 | 41 | 51 | 61 | 5.827 |
| 20 | 30 | 40 | 8.986 | 42 | 52 | 62 | 5.662 |
| 21 | 31 | 41 | 8.850 | 43 | 53 | 63 | 5.494 |
| 22 | 32 | 42 | 8.718 | 44 | 54 | 64 | 5.322 |

Tables.

TABLE XXXVI. continued.

| Ages. |  |  |  | Ages. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | \|55 | 165 | 5.145 | 61 7 |  | 2.224 |
| 46 | 56 | 66 | 4.965 | 6272 | 82 | 2.044 |
| 47 | 57 | 67 | 4.782 | 63 73 | 83 | 1.875 |
| 48 | 58 | 68 | 4.597 | 64.74 | 84 | 1.743 |
| 49 | 59 | 69 | 4.408 | 65.75 | 85 | 1.623 |
| 50 | 60 | 70 | 4.21 | 6676 | 86 | . 519 |
| 51 | 61 | 71 | 4.03 | 67 77 | 8 | 425 |
| 52 | 62 | 72 | 3.847 | 6878 | 88 | . 350 |
| 53 | 63 | 73 | 3.66 | $69 \quad 79$ | 89 | . 248 |
| 54 | 64 | 74 | 3.47 | 70 80 | 90 | 1.122 |
| 55 | 65 | 75 | 3.298 | 7181 | 91 | 0.951 |
| 56 | 66 | -6 | 3.128 | 72 82 | $9^{2}$ | 0.767 |
| 57 | 67 | 77 | 2.959 | 73 <br> 1 | 93 | 0.548 |
| 58 | 68 | 78 | 2.;85 | $74,8.1$ | 94 | 0.3 |
| 59 | 69 | 79 | 2.598 | $\begin{array}{llll}75 & 85\end{array}$ | 95 | 0.169 |
| 60 | 70 | 80 | 2.408 |  |  |  |

## [ 365 ]

Remarks on the two preceding Tables.
THESE Tables contain the exact values of three joint lives having either the same common age, or whose differences of age are 10 and 20 years, according to the Northampton Table of Observations, or Table XVII. interest being at 4 per cent.

In order to find the values nearly of three joint lives, having other differences of age, the following rules should be observed.

If the age of the youngest of the three lives is between 10 and 50 , and the difference of age between the youngest and oldest not more than eight years, take the third of the sum of the three ages for a common age; and the value in the last Table but one, corresponding to that common age, will be the value sought.

## Example.

Let the value be required of three joint. Mves whose ages are 15, 16, and 23.

The sum of the ages is 54 , the third part of which is 18, and the value (in Table 35 th) corresponding to this age, is 10.650 , the value required.

Within the limits I have mentioned this rule is tolerably correct. But these limits are so narrow as to render it of little use; and,

## $s 66$ Remarks on the two preceding Tables.

and, therefore, till some person will undertake to finish what has been begun in the two preceding Tables, it will be necessary to make use of the following general and very easy rule given by Mr. Simpson, for finding the values of any three from the values given of any two joint lives.

* Let A be the youngest, and C the oldest " of the three proposed lives. Take the "value of the two joint lives $B$ and $C$, and " find the age of a single life D of the same " value. Then find the value of the joint "lives A and D, which will be the " answer."

Example. Let the three given ages be 20,30 , and 40 ; and let the rate of interest. be 4 per cent. The value of the two oldest joint lives B and C will (by Table XXII) be 10.490, answering in Table XIX to a single life $D$ of 54 years, wanting $\frac{\sigma^{6}{ }^{2} \sigma^{2}}{}$ of a year. And the value of the joint lives A and D , which (by the rule in p. 350, and by Tables XXVI snd XXVII) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ is 9.085 , will be the value süught.

[^86]The

Remarks on the two preseding Tables. 387
The following comparison will shew how near this rule comes to correctness.

Values of three joint Lives.

| $\mathrm{Ag}_{\mathrm{g} \text { e. }}$ |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { anlene by } \\ \text { Rulur } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Agen. |  | alue by Rule. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-20-30 | 10.438 | 10.563 | 10-10-1 | 12. | 12.244 |
| 15-25-35 | 9.738 | 9.840 | 15-15-15 | 11.274 | 11.376 |
| 20-30-40 | 8.986 | 9.085 | 20-20-20 | 10.342 | 10.504 |
| 25-35-45 | 8.313 | 8.395 | 25-25-25 | 9.796 | 9937 |
| 30-40-50 | 7.571 | 7.651 | 30-30-3 | 9.221 | 9.351 |
| 35-45-55 | 6.816 | 6.886 | 35-35-35 | 8.585 | 8.701 |
| 40-50-60 | 5.994 | 6.046 | 40-40-4 | 7.865 | 7.984 |
| 45-55-65 | 5.145 | 5.169 | 45-45-45 | 7.126 | 7.249 |
| 50-60-70 | 4.219 | 4.238 | 50-50-50 | 6.317 | 6.432 |
| 55-65-75. | 3.298 | 3.292 | 55-55-55 | 5.550 | 5.636 |
|  |  |  | 60-60-60 | 4.755 | 4.816 |
|  |  |  | 65-65-65 | 3.914 | 3.942 |
|  |  |  | 70-70-70 | 2.995 | 3.000 |
|  |  |  | 75-75 | 2.119 | 2.110 |

My principal design in calculating the two preceding Tables has been, to enable me to make this comparison; and it may be inferred from it, that Mr. Simpson's rule gives the values of three joint lives generally within a minth or tenth, and sometimes within less. than a 20th of a year's purchase.

It may be also observed, that when the oldest of the three ages does not exceed 75 , and the youngest is not less than 10, the crror falls always on the side of excess, and. consequently, that if . 05 (that is, a 20 th of a. year's purchase) is deducted from the value by the rule, the true value will be obtained, in some cases, almost exactly; and, in most cases, much more nearly.

The.

368 Remarks on the two preceding Tables.
The value of three joint lives being known, the value of the longest of any three lives is to be computed by the following rule.
"From the sum of the values of all " the single lives, subtract the sum of the "values of all the joint lives combined "two and two. Then to the remainder " add the value of the three joint lives; and "this last sum will be the value of the "longest of the thrce lives."--Sce Mr. Simpson's Doctrine of Annuitics, \&c. p. 23, -or Mr. Dodson's Mathematical Repository, Vol. II. p. 244.

Example. The sum of the values of - three single lives whose ages are 10,20 , and 30 , is, by Table XIX. (reckoning imterest at 4 per cent.) 48.338. The value of two joint lives whose ages are 10 and 20 , is 13.355 ; of two joint lives whose ages are10 and 30 , is 12.586 ; of two joint lives whose ages are 20 and 30 , is 11.873 , by Tables XXII and XXIV. And the sum of these three values is $37: 814$. This sum subtracted from 48.388, leaves 10.524 , which remainder added to 10.485 (the value just found of the three joint lives) gives $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 0 9}$ the value of the longest of the three lives.

The value of three lives at the same ages by the Tables that follow shewing the values of single and joint lives among mankind at large according to observations in Sueden, is 21.87 o .

In the First Volume, p. 185, I signified my intention to insert, in this collection, the tables of the office just mentioned for Equitable Assurances. Some of these tables have been already inserted; namely, Table 17 th, and the columns shewing the values at 3 per cent. in all the Tables from the 19th to the 34th Table.-The values of single and joint lives have been calculated in the office for this rate of interest, because it is the interest by which it regulates all its demands. The values, in the preceding Tables, for the other rates of interest, have been calculated with much labour for this work, in rder to set aside all occasion for having recourse to Mr. De Moivre's hypothesis. Sce Vol. I. p. 210, \&c.-The remaining Tables of this office are those that follow.

## TABLE XXXVII.

Shewing the Value of an Ainnuity on a single Life, for 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7 Years, reckoning the Probabilities of living at every Age as they are given in Table XVII. and Interest at 3 per cent.

| Age. | One Year. | Two Year. | Three Yeari. | Five year. | Seven Yeara |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | .962 | 1.887 | 2.778 | 4.459 | 0.015 |
| 15 | .962 | 1.886 | 2.774 | 4.443 | 5.971 |
| 20 | .957 | 1.873 | 2.748 | 4.385 | 5.880 |
| 25 | .956 | 1.868 | 2.740 | 4.367 | 5.849 |
| 30 | .954 | 1.864 | 2.733 | 4.349 | 5.816 |
| 35 | .953 | 1.860 | 2.724 | 4.328 | 5.777 |
| 40 | .951 | 1.853 | 2.710 | .4 .294 | 5.716 |
| 45 | .948 | 1.845 | 2.694 | 4.256 | 5.646 |
| 50 | .943 | 1.832 | 2.669 | 4.195 | 5.538 |
| 55 | .938 | 1.818 | 2.641 | 4.128 | 5.420 |
| 60 | .932 | 1.798 | 2.604 | 4.041 | 5.260 |
| 65 | .923 | 1.773 | 2.554 | 3.919 | 5.045 |

## TABLE XXXVIII.

Shewing the Value of an Assurance of $£ 100$ on a single Life, for 1, 5, or 7 Years, or the whole Duration of Life; reckoning the Probabilities of living as they are in the Northampton Table of Observations, (or Table XVII), and interest at 3 per cent.
N. B. With respect to the values in this Table, and also in those that follow to Table XL. it must be remembered, that the values in annual payments suppose, that the first payment is made at the time of purchasing; and also that a purchaser is allowed his option either to pay the value of the Assurance in the annual payments, or in the single payments specified in the Table; and that whichever of these he chuses, he is excused the other.

|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 5 \text { Year } \\ \text { Single } \\ \text { Premium. } \end{array}\right\| \begin{aligned} & \text { and } \end{aligned}$ | ears. <br> Annaal Premium | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Single } \\ \text { Premium. } \end{array}\right\|$ | ears. Annual Premium | Wholedurat. of life Single Annual Premium. Premiam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.1 .336 | 4.632 | 11.004 | 6.052 | . 973 | 36.2501 .657 |
| 10.890 | 4.069 | . 878 | 5.566 | . 890 | 36.903 1.704 |
| 15.895 | 4.893 | 1.058 | 7.129 | 1.146 | 39.8321 .928 |
| 20.1 .362 | 6.636 | 1.447 | 9.048 | 1.471 | 42.8012 .179 |
| 251.530 | 7.216 | 1.578 | 9.817 | 1.603 | 45.2012 .403 |
| 301.661 | 7.833 | 1.718 | 10.656 | 1.747 | 47.8012 .065 |
| 351.816 | 8.566 | 1.884 | 11.714 | 1.930 | 50.666 2.991 |
| 40,2.030 | 9.748 | 2.155 | 13.290 | 2.206 | 53.8413 .397 |
| 452.332 | 11.025 | 2.451 | 15.166 | 2.540 | 57.2083 .894 |
| 502.753 | 13.111 | 2.943 | 17.848 | 3.031 | 60.8664 .530 |
| 553.252 | 15.341 | 3.478 | 20.870 | 3.600 | 64.6125 .318 |
| 603.906 | 18.254 | 4.196 | 24.733 | 4.355 | 68.6106.366 |
| 65'4.759 | 22.450 | 5.260 | 30.541 | 5.542 | 72.8997 .835 |

From these values of Assurances of $£ 100$ the values of Assurances of any other sum may be easily collected.

This Office makes assurances for any number of months, or years, of any sums not exceeding $\mathscr{E}^{2000^{\circ}}$ on one life; and its tables contain the values for all the intermediate years omitted in this and the two following Tables.

It may be necessary here to add, for the information of those who may not be conversant with decimal arithmetic, that in every value the number on the left hand of the point expresses so many pounds, and that allowing 2 s . for every unit in the first figure on the right hand of the point, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ d. for every unit in the secoud figure, and one farthing for every unit in the third, will give very nearly the shillings and pence to be added to the pounds in each value..-Thus; 1.336 in the preceding Table is $\boldsymbol{f}_{1}$. 6s. 9d.-
 -6.052 is $\mathfrak{E} 6.1 \mathrm{~s} . \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. ; and .973 is $19 \mathrm{~s} 5 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. See the note in Vol. I. p. 14.

There is one remark more neceseary to be here attended to; but which I cannot make without some reluctance. In giving an account of this Society, in Vol, 1. p. 187, I have recommended, for reasons there mentioned, that in transacting the business of the Society, an addition of 3 or 4 per cent. should be made to all the calculated values.

But the Society, having lately thought proper to increase its expences of management, and fearing the effect of too great and sudden a reduction, has carried this addition 26 high as 15 per cent. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ This, when added to the other advantages which the Society enjoys (and particularly that derived from estimating the improvement of the money it receives at 3 per (eent) would, without doubt, be a very exorbitant, were it intended to be a permanent charge. But this is not the case. Even this charge leaves a reduction in the payments of above a quarter; and should the Society find that, notwithstanding this reductioh, it continues still to prosper, as there is every reason to think it will, farther reductions may be expected: And, perhaps, in time it may find itself capable of reducing the payments for Assurances even below those in the preceding Table. Nothing renders this improbable, but the difficulty of keeping out bad lives, and preventing fraudulent assurances; for a comparison of the Northampton Table of decrements with the Tables which will be given presently for Chester, the parish of HolyCross, and for the kingdom of Sweder, will shew, that were the Society to take the premiums in the preceding Table without any addition, it would still be governing itself by probabilities of living mach below those among mankind in general.

[^87]
## TABLE XXXIX.

Shewing the Value of an Assurance of $\mathcal{E} 100$ on two joint Lives, according to the Northampton Table of Observations, reckoning interest at 3 per cent.

| Ages. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Single } \\ \text { Premium. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Annual Premium. | Ages. | Single Premium. | Annual Premium. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 49.498 | 2.855 | 20 | 55.923 | 3.695 |
| 15 | 51.177 | 3.053 | 25 | 57.06 | 3.871 |
| 20 | 52.958 | 3.279 | 30 | 58.390 | 4.087 |
| 25 | 54.319 | 3.463 | 35 | 59.968 | 4.363 |
| 30 | 55.873 | 3.688 | 40 | 61.856 | 4.723 |
| 35 | 57.693 | 3.972 | 45 | 63.979 | 5.173 |
| 40 | 59.832 | 4.339 | 50 | 60.438 | 5.766 |
| 45 | 62.206 | 4.794 | 55 | 69.077 | 6.506 |
| 50 | 64.919 | 5.390 | 60 | 72.049 | 7.508 |
| 55 | 67.801 | 6.133 | 65 | 75.406 | 8.930 |
| 60 | 71.012 | 7.135 | 25 | 58.106 | 4.040 |
| 65 | 74.60 | 8.557 | 30 | 59.322 | 4.248 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 60.786 | 4.515 |
|  | 52 | 3.249 | 40 | 62.559 | 4.867 |
| 20 | 5 | 3.4 | 2545 | 64.571 | 5.308 |
| 25 | . 6 | 3.053 | 50 | 66.923 | 5.893 |
| 30 |  | 3.87 | 55 | 69.461 | 6.625 |
| 35 | 58.783 | 4.154 | 60 | 72.343 | 7.619 |
| 1540 | 60.799 | 4.517 | 65 | 75.621 | 9.035 |
| 45 | 63.047 | 4.969 | 30 | 00.418 | 4.446 |
| -50 | 65.634 68.395 | 5.563 6.303 | 3035 | 61.754 | 4.703 |
| 55 | 68.395 71.485 | 6.303 7.302 | 30.40 | 63.392 | 5.044 |
| 65 | 71.485 74.960 | 8.719 | 45 | 65.271 | 5.474 |

Tables.
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## TABLE XXXIX. continued.

| Ages. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Single } \\ \text { Premium. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Annual Premium. | Ages |  | Single Premium. | Annual Premium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 67.495 | 6.048 |  | 45 | 68.611 | 6.567 |
| 55 | 69.915 | 6.769 |  | 50 | 70.278 | 6.887 |
| 60 | 72.685 | 7.751 | 45 | 55 | 72.164 | 7.551 |
| 65 | 75.866 | 9.156 |  | 60 | 74.424 | 8.476 |
|  |  |  |  | 65 | 77.134 | 9.825 |
| 35 | 62.944 | 4.947 |  | 50 | 71.705 | 7.381 |
| 40 | 64.428 | 5.275 |  | 55 | 73.344 | 8.014 |
| 45 | 66.149 | 5.692 | 50 | 60 | 75.357 | 8.907 |
| 3550 | 68.217 | 6.252 |  | 65 | 77.831 | 10.226 |
| 55 | 70.492 73.125 | 6.958 7.925 |  | 55 | 74.713 | 8.606 |
| 65 | 76.181 | 9.316 | 55 | 60 | 76.443 | 9.451 |
|  |  |  |  | 65 | 78.637 | 10.721 |
| 40 | 65.736 | 5.588 | 60 | 60 | 77.846 | 10.235 |
| 45 | 67.274 | 5.988 | 60 | 65 | 79.699 | 11.434 |
| 50 | 69.154 | 6.530 | 65 | $\overline{65}$ | 81.152 | 12.541 |
| 55 | 71.250 | 7.218 |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 73.713 | 81.68 |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | 76.612 | 9.541 |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE XL．

Shewing the Value of $£ 100$ depending on the Contingency of one Life surviving another，ac－ cording to the Northampton Table of Obeer－ vations，reckoning fnterest at 3 per cent．

| 啇 |  |  |  | 莫京 |  |  |  |  | 产容 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 10 | 24 | 1.427 | 5.723 |  | 35 | 24.176 | 1.759 | 7.570 |
|  | 15 | 24.198 | 1.444 | 6.213 |  | 40 | 22.692 | 1.733 | $8.2+6$ |
|  | 20 | 23，498 | 1.455 | 6.738 |  | ＋5／2 | 21.058 | 1.703 | 9.059 |
|  |  | 22，531 | 1.437 | 7.197 |  | 501 | 19.294 | 1.674 | 10.085 |
|  |  | 21.468 | 1.417 | 7.476 | 20 | 551 | 17.410 | 1.640 | 11.356 |
|  | 35 | 20.317 | 1．399 | 8.422 |  | 601 | 15.381 | 1.603 | 13.029 |
|  | ＋0 | 19．070 | 1.383 | 9． 272 |  | 651 | 13.206 | 1.564 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 15.3+1 \\ 18 \\ 6.9 \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | 65 | 17.696 | 1.964 | 10.314 |  |  | 10.892 | $1.523$ | $18.034$ |
|  |  | ｜ 16.214 | 1．346 | 11.652 13.36 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12.923 | 1.899 | 15.67 I |  |  | 31.0 | 2.042 | 5.729 |
|  | 65 | 11.098 | 1．873 | 18．935 |  |  | 30.25 | 2.052 | 6.178 |
|  | 70 | 9.153 | 1.246 | 23.651 |  | ，25 2 | 29．053 | 2.020 | 6.557 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 330 | 27.683 | 1.982 | 6.998 |
| 15 | 10 | 26 | 1.609 | 5.505 |  | 35 | U6．198 | 1.946 | 7.540 |
|  | 15 | 26.305 | 1.625 | 5.954 | 25 |  | 24.590 | 1.943 | 8.215 |
|  |  | 25．60á | 1．6s5 | 6.43 j |  | ＋5） 2 | 22.819 | 1.87 | 9.027 |
|  |  | 24．549 | 1.612 | 6．840 |  | 514 | $20.90{ }^{-1}$ | 1.844 | 10.05 .5 |
|  | 30 | 23.391 | 1.588 | 7.346 |  |  | 18.860 | 1.799 | 11.329 |
|  | 3.5 | 22.136 | 1.564 | 7.944 |  |  | 16.667 | 1.755 | 13.004 |
|  | ＋0 | 20.778 | 1.544 | 8.698 |  | 651 | 14.310 | 1.710 | 15.313 |
|  | ＋5 | 19.281 | 1.520 | 9．617 |  | 70 | 11.803 | 1．66s | 18.595 |
|  |  | ｜l｜l｜ | 1.497 1.469 | 10.791 <br> 12.271 |  |  |  | 2.271 | 5.282 |
|  | 60 | 14.08. | 1.439 | 14.264 |  | 15.3 | －33．694 | 2.887 | 5.689 |
|  | 65 | 12.092 | 1.407 | 17.086 |  | 20 3 | 32.84 | 2．29 | 6.136 |
|  | io | 9．973 | 1.373 | 21.219 |  | 253 | 31.640 | 2.260 | 6.526 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ； 3 | 30.208 | 2.223 | 6.974 |
| 20 |  | 29.461 | 1.824 | 5．945 |  | 352 | 28.585 | 2.177 | 7.510 |
|  | 15 | 28.786 | 1.838 | 5.760 |  | ＋0 26 | 26.834 | 2.135 | 8.183 |
|  | 20 | 27.961 | 1.848 | 6.207 |  | ＋5 2 | 24.901 | 2.08 | 8．995： |
|  | 25 | 26.811 | 1.819 | 6．58： |  | 50 | 22.815 | 2.04 | 10.025 |
|  | 31 | 25．54 | 1.788 | 7.027 |  | 155120 | 20.5 |  | 11.307 |

## Tables.

TABLE XL. continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 60) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 36.775 | 3.273 |  |
|  | 65 | 15.616 | 1.885 | 15.33 C |  | 45 | 34.506 | 3.183 | 8.762 |
|  | 70 | 12.880 | 1.829 | 18.642 |  | 50.3 | 31.432 | 3.080 | 9.727 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 45 | 55 | 28.364 | 2.968 | 10.946 |
| 35 | 10 |  | 2.573 | 5.23 t |  | (to | 25.057 | 2.854 | 12.558 |
|  | 15 | 36.647 | 2.590 | 5.632 |  | 65 | 21.514 | 2.740 | 14.797 |
|  | 20 | 35.794 | 2.601 | 6.075 |  | 70 | 17.744 | 2.629 | 18.012 |
|  | 25 | 34.588 33.165 | 2.569 <br> 2.526 | 6.464 6.924 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 35 | 31.4 | 2.474 | 7.466 |  | 15 | 17.968 | 4.066 | 5.415 |
|  | 40 | 29.540 | 2.419 | 8.128 |  | 20 | 4\%. 144 | 4.091 | 5.809 |
|  | 45 | 27.415 | 2.359 | 8.930 |  | 25 | 16.017 | 4.052 | 6.170 |
|  | 50 | 25.116 | 2.302 | 9.952 |  | 30 | +4.680 | 4.004 | 6.608 |
|  | - | $29.66+$ | 2 | +1.827 |  | 35 | $+3.101$ | 3.95 | 7.453 |
|  | 60 | 20.022 | 2.170 | 12.917 | 50 | +0 | 41.208 | 3.891 | 7.838 |
|  | 005 | 17.191 | 2.102 | 15.255 |  | 1.5 | 38.846 | 3.807 | 8.657 |
|  | 70 | 14.179 | 2.034 | 18.590 |  | ) | 35.853 | 3.691 | 9.634 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 32.355 | 3.535 | 10.791 |
| 40 | 10 |  | 2.956 | 5.178 |  | ios | 28.581 | 3.378 | 12.338 |
|  | 15 | 40.023 | 2.974 | 5.560 |  | 65 | $2+.540$ | 3.224 | 14.491 |
|  | 20 | 39.164 | :.991 | 5.986 |  | i0 | 20.239 | 3.075 | 17.570 |
|  | 25 | 37.96 .9 | 2.954 | 6.371 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30 | 36.560 | 2.909 | 6.830 |  | 10 | 53. | 10 | 5.012 |
|  | 35 | 34.888 | 2.857 | 7.384 |  |  | 52.454 | +.834 | 5.349 |
|  | +0 | 32.868 | 2.794 | 8.048 |  | 20. | 51.668 | 4.867 | 5.727 |
|  | 45 | 1 | 2.715 | 8.825 |  | 25 | 50.506 | +.826 | 6.074 |
|  | 50 | 27.946 | 2.639 | 9.821 |  | 30 | 4.9 .329 | 4.776 | 6.497 |
|  | 55 | 25.218 | 2. 555 | 11.064 |  | 35 | +7.829 | +.721 | 7.027 |
|  | 60 | 22.278 | 2.468 | 12.714 | 55 | 10 | +6.034 | 4.664 | 7.702 |
|  | 65 | 19.128 | 2.382 | 15.005 |  | 4.5 | +3.8(10 | 4.583 | 8.530 |
|  | 70 | 15.776 | 2.296 | 18.274 |  | 50 | 10. 993 | 4.479 | 9.569 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 37.357 | 4.303 | 10.771 |
| 45 | 10 | +4.311 | 3.430 | 5.124 |  | 60 | 33.008 | 4.030) | 12.272 |
|  | 15 | 43.766 | 3.450 | 5.491 |  | 65 | 28.33i; | 3.863 | 14.33 |
|  | 20 | 42.921 | 3.471 | 5.903 |  | 71 | 23.570 | 3.656 | 17.409 |
|  | 25 | 41.753 | 3.433 | 6.278 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% | +0.369 | \|3.386 | 6.730 | 60 | 10 | 58.087 | 5.836 | 4.96 c |
|  |  | 38.735 | \|3.333 | 7.287 | 60 |  | 57. | 5.8 | 5.28 ? |

## TABLE XL.



## Explanation.

THE annual premium in this Table is supposed to be payable during the joint continuance of the lives of the possessor and expectant; and the first payment is supposed to be made at the time of purchasing the Assurance.

The equivalent annuity signifies that annuity to which either the single premium specified in the Table, or the annual premium, will entitle an expectant during his survivorship, should such an annuity be preferred to a gross sum payable on survivorship. -Thus; the payment of either $£ 34.588$ (£34. 11s. 10d.) in hand, or óf $\mathscr{E} 2.569^{2}$ (£2. 11s. 5d.) annually; during the joint lives of a wife aged 25 and a husband aged 35, the first payment to be made immediately, will, according to this Table, entitle the wife, should she survive the husband, either to $\mathscr{E} 100$ payable to her when she becomes a widow, or to an annuity payable during her life, after becoming a widow, of $\mathscr{E} .464$ (£6. 9s. 4d.) -If she is 35 (or of the same age with her husband) a single payment of $\mathscr{E}_{31.472 \text {, or an annual payment }}$ of $\mathscr{E}^{2} .474$ will, by the Table, entitle her either to $\mathscr{E} 100$ payable on her survivorship, or to an annuity for her life of $£^{7.466}$ after survivorship.

Any payments greater or less will entitle to gross sums or annuities proportionably greater or less.

It is necessary to repeat here the observation made at the end of Table 38th, p. 372, that these are the exact premiums according to the Northampton Table of Observations, reckoning interest at 3 per cext. The Equitable Society adds to these premiums a charge of 15 per cent. ${ }^{\text {e }}$; and in this case, there is a reason which makes the addition less improper than in any other; I mean, the increase of value which the longer duration of the lives of females gives to all assarances depending on their. survivorship; and which the Society, for want of proper observations, have not yet had the means of calculating. These means, however, will, I think, be furnished by some of the following Tables.

- See Note, p. 373.


## TABLE XLI.

| Shewing the Probability man Life at all Ages an at Warrington in La Register of Mortality for Nine Years, from 1 general Introduction, | \&c. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| According to this Register Warrington from | here wel $3 \text { to } 178$ | orn |
|  | Femalefi. <br> 1777 | $\underset{\mathbf{3 6 5 7}}{\text { Total }}$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Died in the same time, in- } \\ \text { cluding } 14 \text { males and } 5 \\ \text { females who died at ages } \\ \text { unknawn } \end{array}\right\}$ | 1432 | 27 |

Marriages in the same time 778, or 86 annually. Males. Femalen
Died between birth and 1 month. ... 9965
From 1 to 2 months :............ 39 25


6 to $9 \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .626$
9 to $12 \therefore \div$..vi............. 70 : 80
From birth to $i$ year.............. $342 \quad 313$
From 1 to . 2. years ... . . . . . . . . . . 182210
2 to $3 \ldots . .$. ............... 87 94
3 to 4...................... 53 51
4 to 5....................... 32 32
5 to 6....................... 22 21
6 to $7 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .119$
7 to 8....................... 79
8 to 9....................... 3 10
9 to 10...................... 47
10 to 14...................... 21 18
From birth to 14 years . . . . . . . . . . 764774
TABLE

TABLE XLII．continued．

| $\begin{aligned} & \left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Of males } \\ \text { turned of } 14 \\ \text { died from } \end{array}\right\} 14 \text { to } 17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 宮 | 言 | 妾 | 年 | 产 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| ［ $\begin{aligned} & 1 / \\ & \text { to } 20 \\ & 20\end{aligned}$ | 0 1 | 21 | 13 | 0 | 22 30 |
| 25 | 5 | 14 | 15 | 0 | 30 35 |
| $30 \quad 35$ | 3 | 5 | 23 | 3 | 34 |
| $35 \quad 40$ | 5 | 3 | 28 | 2 | 38 |
| $40 \quad 45$ | 3 | 1 | 25 | 3 | 32 |
| 45 50 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 26 |
| 50.60 | 12 | 6 | 48 | 10 | 76 |
| $60 \cdot 70$ | 21 | 6 | 39 | 25 | 91 |
| $70 \cdot 80$ | 11 | 5 | 28 | 36 | 80 |
| 80.90 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 11＇ | 25 |
| Above 90 |  | ． 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Total－ | ． 67 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 509 \\ & 764 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total．．．．．$\overline{1293}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Tables．
383 ：
TABLE XLI．continued．

| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Of females } \\ \text { torned of 144 } \\ \text { died from } \end{array}\right\} 14 \text { to } 17$ | 䂸 | 亳 | \％ | 旁 | 产 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 17 to 20 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| $20 \quad 25$ | 1 | 21 | 10 | 2 | 34 |
| $25 \quad 30$ | 7 | 12 | 22 | 2 | 43 |
| $30 \quad 35$ | 4 | 3 | 29 | 3 | 39 |
| 3540 | 8 | 8 | 28 | 4 | 48 |
| $40 \quad 45$ | 9 | 11 | 27 | 1 | 18 |
| $45 \quad 50$ | 4 | 8 | 20 | 3 | 35 |
| 5060 | 19 | 7 | 52 | 22 | 94 |
| 6070 | 16 | 5 | 38 | 55 | 114 |
| 7080 | 12 | 12 | 22 | 61 | 107 |
| $80 \quad 90$ | 4 | 2 |  | 32 | 45 |
| Above 90 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 9 |
| Total |  |  | 257 | 192 | 653 |
|  |  | under |  |  | 774 |
|  |  | Total |  |  | 1427 |

From these data the following Trble has been formed.

| Ase. | Wiviqg. Mitax. Decremena. |  | Living. | Decrement. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1273 | 162 | 1427 | 109 |
| 3 months |  | 48 |  | 57 |
| 6 months |  | 62 |  | 67 |
| 9 months |  | 70 |  | 80 |
| i year | 931 | 182 | 1114 | 210 |
| 2 years | 749 | 87 | 904 | 94 |
| 3 | 662 | 53 | 810 | 51 |
| 4 | 609 | 32 | 759 | 32 |
| 5 | 57.7 | 22 | 727 | 21 |
| 6 | 555 | 11 | 706 | 9 |
| 7 | 544 | 7 | 697. | 9 |
| 8 | 53.7 | 3. | 688 | 10 |
| 9 | 534. | 4 | 678 | 7 |
| 10 | 530 | 5 | 67, | 5 |
| 11 | 525 | 5 | 666 | 5 |
| 12 | 520 | 5 | 661 | 4 |
| 13 | 515 | 6 | 657 | 4 |
| 14 | 509 | 5 | 653 | 5 |
| 15 | 504 | 5 | 648 | 5 |
| 16 | 499. | 6 | 643 | 6 |
| 17 | 493 | 7 | 637 | 7 |
| 18 | 486 | 8 | 630 | 7 |
| 19 | 478 | 7 | 623 | 7 |
| 20 | 471 | 6 | 610 | 7 |
| 21 | 465 | 0 | 609 | 6 |
| 22 | 459 | 6 | 603 | 7 |
| 23 | 453 | 6 | 596. | 7 |
| 24 | 447 | 6 | 589 | 7 |
| 25 | 441 | 7 | 582 | 8 |
| 26 | 434 | 7 | 574 | 8 |
| 27 | 427 | 7 | 566 | 9 |

Tables.
TABLE XLI. continued.

| Agei. | Natem | Decrements. | Living | Decrements. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 420 | 7 | 557 | 9 |
| 29 | 415 | 7 | 548 | 9 |
| 30 | 406 | 6 | 539 | 8 |
| 31 | 400 | 7 | 531 | 8 |
| 32 | 393 | 7 | 523 | 7 |
| 33 | 386 | 7 | 518 | 8 |
| - 34 | 379 | 7 | 508 | 8 |
| 35 | 372 | 7 | 500 | 9 |
| 36 | 365 | 8 | 491 | 9 |
| 37 | 357 | 8 | 482 | 10 |
| 38 | 349 | 8 | 472 | 10 |
| 39 | 341 | 7 | 462 | 10 |
| 40 | 334 | 7 | 452 | 10 |
| 41 | 327 | 7 | 442 | 10 |
| 42 | 320 | 6 | 432 | 10 |
| 43 | 314 | 6 | 422 | 9 |
| 44 | 308 | 6 | 413 | 9 |
| 45 | 302 | 6 | 404 | 8 |
| 46 | 296 | 5 | 396 | 7 |
| 47 | 291 | 5 | 389 | 6 |
| 48 | 286 | 5 | 383 | 7 |
| 49 | 281 | 5 | 376 | 7 |
| 50 | 276 | 6 | 369 | 8 |
| 51 | 270 | 6 | 361 | 8 |
| 52 | 264 | 7 | 353 | 9 |
| 53 | 257 | 7 | 344 | 9 |
| 54 | 250 | 8 | 335 | 10 |
| 55 | 242 | 8 | 325 | 10 |
| 56 | 234 | 8 | 315 | 10 |
| 57 | 226 | 8 | 305 | 10 |
| 58 | 218 | 9 | 295 | 10 |
| 59. | 209 | 9 | 285 | 10 |
| 60 | 200 | 9 | 275 | 11 |
| VOL. II | Cc |  | TABLE |  |

TABLE XLI. continued.

| Age. | MALEA. | Decrements. | \| Fiving. Deceremeanal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 191 | 9 | 264 | 11 |
| 62 | 182 | 9 | 253 | 11 |
| 63 | 173 | 9 | 242 | 11 |
| 64 | 164 | 9 | 231 | 12 |
| 65 | 155 | 10 | 219 | 12 |
| 66 | 145 | 9 | 207 | 12 |
| 67 | 136 | 0 | 195 | 12 |
| 68 | 127 | 9 | 183 | 11 |
| 69 | 118 | 9 | 172 | 11 |
| 70 | 109 | 9 | 161 | 11 |
| 71 | 100 | 9 | 150 | 11 |
| 72 | 91 | 9 | 139 | 11 |
| 73 | 82 | 9 | 128 | 11 |
| 74 | 73 | 8 | 117 | 11 |
| 75 | 65 | 8 | 106 | 11 |
| 76 | 57 | 8 | 95 | 11 |
| 77 | 49 | 7 | 84 | 10 |
| 78 | 42 | 7 | 74 | 10 |
| 79 | 35 | 6 | 64 | 10 |
| 80 | 29 | 25 | 54 | 45 |
| Above 90 |  | 4 | 9 | 9 |
| Totals... | 27010 | 1273 | 36681 | 1427 |

It appears from this Table, and from the register on which it is grounded, that though the probabilities of living among females are higher than among males, and a smaller number is born, yet more die. The reason must be, that more males emigrate, and that many of them die in the army, the navy,
navy, and the militia. To this also it is owing, that more wives die at Warringtor than husbands.

It is proper to add, that in consequence of this greater emigration, the preceding Table gives the proportion of the expectations of life among males to those among females lower than it really is. But at the same time it should be remembered, that it does this only for the ages before which, and during which, the emigration happens. After these ages, (that is, probably after the age of 40 or 50 ) the correctness of the table cannot be affected by this cause.

See the remarks in the general introduction to these Tables, p. 248, \&c.

## TABLE XLII.

Shewing the Probability of the Duratipn of Human Life, at all Ages, among Males and Females; formed from a Register kept by Dr. Haygarth, at Chester, for Ten Years, from 1772 to 1781.
According to this Register there were borm at Chegter in ten years from 1772 to 1781.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Nales. } & \text { Pemale. } \\
2192 & 211!
\end{array}
$$

There were buried at Ches-
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { TER during the same time, } \\ \text { including } 24 \text { whose ages }\end{array}\right\} 939$
2151 including 24 whose ages
were unknown..........
Marriages 1500 , or 150 annually.
Died between birth and 1 monthi 11580
from 1 to 2 months. . 67
2 to $3 \ldots \ldots$. .... $38 \quad 30$
Died from birth to 3 months. $\cdot \overline{220} \quad \overline{161}$
from 3 to 6 months. . $75 \quad 64$ 6 to $9 \ldots \ldots . . \quad 76 \quad 69$
9 to 1 year $\ldots 67$

Died from birth to 1 year .... 438 368
from 1 to 2 years.... $180 \quad 181$
2 to 3......... 107127
3 to 4......... $67 \quad 77$
4 to 5........ 34 53
5 to $10 . . . . .$. . $91 \quad 75$
10 to 15......... 28 34
15 to 20........ 48 53
Died in all under 20 years of age $\overline{993} \quad \overline{968}$
TABLE


TABLE XLI．continned．

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （ |  | 曾 |
| $\vdots: \frac{\text { ar }}{}$ |  | ¢ |
| ：命 |  |  |
| 愛 |  | E |

Of 22 females above the age of 80 who died at Chester in 1772, the register specifies no more than that 4 of them were maids, and 14 of them widows who died between 80 and 90 ; and that the remaining 4 were widows who died above 90 .—Of the 4 who had never been married, one has been supposed to die at each of the ages $81,83,84$, and 85 .-Of the 18 widows, 2 have been supposed to die at each of the ages between 80 and 88 ; two at 91 ; one at 92 ; and one at 93.-It was proper to make some distribution of this.kind; but it is of little consequence whether it is right or wrong. $\therefore$ In every other instance the numbers dying at every age have been taken just as the register has given them; and the following Table has been formed from them.

TABLE XLII. continued.

| Age. | Livipg. Manime Decrements. |  | Living | Decrewents. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1927 | 220 | 2139 | 161 |
| 3 months |  | 75 |  | 64 |
| 6 months |  | 76 | $\longrightarrow$ | 69 |
| 9 months |  | 67 | $\longrightarrow$ | 74 |
| 1 year | $\therefore 1489$ | 180 | 1771 | 181 |
| 2 jears | 1309 , | 107. | 1580 | 127, |
| 3 | 1202 | 67 | 1463 | 77 |
| $\therefore 4$ | - 1135 | ${ }^{-} 34$ | ग. 1386 : | 53 |
| 5 | 1104 | 80: | 1333 | 30 |
| $-6$ | 107.1 | 24 | 1303 | 10 |
| 7 | $\therefore .1047$ | $18:$ | 1285. | $11_{i}$ |
| $8:$ | 1029. | 111 | . 1274 . | $\therefore \quad 9$. |
| 0 | , 1018 | : 8.8 | $\therefore 1269$ d. | - 7 |
| $10^{\circ}$ | - 1010 | $\because 6!$ | . 1,45\% | , $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\text {F }}$ |
| 11 | 1004 | 5 | $\therefore 1252$ | - 6 |
| 12 | 999 | 5 | $\therefore 1246$ | - 7 |
| 13 | 994 | 6 | 1239 | 7 |
| 14 | 988 | 6 | 1232 | 8 |
| 15 | 982 | 7 | 1224 | 9 |
| 16 | 975 | 9 | 1215 | 10 |
| 17 | 966 | 10 | 1205 | 11 |
| 18 | 956 | 11 | 1194 | 12 |
| 19 | 945 | 11 | 1182 | 11 |
| 20 | 934 | 11 | 1171 | 10 |
| 21 | 923 | 11 | 1161 | 10 |
| 22 | 912 | 12 | 1151 | 10 |
| 23 | 900 | 12 | 1141 | 11 |
| 24 | 888 | 12 | 1130 | 12 |
| 25 | 876 | 13 | 1118 | 16 |
| 26 | 863 | 13 | 1102 | 16 |
| 27 | 850 | 13 | 1086 | 16 |
| 28 | 837 | 12 | 1070 | 16 |
| 29 | 825 | 11 | 1054 | . 16 |

TABLE XLII: contimed.

| Age. | Malis. Living. | Decrements. | Living. | nexs Decrements. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 814: | 10 | 1038. | 13 |
| 31 | 804. | 9 | 1025: | 13 |
| 32 | 795. | 10 | 1012. | 3.3 |
| 33 | 785 | 10 | 999 | 13 |
| 34 | 775. | 10 | 986 | 13 |
| 35 | 765. | 1.1 | 973 | 14 |
| 36 | 754 | 1.1 | 9,59. | dut |
| 37 | 743 | 12 | 945: | 14 |
| 38 | 731 | 12 | 93.1 | 14 |
| 39 | 719. | 13. | 9171 | 15 |
| 40 | 706 | 18 | $9{ }^{9} 2_{1}$ | 15 |
| 41. | 693, | 14 | 887. | 15 |
| 42 | 679 | 14 | 8721, | 15 |
| 43. | 665 | 1.5 | 857 : | 1.4 |
| 44 | 650 | 145 | 842! | 15 |
| 45. | 635 ! | 75 | 828 | 15 |
| 46. | 620 | 15 | 813 | 15 |
| 4\%. | 605. | 15 | 798 | 15: |
| 48. | 590 : | 16 | 783 | 16 |
| 40. | 574, | 16 | 767 | 1,5 |
| 50 | 558 | 16 | 752 | 1.5 |
| 51 | 542 | 10 | 737. | 14 |
| 52 | 526 | 16 | 7,23 | 14 |
| 53 | 510 | 16 | 709 | 14 |
| 54 | 494 | 15 | 695 | 14* |
| 55 | 479. | 14 | 684 | 13.; |
| 56 | 465. | 14 | 668 | 13. |
| 57 | 451. | 14 | 655 | 13. |
| 58 | 437 | 14 | 642 | 15 |
| 59 | 423. | 16 | 627 | 15 |
| 60 | $40{ }_{1}$ | 10 | 61.2 | - 20 |
| 61 | 388 | - 22 | 592 | - 25 |
| - 62 | 366 | -22 | 567 | 25 |
| 63 | 344 | 22 | 542 | 25. |

TABLE XLII. continued.

| Age. | Matra. |  | ${ }_{\text {coser }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64 | 322 | 20 | 517 | 21 |
| 65 | 302 | 16 | 196 | 17 |
| 66 | 286 | 13 | 479 | 15 |
| 67 | 273 | 11 | 464 | 15 |
| 68 | 262 | 11 | 449 | 16 |
| 69 | 251 | 13 | 433 | 20 |
| 70 | 238 | 16 | 413 | 25 |
| 71 | 222 | 22 | 388 | 30 |
| 72 | 200 | 22 | 358 | 30 |
| 73 | 178 | 21 | 328 | 30 |
| 74 | 157 | 18 | 298 | 27 |
| 75 | 139 | 15 | 271 | 23 |
| 76 | 124 | 12 | 248 | 22 |
| 77 | 112 | 11 | 226 | 21 |
| 78 | 101 | $11^{\circ}$ | 205 | 21 |
| 79 | 90 | 10 | 184. | 21 |
| 80 | 80 | 10 | 163 | 21 |
| 81 | 70 | 10 | 142 | 21 |
| 82 | 60 | 9 | 121 | 21 |
| 83 | 51. | 8 | 100 | 21 |
| 84 | 43 | 7 | 79 | 18 |
| 85 | 36 | 6 | 61 | 12 |
| 81 | 30 | 5 | 49 | 8 |
| 87 | 25 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 41 | 6 |
| 88 | 21 | 4 | 35 | 4 |
| 89 | 17 | 3 | 31 | 4 |
| 90 | 14 | 3 | 27 | 4 |
| 91 | 11 | 3 | 23 | 4 |
| 92 | 8 | 3 | 19 | 4 |
| 93 | 5 | 2 | 15 | 4 |
| 94 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 1 |
| 95 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| 96 |  |  | 4 | 3 |

In this and the last Table there are several irregularities in the decrease of the probabilities of the duration of life, which would not have taken place, had the observations been made on a larger body of people, or for a longer period of years; but they do not much affect the correctness of the expectations and values of lives deducible from these Tables, except at the extremity of life after the age of 80 or 85 . According to the Chester register, the whole number of males that died at every age for ten years between 80 and 85 , was 44- 22 died between 85 and 90, and 14 above 90. This register also makes 102 the number of females that died between 80 and 85 , and 34 and 27 the numbers that died between 85 and 90 , and above 90. The preceding Table, from the age of 80 to 97 , is formed just as it would have been formed had the register given only this information without particularizing the numbers dying in every single year of life after 80. It will be easily seen that this was necessary. The deaths at the extreme ages beyond 96 or 97 , bear so small a proportion to the rest, that there is no occasion for including them in a Table of Observations; nor is it possible to do it properly.

It should be further considered, that the remark at the end of the Table for Warrington is applicable to this Table.

Cons-

Comparigon of the Duration of the Dives of Males and Femates, according: to the prei ceding Table.

| Ages. | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Expectations of } \\ \text { Majes: } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expectations of } \\ & \text { Fémales.' } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| : Birth ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 28.13 | 33.27 |
| (1. 5 : | , 48:20 | : 47\%.54 |
| (i 10 | $\therefore 41: 92$ | 45,17\% |
| 105 | $=38.05$ | $\therefore 44: 36$ |
| 20 | : 3 34:86 | 1. 38.10 |
| : 25 | $1: 32400$ | 34:78! |
| $\because 30$ | i 29:25- | 22.27. |
| : 35 | 1' 25.97 . | : 29.26 |
| : 40' | : 22.92 | : 26.37 |
| $\therefore 45 \%$ | 1.20.20: | $\therefore 243.50^{\circ}$ |
| -50) | L 17:64: | , 20.62'. |
| : 55. | $\therefore 1561{ }^{\prime}$ | : 17:54 |
| 1:60 | 12436 | -14:20 |
| -65 | ; 110.79 | $\therefore$ 11:94 |
| 170 | - 8i05 | $\because 8.81$ |
| $1: 75$ | $\therefore 7: 00$ | $\because 7.14$. |
| , 80 | ! 5.43 | 5.20 |
| $\therefore 85$ | - $4: 2.5$ | 4:84! |
| $\because 90$ | 2.50 | 3.46 |

Abstract

Abstract of the Rev. Mr. Gorsucris Observations and Register in the Parish of Holy Cross, near Shrewsbury.

In 1755 the number of inhabitants in this parish was 1049.
In 1760 the families were 235 -the inhabitants 1048 , of whom two were males, and 13 females above 80.
In 1765 the families were 249 -the inhabitants 1096 .

| Famili | 1n 1770. | 1775. | 1789. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 240 |  | 246 |
| Inhabitants | 1046 | 1057 | 1113 |
| Males under 10 | 126 |  | 155 |
| Females under 10 | 122 |  | 35 |
| Males from 70 to 80 | 20 | 20 | 11 |
| Females from 70 to 80 | 24 | 21 | 19 |
| Males above 80 | 6 | 9 |  |
| Females above 80 | 11 | 7 |  |

The increase in 1765 was occasioned by the removal of four numerous families into four great houses in the parish, which for many years before had been almost uninhabited.

In 1767 several houses were pulled down to open a way to a new stone bridge over the Severn, and 38 persons went out of the parish.

In 1774 a fire destroyed 48 houses, mostly thatched; but the sufferers provided themselves with lodgings in the parish, and only 24 left it.-The vacant ground was covered with little tenements fit for poor people, and so commodious as to draw into the parish a greater number of persons than had resided there before.-See a further account of this parish in Essay I. page 35.

Burials . . . . . . . . $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Males ... } \\ \text { Females . . } \\ \text { 508 }\end{array}\right\} 966$
The births have exceeded the burials in the proportion of 15 to 13 ; and this ought to have increased the inhabitants in 30 years to at least 1200 ; but it appears that it has occasioned little or no increase ; and, consequently, that the excess of the births has been but just sufficient to supply the loss produced by emigrations to the navy and army, and settlements in towns.

| From 1750 to 1760. |  | From 1760 to 1780. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Males } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Females } \end{array}\right\|$ | Males. | Females. | Total. |
| Died under 1 month | 17 | 22 | 25 | 64 |
| 1 year | 27 | 42 | 44 | 113 |
| 2 years | 15 | 23 | 23 | 61 |
| From 2 to 5 | 28 | 33 | 38 | 90 |
| 510 | 23 | 16 | 21 | 60 |
| $10 \quad 15$ | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1433 |
| 1520 | 7 | 6 | 8 | $21\}^{35}$ |
| $20 \quad 25$ | 18 | 4 | 7 | $29\} 57$ |
| 2530 | 11 | 10 | 7 | $28\}^{57}$ |
| $30 \quad 35$ | 9 | 4 | 8 | 21357 |
| 3540 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 36\} 57 |
| 4045 | 13 | 16 | 11 | $40\} 67$ |
| $45 \quad 50$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | $2.7\} 07$ |
| 5055 | 10 | 16 | 17 | $43\} 80$ |
| 5560 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 37 \} 80 |
| 6065 | 13 | 22 | 20 | 55 |
| 6570 | 15 | 11 | 13 | $39\}^{94}$ |
| 7075 | 10 | 17 | 29 | $56\} 05$ |
| 7580 | 10 | 15 | 14 | $39\}^{95}$ |
| 8085 | 15 | 22 | 20 | $57\} 21$ |
| 85,90 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 14\} ${ }^{71}$ |
| 90 ' 95 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 10 |
| 96 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $2\} 13$ |
| 101 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 289 | 321 | 356 | 966 |

It is obvious, that these observations do not give sufficient data for forming distinct tables of the probabilities of living among males
males and females: And it is also obvious, that the numbers dying in every period of five years after 10 , are much more irregular than they would have been had these observations been made for a greater number of years, or on a larger body of inhabitants. In constructing, therefore, the following Table, the decrements of life have been taken as the register gives them for both sexes in every period of ten years after the age of ten. And in this way the register exhibits with remarkable regularity and consistency the progress of human mortality from birth to old age, representing human life in conformity to other observations, as particularly weak in the first month, (though much less so than in towns) and from that age as growing gradually stronger, till at 10 it acquires its greatest strength, which it afterwards loses, bui more slowly till 50 , and after 50 more rapidly, till at 70 or 75 it is brought back to all the weakness of the first month.

## Tables.

## TABLE XLIII.

Shewing the Probabilities of the Duration of Human Life at all Ages, as deduced from the Rev. Mr. Gorsuch's Observations, during a Period of 30 Years, in the Parish of Holy Cross, near Shrewsbyry. See Essay I. p. 35.

| Age. | Living. | D | ${ }_{\text {As }}$ | fiving. | Decr |  | I | Dec |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 966 | 64 | 21 | 529 | 5 | 544 | 395 |  | 7 |
| der 1 |  |  | 22 | 524 | 5 | 45 | 388 |  | 7 |
| d |  |  |  | 519 | 6 | 46 | 381 |  | 7 |
| 1 year | 789 | 61 | 24 | 513 | 6 | 47 | 374 |  | 7 |
| 7 | 728 | 44 | 26 | 507 | 6 | 48 | ${ }^{867}$ |  | 7 |
| 3 | 684 | 30 | 26 | 501 | 6 | 49 | 360 |  | 7 |
| 4 | 654 | 25 | 27 | 495 | 6 | 50 | 353 |  | 7 |
| 5 | 629 | 20 | 28 | 489 | 6 | 51 | 346 |  | 7 |
| 6 | 609 | 16 | 29 | 483 | 6 | 52 | 339 |  | 7 |
| 7 | 593 | 12 | 30 | 477 | 5 | 53 | 33 | 8 | 8 |
| 8 | 581 | 7 | 31 | 472 | 5 | 54 | 32 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 | 574 | 5 | 32 | 487 | 5 | 55 | 316 | 8 | 8 |
| 10 | 569 | 4 | 33 | 462 | 6 | 56 | 308 | 8 | 8 |
| 11 | 565 | 3 | 34 | 456 | 6 | 57 | 30 | 9 | 9 |
| 12 | 562 | 3 | 35 | 450 | 6 | 58 | 291 | 9 | 9 |
| 13 | 559 | 3 | 36 | 444 | 6 | 59 | 282 | 9 | 9 |
| 14 | 556 |  | 37 | 438 | 6 | 60 | 273 | 9 | 9 |
| 15 | 553 | 3 | 38 | 432 |  |  | 26 | 9 | 9 |
| 16 | 550 | 4 | 39 | 426 | 6 | 62 | 255 | 9 |  |
| 17 | 546 | 4 | 40 | 420 | 6 | 63 | 246 | 9 |  |
| 18 | 542 |  | 41 | 414 | 6 | 64 | 237 | 9 |  |
| 19 | 538 | 4 | 42 | 408 | 6 | 5 | 228 | 9 |  |
| 26 | 534 | 5 | 43 | 402 | 7 | \|66 | 219 | 10 |  |

VOL. II.
D D

TABLE XLIII. continued.

|  | Living. | Decr. | ${ }^{\text {Age }}$ | Living. | Decr. | $\\|^{\text {AE }}$ | Living. | Decr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67 | 209 | 10 | 77 | 111 | 9 | 87 | 28 | 6 |
| 68 | 199 | 10 | 78 | 102 | 9 | 88 | 22 | 5 |
| 69 | 189 | 10 | 79 | 93 | 9 | 89 | 17 | 4 |
| 70 | 179 | 10 | 80 | 8.4 | 9 | 90 | 13 | 3 |
| 71 | 169 | 10 | 81 | 75 | 8 | 91 | 10 | 2 |
| 72 | 159 | 10 | 82 | 67 | 8 | 92 | 8 | 2 |
| 73 | 149 | 10 | 83 | $59^{\circ}$ | 8 | 93 | 6 | 2 |
| 74 | 139 | 10 | 84 | 51 | 8 | 94 | 4 | 2 |
| 75 | 129 | 9 | 85 | 43 | 8 | 95 | 2 | 1 |
| 76 | 120 | 9 | \|86 | 35 | 7 | 96 | 1 | 1 |

tepectations of Life by the preceding Table.

| Age: | Expectation. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Birth | 33.93 |
| 5 | 46.30 |
| 10 | 46.00 |
| 15 | 42.25 |
| 20 | 38.66 |
| 25 | 35.58 |
| 30 | 32.66 |
| 35 | 29.43 |
| 40 | 26.40 |
| 45 | 23.35 |
| 50 | 20.40 |
| 55 | 17.47 |
| 60 | 14.86 |
| 65 | 12.30 |
| 70 | 10.00 |
| 75 | 7.87 |
| 80 | 5.75 |

The proportion of the living under ten tears of age to the living at ten and upwards; is, by this Table, as 6807 to 26452, or as 10 to 39 ; but the real proportion appears from the survey to be greater: And it is evident, that the excess of the births above the burials, and the emigrations from the parish after ten, must make it considerably greater ; and it should not be forgotten, that these also are circumstances which must render the probabilities and expectations of life, as given by the Table; less than they really are.

$$
\text { D } 2
$$

TABLE

## TABLE XLIV.

Shewing the Probabilities of the Duration of Human Life among Males and Females, deduced from Observations of the Proportions of the Living to the Numbers who have died at all Ages for 21 Years, from 1755 to 1776, in the Kingdom of Sweden.

Preliminary Observations.
According to the medium of seven different enumerations in 1757, 1760, 1763, 1766, 1769, 1772, and 1775, there were living in the kingdom of Sweden :


Tables.
405
Fencible men between 15 and 55 Males and females $\}$ undertheageof 25

## $1,201,909$ or alittle more than

Of these numbers there died annually in Sweden during twenty one years, from 1755 to 1776 ,

| Age. | Males. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nder 1 Yr . | 9664 or | 1 of $/$ / $3 \times 5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8355 | 1 of 4.0 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Betwoen } \\ \left.\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ \operatorname{and} 3 \\ \text { rrs } \end{array}\right\} \end{array}\right\}$ | 3592 or | or 1 of 17.3 | 3531 | 1 of 17.8 |
| 3 and | 1816 or | 1 of 34.5 | 1774 or | 1 of 35.8 |
| 10 | 1789 | 1 of 68.1 | 1672 | 1 of 73.2 |
| $10 \quad 15$ | 898 | 1 of 131.2 | 802 | 1 of 147.6. |
| 15. 20 | 741 | 1 of 139.1 | 714 | 1 oft48.2 |
| $20 \quad 25$ | 874 | 1 of 105.1 | 796 | 1 of 131.8 |
| $25 \quad 30$ | 879 | 1 of 94.3 | 872 | 1 of 106.9 |
| $30 \quad 35$ | 955 | 1 of 82.3 | 1068 | 1 of 82.3 |
| $35 \quad 40$ | 907 | 1 of 77.6 | 901. | 1 of 96.5 |
| 40 - 45 | 1119 | 1 of 57.1 | 1129 | 1 of 62.3 |
| 45 . 50 | 1077 | ] of 48.3 | 958 | 1 of 62.2 |
| 50.55 | 1233 | 1 of 36.4 | 1127 | 1 of 46.7 |
| $55 \quad 60$ | 1180 | 1 of 30.7 | 1103 | 1 of 38.0 |
| 60 . 65 | 1383 | 1 of 22.2 | 1597 | 1 of 24.6 |
| $65 \quad 70$ | 1328 | 1 of 15.9 | 1510 | 1 of 19.6 |
| 7075 | 1360 | 1 of 10.7 | 1935 | 1 of 11.2 |
| 7580 | 1023 | 1 of 8.0 | 1527 | 1 of 8.2 |
| $80 \quad 85$ | 784 | 1 of 5.1 | 1230 | 1 of 5.2 |
| $85 \quad 90$ | . 383 | 1 of 4.0 | 609 | 1 of 4.1 |
| Above 90 | 195 | 1 of 2.5 | 339 | 1 of 2.6 |
| Of all ages | 38180 | 1 of 33.25 | 33579 | 1 of35.94 |

- It should be considered, that this is a higher proportion thas that of the number that dies under one year of age to the number born in a year. The latter number is equal to the farmer increased by the number living at one time under one year: See the note, p. 418.

The enumerations and deaths for the first 9 years from 1755 to 1763 included the whole kingdom of Sweden, consisting of 26 principalities or provinces.- In 1764 there was a suspension of all the observations: In 1765 they were taken up again; but in this and the following years, the enumeration of one of the provinces wias omitted, together prith the registration of the deaths in that province.-In the three years from 1767 to 1770 three provinces were omitted, in the enumerations and registers.-In the three years from 1770 to 1773 , there was also an pmission of three provinces, together with
 maining three years (to 1776) four out of the 15 dioceses in Sweden were omitted. But these omissions will produce no incorrectness in the tables of the decrements and values of lives formed from the preceding data.

I have formed tables from the enumerations and deaths in the first nine years, com: prehending all Sweden; but there is no other difference between them and the following Tables, except that the latter give the probabilities of the duration of life a little lower than the former; and the reason of this is, that the mortality of the years 1771, 1772, and 1773, exceeded greatly the mortality of the other years.?
${ }^{2}$ It is also owing to this that the proportions of annual deaths to the living at all ages, as here given, are somewhaf greater than those in the first Additional Essay.

In the healthiest of the seven ternaries of years into which these observations have been divided (that is, in the three years ${ }^{b}$ from 1765 to 1767 ) only one in $36 \frac{1}{2}$ of males, and 1 in $39_{3}^{2}$ of females, died The average proportion for the whole period of 21 years is 1 in 33 ? of males; and 1 in $85 \frac{94}{100}$ of females. But, in the sickly years just mentioned, there died 1 in 27 of males, and 1 in 29 of females.-The number of the living in the following Tables, at the end of one year of age, is the difference between the number born in Sweden in a year, and the number of deaths under one year of

[^88]age (exclusive in both cases of stilh-borns) accommodated to 10,000 as a radix.

The decrements among males in the following Table, increase regularly through every period of life from 10 to. 75. But among females this incresse is interrupted for a few years atter 35, and again for 2 few years after 45 .-This cannot be an accidental inregularity, the numbers being too great, and the period for which the observations have been made, too long, to admit of such an irregularity.-Probably, therefore, it must be accounted for in the following manner. From the age of 80 to 35 , the number of married, and consequently of child-bearing women, is greater than at any other ages: and this raises the decrements in that division of life. After 35, this number is diminished, and the decrements fall. Between 40 and 43 the critical periods come on, and the decrements are raised again; but after 45 the number of deaths arising from hence becoming less, the decrements become also less, but continue afterwards to increase with increasing years, till they beeome greatest at 74 or 75 .-It is, however, remarkable that notwithstanding the peculiar dangers to which the lives of females are subject from the causes just mentioned; there are no ages at which a smaller proportion of them does not die than of males,

## Tables.

 409except the ages in which the number of deliveries is greatest; and that even then the probabilities of living among them are nearly equal to those among males.

TABLE XLIV. continued.

| Males. <br> Born 10,282-282 born dead |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fbмales. } \\ 10,277-217 \text { born doad } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ager. | Living. | Decr. \| Expect. | Living. | ${ }^{\text {Decr. }}$ | Expect. |
| Born alive | 10,000 | 230033.20 | 10,000 | 209 |  |
| 1 year | 7,700 | 50042.45 | 7,910 | 518 | 44.00 |
| J | 7,200 | 33743.83 | 7,392 | 350 | 46.05 |
| 3 | 6,863 | 24014.96 | 7,042 | 250 | 47.31 |
| 4 | 6,623 | 15045.57 | 6,792 | 135 | 48.04 |
| 5 | 6,473 | 12545.62 | 6,657 | 120 | 48.00 |
| 6 | 6,348 | 10545.50 | 6,537 | 105 | 47.87 |
| 7 | 6,243 | 9045.26 | 6,432 | 85 | 47.64 |
| 8 | 6,153 | 7544.91 | 6,347 |  | 47.28 |
| 9 | 6,078 | 6544.46 | 6,277 | 60 | 46.80 |
| 10 | 6,013 | 5543.94 | 6,217 | 52 | 46.25 |
| 11 | 5,958 | 4543.26 | 6,165 |  | 45.55 |
| 12 | 5,913 | 4542.58 | 6,119 |  | 44.85 |
| 13 | 5,868 | 4041.91 | 6,079 |  | 44.15 |
| 14 | 5,828 | 4041.24 | 6,044 |  | 43.46 |
| 15 | 5,788 | 3940.56 | 6,009 |  | 42.76 |
| 16 | 5,749 | 3939.83 | 5,974 |  | 04 |
| 17 | 5,710 | 3939.11 | 5,934 |  | 1.3) |
| 18 | 5,67] | 4438.39 | 5,894 | 42 | 40.59 |
| 19 | 5,627 | 4437.67 | 5,852 | 43 | 39.87 |
| 20 | 5,583 | 50,36.95 | 5,809 | 43 | 39.15 |
| 21 | 5,533 | 50,36.28 | 5,766 | 43 | 38. |
| 22 | 5,483 | 5035.62 | 5,723 | 43 | 37.72 |
| 23 | 5,433 | 5534.96 | 5,680 | 44 | 37.01 |
| 24 | 5,378 | 5534.30 | 5,636 | 45 | 36.29 |
| 25 | 5,323 | 5533.63 | 5,591 | 45 | 35.58 |
| 26 | 5,268 | 5532.98 | 5,546 | 50 | 3.4 .90 |
| 27 | 5,213 | 5532.32 | 5,496 | 52 | 34.21 |
| 28 | 5,158 | 5531.66 | 5,444 | 55 | 33.53 |
| 29 | 5,103 | 5631.00 | 5,389 | 55 | 32.85 |
| 30 | 5,049 | 5030.34 | 3,334 |  | 32 |

TABLE XLIV. continued.

| Malbs. |  |  |  | Frmales. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agre. | Living. | Decr | Expectat. | Living. |  | Expect. |
| 31 | 4,988 | 60 | 29.69 | 5,274 | 60 | 31.54 |
| 32 | 4,928 | 60 | 29.04 | 5,214 | 65 | 30.91 |
| 33 | 4,868 | 60 | 28.39 | 5,149 | 65 | 30.28 |
| 34 | 4,803 | 60 | 27.74 | 5,084 | 65 | 29.66 |
| 35 | 4,748 | 60 | 27.09 | 5,019 | 60 | 29.03 |
| 36 | 4,688 | 60 | 26.43 | 4,959 | 56 | 28.26 |
| 37 | 4,028 | 60 | 25.76 | 4,903 | 56 | 27.50 |
| 38 | 4,568 | 60 | 25.09 | 4,847 | 56 | 26.74 |
| 39 | 4,508 | 60 | 24.42 | 4,791 | 58 | 25.97 |
| 40 | 4,448 | 65 | 23.75 | 4,733 | 65 | 25.21 |
| 41 | 4,383 | 72 | 23.15 | 4,668 | 75 | 24.68 |
| 42 | 4,311 | 80 | 22.54 | 4,593 | 76 | 24.75 |
| 43 | 4,231 | 80 | 21.93 | 4,517 | 76 | 23.62 |
| 44 | 4,151 | 80 | 21.32 | 4,441 | 75 | 23.10 |
| 45 | 4,071 | 80 | 20.71 | 4,366 | 72 | 22.57 |
| 46 | 3,991 | 80 | 20.12 | 4,294 | 67 | 21.91 |
| 47 | 3,911 | 80 | 19.52 | 4,227 | 65 | 21.24 |
| 48 | 3,831 | 80 | 18.92 | 4,162 | 65 | 20.58 |
| 49 | 3,751 | 85 | 18.32 | 4,097 | 70 | 19.92 |
| 50 | 3,666 | 95 | 17.72 | 4,027 | 75 | 19.26 |
| 51 | 3,571 | 95 | 17.17 | 3,952 | 80 | 18.64 |
| 52 | 3,476 | 95 | 16.63 | 3,872 | 85 | 18.01 |
| 53 | 3,381 | 95 | 16.08 | 3,787 | 85 | 17.39 |
| 54 | 3,286 | 95 | 15.53 | 3,702 | 85 | 16.77 |
| 55 | 3,191 | 95 | 14.98 | 3,617 | 85 | 16.15 |
| 56 | 3,096 | 95 | 14.43 | 3,532 | 85 | 15.53 |
| 57 | 3,001 | 100 | 13.87 | 3,447 | 90 | 14.92 |
| 58 | 2,901 | 100 | 13.33 | 3,357 | 90 | 14.31 |
| 59 | 2,80i | 100 | 12.79 | 3,267 | 100 | 13.69 |
| 60 | 2,701 | 105 | 12.24 | 3,167 | 110 | 13.08 |
| 61 | 2,596 | 110 | 11.72 | 3,057 | 118 | 12.56 |
| 62 | 2,486 | 115 | 11.21 | 2,939 | 120 | 12.04 |
| 63 | 2,371 | 115 | 10.73 | 2,819 | 120 | 11.52 |
| 64 | 2,256 | 115 | 10.26 | 2,699 | 120 | 11.01 |

TABLE XIJV- eontimued.

| Males |  |  |  | Fbmales. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 2,141 | 115 | 9.78 | 2,579 | 120 | 0.49 |
| 66 | 2,026 | 115 | 9.30 | 2,459 | 120 | 9.97 |
| 67 | 1,911 | 120 | 8.84 | 2,339 | 120 | 9.46 |
| 68 | 1,791 | 425 | 8,40 | 2,219 | 120 | 8.94 |
| 69 | 1,666 | 125 | 7.99 | 2,099 | 120 | 8.42 |
| 70 | 1,541 | 125 | 760 | 1,979 | 130 | 7.91 |
| 71 | -1,416 | 125 | 7.22 | 1,849 | 140 | 7.53 |
| 72 | 1,291 | -120. | 6.87 | 1,709 | 150 | 7.16 |
| 73 | 1,171 | 120 | 6.53 | 1,559 | 160 | 6.78 |
| 74 | 1,051 | 110 | 6.22 | 1,399 | 150 | 6.40 |
| 75 | 941 | 105 | 5.89 | 1,249 | 140 | 6.03 |
| 76 | 836 | 100 | 5.56 | 1,109 | 130 | 5.73 |
| 77 | 736 | 90 | 5.25 | 979 | 120 | 5.43 |
| 78 | 646 | 85 | 4.92 | 859 | 110 | 5.11 |
| 79 | 561 | 80 | 4;59 | 749 | 100 | 4.79 |
| 80 | 481 | 75 | 427 | 649 | $9^{5}$ | 4.47 |
| 81 | 406 | 70 | $3.96{ }^{\prime}$ | 554 | 90 | 4.13 |
| 82 | 336 | 65 | 3.09 | 464 | 85 | 3.84 |
| 83 | 271 | 60 | 3.45 | 379 | 80 | 3.59 |
| 84 | 271 | 50 | 3,30 | 299 | 75 | 3.42 |
| 85 | 161 | 40 | 3-10 | 224 | 55 | 3.40 |
| 86 | 121 | -30. | 3.04 | 169 | 40 | 3.34 |
| 87 | 91 | 82 | 2.88 | 129 | 30 | 3.22 |
| 88 | 69 | -017 | 2.64 | 09 | 23 | 3.05 |
| 89 | 52 | 114 | 2.34 | 56 | 18 | 2.82 |
| 90. | 38 | -12 | 2.02 | ¢ 58 | 15 | 2.55 |
| 91 | $1 \quad 26$ | マ 98 | 88 | $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 12 |  |
| 92 | -17 | -ce7 | C8. | 31 | 10 | oc |
| 03. | 10 | -6 | Q8.4 | 21 | 108 | 08 |
| 94 | 1014 | - 13 | 18 | 13 | 106 | b |
| 95 | 1 all | -01 | 507 | $0 \times 7$ | 4 |  |
| 96. | 10 | - 0 |  |  | 2 |  |
| 97. | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |

Tables.

## TABLE XLV.

Shewing the Probabilities of the Duration of Hu man Life among Males and Females, taken collectively, deduced from the preceding Table.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Born..... } \\ \text { Age. } \end{gathered}$ | 10,2+9- $2+9$ born dead |  |  | Age. | Living. | Decr. | Ct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Living. | Decr. | Expect. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 35 | 4884 | 59 | $2 \times .03$ |
| Born alive | 10000 | 21.95 | 34.42 | 36 | 4825 | \% 5 | 27.31 |
| 1 year | 7805 | 509 | 42.95 | 37 | 4707 | 53 | 26.6 s |
| 2 years | 7996 | 344 | 44.92 | 38 | 4709 | 58 | 26.011 |
| 3 | 6952 | 245 | 46.11 | 39 | 46.51 | 60 | 25.33 |
| 4 | 6707 | 143 | 46.78 | 40 | 4591 | (i) | 24.66 |
| 5 | 6564 | 122 | 46.79 | 41 | 4596 | 73 | 24.05 |
| 6 | $6+42$ | 105 | 46.66 | 42 | 44.53 | 78 | 23.44 |
| 7 | 6337 | 87 | 46.43 | 43 | 4375 | 75 | 22.83 |
| 8 | 62.50 | 73 | 46.07 | $4+$ | 4297 | 75 | $22.2 \%$ |
| 9 | 6177 | 62 | 45.61 | 45 | 4219 | 76 | 21.61 |
| 10 | 6115 | 5. | 45.07 | 46 | 414; | $7 \pm$ | 20.98 |
| 11 | 6061 | 45 | 4.4.38 | 47 | 4069 | 72 | 00.35 |
| 12 | 0016 | 42 | 43.70 | 48 | 3997 | 73 | 19.72 |
| 13 | 5974 | 38 | 43.01 | 49 | 3924 | 78 | 19.09 |
| 14 | 5936 | 37 | $4 ? .33$ | 5.$)$ | 3846 | 85 | 18.46 |
| 15 | 58.99 | 37 | 41.64 | 51 | 3761 | 87 | 17.87 |
| 16 | 5×0? | 40 | 40.92 | 52 | 3674 | 90 | 17.29. |
| 17 | 5522 | 40 | 40.19 | 53 | 3584 | 90 | 16.70 |
| 18 | 5782 | 42 | 39.47 | 54 | 3.494 | 91 | 16.12 |
| 19 | 5740 | 43 | 38.74 | 55 | 3403 | 91 | 15.33, |
| 20 | 5697 | 47 | 38.02 | 56 | 3312 | 9 ? | 14.93 |
| 21 | 5650 | 47 | 37.33 | 57 | 3220 | 95 | 14.37 ' |
| 22 | 5603 | 48 | 36.64 | 58 | 3125 | 95 | 13.797 |
| 23 | 5555 | 48 | 35.96 | 59 | 36130 | 100 | 13.21 |
| 24 | 5507 | 50 | 35.27 | 60 | 29.30 | 108 | 12.63 |
| 25 | 5457 | 50 | 34.58 | 61 | 2822 | 114. | 12.12 |
| 25 | 5407 | 52 | 33.91 | 62 | 2708 | 118 | 11.62 |
| 27 | 5355 | 54 | 33.23 | 63 | 2590 | 118 | 11.11 |
| 28 | - 5301 | 55 | 32.56 | 64 | 2472 | 1.48 | $10.61^{\circ}$ |
| 29 | 5246 | 5.5 | 31.88 | 65 | 2354 | 118 | 10.40 |
| 30 | 5191 | 59 | 31.21 | 66 | 2236 | 118 | 9.62 |
| 31 | 5132 | 60 | 30.57 | 67 | 211k | +21 | 9.15 |
| 32 | 51)72 | 62 | 29.94 | 68 | 1997 | 2t | 8.67 |
| 33 | 5010 | 63 | 29.30 | 69 | 1873 | $12 t$ | 8.2' |
| 34 | 4.947 | 63 | 28.67 | 700 | 1749) | คワ7 | $7.72{ }^{\text {c }}$ |

TABLE XLV. continued.

| Age. | Living. | Decr. | Expectat. | Age. | Living. | Deer. Expect. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | 1622 | 133 | 7.32 | 86 | 144 | 35 | 3.09 |
| 72 | 1489 | 135 | 0.89 | 87 | 109 | 27 | 2.92 |
| 73 | 1854 | 140 | 6.53 | 88 | 82 | 20 | 2.71 |
| 74 | 1214 | 130 | 6.23 | 89 | 62 | 15 | 2.43 |
| 75 | 1084 | 121 | 5.91 | 90 | 47 | 14 | 2.05 |
| 76 | 963 | 115 | 5.59 | 91 | 33 | 12 | 1.71 |
| 77 | 818 | 105 | 5.28 | 92 | 21 | 10 | 1.40 |
| 78 | 748 | 95 | 4.96 | 93 | 11 | 6 |  |
| 79 | 648 | 90 | 4.61 | 94 | 5 | 3 |  |
| 80 | 558 | 90 | 4.28 | 95 | 2 | 1 |  |
| -8i | 468 | 84 | 4.01 | 96 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 82 | 384 | 75 | 3.80 |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | 309 | 65 | 3.67 |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | 244 | 55 | 3.39 |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 189 | 45 | 3.23 |  |  |  |  |

In forming this Table from the decrements of life among males and fernales in Table XLIV. it is necessary to consider that the proper decrements for a body of males and females taken collectively, are not the means between those for males and females in that Table; but the numbers dying in every period of life out of a given number living at the beginning of that period, supposed to consist of equal numbers of males and females.

For example. Table XLIV. shews that of 2701 males living at 60 years of age, 560 will die in five years; and that of 3167 fo males living at the same age, 588 will die in the same time. From hence it may be easily deduced, that of 2930 persons (the zumber in this Tableliving at 60) consisting
one
one half of males and one half of females, 576 will die in the same time. The number, therefore, living at 60 will at 65 be reduced to 2354; which number must again be supposed to consist one half of males and the other half of females, and the proper decrement for the next five years, deduced in the same manner from Table XLIV. And it is in this method the whole of this Table has been constructed, which, therefore must exhibit more accurately than any other, the probabilities of living among the general mass of mankind, consisting of males and females taken collectively.

## TABLE XLVI.

Shewing the Probabilities of the Duration of Human Life among Males and Females in Stockноlm, formed from the Proportions of the Living to the Numbers who have died in Stocкнolm at all Ages for Nine Years from 1755 to 1763.
There were born alive in Stock-) Males. Females.

Still-born . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $43 \frac{1}{3}$ 31
According to the medium of three different enumerations in 1757, 1760, and 1763, there were living in Stockнодм,

|  |  |  | Malcs. | Females. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unde | er 1 yea |  | 666 | 727 |
| From 1 t | to 3 yea |  | 1239 | 1376 |
|  | 3 to |  | 1185 | 1281 |
|  | 5 | 10 | 2662 | 2769 |
|  | 10 | 15 | 2971 | 2791 |
|  | 15 | 20 | 2780 | 2662 |
|  | 20 | 25 | 3293 | 4255 |
|  | 25 | 30 | 3371 | 4525 |
|  | 30 | 35 | $3 \grave{33}$ | 4156 |
|  | 35 | 40 | 2763 | 3101 |
|  | 40 | 4.5 | 2528 | 2837 |
|  |  | 50 | 1668 | 1911 |
|  | 50 | 55 | 1402 | 18.92 |
|  | 55 | 60 | 874 | 1340 |
|  | 60 | 65 | 705 | 1247 |
|  | 65 | 70 | 404 | 806 |
|  | 70 | 75 | 285 | 626 |
|  | 75 | 80 | 131 | 314 |
|  | 80 | 85 | 57 | 148 |
|  | 85 | 90 | 15 | 5. |
|  | Above |  | 8 | 27 |
|  | Under |  | 8723 | 8944 |
| Between | 15 and |  | 21338 | 25139 |
|  | Above |  | 2479 | 4559 |
| Of all ages |  |  | 32540 | 38642 |

Of these numbers there died annually at StockHousd during nine years from 1755 to 1763 ;


From these data the following Table has been formed.

| $\frac{\text { Males. }}{\text { Born . . } 10324-324 \text { born dead }}$ |  |  | born 10235-225 born dead |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age. | Living. | \|Decr. | Living. | Decrements. |
| Born alive | 10000 | 1423: | 10000 | 3885 |
| 1 year | 5768* | 800 | 6115* | 900 |
| 2 yrs . | 4968 | 541 | 5215 | 530 |
| 3 | 4427 | 380 | 4685 | 350 |
| 4 | 4047 | 235 | 4335 | 200 |
| 5 | 3812 | 156 | . 4135 | 155 |
| 6 | 3662 | 110 | 3980 | 115 |
| 7 | 3552 | 90 | 3865 | 90 |
| 8 | 3462 | 85 | 3775 | 75 |
| 9 | 3377 | 75 | 3700 | 60 |
| 10 | 3302 | 55 | 3640 | 45 |
| 11 | 3247 | 40 | 3595 | 30 |
| 12. | 3207 | 3.5 | 3565 | 25 |
| 13 | 3172 | 35 | 3540 | 25 |
| 14 | 3137 . | 37 | 3515 | 30 |
| 15 | 3100 | 40 | 3485 | 30 |
| 16 | 3060 | 45 | 3455 | 30 |
| 17 | 3015 | 50 | 3425 | 35 |
| 18 | 2965 | 55 | 3390 | 35 |
| 19 | 2910 | 60 | 3355 | 40 |
| 20 | 2850 | 60 | 3315 | 40 |
| 21. | 2790 | $6 E$ | 5275 | 40) |

- The annual average of males born alive at Stockholm for nine years from 1755 to 1763 , was 1335. Of these 565 died nnnually under one year of age. The number, therefore, that lived to one year of age was 770 ; and 770 is the same part of 1335 that 5768 is of 10000.

In the same manner the number of females who lived to one year of age has been determined; after which,othe totals living between 1 and 3 , and between 3 and 5 , and between 5 and 10 . \&cc. \&c. are always made to be in the same ratio to the number dying at those ages that they were found to be by observation.

In this method also the last Table, shewing the probabilitien oflife in the kingdom of Sweden at large, has been formed.

Tables.
TABLE XLVI. continued.

| Age. | Maves. |  | Femaes. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Living. | Decrements. | Living. | Decrements. |
| 22 | 2730 | 60 | 3255 | 40 |
| 23 | 2670 | 60 | 319.5 | 40 |
| 24 | 2610 | 65 | 3155 | 43 |
| 25 | 2345 | 70 | 3112 | 45 |
| $20^{\circ}$ | 2475 | 70 | 3065 | 47 |
| 27 | 2405 | 70 | 3020 | 50 |
| 23. | 2335 | 70 | 2970 | 55 |
| 29 | 2265 | 70 | 3915 | 60 |
| 30 | 2195 | 70 | 28.5 | (i) |
| 31 | 2125 | 70 | 2795 | 60 |
| 32 | 2055 | 70 | 2735 | 63 |
| 33 | 1985 | 65 | 2672 | 65 |
| 3! | 1920 | 65 | 2607 | 65. |
| 35 | 1855 | 65 | 2542 | 62. |
| 36 | 1790 | 65 | 2480 | 60 |
| 37 | 1725 | 65 | 2420 | 60 |
| 38. | 1660 | 60 | 2360 | 60 |
| 39 | 1600 | 60 | 2300 | 65 |
| 40 | 1540 | 60 | 2235 | 66 |
| 41 | 1480 | 60 | , 2169 | 66 |
| 42 | 1420 | 60 | ! 2103 | $0 \%$ |
| 43 | 1360 | 60 | - 2036 | -67 |
| 4.4 | 1300 | 60 | 1969 | $67^{4}$ |
| 45 | $1240{ }^{\circ}$ | 60 | 1909 | 65 |
| 46 | 1190 | 57 | 1837 | 65 |
| 47 | 1133 | 55 | ; 1772 | 65 |
| 48 | 1078 | 55 | ; 1707 | -63 |
| 49 | 1023 | 55 | 1614 | 60 |
| 5) | 968 | 53. | : 1584 | 60 |
| 51 | 915 | 50 | - 1524 | 60 : |
| 52 | 865 | 50 | 1464 | 55. |
| 53 | 815 | 50 | 1409 | 55 |
| 54 | 765 | 50 | 1354. | 53 : |
| $\begin{array}{r}55 \\ -56 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 715 | 45 | 1301 . | 50: |
| -56- | -620 | 4.5 | -1251. | - -50 |
| 57 | 625 | 45 | 1201 | 50 |
| 58 | 580 | 40 | $1: 51$ | 50 |
| 59 | 540 | 40 | 1101 | 50 |
| 60 | $5(0)$ | 40 | 1051 | 55 |
| 61 | 460 | 40 | 996 | 60 |
| 62 | 420 | 38 | 9.36 | 60 |

EE 2
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TABLE XLVI. continued.

| Age. | Mays. |  | Fenavise. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Living. | Decremento, | Living. | Decremeats: |
| 63 | 382 | 35 | 876 | 55 |
| 64 | 347 | 32 | 821 | 53 |
| 65 | 315 | 30 | 768 | 1 49 |
| 66 | 285 | 28 | 719 | - 49 |
| 67 | 257 | 25 | 670 | - 49 |
| 68 | 238 | 22 | 621 | - 49 |
| 69 | 210 | 22 | 572 | - 49 |
| 70 | 198 | 20 | 528 | - 49 |
| 71 | 168 | 20 | 474 | - 49 |
| 72 | 148 | 18 | 425 | 49 |
| 73 | 130 | 17 | 376 | 49 |
| 74 | 113 | 17 | 327 | - 49 |
| 75 | 96 | 16 | 278 | 145 |
| 76 | 80 | 15 | 233 | ' 40 |
| 77 | 65 | 15 | 195 | ! 35 |
| 78 | 50 | 11 | 158 | - 30 |
| 79 | 39 | 9 | 128 | 125 |
| 80 | 30 | 7 | 103 | 23 |
| 81 | 23 | 5 | 80 | 20 |
| 82 | 18 | 4 | 60 | 17 |
| 83 | 14 | 4 | 43 | - 12 |
| 84 | 10 | 3 | 31 | 10 |
| 85 | 7 | 2 | 21 | 7 |
| 86 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 5 |
| 87 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 4 |
| 88 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| 89 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 90 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 147593 | 10000 | 185924 | 10000 |

Com:

Comparison of the Duration of the Lives of
Males and Females, according to the preceding Table.

| Ages. | Expectations of <br> Males. | Expectations of <br> Females. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birth | 14.25 | 18.10 |
| 5 | 81.05 | 37.12 |
| 10 | 30.00 | 36.89 |
| 15 | 26.74 | 33.43 |
| 20 | 23.85 | 30.01 |
| 25 | 21.40 | 26.80 |
| 30 | 19.42 | 23.98 |
| 35 | 17.58 | 21.62 |
| 40 | 15.61 | 19.25 |
| 45 | 13.78 | 17.17 |
| 50 | 11.95 | 15.12 |
| 55 | 10.30 | 12.89 |
| 60 | 8.69 | 10.45 |
| 65 | 7.39 | 8.39 |
| 70 | 5.81 | 0.16 |
| 75 | 4.09 | 4.39 |

From this comparison, and from Tables XLII. and XLIV. p. 388 and 404, it appears, that the difference between the duration of the lives of males and females is least in the kingdom of Sweden at large, greater at Chester, and greatest at Stockholm, which seems to indicate that this is a difference not' entirely natural.

## TABLE XLVII.

Shewing the Values of Annuities on Single Lives among Males and Females, according to the Probabilities of the Duration of Life in the Kingdom of Sweden. See 'Table XLIV. page 404.

|  | Males. <br> 4 per cent | per cent | 4 per cen |  |  | neral. per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16.jos | 14. | 16 | 14.271 | 16.661 | 14.161 |
| 2 | $17: 355$ | 14.77 | 17.719 | 15034 | 17.537 | 6 |
| 3 | 17.935 | 15.279 | 18.344 | 15.571 | 18.139 | 15.425 |
| 4 | 18.398 | 15.624 | 18.750 | 15.951 | 15.554 | 5.787 |
| $j$ | : 8.ju3 | 15.780 | 1.4 .927 | 16.088 | 18.71 .5 | 15.937 |
| 6 | 15.622 | 15.901 | 19. | 16.203 | 18.333 | 16.052 |
| 7 | 18.693 | 15.477 | 19.131 | 16.291 | 18.912 | 4 |
| 8 | 18.125 | 10.021 | 19.162 | 16.335 | 18.943 | 8 |
| 9 | 18.715 | 16.0 | 19.15] | 16.343 | 18.933 | 6 |
| 10 | 15.674 | '16.014 | 19.109 | 16.325 | 18.891 |  |
| 11 | 18.600 | 15.90 | 19.041 | 16.286 | 18.820 | 8 |
| 12 | 18.491 | 15.589 | 18.952 | 16929 | 18.721 | 2 |
| 13 | 18.375 | 15.879 | 18.540 | 10.150 | 18.609 | 15.986 |
| 14 | 18.246 | $1.502+$ | 18.707 | 16.059 | 18.476 | 15.891 |
| 15 | 18.10j | 15.62 .4 | 18.5 (is | 13.960 | 18.330 | 15.742 |
| 16 | 17.95 | 15.517 | 18.494 | 15.556 | 15.191 | 15.686 |
| 17 | 17.503 | $1.5 .40 \%$ | 15.290 | 15.761 | 12.046 | 15.582 |
| 18 | 17.64 | 15.引5\% | 15.151 | 15.66 | 17.897 | 15.473 |
| 19 | $17.4!9$ | 15.175 | 15.013 | 15.56 | $17.75 ?$ | 13.369 |
| 20 | 17.335 | 15.059 | 17.372 | 15.4 | 17.603 | 15.200 |
| 21 | 17.1 | $1+.955$ | 17.725 | 15.35 | 17.458 | 15.1 .55 |
| $\because ?$ | $17.04 \%$ | 14.056 | 17.573 | 15.24 | 17.307 | 15. |
| 23 | 16.587 | 14.752 | 17.414 | 15.19 ! | 17.150 |  |
| 24. | 16.7.80 | 12.627 | 17.252 | 15.009 | 16.997 |  |
| 23 | 16.592 | 14.517 | 17.087 | 14.586 | 16.530 | 14 |
| $\because$ | 16.130 | 14.402 | 16.915 | $1+.65$ | 16.975 |  |
| 27 | 16.974 | 14.289 | 16.751 | 14.63 | 16.512 | 1 |
| ? | 16.10j | 14.150 | 16.588 | 14.j1 | $16.3 \div$ | 14. |
| 24 | 15.930 | $14.69+$ | 16.427 | $1+390$ | 16.178 |  |
| 30 | 15.751 | 13.859 | 16.361 | 14.974 | 16.006 | 14.0 |
| $\cdots$ | 1.3..75 | 13.750 | $16.10+$ | 14.156 | 15.830 |  |
| 3 | 15.365 | 13.619 | $15.9+1$ | 14.035 | 13.608 |  |
| 33 | $13 . \because$ | 13.777 | 15.757 | 13.923 | 15.4 .97 |  |
| 34 | 10.614 | 13.3:7 | 15.0ㅇ? | 13.80) | 15.821 | 13 |
| 35 | 14.812 | 1.j.17c | 11.1 .5 .45 | 1.3 .68 .4 | 15.15S | 13. |

TABLE XLVII. continued.

| Ages. | Males. per cent 5 | per cent | 14 per cent |  | Lives in 4 per cent's | eneral. <br> percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | 14.601 | 13.006 | 15.278 | 13.542 | 14.939 | 13.274 |
| 37 | 14.882 | 12.533 | 15.070 | 13.38: | 14.726 | 13.107 |
| 83 | $1+.154$ | 12.652 | 14.854 | 13.213 | 1.4.50+ | 12.932 |
| 39 | 13.916 | 12.462 | 14.629 | 013.036 | 14.272 | 12.749 |
| 4) | 13.668 | 12.261 | 14.401 | 12.856 | 14:034 | 12.558 |
| 41 | 13.126 | 12.065 | $1+.185$ | 12.687 | 13.S05. | 12.376 |
| 42 | 13.196 | 11.880 | 13.994 | 12.538 | 13.595 | 12.209 |
| 43 | 12.984 | 11.710 | 13.798 | 12.387 | 13.391 | 12.048 |
| 44 | 12.763 | 11.532 | 13.596 | $12.2 \div 9$ | 13.179 | 11.880 |
| 45 | 12.535 | $11.3+7$ | 13.383 | 12.061 | 12.959 | 11.704 |
| 46 | 12.297 | 11.153 | 13.151 | 11.876 | 12.724 | 11.514 |
| 47 | 12.051 | 10.951 | 12.89.4 | 11.668 | 12.47\% | 11.309 |
| 48 | 11.79 .5 | 10.738 | 12.620 | $11.4+3$ | 12.217 | 11.090 |
| 49 | 11.528 | 10.516 | 12.333 | 11.205 | 11.930 | 10.860 |
| 50 | 11.267 | 10.298 | 12.049 | 10.970 | 11.658 | 10.684 |
| 31 | 11.030 | 10.100 | 11.769 | $10.73 i$ | 11.399 | 10.418 |
| 52 | 10.785 | 9.895 | 11.492 | 10.507 | 11.138 | 10.201 |
| 53 | 10.531 | 9.682 | 11.220 | 10.980 | 10.875 | 9.981 |
| 54 | 10.269 | 9.460 | 10.937 | 10.042 | 10.603 | 9.751 |
| 55 | 9.998 | 9.229 | 10.642 | 9.792 | 10.320 | 9.510 |
| 56 | 9.717 | 8.988 | 10.33.4 | 9.529 | 10.025 | 9.258 |
| 57 | 9.425 | 8.736 | $10.01 ?$ | $9.25 i$ | 9.718 | $8.09+$ |
| 58 | 9.140 | 8.489 | 9.692 | 8.976 | $9 .+16$ | 8.732 |
| 59 | 8.945 | 8.239 | 9.35 s | 8.68 t | 9.101 | 8.458 |
| 60 | 8.540 | 7.963 | 9.039 | 8.406 | 8.759 | 8.18+ |
| 61 | 8.241 | 7.700 | 8.759 | $8.14+$ | 8.490 | 7.922 |
| 62 | 7.950 | $7.4+2$ | 8.453 | 7.895 | 8.901 | 7.068 |
| 63 | 7.669 | 7.193 | 8.166 | 7.643 | 7.917 | 7.118 |
| 64 | 7.382 | 6.93is | 7.570 | 7.382 | 7.626 | 7.100 |
| 6.5 | 7.090 | 6.676 | 7.566 | 7.111 | 7.328 | 6.593 |
| 60 | 6.792 | 6.708 | 7.2 .52 | 6.831 | 7.020 | 6.619 |
| 67 | 6.489 | 6.134 | 6.930 | $6.5+1$ | 6.709 | 6.337 |
| 68 | 6.201 | 5.572 | 6.596 | 6.239 | 6.398 | 6.055 |
| 69 | 5.933 | 5.628 | 6.253 | 5.926 | 6.0113 | 5.75 |
| 70 | 5.60 | *.389 | 5.8597 | 5.599 | 5.783 | $5.49+$ |
| 71 | 5.418 | 5.158 | 5.564 | 5.293 | 5.491 | 5.225 |
| 72 | 5.180 | $4.9+0$ | ' 5.261 | $5.01: 3$ | 5.920 | 4.9 .6 |
| 73 | $4.9+0$ | 4.719 | 4.998 | 4.770 | 4.969 | 4.744 |
| 74 | 4.724 | 4.521 | 4.792 | 4.581 | 4.7 is | 4.i.is |
| 75 | 4.497 | 4.302 | 4.582 | 4.388 | 4.5\%t | 4.3+5 |
| 76 | 4.253 | 4.08t | 4.307 | 4.189 | 4.310 | 4.136 |
| 77 | 4.024 | 43.871 | 4.14 .5 | 3.983 | 3 4.031. | 3.427 |

TABLE XLVII. continuet.

| Ages | Maxis. 4 per cent\|5 per cent |  | Females. 4 per cent 5 per ceat |  | Lives in general. 4 yer cent 5 per cent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78 | 3.768 | 3.631 | 3.913 | 3.767 | 3.840 | 3.699 |
| 79 | 3.512 | 3.390 | 3.668 | 3.536 | 3.590 | 3.463 |
| 89 | 3.260 | 4.152 | 3.40\% | 3.285 | 3.331 | 3.218 |
| 81 | 3.017 | 2.921 | 3.145 | S.041 | 3.081 | 2.981 |
| 82 | 2.792 | 2.706 | 2.905 | 2.812 | 2.848 | 2.759 |
| 83 | 2.600 | 2.523 | 2.699 | 2.615 | 2.649 | 2.569 |
| 84 | 2.473 | 2.403 | 2.559 | 2.480 | 2.516 | 2.441 |
| 85 | 2.371 | 2.306 | 2.552 | 2.476 | 2.461 | 2.391 |
| 86 | 2.281 | 2.222 | 2.518 | 2.446 | 2.399 | 2.334 |
| 87 | 2.154 | 2.103 | 2.431 | 2.365 | 2.292 | 2.238 |
| 88 | 1.955 | 1.912 | 2.294 | 2.236 | 2.124 | 2.074 |
| 39 | 1.698 | 1.664 | 2.108 | 2.059 | 1.003 | 1.861 |
| 90 | 1.417 | 1.392 | 1.873 | 1.833 | 1.645 | 1.612 |
| 91 | 1.154 | 1.136 | 1.628 | 1.596 | 1.391 | 1.366 |
| 92 | 0.835 | 0.824 | 1.349 | 1.325 | 1.092 | 1.074 |
| 93 | 0.477 | 0.471 | 1.071 | 1.054 | 0.774 | 0.762 |
| 94 | 0.240 | 0.238 | 0.799 | 0.788 | 0.519 | 0.513 |
| 95 | 0000 | 0.000 | 0.544 | 0.537 |  |  |
| 96 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.320 | 0.317 |  |  |

## TABLE

## Tables:

## TABLE XLVIII.

Shewing the Yalues of Annuities on two joint Lives, according to the Probabilities (in Table. XLV.) of the Duration of Human Life among Males and Females collectively, reckoning Interest at 4 per cent.

Interest $\&$ per cent.
Differences of Age, $0,6,12$, and 18 Years:

| Ages. \|Values. | Ages. | ues. | Ages. |  | cs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-1 12.252 | 1- | 13.989 | 1-13 | 13.894 | 1-19 | 13.389 |
| 2- 2113.54 3 | 2-8 | 14.780 | 2-14 | 14.557 | $2-20$ | 14.008 |
| 3- 3 14.558 | 3-9 | 15.323 | 3-1.5 | 14.988 | 3-21 | 14.417 |
| 4-4 4 15.267 | 4-10 | 15.685 | 4-16 | 15.259 | 4-82 | 14.671 |
| 5- 5 15.577 | 5-11 | 15.817 | 5-17 | 15.326 | 5-23 | 14.725 |
| 6-6 15.820 | 6-12 | 15.887 | 6-18 | 15.354 | 6-24 | 14.740 |
| 7-716.003 | 7-13 | 15.914 | 7-19 | 15.351 | 7-25 | 14.787 |
| 8- 3 16.10g | 8-14 | 15.888 | 8-20 | 15.310 | 8-26 | 14.673 |
| 9-916.152 | 9-15 | 15.824. | 9-21 | $15.24+$ | 9-27 | 14.590 |
| 10-10\|16.141 | 10-16 | 15.729 | 10-22 | 15.149 | 10-23 | 14.484 |
| \|1-11| 16.087 | 11-17 | 15.617 | 11-23 | 15.033 | 11-29 | 14.357 |
| 12-12 15.982 | 12-18 | 15.477 | 12-24 | 14.889 | 12-30 | 14.202 |
| 13-13\|15.855 | 13-19 | 15.327 | 13-25 | 14.736 | 13-31 | 14.045 |
| 14-14 15.701 | 14-20 | 15.164 | 14-26 | 14.566 | 14-32 | 13.874 |
| 15-15 15.535 | 15-21 | 15.001 | 15-27 | 14.392 | 15-33 | 13.700 |
| \|6-16|15.361 | 16-22 | 14.832 | 16-28 | 14.216 | 16-34 | 13.520 |
| 17-17\|15.196| | 17-23 | 14.665 | 17-29 | 14.042 | 17-35 | 13.340 |
| 18-18 15.023 | 18-24 | 14.491 | 18-30 | 13.860 | 18-36 | 13.141 |
| 19-19 14.8554 | 19-25 | 14.320 | 19-31 | 13.687 | 19-37 | 12.934 |
| 20-20 14.682 | 20-26 | 14.14.4 | 20-32 | 13.512 | 20-38 | 12.720 |
| 21-21 14.525 | 21-271 | 13.976 | 21-33 | 13.345 | 21-39 | 12.505 |
| 22-22 14.360 | 22-28 | 13.807 | 22-34 | 13.173 | 22-40 | 12.286 |
| 23-23 14.19 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 23-29 | 13.635 | -3-35 | 12.997 | 23-41 | 12.073 |
| 24-24, 14.020 | 24-30 | 13.455 | 24-36 | 12.801 | 24-42 | 11.873 |
| 25-25 13.84,9 | 25-31 | 13.284 | 25-37 | 12.599 | 25-43 | 11.683 |
| 26-26 13.671 | 26-32 | 13.108 | 26-38 | 12.387 | 26-44 | 11.485 |
| 27-27 13.495 | 27-33 | 12.935 | 27-39 | 12.170 | 27-45 | 11.284 |
| 28-28 13.323 | 28-34 | 12.763 | 28-40 | 11.953 | 28-46 | 11.072 |
| 29-29 13.148 | 29-35 1 | 12.586 | 29-41 | 11.742 | 29-47 | 10.347 |
| 30-30 12.965 | - $0-36$ | 12.390 | 30-42 | 11.543 | 30-48 | 10.606 |
| 31-31 12.795 | 31-37 | 12.192 | 31-43 | 11.359 | 31-49 | 10.365 |
| \|32-32 12.624 | 32 | 1.988 | 32-44 | 1.170 | 32-50 | 10.128 |

## TABLE XLVIII. continued.

Interest 4 per cent.

|  | Values. | Ages. |  | Ages. | Values. | Ages. | Values. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33-35 | 12.456 | 33-89 | 11.779 | 33-45 | 10.978 | 33-51 | 9.905 |
| 34-34 | 12.286 | 34-40 | 11.568 | 34-46 | 10.775 | 34-52 | 9.679 |
| 35-35 | 12.109 | 35-4 1 | 11.561 | 35-47 | 10.557 | 35-53 | 9.452 |
| 36-36 | 11.904 | 3-42 | 11.156 | 36-48 | 10.314 | 36-54 | 9.207 |
| 37-37 | 11.683 | 37-43 | 10.953 | 37-49 | 10.059 | 37-55 | 8.951 |
| 38-38 | 11.4 .)2 | 38-44 | 10.741 | 38-50 | 9.805 | 38-56 | 8.683 |
| 39-39 | 11.209 | 39-45 | 10.519 | 39-51 | 9.558 | 39-57 | 8.404 |
| 40-40 | 10.964 | 40-46 | 10.286 | 40-52 | 9.308 | 40-58 | 8.124 |
| 41-41 | 10.732 | 41-47 | 10.049 | 41-53 | 9.066 | 41-59 | 7.839 |
| 42-42 | 10.531 | 42-48 | 9.813 | 42-54 | 8.830 | 42-60 | 7.569 |
| 43-43 | 10.346 | 43-49 | 9.581 | 43-55 | 8.597 | 43-61 | 7.318 |
| 44-44 | 10.154 | 44-50 | 9.351 | 44-56 | 8.354 | 44-62 | 7.075 |
| 45-45 | 9.954 | 45-51 | 9.129 | 45-57 | 8.101 | 45-63 | 6.836 |
| 46-46 | 9.736 | 46-52 | 8.597 | 46-53 | 7.841 | 46-64 | 6.586 |
| 47-47 | 9.497 | 47-53 | 8.658 | 47-59 | 7.563 | 47-65 | 6.323 |
| 48-48 | 9.236 | 48-54 | 8.402 | 48-60 | 7.281 | 48-66 | 6.048 |
| 49-49 | 8.966 | 49-55 | 8.139 | +9-61 | 7.008 | 49-67 | 5.764 |
| 50-50 | 8.707 | 50-56 | 7.574 | 50-62 | 6.749 | 50-68 | 5.487 |
| 51-51 | 8.469 | 51-57 | 7.613 | 51-63 | 6.505 | 51-69 | 5.221 |
| 52-52 | 8.230 | 52-58 | 7.351 | 52-64 | 6.256 | 52-70 | 4.953 |
| 53-53 | 7.994 | 53-59 | 7.083 | 53-65 | 6.004 | 53-71 | 4.694 |
| 54-54 | 7.748 | 54-60 | 6.814 | 54-66 | 5.743 | 54-72 | 4.455 |
| 35-55 | 7.495 | 55-61 | 6.555 | 5'J-67 | 5.474 | 55-73 | 4.231 |
| 56-56 | 7.229 | 56-62 | 6.299 | 56-6s | 5.204 | 56-74 | 4.043 |
| 57-57 | 6.954 | 57-63 | 6.045 | 57-69 | 4.936 | 57-75 | 3.844 |
| 58-58 | 6.678 | 58-6.4 | 5.78 S | 58-70 | 4.664 | 5-76 | 3.637 |
| 59-59 | 6.388 | 9-65 | 5.519 | $5{ }^{(0)-71}$ | 4.395 | 39-77 | 3.430 |
| 60-60 | 6.104 | 60-66 | 5.249 | 60-72 | 4.149 | 60-78 | 3.210 |
| 61-61 | $5.8+4$ | 61-67 | 4.954 | 61-73 | 3.92\% | $51-79$ | 2.97.4 |
| 62-62 | 5.600 | 52-68 | 4.729 | 6-74 | 3.747 | 62-80 | 2.744 |
| (33-63 | 5.367 | 63-69 | 4.48 ? | $63-75$ | $3.56 \%$ | $63-8$ | 2.357 |
| 64-64 | 5.128 | 6.1-0 | 4.231 | 64-76 | $3 . .370$ | 64-82 | 2.396 |
| (5) -6, | 4.831 | (i.j-71 | 3.982 | (i5-77 | 3.180 | 65-8 | 2.222 |
| 66-06 | $4.6 \pm 6$ | $66-7$ | 3.750 | 66-78 | 2.974 | 66-84 | 2.123 |
| 67-67 | 4.302 | $67-73$ | 3.527 | 67-79 | 2.743 | 67-85 | 2.010 |
| 68-68 | 4.130 | SS-74 | 3.510 | (is-s0 | 2.514 | 68-86 | 1.910 |
| 69-69 | 3.8 .1 | (697-75 | 3.117 | 69-81 | 2.3:4 | (i9-87 | 1.748 |
| 70-70 | 3.5,93 | $170-76$ | 2.946 | 70-82 | 2.155 | $70-88$ | 1.661 |
| $71-71$ | 3.345 | 71-77 | $2.75 ?$ | -1-83 | 2.001 | $71-89$ | 1.464 |
| 72-72 | 3.198 | 72-78 | 2.558 | 79-84 | 1.875 | 72-90 | 1.189 |
| 73-73 | 2.93 .5 | 73-79 | 2.355 | 73-85 | 1.7.0s | 73-91 | 0.937 |

## Tables.

## TABLE XLVIII. continued.

Interest 4 per cent. .

| Ages. | Values. | Ages. | Values. | Ages. | Values. | Ages. | Values. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74-74 | 2.797 | 74-80 | 2.172 | 74-86 | 1.692 | 74-02 | 0.708 |
| 75-75 | 2.648 | 75-81 | 2.017 | 75-87 | 1.605 | 75-93 | 0.575 |
| 76-76 | 2.490 | 76-82 | 1.877 | 76-88 | 1.497 | 76-94 | 0.481 |
| 77-77 | 2.340 | 77-83 | 1.756 | 77-89 | 1.339 | 77-95 | 0. 221 |
| 78-78 | 2.170 | 78-54 | 1.639 | 78-90 | 1.097 |  |  |
| 79-79 | 1.967 | 79-85 | 1.52.4 | (79-91 | 0.638 |  |  |
| 80-80 | 1.758 | \| $\begin{aligned} & 80-86 \\ & 81-87\end{aligned}$ | 1.416 1.320 | ( | 0.511 |  |  |
| 81-81 | 1.600 | -81-87 | 1.320 |  | 0.511 |  |  |
| [82-82 | 1.472 | \|-82-88 | 1.225 1.094 | 83-95 | 0.379 |  |  |
| 83-83 | 1.364 | $83-89$ <br> $84-90$ | 1.094 0.902 | 83-95 | 0.37 |  |  |
| 84-84 | 1.276 | $84-90$ $85-91$ | 0.902 0.725 |  |  |  |  |
| 85-85 | 1.212 | 85-91 | 0.725 |  |  |  |  |
| . 86-86 | 1.172 | S6-92 | 0.556 |  |  |  |  |
| 87-87 | 1.127 | 87-93 | 0.459 |  |  |  |  |
| 88-88 | 1.071 | 88-94 | 0.396 |  | . |  |  |
| 89-89 | 0.949 | 89-95 | 0.364 |  |  |  |  |
| 90-90 | 0.718 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91-91 | 0.516 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 192-92 | 0326 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93-93 | 0.236 |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 94-94 | 0.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-95 | 0.024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE

## TABLE XLIX.

Shewing the Values of Two joint Lives, according to the Probabilities (in Table XLV.) of the Duration of. Human Life among Males and Females collectively.

Interget 4 per cent.
Differences of Age 24, 30, 36, and 42 Years.

| Age. |  | Ages. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12.832 |  | 12.196 | 1-37 | 11.46 |  |  |
| 2-26 | 13.409 | 2-32 | 12.730 | 2-38 | 11.913 | 2-44 | 10.946 |
| 3 | 13.778 | S-33 | 13.066 | 3-39 |  | 3-45 | 11.168 |
| 4-28 | 14.003 | $4-34$ | 13.264 | $4-40$ | 12.284 | 4-45 | 11.260 |
| 5-29 | 14.037 | 5-35 | 13.277 | 5-41 | 12.242 | 5-47 | 11.183 |
| 6-30 | 14.033 | 6-36 | 13.242 | 6-42 | 12.185 | 6-48 | 11.064 |
| 7-31 | 14.006 | 7-37 | 13.170 | 7-43 | 12. | 7-49 | 10.915 |
| 8-32 | 13.944 | 8-38 | 13.059 | 8-44 | 2. |  | 743 |
| 9-33 | 13.855 | 9-39 | 12.913 | 9-45 | 11.865 | 9-51 | 10.560 |
| 10-34 | 13.741 | 10-40 | 12.743 | 10-46 | 11.694 | 0-52 | 10.357 |
| 41-35 | 13.604 | 11-4, | 12.563 | 11-47 | 11.493 | 11-53 | 10.140 |
| 42-36 | 13.428 | 12-42 | 12.379 | 12-48 | 11.259 | 12-54 | 9.898. |
| 13-37 | 13.234 | 13-43 | 12.196 | 13-49 | 11.011 | 12 | 9.644 |
| (4-38 | 13.023 | 14-44 | 11.997 | 14-50 | 10.759 | - | 9.371 |
| 15-39 | 12.798 | 15-45 | 11.787 | 15-51 | 10.514 | 15-57 | 9.087 |
| 16-40 | 12.570 | 16-46 | 11.562 | 16-52 | 10,264 | 16-58 | 8.799 |
| 17-41 | 12.351 | 17-47 | 11.328 | 17-53 | 10.018 | 17 | 8.503 |
| 18 -4 | 12.146 | 18-48 | 11.076 | 1-54 | 9.761 | 18-60 | 8.203 |
| 19-4 | 11.951 | 19-49 | 10.819 | 19-55 | 9.500 | 19-6 | 7.928 |
| 20-44 | 11.751 | 20-50 | 10.567 | 20-5 | 9.228 | 20-6 | 7.658 |
| 21-45 | 11.550 | 21-51 | 10.332 | $21-57$ | 8.953 | 1-6 | 7.396 |
| 2-4-46 | 11.335 | 22-52 | 10.092 | 22-58 | 8.675 | 22-6 | 7.127 |
| 23-47 | 11.107 | 23-53 | 9.852 | 2.9-59 | 8.385 | 23-6 | 6.851 |
| 24-48 | 10.862 | 24-54 | 9602 | 24-60 | 8.097 | 24-6 | 6.506 |
| 25-49 | 10.612 | 25-55 | 9.347 | 25-6 | 7.823 | 25-67 | 6.275 |
| 26-50 | $10.36+$ | 26- | 9.080 | 26-62 | 7.55 | 26-68 | 5.986 |
| 27-51 | 10.130 | 27-57 | 8.807 | 27-63 | 7.287 | 27-79 | 5.702 |
| 28--2 | 9.894 | 23-58 | 8.534 | 28-64 | 7.032 | 28-70 | 5.415 |
| 29-53 | 9.659 | 29-59 | 8.250 | 29-65 | 6.761 | 29-71 | 5.156 |
| 30-5 | 9.413 | 30-60 | 7.967 | 30-6 | 6.481 | 30-72 | 4.881 |
| 31-5 | 9.167 | 31-61 | 7.702 | 31-67 | 6.197 | 31-73 | 4.646 |
| $32-56$ | 8.912 | 32-62 | 7.446 | 32-68 | 5.917 | 32-74 | 4.453 |
| 33-57 | 8.(ij 1 | 33-63 | 7.196 | 33-69 | 5.642 | 33-7. | 4.251 |
| 3+-5: | 8.389 | 34-64 | 6.942 | 34-70 | 5.364 | 34-76 | 4.040 |
| 35-59 | 8.114 | 35- | 6.679 | 35-71 | 5.093 | 35-77 | 3.833 |
| \% 8 -6i | 7.833 |  |  | 36-72 | 4.8 | 36-7 | 8. |

## TABLE XLIX. continued.

Intrabist 4 per cent.

| Agen | Values. | Ages. | Values. | Ages. | Values. | Ages. | Values, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87-61 | 7.561 | 37-67 | 6.115 | 37-73 | 4.603 | 37-79 | 3.352 |
| 38-62 | 7296 | 38-68 | 5.828 | 38-74. | 4.405 | 38-80 | 3.098 |
| 39-63 | 7.033 | 39-69 | 5.543 | 39-75 | 4.195 | 39-81 | 2.889 |
| 40-64 | 6.763 | 40-70 | 5.254 | 40-76 | 3.975 | 40-82 | 2.710 |
| 41.65 | 6.492 | 41-71 | 4.977 | 41-77 | 3.762 | 41-83 | 2.553 . |
| 42-66 | 6.225 | 42-72 | 4.730 | 42-78 | 3.539 | 42-84 | 2.418 |
| 43-67 | 5.957 | 43-73 | 4.507 | 43-79 | 3.295 | 43-35 | $2.305{ }^{\prime}$ |
| 44-68 | 5.689 | 44-74 | 4.322 | 44-80 | 3.052 | 44-86 | 2.203 |
| 45-69 | 5.426 | 45-75 | 4.128 | 45-81 | 2.854 | 45-87 | 2.083 |
| 46-70 | 5.153 | 46-76 | 3.921 | 46-82 | 2.684 | 46-88 | 1.933 . |
| 47-71 | 4.884 | 47-77 | 3.715 | $47-83$ | 2.533 | 47-89 | 1.708 |
| 18-72 | 4.633 | 48-78 | 3.489 | 48-84 | 2.396 | 48-90 | 1.385 |
| 49-73 | 4.398 | 49-79 | 3.238 | 49-85 | 2.277 | 49-91 | 1.090 |
| 50-74 | 4.205 | 50-80 | 2.990 | 50-86 | 2.171 | 50-92 | 0.818 |
| 51-75 | 4.008 | 51-81 | 2.792 | 51-87 | 2.050 | 51-93 | 0.662 |
| 52-76 | 3.803 | 52-82 | 2.623 | 52-88 | 1.901 | 52-94 | 0.551 |
| 53-77 | 3.605 | 53-83 | 2.475 | 53-89 | 1.681 | 53-95 | 0.468 |
| 54-78 | 3.389 | 54-84 | 2.344 | 54-90 | 1.366 |  |  |
| 55-79 | 3.150 | 55-85 | 2.232 | 55-91 | 1.078 |  | 1 |
| 56.80 | 2.909 | 56-86 | 2.130 | 56-92 | 0.810 |  |  |
| 57-81 | 2.710 | 57-87 | 2.010 | 57-93 | 0.655 |  |  |
| 58.82 | 2.539 | 58-83 | 1.864 | 58-94 | 0.546 |  |  |
| 59-83 | 2.385 | 59-89 | 1.644 | 59-95 | 0.464 |  |  |
| 60-84 | 2.248 | 60-90 | 1.333 |  |  |  |  |
| $61-85$ | 2.135 | 61-91 | 1.050 |  |  |  |  |
| 62-86 | 2.037 | 62-92 | 0.789 |  |  |  |  |
| 63-87 | 1.926 | 63-93 | 0.639 |  |  |  |  |
| 64-88 | 1.790 | 64-94 | 0.533 |  |  |  |  |
| $65-89$ | 1.585 | 65-95 | 0.456 |  |  |  |  |
| 66-90 | 1.290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67-91 | 1.017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68-92 | 0.764 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69-93 | 0.617 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $70-94$ $71-95$ | 0.514  <br> 3 0.441 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Remarics.

## Remarks.

THE directions given at the end of Table XXXIV. for using the tables of the values of joint lives deduced from the Northampton Observations, are applicable to the last two Tables, and may be easily adapted to them, by taking the differences of age in those directions at six years and its multiples, instead of five years and its multiples.

- The values of joint lives in these Tables have been computed for only one rate of interést; and of single lives in Table XLVII. for only two rafes of interest. The rules which have been given in the first volume, p. 221, shew, that it would be a needless labour to compute such values, in strict conformity to the observations, for any other rates of interest.

THE last three Tables, I reckon the most important in this collection, not only because the only ones that give the separate values of the lives of males and females, and because derived from observations in their nature more correct, but on account of their particular use in furnishing instruction to the numerous institutions for granting annuities to widows. Mr. Wargentin informs me, that even in Sweden several societies of this kind have become bankrupts for want of such instruction. I think it, therefore, necessary to add the following Table.

## TABLE L.

Shewing the Value of an Annuity for the Life of a Wife after the Death of her Husband; deduced from the Swfoden Observations on the separate Probabilitics of the duration of Life among Males and Females.
The Annuity 10l.——Interest 4 per cent.

| Wife's | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Huc- } \\ \text { band's } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value of } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Single } \\ \text { Payment } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ta Aun } \\ & \text { Mamual } \\ & \text { Payment } \end{aligned}$ | Wife's | band Sise. | Value of Smit Pay ment. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { we lmmity } \\ & \text { Aumual } \\ & \text { Payment. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 |  | \& | E | 20 |  | ${ }_{2}{ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | 16 | 30.03 | 1.87 |  | 20 | 31.90 | 2.03 |
|  | 22 | 35.92 | 2.26 |  | 26 | 37.28 | 2.46 |
|  | 28 | 42.08 | 2.76 |  | 32 | 43.60 | 3.00 |
|  | 34 | 49.04 | 3.38 |  | 38 | 51.52 | 3.80 |
|  | 40 | 58.54 | 4.31 |  | 44 | 61.21 | 4.80 |
|  | 46 | 68.62 | 5.46 |  | 50 | 73.05 | 6.31 |
|  | 52 | 81.60 | 7.24 |  | 56 | 56.44 | 8.26 |
|  | 58 | 96.25 | 9.82 |  | 62 | 102.14 | 11.79 |

## Tables.

## ;TABLE L. continued.

| $\begin{array}{\|} \text { Wifests } \\ \text { Age. } \end{array}$ | Hus Age. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value of the } \\ & \text { Single } \\ & \text { Payment. } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\mid}{\substack{\text { Annuity } \\ \text { Payment. } \\ \hline}}$ | Wife's | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hus- } \\ \text { band's } \\ \text { Age. } \end{gathered}$ | Value of t <br> Single <br> Paym. | CheAnn. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 30 \\ 36 \\ 42 \\ 48 \\ 54 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | \& | $\mathscr{8}$ | 42 |  | d | \&. |
|  |  | 32.32 | 2.45 |  | 42 | 34.62 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 37.97 | 2.62 |  | 48 | 41.81 | t 3.86 |
|  |  | -44.51 | 3.22 |  | 54 | 51.63 | 5.25 |
|  |  | 53.79 | 4.18 |  | 60 | 64.25 | 7.49 |
|  |  | 63.90 | 5.38 |  | 66 | 77.69 | 10.75 |
|  |  | 76.50 | 7.21 |  | 72 | 92.63 | 16.16 |
|  |  | 91.55 |  | 46 | 46 | 34.15 | 3.18 |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 34 \\ & 40 \\ & 46 \\ & 52 \\ & 58 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | 32.64 | 2.28 |  | 52 | 42.54 | 4.29 |
|  |  | 38.25 | 2.77 |  | 58 | 53.10 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 46.35 | 3.58 |  | 84 | 6565 | 8.65 |
|  |  | 55.16 | 4.57 |  | 70 | 79.97 | 12.99 |
|  |  | 66.94 | 8.45 | 50 | 50 | 33.42 | 3.44 |
|  |  | 80.54 05.56 | 8.45 11.90 |  | 56 | 41.75 | 4.70 |
|  |  | 95.56 |  |  | 62 | 53.00 | 6.83 |
| 32 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 2} \\ & 38 \\ & 44 \\ & 50 \\ & 56 \\ & 62 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | 33.16 | 2.43 |  | 68 | 65.62 | 10.1 |
|  |  | 39.52 | 3.04 | 54 | 54 |  | 3.63 |
|  |  | 47.71 58.13 | 3.92 5.22 |  | 60 | 41.23 | 5.27 |
|  |  | 70.29 | 5.22 7.09 |  | 66 | 51.94 | 7.70 |
|  |  | 84.95 | 10.05 |  | 72 | 64.82 | 11.88 |
|  |  | 100.24 | 14.49 | 58 | 58 | 30.1 | 3.92 |
| 36 | 36 | 33.74 | 2.61 |  | 64 | 39.04 | 5.7 |
|  | 42 | 41.81 | 2.86 |  | 70 | 50.28 | 8 |
|  | 48 | 49.64 | 4.38 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 54 | 61.71 | 6.04 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60 | 74.44 | 8.43 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 66 | 88.76 | 12.00 |  |  |  |  |

Remaris.

THE single payments in this table are the excesses multiplied by 10 of the values of female lives in Table XLYII. above the values of the joint lives of males and females in Tables XLVIII. and XLIX. And the annual payments are the quotients arising from dividing the single payments by the values of the joint lives increased by unity, agreeably to the rules in Vol. I. p. 14, 15, and 16. The annual payments, therefore, suppose that the first is to be made immediately; and that they are to be continued during the joint duration of the lives of the wife and husband. And both the annual and single payments include the whole value of the annuity, and consequently suppose that if one is preferred the other is excused.

One circumstance a little curious appears in this Table. It shows, that the value in a single payment of an annuity during the survivorship of one life after another (when the difference of age is not very great) is less in the younger ages, and greatest in the middle ages. This is owing to the high probabilities of living in the younger ages, in consequence of which it happens that the survivorship is postponed to a period so late as to $\operatorname{sink}$ the value of the annuity more on that account than it is raised by the longer duration of the survivorship.

[^89]The values in this Table would have been (supposing the ages of husbands and wives equal or nearly equal) from an 8 th to a 12th or 13 th lower than they are, had they been computed from the means between the values of the lives of males and females in Table XLVII; that is, from the values of lives in the kingdom of Sweden taken in the gross, without distinguishing between males and females. There is, therefore, a deficiency to this amount in such values when deduced from the common Tables of single and joint lives.

In Vol. I. p. 133, an account has been given of an institution in the duchy of Oldenberg, which provides annuities for widows, at prices specified in Tables correctly calculated by Mr. Oeder, from the values of single and joint lives according to Mr. Susmilch's Table of Mortality. Another institution of the same kind at Hamburgh, has been described in p. 189 of the former Volume. And, lately, an account has been sent me, by Mr. Oeder, of a new institution for the same purpose, established in Denmark and Norway, under the sanction and guaranteeship of his Danish Majesty.

The office for Equitable Assurances in Chatham-Place, London, includes also in its plan a like provision for widows. And these are all the annuity institutions, with which
which 1 am acquainted, that are guided in this instance by the lights derived from correct observations and mathematical principles. But hitherto it has not been possible for any of them, in calculating the contributions necessary to support the annuities, to be governed by any regard to the longer duration of the lives of women. It has been just observed; that this renders the payments from an 8th to a 12th or 13th too little for such annuities. when deduced from any tables which give (as all Tabtes have hitherto done) only the values of lives in general, without any discrimination between males and females. But it will be of use here to shew, by the following comparisons, the particular differences between the payments for such annuities as determined accurately for a whole kingdom; and the payments required, without regarding the longer duration of the lives of females; by the Tables of the four institutions just mentioned.

Tables.
Conparison of the Values, in the preceding Table, of a Life Annuity to a Wife after her Husband, with the Values of the same Annuity in the Tables of the Danish and Oldenverg Institutions, calćculated on the Suppósition of the Improvement of Money at an fiterest of 4 per cert.

Anhuity $10 \%$

| Wife's Age. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hirs } \\ \text { band's } \\ \text { Age. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | By Table 1. |  | By Otitn berg Taties. |  | B, DantiskTab |
|  |  | Single Payment: |  | Single Payment. | Annaj Payment. | single Paymeat. |
| 20 |  | \& | \%' | B. | 2.a: | £. |
|  | 20 | 31.00 | 2.03 | 29:82 | 2.11 |  |
|  | 26 | 37.28 | 2.46 | $\beta 4.34$ | 2.60 | 33.74 |
|  | 50 | 73.05 : | 6.31 | 69.98 | 6.70 | 69.11 |
| 28 | 28 | 32.64 | 2.28 | 29.94 | 2.41 | 31.15 |
|  | $34^{\circ}$ | 38.25 | 2.77 | 36:30 | $2: 84$ | \$9.50 |
|  | 52 | 66:94 | 6.14 | 63.10 | 6.54 |  |
| 42 | 42 | 34.6 | 3.00 | 30-72 | 3.34 | 30.00 |
|  | 48 | 41.81 | 3.86 | 38.24 | 4.06 | 38.27 |
|  | 60 | 64.25 | 7.49 | 55.84 | 7.18 | 57.00 |
| 35 | 35 | 33.55 | 2.55 | 31.36 | 2.74 | 31.45 |
|  | 40 | 40.00 | 3.20 | 36.26 | 3.30 | 36.63 |
|  | 60 | 76.09 | 8.59 | 67.44 | 8.36 | 68.49 |

a. In the Oldenberg, and also in the Hamburgh Tables, these are half-yearly payments whic̣h I have doubled, and reckoned equivalent to yearly payments beginning immediately, and which therefore are over-rated, as may be learnt from the observations in p. 30, Vol I. The Table for Denmark gives only the single payment.

Conparison of the Values in Table L. of a Life ©nnuity for a Wife after her Husband, with the Values of the same Annuity in the Tables of the Famburgh and Equitable Institutions, calculated at an Interest of 3 per cent.
$\because$ Annuity $10 \%$ Interest 3 per cent.

|  |  | By Simeden Table. |  | Vatue of tice Amnity. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Singicte. } \\ & \text { Priyhient. } \end{aligned}$ | Payment. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Single } \\ \text { Payment. } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{P \text { Payment. }}^{\text {Aphail }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sivigle } \\ & \text { Paxyept. } \end{aligned}$ | Aniual Paymept |
| 20 |  | \% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | E. ${ }^{5}$ | $\mathscr{z}$. | E. | セ. | $\mathscr{L}$. |
|  | 20 | 44.00 | $2.5 \mathrm{t}^{\text {i }}$ | 40.17 | 2.27 | 45.05 | 2:97 |
|  | 26 | 50.62 | 3.01 | 47.47 | 2.85 | 49.82 | 3.40 |
|  | 50 | 85.82 | 6.93 | 86.76 | 7.60 | 81.15 | 7.04 |
| 28 | 28 | 43.40 | 2.74 | 40.30 | 2.73 | 43.74 | 3.14 |
|  | 34 | 50.40 | 3.33 | 48.08 | 3.52 | 49:14 | 3.6n |
|  | 52 | 84:64 | 7.21 | 79.40 | 7.40 | 73.72 | $6!75$ |
| 35 | 35; | A3:03 | 2.99 | 39.80 | 2.80 | 42.16 | 3.31 |
|  | 40. | 50.44 | 9.70 | 45.81 | 3.54 | 47.25 | 3:86 |
|  | 60 | 02.82 | 9.88 | 82,14 | 9.40 | 77.41 | 8.35 |

b In computing these payments, the values of lives at 3 percent. according to the Suieden Tables, have' been deduced from the values at 4 per cent. by the rules in p. 221, \&c, Vol. I.
cThese payments may be casily deduced, either from the Tables in this collection of the values of single and joint . Fives, according to the Northampton Observations, or from Table Xh.

For example. It appears from this last Table, that the annuity for a life aged 20 after another of the same age, to which either a single payment of $27.96 l$. or an annual payment of 1.848l. during the joint lives will entitle an expectant, is 6.207 l.; from whence it will follow, by the rule of proportion, that the annuity being $10 \%$. the single payment must be $45.05 l$. and the annual payment $2,97 l$.

From these comparisons it appears that supposing interest at 4 per cent. and the Sweden Tables a proper standard (and till similar observations are made in other king: doms they pught to be reckoned the properest) the payments required by the Danish establishment are somewhat too little. The same appears to be true of the single payments in the Oldenberg establishment; but the anniual payments in this establishment appear to pe mpre than the valued
«Agreeably to this observation, Mr. Ooder, in she examination mentioned in Vol. I. p. 135, found the single payments deduced from Mr. Suswilch's Table of mortality to be frequently too little, but the annual payments almost always too great. This is to be accounted for in the following manner:
The values of single and joint lives are greater by the Sweden Table of mortality, than by cither Mr. Susmilch's or the Northamipton Table; and had they been greater in the same proporion, the differencs between them, that is, the yalue in one present payment of an annuity for the life of a woman after her husband, would have been nearly the same arcording to all the Tables'; and consequently this difference, divided by the greater value of the joint lives according to the Slueden Table, would have given a less quotient ; that is, a less value of the annuity in annual payments. "But the value of the single female life being greater in proportion by the Siceden Table than that of the joint lives, the difference is increased, but not so much as to produce, when divided by the greater value of the joint lives, a guotient equal to that produced by dividing a smaller difference resulting from the other Tables by a maller value of the joint lives."

The Duinish establishneent makes the annuities payable only during widowhood, and on this account makes an abatement in the contributions; but it is inpossible to detemaine properly what this abatement ought to be- has,

In the Hamburgh establishment it appears, that, if money is improved at no higher rate than 3 per cent. the single payments are almost always too low, but the annual payments sometimes too high. With. respect to the Equitable Society, it appears, that on the same supposition of no higher improvement of money than at an interest of three per cent. the single payments are generally too little, but the annual payments generally too high; and that when compared with the values at 4 per cent. and the difference of age is not very great, they are near a third or a quarter too high. -It seems, therefore, that in those cases of survivorship where there was most reason to suspect, that the Northampton Tables might give values unfavourable to the
has, I have said, the advantage of being guaranteed by the King of Denmark for all his dominions. It has also the following securities. All the military and naval, and other officers who receive their pay from the King's treasury, are obliged, when appointed, to give up to this fund one month of their pay ; and all subscribers are obliged on admission to contribute 10 per cent. more than the payments in the Tables.-I will add, that the calculations for this establishment, like those for the Hamlurgh and Oldenlerg establishments, have been made with such pains and ability from Mr. Susmilch's Table of mortality (in his Gottliche Ordnung, Vol. II. p. 319) by two of the first Danish mathematicians (Mr. Lous, Professor of Mathematics and Navigation in the Academy of Sea Cadets; and Mr. Bugge, Professor of Astronomy in the University of Copenhagen; and both of them Fellows of the Royal Danish Academy of Sciences) that there is not the least danger of its sharing the same fate with a former Danish estan hlishment described in Vol. I. p. 182.

Society, it gives them sufficiently high; ahd that consequently, even in these cases, there is no reason fot continuing that addition of 15 per cent. to all the values which bas been ordered by the Society. whole; I cannot help thinking that this. Society ought once more tò lower its demands, and to contert itself with the advantage it derives from computinig by the Northampton Tables at so low an interest as 3 per cent. Without making any additional charge, except. perhaps, suich a small charge as that proposed in Vol. I. p. 187, towakds bearing the expences of management. © ${ }^{\text {; }}$

In order to prove this nore fully, I will here add a comparison, in a few instances,

- of the preimiames (exclasive of the additional charge)" required for assurances on single lives by this Society, with the values of the same assurances deduced from the Swepar Tables.
: See note, p. 379


## Values of the Assurance of 100l. on a Single

 Life._-_Interest 3 per cent.

It appears from hence; that without the charge of: 15 per cent. and reckoning interest so low as 3 per cent. the premizums for Assurances or Single Lives required by the Equitable Eociety are, in many cases, above a third, ahd, in' general, labove a yuarter greater than the true values for manlisind at large; deduced from the Sweden Observat tions. And yet such is the temptation 'to bad lives to seek admission, such the uncertainty what the rate of mortality in the Society thay in the end prove, and such the necessity on these accounts (as has been before obsetved) of secturing the permanency of the Society by erring rather on the side of excess than defect, that these premiums, were no addition made to them, could not reasonably be thought exorbitant

In the last comparison there are two circumstances which may deserve the notice of this Society. ?:
-
The price in annual payments of the assurance of a female life at 28 for seven years is, according to the Swedish Tables, almost equal to the price of the same assurance at 35. And at 44 the annual payment for seven years is less than the single payment for assuring only the first of these 7 years. These circumstances, instead of being, as they may seem, the effect of errors in the Suedish Tables, shew a correctness not to be found in any other tables. Females whose ages are between 27 and 30 consist chiefly of child-bearing women; and though, taking the whole duration of marriage, the lives of married women may (agreeably to Mr. Muret's Observations in Switzerland, already mentioned) be less hazardous than the lives of single women, yet at these ages they may be more so; and particularly in great towns and polished societies, where absurd customs, wrong management, and a pernicious delicacy, render an event dangerous which is naturally safe. ${ }^{f}$ According to Mr. Susmilch's observations in Germany, one birth in a hundred produces the death of the mother; but in London the proportion is much higher. This suggests the true reason of the first of the circumstances I have mentioned, -With respect to the other, it must

[^90]be considered, that at 44 the critical period raises the value of the assurance of a female life; but recovering after this period particular firmness, an assurance for seven or eight years becomes less in annual value than an assurance for only one or two years. See p. 408.

In p. 182 of the preceding volume, an account has been given of the mortality among the persons assured by the Society for 12 years to 1780 . I can now add, that during 14 years to January 1782, the number assured (exclusive of assurances on survivorships for different sums not exceeding 20002 on any single life) has been 12,391, and that of this number 9890 have been persons under 50 years of age, among whom the deaths have been fewer, in the proportion of 3 to 4 , than those which should have happened according to the Northampton Table of Observations, ${ }^{8}$ and correspond best at

[^91]every age to the mortality exhibited in the Sweden Table. Of the remaining assurances, 199\% have been on single lives between 50 and 60 , among which the mortality, compared with that exaibited in the Northampton Table, has been as 9 to 10. There have been in the same period 504 assurances of persons between 60 and 70 , and among them the mortality has been nearly equal to that in the Northayepton Table.-This great success at the outset of the institution, has been particularly favourable to it, and must strengthen it for all future time; but' it would be wrong to rely on the continuance of it. Seasons $\rho$ f yneommon mortality must sorneiv and the jocreasing credit and numbers of hhe Society yill as I have before observed, increase the danger of the intrusion of bad





## TAbLE LI.

Shewing the Probabilities of the Duration of Hu= man Life at all Ages, in a Kingdom at large; deduced from Observations in the Kurmark of Brandeniburgh; and formed on the Supposition that a Third of a Kingdom consists of Imhabitants of Towns, and Two Thirds of the Inhabitants of Conntry Parishes and Villages. See Mr. Susmilch's Gotitiche Ordnung, Vol. III. Tables p. 33.
Decrements of Life in the Kurmark of Brandenburgh.

| Age. |  |  | C C rishes and Villages: | $\left[\begin{array}{c} D \\ \frac{A+B 4}{6} \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Still-born | 40 | $34^{\prime}$ | 44 | 42 |
| Under 1 | 254 | 194 | 187 | 199 |
| $\cdot 1-5$ | 185 | 196 | 138 | 156 |
| 0-5 | 479 | 424 | 369 | 397 |
| 5-10 | 40 | 61 | 59 | 6 |
| 10-15 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 20 |
| -15-20 | -16 | 17 | 22 | 20 |
| 0-20 | 545 | 519 | 474 | 493 |
| 20-25 | 34 | 18 | 28 | 27 |
| 25-30 | 46 | 25 | 25 | 29 |
| 30-35 | 37. | 24 | 26 | 28 |
| 35-40 | 49 | 40 | 32 | 36 |
| 40-45 | ' 36 | 31 | 33 | 33 |
| 45-50 | - 37 | 42 | 36 | 37 |
| 50-55 | 38 | 47 | 40 | .41 |
| , 55-60 | 42 | 58 | 55 | - 53 |
| 20-60 | 319 | 285 | 275 | 254 |

Tables.
TABLE LI. continued.

| Age. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { A. } \\ \text { In Berlin } \\ \text { thecapitai } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B. } \\ \text { In haother } \\ \text { Towne. } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{A_{i++4 C}}{D}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60-65 | 31 | 46 | 63 | 55 |
| 65-70 | 32 | 56 | 61 | 55 |
| 70-75 | 27 | 35 | 58 | 49 |
| 75 - 80 | 23 | 32 | 34 | 32 |
| 80-85 | 11 | 16 | - 22 | 19 |
| 85-90 | 7 | 8 | 8 |  |
| 90-95 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 95-100 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Aboveloo | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 60-100 | 136 | 196 | 251 | 223 |
|  | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |

From Column D the following Table has been formed.

| Born 10,000-Still-born 42. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta_{\text {gee }}$ | Living. | Decre <br> ments. | Proportion dying amnoally | Sum of all the | Expectam |
| 0 | 958 | 199 | 1 of 49 | 29877 | 30.68 |
| 1 | 759 | 70 | 1 of 11 | 28918 |  |
| 2 | 689 | 38 | 1 of 18 | 28159 |  |
| 3 | 651 | 26 | 1 of 25 | 27470 |  |
| 4 | 625 | 22 | 1 of 28 | 26819 |  |
| 5 | 603 | 19 | 1 of 82 | 26194 | 42.93 |
| 6 | 584 | 14 | 1 of 42 | 25591 |  |
| 7 | 570 | 10 | 1 of 57 | 25007 |  |
| 8 | 560 | 8 | 1 of 70 | 24437 |  |
| 9 | 552 | 5 | 1 of 110 | 23877 |  |
| 10 | 547 | 4 | 1 of 137 | 23325 | 42.14 |

Tables.
TABLE LI. continued.

| Age. | Living. | Decre ments. |  | Samof all the | $\left.\right\|_{\substack{\text { Expenctaet }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 543 | 4 | 1 of 136 | 22778 |  |
| 12 | 539 | 4 | 1 of 135 | 22235 |  |
| 13 | 535 | 4 | 1 of 134 | 21696 |  |
| 14 | 531 | 4 | 1 of 133 | 21161 |  |
| 15 | 527 | 4 | 1 of 132 | 20680 | 38.64 |
| 16 | 523 | 4 | 1 of 131 | 20103 |  |
| 17 | 519 | 4 | 1 of 130 | 19580 |  |
| 18 | 515 | 4 | 1 of 129 | 19061 |  |
| 19 | 511 | 4 | 1 of 128 | 18546 |  |
| 20 | 507 | 5 | 1 of 101 | 18035 | 34.52 |
| 21 | 502 | 5 | 1 of 100 | 17528 |  |
| 22 | 497 | 5 | 1 of 99 | 17026 |  |
| 23 | 492 | 5 | 1 of 98 | 16529 |  |
| 24 | 487 | 5 | 1 of 97 | 16037 |  |
| 25 | 482 | 6 | 1 of 80 | 15550 | 31.76 |
| 26 | 476 | 6 | 1 of 89 | 15068 |  |
| 27 | 470 | 6 | 1 of 78 | 14592 |  |
| 28 | 464 | 6 | 1 of 77 | 14122 |  |
| 29 | 458 | 6 | 1 of 76 | 13658 |  |
| 30 | 452 | 6 | 1 of 75 | 13200 | 28.70 |
| 31 | 446 | 6 | 1 of 74 | 12748 |  |
| 32 | 440 | 6 | 1 of 73 | 12302 |  |
| 33 | 434 | 6 | 1 of 72 | 11862 |  |
| 34 | 428 | 6 | 1 of 71 | 11428 |  |
| 35 | 422 | 7 | 1 of 60 | 11000 | 25.56 |
| 36 | 415 | 7 | 1 of 59 | 10578 |  |
| 37 | 408 | 7 | 1 of 58 | 10163 |  |
| 38 | 401 | 7 | 1 of 57 | 9755 |  |
| 39 | 394 | 7 | 1 of 50 | 9354 |  |
| 40 | 387 | 7 | 1 of 55 | 8960 | 22.65 |

TABLE LI. continued.

| Age, | Living. | Becrements. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proportion dying } \\ \text { amually. } \end{gathered}$ | Sum or all the Living. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expecta- } \\ \text { tions. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | 380 | 7 | 1 of 54 | 8573 |  |
| 42 | 373 | 7 | 1 of 53 | 8193 |  |
| 48 | 366 | 7 | 1 of 52 | 7820 |  |
| -44 | 359 | 7 | 1 of 51 | 7454 |  |
| 45 | 352 | 7 | 1 of 50 | 7095 | 19.65 |
| 46 | 345 | 7 | 1 of 49 | 6743 |  |
| 47 | 338 | $\gamma$ | 1 of 48 | 6398 |  |
| 48 | 331 | 7 | 1 of 47 | 6060 |  |
| 49 | 324 | 7 | 1 of 46 | 5729 |  |
| 50. | 317 | 8 | 1 of 40 | 5405 | 16.55 |
| 51 | 309 | 8 | 1 of 39 | 5088 |  |
| 52 | 301 | 8 | 1 of 38 | 4779 |  |
| 53 | 293 | 9 | 1 of 32 | 4478 |  |
| 54 | 284 | 9 | 1 of 31 | 4185 |  |
| 55 | 275 | 10 | 1 of 27 | 3901 | 13. |
| 56 | 265 | 10 | 1 of 26 | 3626 |  |
| 157 | 255 | 1.0 | 1 of 25 | 3361 |  |
| 58 | 245 | 11 | 1 of 22 | 3106 |  |
| 159 | 234 | 11 | 1 of 21 | 2861 |  |
| 60 | 223 | 11 | 1 of 20 | 2627 | 11.28 |
| 61 | 212 | 11 | 1 of 19 | 2404 |  |
| 62 | 201 | 11 | 1 of 18 | 2192 |  |
| 63 | 190 | 11 | 1 of 17 | 1991 |  |
| 64 | 179 | 11 | 1 of 16 | 1801 |  |
| 65 | - 168 | 11 | 1 of 95 | 1622 | 9.15 |
| 166 | 157 | 11 | 1 of 14 | 1454 |  |
| 67 | 1.46 | 11 | 1 of 13 | 1297 |  |
| 168 | 135 | 1.1 | 1 of 12 | 1151 |  |
| 169 | 124 | 11 | 1 of 11 | 1016 |  |
| /R | 113 | 10 | 1 of 11 | 892 | 7.48 |

TABLE LI. continued.

| Age: | Living. | Decre- | Pruportion dying annually. | Sum of all the Living. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expect- } \\ & \text { ations: } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | 103 | 10 | 1 of 10 | 779 |  |
| 72 | 93 | 10 | 1 of 9 | 676 |  |
| 73 | 83 | 10 | 1 of 8 | 583 |  |
| 74 | 73 | 9 | 1 of 8 | 500 |  |
| 75 | 64 | 8 | 1 of 8 | 427 | 6.17 |
| 76 | 56 | 7 | 1 of 8 | 363 |  |
| 77 | 49 | 6 | 1 of 8 | 307 |  |
| 78 | 43 | 6 | 1 of 7 | 258 |  |
| 79 | 37 | 5 | 1 of 7 | 215 |  |
| 80 | 32 | 5 | 1 of 6 | 178 | 5.06 |
| 81 | 27 | 4 | 1 of 7 | 146 |  |
| 82 | 23 | 4 | 1 of 6 | 119 |  |
| 83 | 19 | 3 | 1 of 6 | 96 |  |
| 84 | 16 | 3 | 1 of 5 | 77 |  |
| 85 | 13 | 2 | 1 of 6 | 61 | 4.18 |
| 86 | 11 | 2 | 1 of 5 | 48 |  |
| 87 | - 9 | 2 | 1 of 4 | 37. |  |
| 88 | 7 | 1 | 1 of 7 | 28 |  |
| 89 | 6 | 1 | 1 of 6 | 21 |  |
| 90 | 5 | 1 | 1 of 5 | 15 |  |
| 91 | 4 | 1 | 1 of 4 | 10 |  |
| 93 | 3 | 1 | 1 of |  |  |
| 95 | 2 | 1 | 1 of |  |  |
| 100 | 1 | 1 | 1 of |  |  |

Remarks.
THIS Table is the same with that published in the last edition of Mr. Susmilch's
vol. II.
G g
Gottliche

Gottliche Ordriang, with the waddition of the Expectations, and an alteration in the atrangement of the number of the still-bord, which I have placed by itself, and deduced from the whole numbèr born, in otder to make the number born alive the radix of the Table.

This Table, it should be further observed, has been formed without any regard to the correction explained in the Second Essay in this Volume; and, on this account, (as far as it has been deduced from the numbers dying at every age in the towns of Brandenburgh) makes the probabilities of living too high in the first stages of life. But it should be likewise attended to, that on another account, it makes them in à much greater proportion too low. I mean, on account of the great excess of the births above the burials in the country parishes and villages. The effect of such an excess may be leamt from what is said in p. 253, \&c. of the Introduction to these Tables.

There is another Table of the probabilities of living at every age in a kingdom at large, in the Second Volume of Mr. Sus milch's Gottliche Ordnung, p. 319, which has been made the basis of all the computations in Germany of the values of payments dependent on lives. This is the Table referred to in p. 434, and in the Note, p. 438. It differs but little from this Table; and is liable to the same objections. I must add, that
that the like is true of a table formed with the same view, and on the same principles, by Mr. Florencourt, the ingenious author of a Mathematical Treatise on Political Arithmetick, publisheđ in Gérmany, in 1781.

Having occasion to mention these two writers, I cannot help adding with regret, that being ignorant of the German language, I have found myself incapable of profiting by their works in the manner I wish.

In Tables, 12th, 13th, 20th, 21st, and 24th, at the end of the Second Volume of Mr. Susmilch's Gottliche Ordnung, the deerements of life at all ages are given separately for males and females in Berlin for 14 years; in the parish of St. Sulpice, Paris, for 30 years; and in several country parishes and villages in Brandenburgh for different periods of years. These decrements are so far from giving a just representation of human mortality, that a table of observations deduced from them would necessarily be very erroneous. They confirm, however, the difference in favour of females exhibited in the four preceding Tables; and therefore it will not be improper to insert a summary of them.

TABLE LII.
Decrements of Life.

| Age. | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { In St. Sulpice } \\ \text { Parish. } \\ \text { Males. \|Females. } \end{array}$ |  | In Berlin. <br> Males. Females. |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Country } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { nugh } \\ \text { Males. }\end{gathered}\right.$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Still-born |  |  | 360 | 253 | 45 | 9 |
| 1 | 5718 | 4615 | 2758 | 2370 | 420 | 383 |
| 1-5 | 5925 | 6093 | 843 | 847 | 276 | 246 |
| 5-10 | 1597 | 1536 | 211 | 215 | 120 | 110 |
| 10-20 | 789 | 749 | 196 | 205 | 87 | 72 |
| 20-30 | 1293 | 1337 | 709 | 493 | 120 | 97 |
| *30-45 | 2207 | 2315 | 1052 | 796 | 6 | 168 |
| 45-60 | 2026 | 2442 | 1023 | 746 | 280 | 234 |
| 60-70 | 1708 | 2177 | 443 | 506 | 237 | 207 |
| 70-80 | 1453 | 3505 | 337 | 417 | 148 | 183 |
| 80-90 | 648 | 1673 | 114 | 160 | 68 | 48 |
| 90-95 | 28 | 101 | 11 | 29 | 8 | 8 |
| 95-100 | 19 | 72 | 9 | 22 | 2 | 1 |
| Abovel00 | 0 |  | 1 | 4 | - 7 | 2 |
| Totals | 24071 | 24467 | 8067 | 7063 | 1990 | 1798 |
| *30-40 |  |  | 725 | 582 | 102 | 124 |
| 40-50 |  |  | 652 | 445 | 151. | 103 |
| 50-60 |  |  | 698 | 515 | 193 | 175 |

The decrements in the country parishes in Brandenburgh are too great in the first stages of life on account of the excess of the births above the burials, the former having been, in some of these parishes,
more than double the latter. The decrements in Berlin, on the contrary, are too small, for reasons sufficiently explained in the course of this work; but in the parish of St. Sulpice, Paris, they are particularly erroneous, for the reasons mentioned in the Postscript to the First Essay in this Volume, p. 64, 65.

## THERE

## Tables.

THERE have been now given in this collection, tables of the duration and values of human life in great cities, in moderate towns, in country villages and parishes, and among the inhabitants of a whole kingdom, consisting of all country as well as town inhabitants. The accounts which have been given of the data from which they have been formed, and of the method of forming them, shew how far they are to be reckoned just representations of the duration and values of lives in the different situations I have mentioned. But there is one remark which is applicable to all of them; and that is, that having been formed from observations on whole bodies of people of all ages and conditions, they cannot give a correct representation of the duration and values of such lives as form a body of state annuitants, or of persons on whose lives annuities have been purchased to commence either immediately or at any given future year. The reason is obvious. Such a body of annuitants are likely to consist of a selection of the best lives from the common mass; the interest of every person who purchases an annuity on any life requiring that he should take care that it is a good life?. Tables of mortality for such lives
$\odot$
The following account of the life-annnities sold by
our government, will, in some measure, prove the truth
of
lives have been published by Mr. De Parcieux, in France, from the lists of the French Tontines; and by Mr. Kersseboom, in Hol land, from some registers of Dutch annuitants. That nothing on this subject may be wanting which I am able to furnish, I shall here insert those Tables, with the addition of the expectations of life for every fifth year, according to each of them.
of this observation, , There were granted in 1745, 22,500l. per ann. In January, 1782, they were reduce by deaths to $18,104 l$. which is a reduction of two-fifths iq 36 years, and a slower decrease than the highest of the preceding Tables of mortality shew in the same time among bodies of people, all 30 years of age. The same is true of the anauities sold in 1746, which, in Jem. 1782, were reduced from 45,0006 . (their original amoum) to $24,400 l$. But the decrease has been slowest in the anr puities granted in 1757, which, in Jan. 1782, had fallep from 33,750l. to 27,0696. ; that is, only a fifth in 24 years.

## TABLE LIII.

Shewing the Decrements and Expectations of Life among Bodies of Life-Annuitants, according to the Tables of Mortality published by Mr. Kersseboom, and by Mr. De Parcieux ${ }^{\text {b }}$.

| Age. | By Mr. Kkrsibboom. |  |  | By Mr. De Parcievx. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {Age. }}$ | Living. | Decr. | Expectat. | Living. | Decr. | Expectat. |
| 0 | 1400 | 275 | 34.47 | 10000 | 2550 | 34.79 |
| 1 | 1125 | 50 | 41.77 | 7450 | 362 | 45.52 |
| 2 | 1075 | 45 | 42.69 | 7088 | 265 | 46.82 |
| 3 | 1030 | 37 | 43.53 | 6823 | 205 | 47.62 |
| 4 | 993 | 29 | 44.14 | 6618 | 150 | 48.09 |
| 5 | 964 | 27. | 44.45 | 6468 | 123 | 48.19 |
| 6 | 947 | 17 |  | 6345 | 102 |  |
| 7 | 930 | 17 |  | 6243 | 91 |  |
| 8. | 913 | 9 |  | 6154 | 81 |  |
| 9 | 904 | 9 |  | 6073 | 69 |  |
| 10 | 895 | 9 | 42.71 | 6004 | 58 | 46.76 |
| 11 | 886 | 8 |  | 5946 | 49 |  |
| 12 | 878 | 8 |  | 5897 | 43 |  |
| 13 | 870 | 7 |  | 5854 | 39 |  |
| 14 | 863 | 7 |  | 5815 | 37 |  |

${ }^{\text {b }}$ The copy here given of Mr. De Parcieux's Table is not that published by Mr. De Moivre at the end of his Book on the Doctrine of Chances; and by Mr. Ferguson in his Talles and Tracts, \&c. p. 289; but an improved copy published by Mr. Florencourt in Germany, at the end of his 'Ireatise on Political Arithmetick.

A comparison of the expectations will shew a considerable difference between this Table and Mr. Kersseloom's; and one reason of this difference may be, that Mr. Kersse:toom's Table has been formed partly from observations on theremortality of the inhabitants of some Dutch villages.

TABLE LIII. continued.

| Ase. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { By Mr. Kirasezoox. } \\ \text { Living. } \\ \text { Decr. } \\ \hline \end{array} \text { Expectat. }$ |  |  | Living. | ${ }^{\text {De Pe Pa, }}$ | cinux. Expecta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 856 | 7 | 39.55 | 5778 | 38 | 43.46 |
| 16 | 849 | 7 |  | 5740 | 41 |  |
| 17 | 842 | 7 |  | 5699 | 44 |  |
| 18 | 835 | 9 |  | 5655 | 47 |  |
| 19 | 826 | 9 |  | 5608 | 50 |  |
| 20 | 817 | 9 | 36.31 | 5558 | 52 | 40.08 |
| 21 | 808 | 8 |  | 5506 | 53 |  |
| 22 | 800 | 8 |  | 5453 | 54 |  |
| 23 | 792 | 9 |  | 5399 | 55 |  |
| 24 | 783 | 11 |  | 5344 | 56 |  |
| 25 | 772 | 12 | 33.27 | 5288 | 57 | 37.01 |
| 26 | 760 | 13 |  | 5231 | 58' |  |
| 27 | 747 | 12 |  | 5173 | 57 |  |
| 28 | 735 | 12 |  | 5116 | 56 |  |
| 29 | 723 | 12 |  | 5060 | 55 |  |
| 30 | 711 | 12 | 30.92 | 5005 | 54 | 33.96 |
| 31 | 699 | 12 |  | 4951 | 54 |  |
| 32 | 687 | 12 |  | 4897 | 53 |  |
| 33 | 675 | 10 |  | 4844 | 52 |  |
| 34 | 665 | 10 |  | 4792 | 52 |  |
| 35 | 655 | 10 | 28.36 | 4740 | 52 | 30.73 |
| 36 | 645 | 10 |  | '4688 | 51 |  |
| 37 | 635 | 10 |  | 4637 | 49 |  |
| 38 | 625 | 10 |  | 4587 | 49 |  |
| 39 | 615 | 10 |  | 4538 | 48 |  |
| 40 | 605 | 9 | 25.49 | 4490 | 49 | 27.30 |
| 41 | 596 | 9 |  | 4441 | 49 |  |
| 42 | 587 | 9 |  | 4392 | 50 |  |

## Tables.

TABLE LIII. continued.

| Hge. |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { r. Kizer }}$ Decr. | EbONX: Expectat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { By Mr. } \\ & \text { Livingr. } \end{aligned}$ | DEPad | cipeaz. <br> Expectat: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 578 | 9 |  | 4342 | 51 |  |
| 44 | 509 | 9 |  | 4291 | 52 |  |
| 45 | 560 | 10 | 22.34 | 4239 | 53 | 23.77 |
| 46 | 550 | 10 |  | 4186 | 54 |  |
| 47 | 540 | 10 |  | 4132 | 55 |  |
| 48 | 530 | 12 |  | 4077 | 56 |  |
| 49 | 518 | 11 |  | 4021 | 57 |  |
| 50 | 507 | 12 | 19.41 | 3964 | 59 | 20.24 |
| 51 | 495 | 13 |  | 3905 | 62 |  |
| 52 | 482 | 12 |  | 3843 | 66 |  |
| 53 | 470 | 12 |  | 3777 | 70 |  |
| 54 | 458 | 12 |  | 3707 | 76 |  |
| 55 | 446 | 12 | 16.72 | 3631 | 81 | 16.88 |
| 56 | 434 | 13 |  | 3550 | 85 |  |
| 57 | 421 | 13 |  | 3465 | 88 |  |
| 58 | 408 | 13 |  | 3377 | 91 |  |
| 59 | 395 | 13 |  | 3286 | 95 |  |
| 60 | 382 | 13 | 14.10 | 3191 | 99 | 13.86 |
| 61 | 369 | 13 |  | 3092 | 102 |  |
| 62 | 356 | 13 |  | 2990 | 105 |  |
| 63 | 343 | 14 |  | 2885 | 107 |  |
| 64 | 329 | 14 |  | 2778 | 109 |  |
| 65 | 315 | 14 | 11.56 | 2669 | 110 | 11.07 |
| 66 | 301 | 14 |  | 2559 | 111 |  |
| 67. | 287 | 14 |  | 2448 | 112 |  |
| 68 | 273 | 14 |  | 2336 | 113 |  |
| 69 | 259 | 14 |  | 2223 | 114 |  |
| 70 | 245 | 14 | 9:15 | 2109 | 116 | 8.34 |
| 71 | 231 | 14 |  | 1993 | 119 |  |

Tables.
TABLE LIII. continued.

| Age. | 2y Mr. Kranestionar. Living. Decr. Expectat |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { By Mr. } \\ & \text { Living. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DRPAE } \\ & \text { Decr. } \end{aligned}$ | Expectat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 | 217 | 14 |  | 1874 | 125 |  |
| 73 | 203 | 14 |  | 1749 | 132 |  |
| 74 | 189 | 14 |  | 1617 | 138 |  |
| 75 | 175 | 15 | 6.81 | 1479 | 142 | 5.79 |
| 76 | 160 | 15 |  | 1337 | 139 |  |
| 77 | 145 | 1.5 |  | 1198 | 134 |  |
| 78 | 130 | 15 |  | 1064 | 128 |  |
| 79 | 115 | 15 |  | 936 | 124 |  |
| 80 | 100 | 13 | 5.05 | 812 | 115 | 4.78 |
| 81 | 87 | 12 |  | 697 | 107 |  |
| 82 | 75 | 11 |  | 590 | 98 |  |
| 83 | 64 | 9 |  | 492 | 88 |  |
| 84 | 55 | 10 |  | 404 | 77 |  |
| 85 | 4.5 | 9 | 3.38 | 327 | 66 | 3.45 |
| 86 | 36 | 8 |  | 261 | 55 |  |
| 87 | 28 | 7 |  | 206 | 47 |  |
| 88 | 21 | 6 |  | 159 | 42 |  |
| 89 | 15 | 5 |  | 117 | 37 |  |
| 90 | 10 | 8 | 2.47 | 80 | 30 | 1.70 |
| 91 | 7 | 2 |  | 50 | 22 |  |
| 92 | 5 | 2 |  | 28 | 14 |  |
| 93 | 3 | 1 |  | 14 | 8 |  |
| 94 | 2 | 1 |  | 6 | 3 |  |
| 95 | 1 |  | - | 3 | 2 |  |
| 96 | 0.6 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 97 | 0.5 |  |  | 9 | 9 |  |
| 98 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 99 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE LIV.

Shewing the Values of Single Lives according to the Probabilities of the Duration of Life in Mr. De Parcieux's'Table of Mortality.——See Mr. Florencourt's Dissertations on Political Arithmetick, p. 288.

Interest 5 per cent.

| Age. | Value. |  | Value. | Age. | Value. | Age. | Value. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11.083 | 26 | 15.040 | 52 | 10.926 | 78 | 3.953 |
| 1 | 14.620 | 27 | 14.969 | 53 | 10.673 | 79 | 3.719 |
| 2 | 15.135 | 28 | 14.893 | 54 | 10.418 | 80 | 3.501 |
| 3 | 15.509 | 29 | 14.810 | 55 | 10.168 | 81 | 3.283 |
| 4 | 15.7 .50 | 30 | 14.722 | 56 | 9.930 | 82 | 3.072 |
| 5 | 15.924 | 31 | 14.627 | 57 | 9.682 | 83 | 2.868 |
| 6 | 16.041 | 32 | 14.527 | 58 | 9.431 | 84 | 2.668 |
| 7 | 16.118 | 33 | 14.421 | 59 | 9.177 | 85 | 2.461 |
| 8 | 16.169 | 34 | 14.306 | 60 | 8.923 | 86 | 2.237 |
| 9 | 16.204 | 35 | 14.189 | 61 | 8.669 | 87 | 1.976 |
| 10 | 16.210 | 36 | 14.063 | 62 | 8.413 | 88 | 1.688 |
| 11 | 16.194 | 37 | 13.930 | 63 | 8.155 | 89 | 1.409 |
| 12 | 16.145 | 38 | 13.786 | 6.4 | 7.893 | 90 | 1.164 |
| 13 | 16.077 | 39 | 13.632 | 65 | 7.626 |  |  |
| 14 | 15.994 | 40 | 13.466 | 66 | 7.351 |  |  |
| 15 | 15.901 | 41 | 13.296 | 67 | 7.069 |  |  |
| 16 | 15.807 | 42 | 13.116 | 68 | 6.778 |  |  |
| 17 | 15.716 | 43 | 12.931 | 69 | 6.479 |  |  |
| 18 | 15.631 | 44 | 12.738 | 70 | 6.171 |  |  |
| 19 | 15.550 | 45 | 12.539 | 71 | 5.856 |  |  |
| 20 | 15.474 | 46 | 12.333 | 72 | 5.540 |  |  |
| 21 | 15.401 | 47 | 12.119 | 73 | 5.232 |  |  |
| 22 | 15.328 | 48 | 11.897 | 74 | 4.942 |  |  |
| 23 | 15.256 | 49 | 11.666 | 75 | 4.674 |  |  |
| 24 | 15.184 | 50 | 11.425 | 76 | 4.429 |  |  |
| 25 | 15.112 | 51 | 11.178 | 77 | 4.100 |  |  |

- From the valués in this Table at 5 per cent. the values at all other rates of interest may be easily found by the rule in p. 221, Vol. I. But I am very happy that, on this occasion, I can inform the public, that complete tables of the values of single lives, deduced with perfect correctness (from the. copy of Mr. De Parcieux's Table of Mortality at the end of Mr. De Moiure's Doctrine. of Chances) for every rate of interest from 2 : to 10 per cent. and also of two joint lives at $3 \frac{1}{2}$ and $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. have been published by Mr. Maseres, Cursitor Baron of the Exche-: quer, in a work on the principles of the doctrine of life-annuities.-To this work the ingenious author has added many calculations on the best means of redeeming the public debts; and I wish his name and abilities may be the means of engaging the attention of the kingdom effectually to this most important object.

IN p. 118,' Vol. I. a scheme has been mentioned for providing for the Widows and Orphans. of the Clergy within the Diocese of Exeter, and which the Reverend Mr. Gandy of Plymouth, had, with great public spirit, but without success, eñdeavoured to carty into execution.

Much thme and pidiffs were emploged in computing the necessary tables for this seheime; and as it in possible that in inne future tinte they may be still of uso, I shall here insert the chiof of them.

## TABLE LV.

Shewing the Values in Anmual Payments, during the joint Lives (first Payment to be made at Admission), and also in Single Payments, of a Life-Annuity of 10l. to be entered upon by a Wife at the Death of her Husband.


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Hosbandry } \\ \text { age. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Rquivalent comportion, or ingle payment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | e. s. d. |  |  |  |
| $\left.\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ \text { or less } \end{array}\right\}$ |  | 0 : 10 | : 6:0 |  |
| 26 | 2: 15 | 0 | 35: 5:0 | 0:14:0 |
| 27 | 2: 15:6 | $0: 10$ | $35: 4: 0$ | $0: 14$ |
| 28 | 2:16:0 | $0: 10$ | 35: 3 : 0 | 0:14:0 |
| 29 | 2:16:6 | 0 : 10 | $35: 200$ | 0:14:0 |
| 30 | 2:17:6 | 0 : 10 | $35: 0$ : 0 | 0:1400 |
| 31 | 2:18:0 | 0 : 10 | 34: 18 : |  |

## TABEE LV. contintred.

| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Humbendry } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | d. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 2:18 | 0 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 2:19: | 0 | 11 | 34 : | 14 | O:15 |  |  |
| 34 | 2:19: 6 | 1 | 0 | 34 : | 12 | 0:15 |  |  |
| 35 | 3: 0:0 | 1 | 0 | 34 : 1 | 10 | 0: |  |  |
| 36 | 3: 0: 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 : |  | 0: |  |  |
| 37 | 3: 0: 6 | 1 |  | 34 : | 5 | 0:10 |  |  |
| 38 | 3: 1: | 1 |  | 34 : | 2 | : 17 |  |  |
| 39 | 3: 1: 6 | 1 | 2 | 33 : 1 | 18 : | -18 |  |  |
| 40 | 3: 2:0 | 1 |  | 33 : 1 | 14 | . |  |  |
| 41 | 3: 2: 6 | 1 |  | 33 : 1 | 10 : |  |  |  |
| 42 | 3: 3: 0 | 1 | 4 | 33 : | 6:00 | . 9 |  |  |
| 43 | 3: 3: 6 | 1 |  | 33 : | 2 : 0 | $0: 19$ |  |  |
| 44 | 3: 4: | 1 | 6 | $32: 1$ | 17 : 0 | 1: 0 |  |  |
| 45 | 3: 4: 6 | 1 | 7 | 32 : 1 | 12:0 | 1: |  |  |
| 46 | 3: 5: 0 | 1 | 8 | 32 : | 6:0 | $1:$ |  |  |
| 47 | 3: 5: 6 | 1 | 9 | 32 : | 0:0 | 1: 2 | 2 |  |
| 48 | 3: 6: 0 | 1 | 10 | $31: 1$ | 14: 0 | 1: | Q: |  |
| 49 | 3: 6:6 | 1 | 11 | $31:$ | 8 : | $1:$ | 3 |  |
| 50 | 3: 7:0 | 2 |  | $31:$ | 2: | $1: 3$ | 3 : |  |
| 51 | 3: 7: 6 | 2 |  | $30: 1$ | 16 : | 1 : |  |  |
| 52 | 3: 8: 0 | 2 |  | 30 : | 9:0 | 1: |  |  |
| 53 | 3: 8: 6 | 2 | 3 | 30 : | 1:0 | 1: |  |  |
| 54 | 3: 9:0 | 2 : |  | $29: 1$ | 12:0 | 1: | $7:$ |  |
| 55 | 3: 10:0 | 2 : | 0 | 29 : | 3 : | 1 : |  |  |
| 56 | 3:10:6 | 2 | 7 | 28 : | 14:0 | 1: 9 | 9: |  |
| 57 | : 11: 0 | 2 | 9 | 28 : | 4:0 | 1 : 10 | 0 |  |
| 58 | 3:11: 6 | 2 | 11 | 27 : | 14 : 0 | $1: 11$ | $1:$ |  |
| 59 | 3:12: 0 | 3 |  | 27 : | 4:0 | 1:12 |  |  |
| 60 | 3:12: 6 | 3 | 3 | 26 : 1 | 13 : 0 | : : 13 |  |  |
| 61 | 13:0 | 3 |  | 26 : | 2 : 0 | 14 |  |  |

In calculàting this Table, the values of single and joint lives were taken from Tables VI. and VII. in this volume, which were then reckoned the best guides. But a comparison of these values, with those in Table L. p. 431, will shew they want correction; and, particularly, that though when the ages of husbands and wives are under 40, and nearly equal, the values in this Table are a little too high; yet, in other cases, they are below, and, in some cases, much below the proper values.

## TABLE LVI.

Shewing the Values of a Life-Antruity of 51. payable to a Wife after her Husband, provided he lives three Years from the Time of purchasing; and of an additional Annuity of 5l. provided he lives five Years from the Time of purchasing.

Intrabst 4 per cent.

| $A_{\text {Agt }}$ |  | cedd thewi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  | 0 : 9 |  |
| 28 |  | 0 : 9 |  |
| 29 | 2 | 0 : 9 | $25: 1$ |
| 30 | 2: | 0 | 25: 13 : 60: $11: 10$ |
| 31 | 2:2 | 0 : | 25: 9 |
| 32 | 2.: 2 | 0: 9 | 25: 5 |
| 33 | 2:3:0 | 0 : 10 | 25: 2:6 |
| 34 | 2: | 0 : 10 | 24: 18:60: 13 |
| 35 | 2 2 | 11 | 24:15:00:13: |
| 36 | 2 : | $0: 11$ | 24: 10:60: 13 : |
| 37 | 2 : | 1 : 0 | 24: 5:60 |
| 38 | 2 : | $1: 0$ | 24: 1: |
| 39 | 2 : | $1: 1$ | 23: 16:60:14:10 |
| 40 | 2:4:0 | $1:$ | 23:11:60:15 |
| 44 | 2:4:0 | : 2 | 23: 5:60: |
| 42 | 2:4:0 | : 2 | 22: $19: 60: 15: 11$ |
| 43 |  | 32 | 22: $13: 60: 16: 4$ |
| 44 |  | 42 | 22: 7 : 60:16:10 |
| 45 |  | 42 | 22: 1:60:17: 3 |
| 45 | 2:4 | 1 | 21:13 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{HH}_{\mathbf{H}}$ | TA |

## TABLE LVI, continued.

| Age. | Annual pay- <br> ment, sup <br> posing  <br> ages. equal | Additional annual payment for each year the age of the husband exceed the wife's. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £. | s. | ¢. s. d. s . |
| 47 | 2: 4:0 | 1: 6 | 21: 4:60:18: 3 |
| 48 | 2: 4:0 | 7 | 20: $16: 60: 18: 10$ |
| 49 | 2: 4:0 | 8 | 20: 8: 60: $19: 3$ |
| 50 | 2: $3: 6$ | 9 | 20: 0:60:19: 9 |
| 51 | 2: $3: 6$ | 10 | 19: 11:61: 0: 2 |
| 52 | 2: 3: 6 | 11 | 19: 2:61: 0: 8 |
| 53 | 2:3:6 | $2: 0$ | 18: 15 : 01: 1: 0 |
| 54 | 2:3:6 | 2: 0 | 18: 7:01: 1: 0 |
| 55 | 2: 3:0 | 2 | 17: $18: 61: 1: 11$ |
| 56 | 2:3:0 | $2: 2$ | 17: $7: 61: 2: 5$ |
| 57 | 2:2:0 | $2: 3$ | 16:16:61: $2: 11$ |
| 58 | 2:2:0 | 2 : | 16: 5::61: 3: 6 |
| 59 | 2:2:0 | 2: 7 | 15: $14: 61: 4: 0$ |
| 60 | 2: $1: 6$ | $2: 8$ | 15: $3: 61 .: 4$ : 6 |
| 61 | 2: 0: 6 | 2: 10 | 14: 8:61: 5: 2 |
| 62 | 1:19:6 | 3 : 0 | 13: 14 :0\|1: 5: |

This Table has been computed by the Rule in Quest. VII. Vol. I. p. 23, taking the probabilities of the duration of life as they are in the $\nabla$ th Table, and the values of single and joint lives as they are in the V.Ith and VIIth Tables in this Volume. The correct and legitimate Table 'would be a Table computed by the same rule from the Sweden Tables in this collection.

## TABLE LVII.

Shewing the Values of 100 l . payable to such Children, under Age, of a married Man, ds shall happen to be living at the Time of his Decease; provided he teaves no Widow.

Intergst 4 per cent.

| atc. | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Aenal pay- } \\ \text { menat durios } \\ \text { life. } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | Age. | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Aunaal pay- } \\ \text { menet during } \\ \text { iffe. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Single pay- } \\ & 8 \text { Sinent, sappou- } \\ & \text { ing theannual } \end{aligned}$ excnsed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | *. s. d. | R. s. d. |  | $R$. |  |
| 25 | 0: 10:0 | 8: 0:0 | 47 | 1: 3:6 | 14:18:0 |
| 26 | 0: 11:0 | 8: 10:0 | 48 | 1: 4:6 | 15: 6:0 |
| 27 | 0:11:6 | 9: 1:0 | 49 | 1: 5:6 | 15:15:0 |
| 28 | 0:12:0 | 9:10:0 | 50 | 1: 6:6 | 16: $4: 0$ |
| 29 | 0:12:6 | 9:18:0 | 51 | 1: 7:6 | 16:12:0 |
| 30 | 0: $13: 6$ | 10: 6:0 | 52 | 1: 8:6 | 17: 0:0 |
| 31 | 0: 14:0 | 10: 14:0 | 53 | 1: 9:6 | 17: 8:0 |
| 32 | 0: 14 : 6 | 11: 0:0 | 54 | 1:11:0 | 17:16:0 |
| 33 | 0: 15:0 | 11: 5:0 | 55 | 1:12:6 | 18: 4:0 |
| 34 | 0: 15:6 | 11: 9:0 | 56 | 1:13:6 | 18:13: |
| 35 | 0: 15:6 | 11: 13:0 | 57 | 1:15:0 | 19: 3:0 |
| 36 | 0: 16:0 | 11:19:0 | 58 | 1:16:6 | 19:13:0 |
| 37 | 0:17:0 | 12: 4:0 | 59 | 1:18:6 | 20: 3: |
| 38 | 0:17 : 6 | 12: 10:0 | 60 | 2: 0:6 | 20:13: |
| 39 | 0:18:0 | 12: 15:0 | 61 | 2: 2:6 | 21: 3: |
| 40 | 0:18: 6 | 13: 0:0 | 62 | 2: 3:0 | 21 : |
| 41 | 0: 19:0 | 13: 5:0 |  |  |  |
| 42 | 0: 19:6 | 13: 10:0 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1: 0:0 | 13: 15:0 |  |  |  |
| 44 | 1: 1:0 | 14: 0:0 |  |  |  |
| 45 | 1: $1: 6$ | 14: 5:0 |  |  |  |
|  | 1: $2: 6$ | 14:11:0 |  |  |  |

H H 2
Metrod

## Method of Caiculation.

LET the age be reckoned 35. The value (interest being at 4 per cent.) of 100 l . payable at the death of a person aged 35 , provided he survives another person of the same age, is $\mathscr{E}^{14.55}$, by Mr. Sinnpson's Problem quoted in Question XII. Vol.I. p. 42, and by the correction explained in Vol. I. p. 35 and 69 : deducing the values of the longest of the two tives from Tables VI. and VII. in this volume, by the rule in the Note p. 43, Vol. I.

This gives the value sought for this Table; on the supposition that it is certain, that a married man will at his death leave children under age. If one tenth of those who die widowers leave either no children, or none under age, then this value must be diminished, on that account, one tenth. And if, besides, one in five of all who are left widowers marry a second time wives not older than themselves, one half at least of whom, (that is, one tenth of all that are left widowers) must be reckoned to die in a 2 d or 3d marriage; then the same value must be diminished again another tenth; that is, a fifth in all; and this will make it $£_{11.64, \text { (or 11l. } 13 s \text {. nearly) which }}$ is the value in a single payment. given in the Table.-Divide $£ 11.64$ by 1.4 .98 (the value increased by unity of a life aged 25
by Table VI. in this Volume) and the quotient will be .777 (or 15 s .6 d .) which is the value in annual payments during the single life, the first payment to be made immediately.

In this:Table no allowance has been made for the inequality of age between a man and his wife, and for the chances of survivorship being, on this and other accounts, much against him in marriage. - The values in it, therefore, are probably much too high.

Had the value just determined been deduced from the Sweden Tables for males and females taken collectively, it would have been in the single payment:10l. $16 s$; in the annual payment $138.7 d . \longrightarrow \mathrm{Had}$ the wife been reckoned 29 (the husband being 85), it would have been in the single payment gl. 4s. 6 d : ; in the annual payment $11 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i}} 7 \mathrm{~d}$. —A society, therefore, for relieving orphans on this plan, might safely adopt lower payments than those in this Table; nor, would there be any danger from the admisfion of bad lives.

TABLE

## TABLE LVIII.

Shewing the present Value of an Anruity of $10 \%$. for five years; 201. for the next succeeding five Years; and 30l. for the whole of Life after Ten Years; payable quarterly; and to commence at Fipty-pive Years of Age.-See the Reference to this and the following Table in Vol. I. p. 148.


Tables.

## TABLE LIX.

Shewing the Values of an Annuity of 101 . for five Years; 20l. for the next succeeding five Years; and 30l. for the whole of Life after Ten Years; payable quarterly ; and to commence at Suxty Ycars of Age.—See Vol. I. p. 148.


These last twoTebles have been calculated by the rules in Vol. I. p. 18, 19, \&c.

The probabilitied of the duration of life have been supposed nearly the same with those in the Northampton Table of mortality.

The interest of money has been reckoned at 3 per cent.; and it must be further remembered, that the values in each of the 2 d and 3d columns are the whole values,

## APPENDIX.

## (478)

## APPENDIX.

THE following tables were computed by Dr . Price, at the request of a committee of the House of Commons, and were intended to form the foundation of a plan for enabling the labouring poor to provide support for themselves in sicknesa and old age, by small weekly savings from their wages.-A bill for establishing a plan of this kind was formed and approved by the Commons in the year 1789, but, like Mr. Dowdeswell's bill for the same purpose in the year $1773^{2}$, it was rejected by the Lords. The importance, however, of these tables is not lessened by this circumstance, and it was the author's intention to have published them, had he lived to complete the present edition of this work. In order therefore to fulfil his intentions, as well as to preserve those valuable fruits of his labour from being lost, I have inserted them, together with his own explanations of their use and constraction, in this Appendix ; thinking that they may be rendered of great public service in some future time, should the Societies for which they were computed be hereafter established either by the legistature or by voluntary associations. M.

[^92]
## TABLE 1.

Shewing the Weekly Allowances，during Incapa－ cities of Labour，produced by Sickness or Ac－ cidents，and the corresponding Weekly Con－ tributions necessary to entitle Persons to those Allowances．

N．B．The Ages in this and the following Tables，are the Ages at Admission，and the Contributions at Admis－ sion are reckoned to continue invariable till they cease at Sixty－five．

|  | Ages of Admissio Admissio |  |  |  |  | 颖 |  |  | 育㝘离 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Class | $d$. | d． | $d$. | $d$. |  |  | Class |  |  |
|  | I． | 1 | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ | 112 | $1 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | II． | 12 | 17 | 21 | 28 | 0 － 3 | ® | II． | 06 | 8 |
|  | III | 2 | $2 \frac{1}{1}$ | 3 | 31 | 0． 4 | d | III． | 08 | 4 |
|  | IV． | 23 | $3 \frac{1}{5}$ | 33 | $4 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0 － 5 | E | IV． | 10 | 5 |
|  | V ． | 3 | $3 \frac{3}{4}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 51 | 06 |  | V． | 012 | 6 |
|  | VI． | 31. | $4 \frac{3}{3}$ | $5{ }_{4}^{4}$ | $6 \frac{1}{8}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 7 \\ 0\end{array}$ |  | VI． | 0 14． | 7 |
|  | VII． | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 08 |  | VII． | 0 16 | 8 |
|  | VIII | 42 | 53 | $6 \frac{1}{4}$ | 73 | 09 |  | VIII． 0 | 018 | 9 |
|  | IX． | 5 | ${ }_{6}^{4}$ | 71 | $8 \frac{1}{4}$ | 010 |  | IX． | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{X}$ | 51 | 67 | 84 | ${ }^{95}$ | 0111 |  | X． | 12 |  |
|  | （XI． | 6 | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9 | $10 \frac{1}{2}$ | 110 |  | XI． | 4 |  |

## Suppositione on which this Table is formed.

First, That in societies consisting of persons under 32 years of age, a 48 th part of them will be always in a state of incapacitation by illness and accidents; and therefore entitled to allowances proportioned to their contributions. Various reasons, and particularly the experience of friendly. clubs, determine me to believe that the proportion of the sick to the well in such a society will not be so great as this, and consequently that a weekly allowance during sickness will be more than supported by weekly contributions not exceeding a 48th part of that allowance.

Secondly, It is supposed that from the age of 32 to 42 this proportion increases to one quarter more than a 48th part; from 43 to 51 to one half more ; from 52 to 58 to three quarters more; and from 59 to 64 to double. The reason of assuming this rate of increase is, that the probability of the duration of human life decreases after 30 nearly in this manner, or so that a person of the age of 60 has but half the probability of living any given time that a person at 32 has, and consequently must be then doubly subject to the causes that produce sickness and mortality.

## TABLE II．

Shewing the Weekly Allowances to Persons in Old Age after 65 and 70；and the correspond．

| Weekly Contributions till 65. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 为第： |
|  | －管 |
|  | F無 |
|  | E象 |
|  | 汼舞 |

## TA'BLE II. continued.

ing Weekly Contributions in early Life necessary to support those Allowances.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Class } \\ \text { V. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Class } \\ \text { VII. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class } \\ & \text { VII. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class } \\ & \text { VIII. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Classe } \\ & \text { XI. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class } \\ & \hline \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ | Class XI. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d. | s. d. | d. | 3. d. | d. |  |  |
|  | o, $3 \frac{1}{3} 0$ | 40 |  | 50 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| $3{ }^{3} 10$ | $4: 30$ | - 50 | $5 \cdot \frac{5}{8}$ | $6 \times 10$ | - 6? | - 72 |
| 4: ${ }^{2}$ | 5:90 | - 60 | $6{ }_{4}^{3} 0$ | $7{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 9 |
| $5:$ | $6{ }_{5}$ | O 70 | $7{ }_{7}^{7} 0$ | 830 | $9 \stackrel{5}{5}$ | $10^{1}$ |
| 6 | 0 |  | - 9 | 10 | 11 |  |
| $6{ }_{7}^{3}$ | $7 \%$ | - 90 | 10 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $11 \frac{1}{4}$ | O3 ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| $7 \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $8 \frac{3}{\square}$ | - 100 | 114 ${ }_{4}$ | $\mathrm{O}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $1{ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ |  |
| O 8 $8_{4} \mathrm{O}$ | - 95: 0 | O 111 | ${ }_{5}$ | $1{ }^{3} 1$ | 1 3 | 4: |
| - | - $10{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 01 | $1{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 1 , 3 | $4{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 0 |
| 93 | 118 | 111 | $2{ }^{\frac{5}{8}}$ | $4{ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | $5 \frac{3}{3}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| - $10{ }^{\frac{1}{3}} 1$ | 0 | 21 | $3{ }^{3} 1$ | $5{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} 1$ | $17^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | 9 |
| $0^{-1} 11 \frac{1}{4}$ | $1{ }^{1} 1$ | 31 | $4{ }^{4} 1$ | $6{ }^{3} 1$ | 8s | 10: |
| 1001 | 121 | 41 | 6 | 8 | 10 |  |
| 1.031 | $2{ }_{5}^{7}$ | 51 |  | $9{ }_{4}^{2}$ | $111{ }_{\frac{3}{8}}$ |  |
| 1 | $3{ }_{4}^{3} 1$ | 01 | 8 | $10^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $2{ }^{\text {O }}$ | 3 |
| $2{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ | 43 | 71 | $9{ }^{\frac{3}{5}}$ | 1142 | 2 2! | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 3\end{array}$ | $1{ }^{1} 51$ | 81 | $10 \frac{1}{2}$ | 12 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| $4 \frac{1}{2} 1$ | $7{ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | 1102 | $0 \frac{3}{4}$ | $3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $0 \pm$ | 9 |
| 6 | 1 |  |  |  | 9 | 0 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 7 \frac{1}{3} \\ 1\end{array}$ | $10 \frac{3}{4} 2$ | $2{ }^{2}$ | $5{ }_{4}^{1}$ | $8{ }_{2}^{1} 2$ | 113 | 3 |
| 10: ${ }^{1}$ | $2 \stackrel{1}{4}$ | 262 |  | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | ${ }^{1}+3$ | 9 |
| $2{ }^{2} 1{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{2}$ | $2{ }^{5}{ }^{3}+2$ | 2103 |  | ${ }^{1}{ }_{2}$ | 10:4 | 3 |
| 2 $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $9{ }_{5}{ }_{5}$ | $3 \quad 23$ | $6 \stackrel{3}{4}$ | $11{ }_{2}^{1}$ | 47 | 9 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}2 & 7 \frac{1}{7} \\ \\ 3\end{array}$ | $0{ }_{5}{ }^{3}$ | 363 | $311+4$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4935 |  |
| $\underline{2102}$ |  | 41015 | $3{ }_{7}{ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{4}^{1} 6$ | $3 \pm 16$ | 9 |

## Appendix:

TABLE II. continued.

|  |  | After 65. | After 70. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Class I. | $\begin{array}{cc}s . & \\ 2 & \\ 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccc}\text { 2. } & \text { s. } \\ 0 & \text { d. } \\ 0 & 4 & 0\end{array}$ |
|  | II. | 30 | 060 |
|  | III. | 40 | 080 |
|  | IV. | 0 | 0100 |
|  | V. | 60 | 0120 |
|  | VI. | 0 | 0140 |
|  | VII. | 80 | 0160 |
|  | VIII. | 0 | 0180 |
|  | IX. | 100 | 100 |
|  | X. | 110 | 120 |
|  | XI. | 12 | 14 |

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The weekly contributions in the first class, which are equivalent to the weekly allowances after 65 and 70 in the same class, have been computed by Dr. Price for all the intermediate ages between 50 and 65, and are as sollow :

| Ase. | $\qquad$ | Age. | $\underset{\|c\|}{\text { Weekly. }} \underset{\text { Contribution. }}{ }$ | Age. | Weekly Contribution. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \&. s. d. |  | e. s. d. |  | e. s. d. |
| 51 | $0100 \frac{1}{2}$ | 56 | 01113 | 61 | 060 |
| 52 | 0112 | 57 | 0 2 214 | 62 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 8 & 01\end{array}$ |
| 53 | 0 1 1 1 $3 \frac{3}{4}$ | 58 | $0299 \frac{1}{4}$ | 63 | 0126 |
| 54 | $0115 \frac{3}{4}$ | 59 | 0 O 314 | 64 | 150 |
| 55 | 0183 | 60 | 0 4 21 |  |  |

From these sums the weekly contributions in the other ten classes may be easily obtained. But it will be seldom necessary to have recourse to them; for at a period of life so far advanced, the weekly contributions become so high in those classes as to render it almost impossible for the labouring poor to pay them.
M.

Method

## Method of calculating Table II.

The rule for finding the value in a single present payment of an annuity payable for life to a person of a given age, should he survive any other given age, may be found in Volume I. Quest. 6. p. 18.

## Example.

Let the rate of interest be $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. The table of the probabilities of the duration of human life, that forNorthampton given in Vol. II. p. 311. and the tables of the values of lives those in Vol. II. p. 314. Also, let the given age be 20; and let the enquiry be, what sum ought to be given for an annuity of 11 . payable weekly for life to a person of this age, provided he should survive 65 ?

The value by the table just referred to, at $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per . cent. of an annuity payable weekly during a life aged 65 , is ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} 8.332$. The probability that a life at 20 will continue in being till it is 65 , is (by the other table just referred to) $\frac{1}{3} \frac{0}{3} \frac{9}{3} \frac{2}{2}$; that is, it is the fraction whose numerator is the number of the living at 65 , and whose denominator is the number living at 20. The value of 11 . payable at the end of a number of years, equal to the difference be tween the two ages 20 and 65 , or at the end of 45 years, is (reckoning interest at $3 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent.) . 2126 by Table I. Vol. II. p. 262.
※8.332 multiplied by $\frac{1}{5} \frac{6,93}{13} \frac{3}{3}$ is $=2.648$; and

[^93]this product multiplied by $\mathbf{2 1 2 6}$ makes $\mathbf{8 . 5 6 5}$ the value required.

The value being thus found, in a single payment of an annuity of 11 . payable weehly for the life of a person of a given age after another given age; the equivalent value, in weekly payments, dependent on the continuance of the given life till it reachesthe age it is to survive, is found by dividing the value in a single payment, by the value of an annuity payable weekly on the given life, for a term of years equal to the difference between the age of the given life and the age it is to survived; which, in the present case, is for a term equal to the difference between 20 and 65 , or 45 years. The value of a life age 20 for this term is $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { K }} 17.072$. And $\mathfrak{£} .5629$ (the value in a single payment just found) divided by 17.072 gives $\& .0329$ the annual sum payable weekly due from a person aged 20, for an annuity of 11. payable weekly during what may happen to remain of his life after 65 . The payment per week equivalent to this annual sum is, plainly, the sum divided by the number of weeks in the year ; that is, $\mathscr{x} .0329$ divided by 52, which will give 2.00063 . In like manner, an annuity of 11. payable weekly may be found to be equivalent to a payment per week of $\mathscr{Z} .0192$. Since, therefore, a weekly allowance of $\mathscr{2} .0192$ after 65 is worth to a person aged 20, a payment

[^94]or contribution per week till 65 of $£ .00063$, any other weekly allowance will be worth as much more or less than $\mathscr{E} .00063$, as the allowance itself is more or less. The weekly allowance, therefore, after 65 being reckoned two shillings (or 0.1 ) the weekly contribution due for it, will be $£ .00328$; for as .0192 is to 0.1 so is $£ .00063$ to $£ .00328$.

By the very same method of calculation it may be found that an allowance to a person now in his 21 st year of two shillings per week for life after 70 years of age, is worth, in weekly contributions till he reaches 65 and subject to his death in the intermediate time, $£ .00171$. Therefore. a weekly allowance of two shillings per week for life to a person in his 21 st year after 65, and also an allowance of two shillings more to the same person after 70, is worth, in weekly contributions till he reaches 65 and subject to his death, $£ .00328$ added to $\mathscr{E} 00171$; that is', it is worth $£ .00499$, which is nearly one penny and $\frac{+}{}$ of a farthing.

In this manner have all the values in the 2d Table been calculated.

The value of any weekly contribution for a given term of years, dependant on the continuance of any life during that term, is 52 times the weekly contribution multiplied by the value of an annuity payable weekly on that life for the given term.Thus, supposing the life 20 years of age, and the weekly contribution two-pence, 52 multiplied by .00833 , and also by $17.072^{\prime \prime}$ (that is, \& $^{(1.397)}$ will be the value in a single present payment of that contribution dependant on the continuance of the life till 65. And this, therefore, is the sum which, according to Table II, a person under 21, if a contributor in the first Class, ought to pay, in order to be excused all subsequent payments.
> - See the Note in page 480.

> VOL, II.
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TABLE III.
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Contributions for supporting those Allowances: being Tables I. and II. combined.


TABLE III．continued．

|  |  | ｜st Instance｜rdIustance．｜ |  | After 65 |  | After 70： |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bedlying } \\ & \text { Pay. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Walkiet } \\ & \text { Pay. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Class | c．s． | \＆． 8. |  | ce． | 2．s． |
| $\left.\right\|_{5} ^{5}$ | I． | 04 | 02 | 80 | 0 | 04 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}$ | II． | 06 | 03 |  | 0 | 06 |
| 宸 | III． | 08 | 04 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0 | 08 |
| 亳。 | IV． | 010 | 05 | 号 | 0 | 010 |
| 有葱 | V． | 012 | 0． 6 | － | 106 | 012 |
| 或䢒 | VI． | 014 | 0． 7 | 8 | 0， 7 | $0 \quad 14$ |
| \％ | VII． | 016 | 08 | \％ | 08 | 016 |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | VIII． | 018 | 0． 9 | 4 | O 9 | 018 |
| \％ | IX． | 10 | 010 | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ | 010 | 10 |
| 号 | $\mathbf{X}$ ． | 12 | $0 \cdot 11$ |  | 011 | 12 |
| a | XI． | 1.4 | $0 \cdot 12$ |  | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 12\end{array}\right.$ | 1.4 |

Shewing the Fines，or Composition Money，payable at Admission by Contributors in the First Class who have commenced their Con－ tributions at Ages above 21，and who may prefer the Payment of a Fine to an lncrease of Weekly Contribution，on Account of the Excess of their Ages above 21，as specified in Table III．
N．B．The sums in the following Table art also the sums payable，at Removals， to Contributors，who，at Admission，paid Fines in lieu of an Increase of Weekly Contribution．

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sums } \\ \text { payable } \end{gathered}$ | b $\quad$Sums <br> payable | Sums payable | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sums } \\ \text { payable } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sums } \\ \text { payable } \end{gathered}$ |
| Year  <br> In 22d  <br> E． s． <br> 0  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} \text { Year } & \mathcal{E}_{.} \\ \text {In } 81 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r\|r\|} \text { Year } & \text { E. } \\ \text { In 40tb } & \text { g } 17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\binom{\text { Year }}{\text { Y 49th }}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Xear } \\ \text { In } 58 u \end{array}\right\|$ |  |
| 23d 018 | 32d 4.16 | $41 s t$ 10 7 | 50th 210 | 59th |  |
| 24th 18 | 33d 512 | 48d 1110 | 51 st 22.5 | COCh | 420 |
| 25th 115 | 34th 66 | 49d 1116 | 52 d 2314 | 61 st | 460 |
| 26 th 83 | 35 th 70 | 44 4．6 1212 | 53d 25.6 | 62d | 50 |
| 27th 212 | 36th 712 | 45 tb 1814 | 54th 2618 | 63. | $54 \quad 0$ |
| 28 th 30 | 87th 8．－4． | 46 ch 150 | $55 \mathrm{th}, 2813$ | 6416 | 580 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 29th＇} & 3 & 8 \\ 300 h & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 38th } & 8 & 16 \\ 39 \text { th } & 9 & 7\end{array}$ | 47 th <br> 48 th <br> 18 <br> 16 | 56th 9016 | 65 | 680 |

## Explanation and Uses of Table IV.

This Table implies that all persons under 21 years of age entitle themselves to the expectation of their different classes, as specified in the two last columns of Table III. without paying any fine; and also that should they remove before they get into their 22d year, no money is payable by the parish they leave on that account.

If advanced into their 22d year when they enter, and do not chuse the increase of weekly contribution specified in Table III. under that age, this Table shews the fine due from them in lieư of that increase, if they enter into the 1st Class. The fines to be paid in the other classes are in proportion to the weekly contributions in those clabses, and are immediately obtained from the fines in this Table. Thus, in the 2d Class they will be $13 s^{\circ}$ : 6 d .-in the 3 d Class 18 s .-in the 4 th Class 1l. 2s; 6 d . and so on. In like manner the fines due from persons in their $23 \mathrm{~d}, 24 \mathrm{th}, 25 \mathrm{th}, 26 \mathrm{th}, \& \mathrm{cc}$. years, when they enter in the first Class, (that is, aged then 22, 23, 24, 25, \&c.) in lieu of an increased weekly' contribution, are the sums corresponding to their ages as specified in this Table; and the fines in the other classes will, as observed above, be in proportion to the weekly contributions in those classes. The sums payable at removal to persons who have entered under 21, but do not remove before they are turned of this age, are the same with these fines. For example:

A contributor who has entered in the first Class under 21, if he leaves the parish in which he entered in his 22d, 23d, 24th, 25th, 8 c . years, will be entitled, at his removal, to the sums in the Table opposite to these ages; that is, to $9 .-18 s$.
$-1 l .6 s .-1 l .15 \mathrm{~s} . \& \mathrm{c}$. If he has entered in the 2d Class it may be found from those sums that he will be entitled to 13 s . $6 \mathrm{~d} .-1 \mathrm{l} .7 \mathrm{~s}$.-1l. $19 \mathrm{~s} .-2 \mathrm{l}$. 12s. 6 d . \& cc.

If in the 3 d Class to $18 \mathrm{~s} .-1 \mathrm{l} .16 \mathrm{~s} .-2 \mathrm{l}$. 12 s .3l. 10s. \&c. according as he is in his 22d, 23d, 24th, 25th, \&c. years respectively.

It may be a necessary observation, that it is of no consequence to a parish how many removals a contributor in any particular Class had made before he came to it, provided it receives with him the sum in the Table corresponding to his age and class.' For example:

A contributor under 21 has entered in the Ist Class; that is, he has entitled himself, by taking upon him a contribution of 2 d . per week, payable till he is 65 , to an allowance, whenever he is sick or disabled, of four shillings per week bedyying pay, and two shillings per week walking pay; and alsa to an allowance for life after 65 of two shillings per week, and after 70 of four shillings per week. Let this person be supposed to remove to another parish in his 28th year. This Table shews that the parish he leaves ought to remit to the parish to which he removes 31 . Should he remove again, the second parish will be obliged to remit to a third parish the sum opposite to his age at that time; and the same is true of this third parish in case of a removal to a fourth parish ; and so on.

Again: A contributor aged 22 (thatt is, in the 23d year of his age) has entered (let us suppose) in the 3d Class; that is, he has entitled himself, either by a weekly contribution, without a fine, of Jour-pence halfpenny payable till he is 65 ; (see Table III.) or with a fine and a weekly contribution of four pence payable till 65, to an allowance during sickness of eight shillings per week bedlying
pay, and foir shillings per week walking pay, and also to an allowance of four shillings per week during life atter 65, and eight shillings per week after 70 -Such a contributor, should he remove in his 30th year, will, as appears by the Table, be entitled to twice 3 l . 16 s : or 71 . 12 s . for the parish into which he removes; and should he remove again in his 40th year, he will be entitled to twice 91. 17 s. or 19/. 14s. for a second parish ; and should he remove a third time in his 50th year, he will be entitled to twice 21l. or 422 . for a third parish.

## Method of compuling Table IV.

When a contributor removes to a new parish, he continues there the weekly contribution with which he first entered. But to this parish he will be the same with a new contributor entering at his age; and, therefore, this parish will be entitled either to a weekly contribution suitable to that age and class, as specified in Table III. or to such a sum as will be equivalent to the value of the difference between his contribution and the higher contribution due from a person in that class and at that age, supposing him not to have been before a contributor. If this compensation is not made, the parish left will be gainer at the expence of the parish to which the contributor removes; and, consequently, while the one is benefited, the other will be injured.-In other words, the parish left by a contributor is a gainer by the removal; and having no right to that gain, without being liable to sustain the burden, a sum equivalent to it ought to be transferred to the parish into which the removal is made, in order to place it on the same footing with respect to such a contributor as if he had never before been a contributor. This equivalent
is the value of the difference just mentioned; and it must be calculated by the following rule.

Multiply the difference between the contribution to be received by the parish to which a contributor removes, and the contribution due from a person in his class and at his age, when he removes (as specified in Table III.), by the value of an annuity, payable weekly, on a life at that age, for a number of years equal to the difference between his age at removal and 65 years of age. The product will be the equivalent sum payable at his removal.

## Example.

Let a person be supposed to have made himself a contributor in the second Class under 21 years of age, and afterwards at 28 or in his 29th year, to remove. In this case the contribution is $3 d$ per week : but in Table III. it appears that in that Class tbe contribution due from one at that age, supposing him then to commence his contribution, is four-pence halfpermy per week. The difference is three-halfpence per week, which is the same with six shillings and six-pence per ann.; and the value of this annuity, payable weekly by a person aged 28 (or in his 2gth year) till he is 65 , and subject to the contingency of his dying in the mean time, is (by the rule in Quest. 6th, Vol. I.) 15.80 years' purchasé, reckoning interest at $3 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ per cent. and the probabilities and values of lives as given in Tables XV. and XIX. Vol. II. This value multiplied by $\mathscr{E} .325$ gives $\mathscr{E} .5 .135$, that is nearly $51.2 s .6 d$. which is in due proportion to the sum specified in this Table for the 1st Class. In this manner have all the sums in this Table been computed; and it is evident that they express not only the sums payable in all cases at removals, but also
the fines payable by persons who begin their contributions at a greater age than 21, supposing them excused an increase of weekly contribution on that account.

The three first Tables are necessary data for composing the fourth Table. But should fines only be admitted on account of excess of age, no other Table would be necessary besides the fourth; and this would give great simplicity to the scheme. Perhaps, however, it may be adviseable to give an option to contributors above age at entrance, either to pay the higher weekly contributions in Table III. or to compound by paying the fines in the 4th Table. In this case the following Tables will be necessary, which exhibit the sums payable at removals to contributors at any particular ages greater than $24 .{ }^{6}$
${ }^{\text {b }}$ These tables also (like the preceding one) exhibit the sums payable by those persons who shall chuse on their entrance into the club or society, to begin with such contribations as are first paid by members of any particular age less than their own, and greater than 21 years.Thu's, if a person in his 24th year wishes to be admitted into the 1st Class with contributors of 22 years of age, By beginning with a contribution of $2 \div d$. he should pay $9 s$. for such admission.-If he is in his 40th year he should pay $9 l .3 \mathrm{~s}$.-if in his 50 th year 20l. 10s. and so on. Again: If a person in his 29 th year should chuse to be admitted into the 1st Class with contributors of 23 and 24 years of age, by beginning with a contribution of $2 \frac{1}{2} d$. he should pay $1 l$. $15 s$. for such admission-if he is in his 39th year he should pay $8 l$.-if he is in his 49 th year 18l. 14s. and so on. The fines payable on admission into the other classes at those respective ages are in proportion to the weekly contributions, and are easily deduced from this Table. (See Note, p. 494.) M.

TABLES, shewing the Sums payable at Removals, to Coatribators who have begun their Contributions in the several Years of their Age, after the 2.st, without Fines.

| Table V. Ciass lbt. |  | lable VI. Class Ist. | Table VII. Clase lst. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { rab. VIII. } \\ \text { Class lst. } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Table IX. } \\ \text { Class Ist. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Table X. Cluss 1st. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week y Contributions 2td. |  | d. | $2 \downarrow$ | 3 d. | 31 |  |
| Ageat subseription 22 and 73 |  | 2482 | 26 \& 27 | 8 \& | 30 \& 31. | 32. |
| $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { Ase at } \\ \text { Remival. }} \\ \text { Sums } \\ \text { payable. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Suins payable. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surns } \\ \text { payable. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sums } \\ & \text { payable. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sums } \\ \text { payable. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 8ums } \\ \text { payable. } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | e. s. d. <br> $\begin{array}{rrrr}0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 18 & 0\end{array}$ 115 $\begin{array}{lll}2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 11 & 0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}3 & 6 \\ 4 & 0\end{array}$ 414 5 615 78 89 0 <br> 9 10 1010 1210 1310 15 1814 20 $\begin{array}{rr}21 & 5 \\ 22 & 16\end{array}$ 24 $\begin{array}{ll}27 & 17\end{array}$ 32 |  <br> 10 17  <br> 0 11 17 <br> 01217 <br> 1413 <br> 016. 8 <br> 0.1910 <br> 0.2015 <br> $\begin{array}{ll}022 & 6 \\ 0 & 23 \\ 0 & 18\end{array}$ <br> 02511 <br> $027 \quad 8$ 0.2912 <br> 03413 <br> 0 37 8 <br> 0 40 9 <br> 0.45 | E. s. d <br> $\begin{array}{rrrr}0 & 9 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 12 & 0 \\ 2 & 8 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 17 & 0 \\ 4 & 10 & 0 \\ 5 & 3 & 0 \\ 5 & 17 & 0 \\ 6 & 10 & 0 \\ 7 & 4 & \\ 7 & 17 & \\ 8 & 10 \\ 9 & 4 \\ 10 \\ 10 & 4 \\ 11 & 4 \\ 12 & 10\end{array}$ <br> $014 \quad 2$ <br> ${ }^{2} 17 \quad 12$ <br> $019 \quad 0$ <br> $C_{1} 2110$ <br> 0255 <br> $0.27 \quad 0$ <br> $0 \mid s 114$ <br> 03410 <br> ${ }^{0} 37 \quad 0$ <br> $045 \quad 0$ |  |  |

TABLES, shewing the Sums payable at Removals, to Contributors who have begun their Contributions in the several Years of their Age, after the 2lst, without Fines.

| Table XI. Class lst. |  |  | Table XII. Class 1st. | Tab. XIII Class Ist. | $\begin{array}{c\|c} \text { Tab. XI } \\ \cdot & \text { Class 1s } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Table } \\ & \text { Class } \end{aligned}$ | Tab. XVI. <br> Class 1st. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekly Contribution 37d. |  |  | 4d. | $4{ }^{4}$ d. | 412d. | 44 d. | \$d. |
| Age at Sabscription 33. |  |  | 34. | 35. | 36. | 37. | 38. |
| Age at Removal. |  | $\underset{\text { pays }}{\text { pable. }}$ | Sums payable. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sums } \\ \text { payable. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bums } \\ \text { payable. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sums } \\ \text { payable. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sums } \\ \text { payable. } \end{gathered}$ |
| In their | Ye <br> 34th <br> 35th <br> 36th <br> 3ith <br> ssth <br> 39th <br> 40th <br> 41 st <br> 4. d <br> 43d <br> 44th <br> 45th <br> 46 th <br> 47 th <br> 45th <br> 49 c <br> 50th <br> 51 st <br> 52d <br> 53d <br> 54th <br> 53th <br> 56th <br> 57th <br> 5 sth <br> 591 b <br> 61 st |  |  |  | s. s. d $\begin{array}{c\|cc} 0 & 0 & 15 \\ 0 & 1 & 10 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 16 \\ 0 & 3 & 10 \\ 0 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 5 & 5 \\ 0 & 6 & 9 \\ 0 & 7 & 9 \\ 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 & 11 \\ 0 & 12 & 11 \\ 0 & 1+ & 7 \\ 0 & 16 & 0 \\ 0 & 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 18 & 5 \\ 0 & 10 & 10 \\ 0 & 12 & 15 \\ 0 & 22 & 11 \\ 0 & 24 & 15 \\ 0 & 27 & 7 \\ 0 & 29 & 14 \\ 0 & 32 & 12 \\ 0,35 & 8 \\ 0 & 38 & 15 \\ 0 & 43 & 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |

TABLES, shewing the Sums payabls at Removals, to Contributor. who have begun their Contributions in the several Years of thei Age, after the 21st, without Fines.


TABLES, shewing the Sums payable at Removals, to Contributore who have begun their Contributions in the several Years of their Age, after the 21st, without Fines.


## NOTE.

In the original Tables the sums to be paid at remoral have been computed for all the Eleven Classes at every age from 22 to 50 ; but I have ouly inserted the First Class for each age in these Tables, because the insertion of the other Ten Classes would have swelled the work without answering any essential purpose. If the sums payable at remoral be known when the weekly contributions are $2 \frac{1}{d}$. in the 1st Column, $2 \frac{1}{1} d$. in the 2 d . Column, and so on : the sums to be paid in those respective cases when the weekly contributions are $3 \frac{3}{d} d$., $3 \times d$, , \&c. are easily obtained by the common rule of proportion. Thus, if instead of $2 \frac{1}{d} d$. in the 1 st Column, the weekly contribution had been $3{ }_{\boldsymbol{i}} d$. the sum to be paid on removal would have been a fourth proportional to $2 \frac{1}{4} d$. 9 s . and $3 \frac{2}{2} d$.; that is, expressing these numbers in decimals, it would have been $=\frac{.45 \times .014062}{.0}=.67497=13 \mathrm{~s} .6 \mathrm{~d}$. or more simply $=.45 \times \frac{3}{2}$. If the weekly contributions had been $\gamma \frac{7}{\square} d$. the sum to be paid on rethoval would have been $\frac{.45 \times .032812}{.009375}=1.575=1 l$. 11 s . 6 d . or $.45 \times \frac{7}{2}$. But if the contributions had been $6 \frac{1}{4} d .11 \frac{1}{4} d$. or any other multiple of $2 \frac{1}{4} d$. the sum to be paid would have been the same multiple of $9 s$. and therefore immediately ascertained.

FINIS.

## INDEX



## TWO V.OLUMES.

ACCOUNT of a method of deducing from the correct values of any single or joint lives at one rate of interest, the same values at other rates of interest, i. 221
Ackworth in Yorkshire, expectations of life in that parish, ii 35. Annual proportion of deaths there, ii. 41. note. State of population there, ii. 220.
Aggregate fund, account of, i. 298. The surpluses of, hów applied, i. 299.
Aikin, Dr. Observations on the tables formed from his register of mortality at Warrington in Lancashire, ii. 249.
Air, how its purity is restored by natural causes when it becomes noxious, ii. 130.
All Saints parish in Northampton, estimation of the duration of life there, ii. 45. Proportion of births and burials in, ii. 95.

America, North, rapid progress of population there, ii. $\mathbf{5 0}$. Remarks on the state of population in the colonies there ii. 145. The inland, and maritime parts of, distinguished, ii. 146.

Amicable Society of Annuitants for the Benefit of Age, their terms of admission, i. 141. The plan of, reformed, i. 154.
Amicable Society for perpetual Assurance in Serjeants Inn, terms of, i. 158. Remarks on the plan of, i. 160. Increase of shares and dividends, i. 166. Cautions offered to them, ibid. Remarks on the state of their affairs in 1802, i. 173, note.
Amsterdam, the institution for the sale of annuities on survivorship there, dropped, i. 131.
Amsterdam, number of inhabitants, and annual medium of births and burials in, ii. 23, note.
Annuitants, a body of, generally a selection of the best lives from the common mass, ii, 454. See Amicable, Equitable, Laudable, London, \&c.

Annuities, payable yearly, and half-yearly, their different values stated, $j$. 263. The amounts of, for any number of years, at compound interest payable half-yearly, i. 265. ' Application to the national debt, i. 266. Application to life-annuities, i. 267. Theorems for finding the differences in their values, according to the frequency of their payments, i. 238.
Annuities for limited terms, the raising money for public service by the grant of, a sure mode of prescribing bounds to the national debt, i. 274. Short annuities and life-annuities, always undervalued, i. 287. A fund raised for paying life annuities, capable of paying the sum borrowed in a shorter time, i. 288.
Annuities, reversionary, questions adapted to various schemes, for granting, i. 1. The value of the expectation of an annuity for a widow, in one present payment, idem, ibid. The value of a like expectation in annual payments, i. 18. Premium to be paid, where the annual payments are limited, i. 16. The value in a single payment, and also in annual payments, of an annuity to a person of thirty-five, to commence fifteen years hence, $i$. 18. The value in annual payments, of an annuity increasing according the number of years before a woman becomes a widow, i. 26. The value of an annuity to commence at the death of another person, provided that person lives a given time, i. 90,32 , note. What present money and annual payments ought to be paid by a person of a given age, for an assurance of a given sum on his life, i. 32. The value, where the payment of a sum is contingent, depending on the survivorship of one life beyond another, i. 36, 40. note. The value of the expectation of a certain sum to children, where no widow is left, i. 42, 43, note. The annual payments proper to secure annuities to widows under a local or professional establishment, i. 46. Bemarks on Mr. De Moivre's rules fot calculating the values of joint lives, i. 204. Easy and expeditious method of calculating the values of two joint lives, i. 211. Method of calculating the values of reversions, depending on survivorships, i. 192. Tables of the probabilities of life for males and females, wanted to calculate them to exactness, ii. 111 . Annuities for old age, a table of the value of, i. 138. General rule for finding the value of, in admission money and annual payments, i. 139. General character of the schemes of the societies established for granting such annuities, i. 140, 145. Their fallacy demonstrated, i. 143. Plan of an institution to provide for old age, recommended, i. 148. Plan of a benefit club for the labouring poor, i. 149, note. Scheme for establishing parochial life annuities for the poor, i. 151. note. Hints for growing annuities, to commence at a certain age, i. 187.

Annuity for 100 years, nearly equal in value to ose for ever, i. 274 .

Annuity for ever, the present value of, i. 49, note.
Assurance, the more correct method of computing the annual payments, i. 20, note. The value of a given sum on a given life; in present money and annual payments, i. 32. The value, where the payment of the sum assured is contingent, depending on the survivorship of the receiver, i. 36.40, note. Where an estate is liable to fail by death within a given term, the value of the assurance for that term, i. 51.53 , note. Rule for calculating the price of assurances on two joint lives, or the longest of two lives, for given terms, i. 54. The value of a given sum to be received at the death of another within a given time, i. 60, 61, note. The value of a given sum payable at the death of B, provided he survives $\Lambda$, and dies within a given time, i. 65,66 , note. 70 , note. The doctrine of assurances applied to schemes for raising annuities for'widows, i. 85, note.
Assurances, equitable, on lives and survivorships, remarks on the plan of the society for, i. 174. The society ought to be

- furnished with necessary tables, i. 180. Its rapid increase, i. 182. Ratio of the decrements of life in this society, ibid. note, and ii. 443. Account of the tables ordered for the use of the society, i. 184. Advantages possessed by this society enumerated, i. 186. Tables still requisite for the society to procure, i. 187. Hints for growing annuities to be offered to the public by, i. 188. Table of the probabilities of life, accepted by this society, ii. 305. Remarks on their terms, and management, ii. 372. Have extended their plan to making a provision for widows, ii. 434. Observations on their plan for widows, ii. 439. Comparison of the premiums required for assurances on single lives, with their values deduced from the Sweden tables, ii. 441. State of their affairs in 1800, i. 191, note. Number of assurances and ratio of the decrements of life at different ages for the last 33 years, ii. 443, note.
Aynhoe in Northamptonshire, proportion of births and deaths to marriages there, for 118 years, ii. 34, note.


## B.

Bacon, lord, his remarks on inclosures, ii. 156.
Belfast, comparative surveys of the state of population there, i. 204, note.

Bergen in Norway, expectations of life there, i. 45, note.
Berlin, state of population in that city, i. 67. 107. Its disadvantages, ii. 121. Tables of the probabilitics of life there, ii. 126.

Vox. II. $\quad \cdot \quad$ K x Biddulph

Biddulph in Staffordshire, state of population there, i. 43, note. Bills of mortality, imperfections in, ii. 12. The advantages that would result from extending such bills through all the parishes in the kingdom, ii. 54. Those for Edinburgh too irregular to serve any purpose, ii. 57 . Observations on those of Paris, ibid. Observations on the method of forming tables of mortality from, ii. 73. How affected by the annual accession of settlers to towns, ii. 76. Application to London, ii. 82. The onissions in the bills computed, ii. 91 . The London bills not so imperfect as they are supposed, ii. 99, note.
Birmingham, present state of population there, ii. 173, sote. The excess of births above the burials, accounted for, ii. 175, note.
Births, male and female, the proportion between, ii. 258.
Bland, Dr. his attempts to ascertain the proportion of the native inhabitants of London, ii. 261.
Boston in New England, unable to keep up its number of inhabitants without country recruits, ii. 42.
Brakenvidge, Dr. remarks on his method of computing the number of inhabitants in London, ii. 21. In England and Wales, ii. 21, note.
Brand, Mr. objections to his account of the duration of life among the members of the A micable Corporation, i. 172, note.
Bremen, account of an institution there for the benefit of widows, i. 139
Breslave, the tables of mortality there, affected by the annual accession of settlers, ii. 77. Proportion of the deaths of married men to married women there, ii. 109, note.
Bunhill-fields burial ground, the number of burials there much reduced, ii. 28, note.
Burial grounds, new ones opened in London, ii. 29.

$$
\mathbf{C}
$$

Calenburg, establishment for widows in the dutchy of, if insufficiency, i. 132.
Chester, state of population in that ciny, ii. 46, note. ii. 69 General character of that city, ii. 246. Account of Dr. Haygarth's register of mortality there, ibid. Conclusions drawn from the Chester Tables, ii. 247. State of population there in the year 1774, id. ib. note. Remarks on the apparent increare of population in that diocese, ii. 188. Probable occasion of that increase, ii. 190.
Children, the great mortality of, in London, ii. 15. Why Loddon is less fatal now to infants than formerly, ii. 30. Good effects of the act ordering parish infants to be nursed in the country.
coontry; ii. 31, note. In country pariṣhes the major part of chem live to maturity, ii. 34. - Account of the Founding Hospital at Paris, ii. 59. Inferences from the state of this hospital, ii. 61. More male than female children die, ii. 105. Transferring the care of them to foster mothers the cause of great destruction among them, ii. 114; note.
Christ's Hospital, annual average of deaths in, ii: 87.
Christiana in Norway, state of population there, ii. 45, note.
Cities ; see Towns.
Civilized life, the refinements of, unfavourable to population, ii. 145.

Clergy, London, scheme of the association of, for the benefit of their widows, i. 104. Its imperfections pointed out, i. 106. Declines, in consequerrice of a reformation in the plan, i. 115.
Commerce, a flourishing state of, on the whole, unfavourable to population, ii. 143, note.
Complement of a life, explained, i. 2, note.
Compound interest ; sec Interest.
Corn, the complaints of the ligh price of, an instance of the distresses of the poor, ii. 138, note. Chronological table of the prices of, ii. 152, note.
Cottrigers, the benefit of, to a country, ii. 141.
Credit, puiblic; see Debt, national.

> b.

Dale, Mr. character of his calculations of the valdés of annuities for old age, i. 146, note. i. 155.
David's, St. remarks on Mr. Wales's account of the increase of population in that diocese, ii. 186, 187.
De Moivre, Mr. remarks on his rules for calculating the values of joint lives, i. 204.
Debt, national, the danger of, without due attention to the means of payment, ic .72. Histotical review of, i 273. How to prescribe limits to it, i. 274 . Advantages of a sinking fund for discharging the public debts, i. 276. Reduction of interest on such a plan, no great advantage to a state, i. 279. Case stated for illustration, i. 280. Pernicious tendency of lotteries, i. 284. Advantages of putting public debts in a course of payment, i. 285. How funds with small surpluses, appropriated to particular debts, might be applied to extinguish them, i. 287 . Redeemable perpetuities mooner discharged than life-annuitics, if the savings of an equal fund are applied to that purpose, i. 259. A sinking, fund the only resource left to relieve the nation, i. 293, Evils attending the national debt, i. 297. Account of three diferent plans.for redeeming it, i. 322 Explanation of
those plans, i. 332. Amount of the debt in 1786, i. 357. Amount of it in 1802, i. 338.
Denmark, account of an institution there for the benefit of widows, i. 132. A royal institution established there for the provision of widows, ii. 434. 438, note.
Dresden, the number of deaths of widows and widowers there, compared, ii. 110, note.
Dublin, number of inhabitants, and annual medium of burials in, at the close of the last century, ii. 23, note.

## E.

East India commanders, scheme instituted by, to provide for their nominees, i.119. State of their affairs in 1797, i. 121, note.
Eccles, register of mortality established there, ii. 111, note.
Edinburgh, the probabilities of life, deduced from the bills of mortality there, ii. 56. Description of the houses in that city, ibid. Computation of the number of inhabitants, ii. 57. Irregularity of the late bills, ii. 58. Proportion of males to females in that city, and of their deaths, ii. 107.
England, its former and present state of population, come pared, ii. 137. Causes which have tended to check population here, ii. 197.

- and Wales, computation of the number of inhabitants in, ii. 21, note.
Equitable Society of Annuitants, their terms of admission, i. 141.

Expectation of life, defined, ii. 4. Of joint lives, ii. 6. In a fixed number of lives, is equal to the proportion that die annually, ii. 8. For single lives, the rule for finding, ii. 9. Of children in London, difficulty of computing, ii. 19. Difference of, between males and females, ii. 105. Tables, mating this difference, ii. 396. 410. 421. See Assurance.

## F.

Farms, pernicious tendency of the engrossment of, ii. 158, 139, note. 146.
France, state of population in that kingdom, ii. 4t, note. See Paris.
Funds with small surpluses, appropriated to particular pablic debts, how they might be applied to extinguish them, i. 285. Will discharge the principal sooner than money borrowed on life annuities, i . 287.
$\longrightarrow$, British, the four classes of, i. 298. See Aggregate, General, South-Sea, and Sinking Funds.

## G.

Goneral Fund, account of, i. 299. The surpluses of, how applied, ibid.
Gorsuch, Rev. Mr. his accurate register of the parish of Holy Cross in Salop, ii. 35. 106. Abstract of his observations and register, ii. 397.
Gotha, the number of widows and of widowers dying there, compared,- ii. 110, note.

## H.

Hamburgh, account of an institution there, for the sale of life-annuities, i. 189.
Hanover; see Calenburg.
Harlem, account of the hospital there, called the Proeveniers, i. 147, note.

Haygarth, Dr. account of his register of mortality established at Chester, ii. 246. Success of his plan for exterminating the small-pox, ii. 246 , note.
Hearth-books, inference as to the population of England from a comparison of, with the tax-office accounts of the houses charged to the duties on houses and windows, ii. 140.
Heberden, Dr. his account of the increase and mortality of the island of Madeira, ii. 36. 49. 53, note.
Henry VII. his policy in checking pasturage and promoting tillage, ii. 156.
Henry $V^{\prime}$ III. his law to prevent engrossing of farms, ii. 157.
Holland, duration of life there, lenger in females than in males, ii. 107.
Holy Cross, parish of, in Salop, the probabilities of life there, according to an accurate register, ii. 34. Compared with those at London, ii. 104. Comparison of the number of males and females turned of 80 there, ii. 106. 396.
Hospitals, general character of, ii. 63, note.
Hotel Dieu at Paris, account of that hospital, ii. 63, note.
Houses, returns of the number of, in London, Westmister, Southwark, and county of Middlesex, ii. 20, note. 27, note. 31, note. 32, note. In Paris, ii. 67. In England, evidences of the reduced number of, ii. 140. Remarks on the objections made to the returns of, by the assessors of the window duties, ii. 174.
Howlet, Mr. remarks on his account of the population of England and Wales, ii. 25, note. 28, note. 32, note. 165. 310. Remarks on his account of the state of population at Maidatone, ii. 173, note. Remarks on his comparative average of births and burials at various places, and at different periods, ii. 191.

## I.

Jersey, New, rapid progress of population there, ii. 50,51, note,
Inclosures of common land, destructiye to population, ii. 154. Of waste land, in what respects beneficial, ii. 155, note.
Inhabitants, the number of in any place, rule for finding, ii. 11. Difficulty of computing those in London, ii. 13. See Lon: don, Paris, \&c.
Interest, reductions of, in what sense of public service, i. 274. Simple and compound, their amazing difference, i. 314. Compound, resolution of sundry questions in, ii. 287.
Ireland, inquiry into the state of population there, ï. 21, note. ii. 203.

## 1.

Labour, the price of, not adequate to the increased price of provisions, ii. 159.
Laudable Society of Annuitants for the Benefit of Age, their scheme, i. 141 Its insuticiency demonstrated, i. 143. ATteration of the plan, i. 154. A nimadversions on the conduct of the society, i. 155. Further reform of, i. 157. ib. note.
Laudable Society for the Benefit of Widows, the scheme of, $\mathbf{i}$. 72. Its deficiency pointed out, i. 74. Founded on an erroneous supposition, i. 81, note. Fallacy of trusting to widows marrying again, i. 86. Improbability of its possessing a surplus to perform the contingent promise of raising the anauities or sinking the annual payments, i. 90. Account of the alteration of the terms, i. 97 . Its dangerous situation, i. 99. Farther alterations in the plan, i. 101 : Farther account of its state, i. 103, note.
Leases, method of computing the values of the renewal of, i. $200, \cdots$

Leed: in Yorkshire, state of population there, ii. 235, note.
liberty, the exertions of, checked by the influence of our national debt, i. 294.
Life, the decrements of, through all its stages, according to Mr. De Moivre, i. 2, notc. The probabilities of, the best method of computing, i. 24, note. How to find the value of the longest of two lives, i. 43, note. How to find the values of single fives for given terms short of ten years, i. 57. Ratio of the decrements of, in the Equitable Society for Assurances on Lives, i. 182, note. Errors in computing the probabilities of, in the country and in towns, on which side of the truth they lie in each respectively, ii. 65. Remarks on Mr. De Mioivre's rules for calculating the values of joint lives, i. 20t. Easy and expeditious method of calculating
culating the values of two joint lives, i. 215. Expectations of, in London, compared with those in the parish of Holy Cross, Salop, and with Mr. De Moivre's hypothesis, ii. 104. Difference in the probabilities of, between males and females, ii. 105. Tables stating this difference, wanted to calculate life annuities and reversions to exactness, ii. 112. Two sorts of data for forming tables of the probabilities of the duration of, at every age, ii. 250. Observations on the tables of, for Sweden, and Stockholm, ii. 25\%. Summary of Mr. Susnilch'z tables of the decrensents of, ii 45 . Probabilities of, at Vaud in Switzerland, according to Mr. Murct, ii. 118.
Lirerpool, intabitants, increase, mortality, de. ii. 70.
Lives, two joint, tables of the values of annuities on, ii. 318. Directions for using them, ii. 3:56. Rule for computing the value of the longest of two lives, ii .360 . Tables for finding the values of three joint lives, ii. 361. Remarks on the preceding table's illustrated by examples, ii. 36J. Method of computing from the values of lives at one rate of interest, their values at other rates of interest, i. 221. Rules for computing the values of two joint lives, both male or both female, i. 233.
Loans public, not to be redeemed under par, when put into a course of payment, i. 283.
Lo.sarithms, Gardiner's tables of, the most correct, i. 220.
London, method of computing the number of inhabitants in, ii. 12. Uncertainty of the bills of mortality in, ii. 13. The deficiency in the register of births, greater than in the register of burials, ii. 17. The principal cause of these errors, ibid. note. Remarks on Dr. Brakenridere's method of computing the number of inhabitants in, ii. 19. Mr. Maitland's computation of the number of houses in, ii. 20 , note. And of inhabitants, ii. 24, note. The greatest probable number of inhabitants, ii. 25, note. The increase of christenings, and decrease of burials, accounted for, $\mathrm{ibid}^{2}$. Mr. Corbyn Morris's exaggerated computation, ii. 26. The increase of buildings round it no proof of an increase of inhabitants, ii. 28. Reduction of the number of burials within the walls, ii. 29, note. Return of the number of houses in London, Westminster, Southwark, and county of Middlesex, ii. 31, note. Proportion of annual deaths there, ii. 40, note. The number of aged people in, no evidence of its being favourable to long life, if. 44. Estimate of the duration of life there, ii 45. How freed from the ravages of the plague, ii. 47 . Evils occasioned by its increase, ii. 48. Extension of the bills of mortality recommended, ii. 54. Is the worst of all situations to compute probabilities of life from, $i$. 211. How to find the annual number of settlers in, ii. 76. At what stages of life they generally come; and the
effect this circumstance has on tables of the probabilities of life, ii. 78. Rule for correcting such a table for London, ii. 84. The improved state of the metropolis over-rated, ii. 90, $n$ ite. Retirements from town at advanced stages of life, not sufficient to influence the bills, ii. 91 , note. The bills of mortality for, not so imperfect as they are supposed, ii. 99, note. The expectations of life in, compared with those in the parish of Holy Cross in Salop, ii. 104. The errors in the London tables of life, exemplified, ii. 254. Dr. Bland's attempts to ascertain the proportion of native inhabitants, ii. 261 . Remarks on the table of the probsbilities of life in, ii. 306. The number of houses in, reduced, ii. 141. The supply of, in people, engrosses half the nett increase of the kingdom, ii. 142 , note.
London, remarks on the late returns of taxable houses in that metropolis and Middlesex, ii. 176. Amount of the annual recruits it demands for support, ii. 201. Anuual mediums of registered births and burials there, ii. 207, note. Additional evidences of its decline, ii. 208.
London Annuilants for the Benefit of Age, their conditions, i. 141.

London Annuity Society, the scheme of i. 72. Deficiency of, pointed out, i. 74. Fallacy of trusting to widows marrying again, i. s6. Improbability of their possessing a surplus to perform the contingent promise of raising the annuities or sinking the annual payments, i. 91 . The plan of, reformed, i. 94 . State of their affairs in 1790 and 1802, i. 96 , note.

London Union Society for the camfortable Support of Aged

- Members, their terms of admission, i. 141.

Lotteries, the pernicious tendency of, i. 28.t, 294.
Lubec; see Oldenburg.
Luxury, promoted by good roads, ii. 137.

## M.

Madeira island, state of the increase and mortality of, ii. 36. Expectation of life there at birth, ii. 39. Progress of population there, ii. 49.53 , note. Annual proportion of deaths there, ii, 220.
Maidstone, present statc of population there, ii. 176, note.
Maitland, Mr. his computation of the number of houses in London, ii. 20, note. His computation of the number of inhabitants, ii. 24, note. Remarks on his computation of the inhabitants of Edinburgh, ii. 57.
Hanchestre, number of inhabitants in, ii. 23, note. Amount of burials there for six years, ii. 34, note. Estimate of the duration of life there, ii. 46, note: Increase of population,
ii. 177, note. Dr. Percival's state of population there, ii. 217, note. Great difference between the annual average of deaths there, and in the adjacent country, ii. 217. Causes of, ii. 227.
Marriage, the proportion of widows produced by, i. 6. Ther chances of survivorship in, i. 9. The probable duration of, when entered into at different ages, i. 84. Women likely to survive the men, i. 89. Second, the proportion of among the total of marriages in Pomerania for nine years, ii. 36, note. Annual average of, among the Scotch ministers and professors, ii. 105 , note. The mean ages of married men and women settled, i. 356 .
Marshy situations, proof of the insalubrity of, ii. 241.
Men, evidences that their lives are more precarious than those of women, i. 9. 89. 136. ii. 105. 112.131. 252. This circumstance arising from adventitious causes, ii. 133.
Mercers, company of, at London, their scheme for granting annuities to widows, i. 128.
Middling people, the modern policy tends to reduce the nume ber of, ii. 157.
Ministers, Scotch, present state of the institution for providing for their widows, i. 89. Scheme of, i. 109. Its prosperous state, i. 115. Farther account of, ii. 104, nole. 109, 110.
Minor, the value of an expectation on the death of, during minority, i. 64.
Morgan, Mr. his account ${ }^{\Delta}$ of the conduct of the Laudable Society of Annuitants for the Benefit of Age, i. 155. Account of tables calculated by him for the Equitable Society for Assurances on Lives, i. 184.
Morris, Mr. Corbyn, remarks on his account of the growth and present state of the city of London, ii. 26.
Mortality, tables of, observations on the best method of constructing, ii. 73. How affected by the annual accession of settlers to towns, ii. 79. Illustration in the case of London, ii. 82. The difference of in summer compared with winter, ii. 135. The proportion of, at different periods of life, in towns and in country parishes, ii. 223, note.
Moscow, evidences of a growing population there, ii. 205.
Muret, Mr. account of his memoir on the state of population in the Pais de Vaud, Switzerland, ii. 117. 130. 137.

## N .

Naples, medium of births and deaths, and the number of in-

[^95]New England, rapid progress of population there, ii. 50. 146, note.
Newbury in Berkshire, annual proportion of deaths there, ii. 40, note.

Northampton, the register of mortality there, the best to compute the probabilities of life from, i. 211. Number of houses, and of inhubitanis, ii. 94. Remarks on, ii. 96, ibid. note. 100.
Northanpton Chapel in Clerkenwell, average of the weekly burials there, ii. 33, note.
Norwich, estimate of the duration of life in that city, ii. 45. Annual medium of christenings and burials there, ii. 99.

## 0.

Okeford in Devonshire, average of births and burials there for twenty years, ii. 43, note.
Oldenburgh, account of an institution in the dutchy of, for the benefit of widows, i. 133,

## P.

Paris, remarks on the bills of mortality there, ii. 58. Account of the Foundling Hospital, ii. 59. Estimate of the state of population and mortality there, ii. 61. Account of the Hotel Dieu, ii. 63, note. Reasons given in the arrets for restraining the increase of the city, ii. 66 . Computations of the number of houses and inhabitants, ii. 67.
Pasturare, not so favourable to population as tillage, ii. 154.
Percival, Dr. renarks on his state of population at Manchester, ii. 215. His account of other parishes, ii. 224, note.

Pitt, Mr. consults the author on the national debt, i. 318. His defective plan for paying off a part of it, i. 319. Writes to the author, and applies for his advice on the subject, i. 320 .
Plague, one of the evils incident to large towns, ii. 47. London, how freed from the visitation of, ibid. Its speedy re, covery after the great plague, ii. 93, note.
Pomerania, proportion of second marriages among the total of marriages there for nine years, ii. 36, note. Proportion of the deaths of married men to married women there, ii. 109.
Poor, how discouraged from saving money as a provision for are, i. 1.50, note. Scheme of parochial life-annuities for them, i. 15', note. Their present hardships, ii. 158.
Poor's rate, annual amount of, at the close of the reign of King Charles II., and in the year 1777, compared, i . 151, note.
Population, the rate of its increase in any place, how computed, ii. 51 , notc. Inquiry into the general causes which promote
er ebitruct it, ii. 137. How to restore its vigour; ii. 144, Review of the controversy relating to, ii. 161. Reinarks on the favourable conclusions drawn from a comparisen of births and burials at different periods, ii. 182. 192, note. The greatest enemies to, ii. 202. Survey of it in 180\%, ii. 210, Imperfect, and not to be depended upon, ii. 211. The manner in which it ought to have been made, ij. 212.
Premiums, or douceurs, how introduced in public loans, i. 282, note.
Priestley, Dr. his discovery that vegetation is one of the causes which restore injured air, ii. 190, note.
Property, the division of, promotes population, ii. 146.
Provident Society for the Benefit of Age, their terms of admission, $i, 142$.
Provisions, chronological yiew of the prices of, in England, if. 150, note.

## Q.

Questions adapted to several schemes for granting reversionary annuities, i. 1. In compound interest, ii. 287.

## R.

Registers, parish, why little to be depended on for any length of time past, ii. 184. 188, note. 192, note. 196, note.
Revenие, thrives by corrupting the lower orders of the people, i. 14\%.

Reversionary Annuities; see Annuities.
Reversionary sums and reversionary estates, their different values ascertained, i. 35 .
Roads, good, the tendency of, ii. 137.
Rome, the mean number of inhabitants, and annual medium of births and burials in, ii. 23, note.
Russin, evidences of a vigorous population in that empires i. 205.

## S.

Savage life, unfavourable to population, ii. 144.
Scotch ministers; see Ministers.
Scotland, computation of the number of inhabitants $\mathrm{in}, \mathrm{ii}, 21$, note.
Shrewsbury, number of inhabitants, and annual medium of births and burials there, ii. 100.
silesia, annual average of births, deaths, and marriages in, for four years, ii. 197.
Singhle interest ; see Interesf.

Simpson, Mr. his method of compating the present vabie ofe reversionary estate, depending on the survivorship of the receiver, i. 37. -His rule for finding the value of three jomt lives, ii. 366.
Sinking Fund, the advantages of, for discharging public debts, i.276. The higher the interest of the debts, the sooner such a fund will pay them off, i. 278. Case stated, for illustration, i. 279. In what sense reductions of interest may be of public advantage, i. 281. How funds with small surpluses applied to particular debts, may be applied to discharge them, i. 286. A fund of this nature the only resource left to relieve the nation from its burdens, i. 291. Present state of our sinking fund, i. 293. Kemarks on the management of it, i. 296. Formation and establishment of, i. 299. Who the first contriver of it, i. 301. Reasons for suppesing the purposes of this institution might have been answered, $i$. 302. Causes of its misapplication, i. 304. What it might have done, and what it really has done, compared, i. 306. New taxes consolidated with it, i. 310. Its average produee at different times, i: 312. Causes of the increase of its income, i. 318. The fallacy of the plea for alienations of it, to defray current expences, i. 314. Changed into the Consolidated Fund by Mr. Pitt, i. 339. Which has been alienated in 1802 by his successor, i. 341 .
Skelton in Yorkshire, duration of life in males and females there, compared,•ii. 106, note.
Societies : see the several annuitant or assurance societies, under their respective assumed names.
South-Sea Fund, account of, i. 299. The surpluses of, how applied, i. 300.
Stock-jobbing, one of the evils resulting from our nationat debts, i. 294.
Stockholn, annual medium of burials, and number of inhabitants there, ii. 24, note. Unfavourable to longevity, ii. 46, note. 92, note. Observations on the tables of the duration of life in that city, ii. 252. How to correct them, it. 257.
Supplies, national, the danger of raising on credit, without due attention to payment, i. 272. How to prescribe limits to the national debt, i. 274 .
Survivership in marriage, the chances of, i. 8.
Susmilch, Mr. his account of the proportion of inhabitants dying annually in towns and in the country, ii. 69. Summary of his tables of the decrements of life, ii. 452. His rules for promoting population, ii. 146. Annual averages of births, burials, and marriages, in several parts of Germany collected from him, ii. 197, note.
Sweden, the annual medium of marriages, births and deaths in that kingdom, ii. 41, note. Duration of life there estimated,
i. 46, note. Remarks on the state of human mortality there, ii. 406, \&c. The tables of the probabilities of life for, how formed, with observations on them, ii. 250. See Stockholm. Swinderby in Lincolnshire, state of population there, ii. 48, note.

$$
T
$$

Tables.
Of the yalues of life-annuities, to commence at certain ages, i. 138.

Shewing the probability of survivorship between two persons of all ages, whose common difference of age is not less than ten years, i. 406.
Shewing the probability of one life dying after another, between persons of the same ages as in the preceding Table, ii. 411.
Of the proportion of houses and families to inhabitants, in a variety of cities and towns, ii. 70.
Of the present value of $1 l$. to be received at the end of any number of yearm, not exceeding 100 ; discounting at the rates of $2,2 \frac{1}{2}, 3,3 \frac{1}{4}, 4,4 \frac{1}{2}, 5,6,7,8,9$, and 18 per cent. compound interest, ii. 262.
Of the present value of an annuity of one pound for any number of years not exceeding 100, at the several rates of $2,21,9,9 \frac{1}{3}, 4,4 \frac{1}{2}, 5,6,7,8,9$, and 10 per cent. ii 268.
Shewing the sum to which $1 l$. principal will increase at compound interest in any number of years not exceeding a hundred, at $2,2 \frac{1}{2}, 3,3 \frac{1}{2}, 4,4 \frac{1}{2}, 5,6,7,8,9$, and 10 per cent. ii. 274.
Shewing the sum to which 12 . per ann. will increase at compound interest in any number of years not exceeding 100 , at $2,2 \frac{1}{8}, 3,9 \frac{1}{4}, 4,4 \frac{1}{2}, 5,6,7,8,9$, and 10 per cant. ii. 280.
Construction and uses of the four preceding tables, ii. 286.
Shewing the probabilities of the duration of life, as deduced by Dr. Halley from the bills of mortality of Breslaw, ii. 290.

Shewing the prababilities of the duration of human life at all ages, formed from the register of mortality at Northampton for 46 years from 1735 to 1780 , ii. 311.
Thewing the expectations of human life at every age, deduced from the Northampton table of observatione, ii. 313.
Shewing the probabilities of life at Norwich, ii. 296.
Shewing the probability of the duration of life in London, deduced by Mr. Simpson from observations on the bills of mortality in London for 10 years, from 1728 to 1797, ii. 297. Shewing the expectations of life in London, according to the preceding table, ii. 208.
Shewing the value of an annuity on one life, according to the probabilitien of life in London, ii. 899.

## Tables.

Shewing the value of an annuity on the joint continuaniec of any two lives, accotding to the probabilities of life in London, ii. 300.
Shewing the probabilities of life in London, on the supposition that all who die in London were born there; formed from the bills for 10 years, from 1759 to 1768 , ii. 302.
Shewing the true probabilities of life in Lomdon till the age of 19 , ii. 303.
Shewing the true probabilities of life in Londord for all ages, formed from the bills for 10 years, from 1759 to 1768, ii. 304.

Shewing the probabilities of the duration of human life in London, and formed from the bills for 10 years, from 1771 to 1780 , ii. 905.
Remarks on the preceding tables, ii. 306.
Shewing the value of an annuity on a single life at every age, according to the probabilities of the duration of bue man life at Northampton, ii. 914.
Shewing the value oi an annuity on the joint continuance of two lives having the same common age, according to the Northanpton table of observations, ii. 918.
Slewing the value of an annuity on the joint continuance et two lives, according to the Northampton table of observations. Difference of ace five years, ii. 322
-_Difference of age ten years, ii. 326.

- 1): :fierence of age fifteen years, ii. 330.
———Difii rence of age twenty years, ii. 333.
—_Dinference of age twenty-five years, ii. 336.
Difference of age thirty years, ii. 339 .
—__ Difference of age thirty-five years, ii. 342
Difference of age forty years, ii. 344 .
Difference of age forty-five years, ii. 346.
Difference of age fifty years, ii. 343.
Difference of age fifty-five years, ii. $\mathbf{3 5 0}$.
Difference of age sixty years, ii. 3:52.
___ Difference of age sixty-five years, ii. 354.
Di Difference of age seventy years, ii. 355.
Directions for using the preceding Tables of joint lives, ii. 356.

Shewing the values of three equal joint lives, according to the Northampton table of observations, reckoning interest at 4 per cent. ii. 361.
Shewing the values of threc joint lives, whose differeaces of age are 10 and 20 years, according to the Northampton table of observations, reckoning interest at 4 per cent. ii. 563 .

Remarks on the two preceding table, ii. 365.
Comparison

Tables.
Comparison of the values of three joint lives by Mr. Simpa son's rule, with the correct values, ii. 367.
Shewing the value of an annuity on a single life, for 1,2,3, 5 , and 7 years, reckoning the probabilities of living at every age, as they are given in Table XVII. and interest at 3 per cent. ii. 370 .
Shewing the value of an assurance of 1001 . on a single life, for 1,5, or 7 years, or the whole duration of life; reckoning the probabilities of living as they are in the Northanipton table of observations, and interest at 3 per cent. ii. 371.
Explanation of the preceding table, ii. 372.
Shewing the value of an assurance of 1001 . on two joint lives, according to the Northampton table of observations, reckoning interest at 3 per cent. ii. 374 .
Shewing the value of $100 \%$. depending on the contingency of one life surviving another, according to the Northamptom table of observations, rechoning interest at 3 per cento ii. 376 .

Explanation of the preceding table, ii. 379.
Shewing the probability of the duration of human life at an ages annong males and females, at Warrington in Lancashire ; formed from a register of mortality kept there by Dr. Aikin, ii. 381.
A like table from a register kept at Chester by Dr. Haygarth ${ }_{\text {A }}$ ii. 388.

Comparison of the duration of the lives of males and females, according to the preceding table, ii. 396.
Shewing the probability of the duration of human life at all ages, as deduced from the Rev. Mr. (iorsuch's observations during a period of 30 years, in the parish of Holy Cross, near Shrewsbury, ii. 401.
Expectations of life by the preceding table, ii. 403.
Shewing the probabilities of the duration of human lifeamong males and females, deduced from observations of the proportions of the living to the numbers who have died at all ages for 21 years in Sweden, ii. 4.04.
Shewing the probabilities of the duration of human life among males and females, taken collectively, deduced from the preceding table, ii. 413.
Shewing the probabilities of the duration of human lifo among males and females in Stockholm; formed from the proportions of the living to the numbers who have died there at all ages for nine years, ii. 416.
Comparison of the duration of the lives of males and females, according to the preceding table, ii. 421.
Shewing the values of annuities on single lives among males and females, according to the probabilities of the duration of life in Sweden, ii. 422.

## Tables.

Shewing the values of annuities on two joint lives, according to the probabilities of the duration of human life among males and females collectively, reckoning interest at 4 per cent. ii. 425.
Shewing the value of an annuity for the life of a wife after the death of her husband; deduced from the Sweden observations on the separate probabilities of the duration of life, among males and females, ii. 431.
Comparison of the values, in the preceding table, of a lifeannuity to a wife after her husband, with the values of the same annuity in the tables of the Danish and Oldenburg institutions, calculated on the supposition of the improvement of money at an interest of 4 per cent. ii. 436.
Comparison of the value of a like annuity, with the tables of the Hamburgh and Equitable Institutions, calculated at 3 per cent. interest, ii. 497.
Comparison of the premiums required for assurances on single lives by the Equitable Society, with their values deduced from the Sweden Tables, ii. 441.
Shewing the probabilities of the duration of human life, at all ages, in a kingdom at large, deduced from observations in the Kurmark of Brandenburg, ii. 445.
Remarks on the preceding table, ii. 449.
Summary of Mr, Susmilch's tables of the decrements of life among males and females, ii. 452.
Shewing the decrements and expectations of life among bodies of life-annuitants, according to the tables of mortality published by Mr. Kersseboom, and by. Mr. De Parcieux, ii. 456.

Shewing the values of single lives according to the probabilities of the duration of life in Mr. De Parcieux's table of mortality, ii. 460.
Shewing the values in annual payments during the joint lives (first payment to be made at admission) and also in single payments, of a life-annuity of $10 l$. to be entered upon by a wife at the death of her husband, ii. 462.
Shewing the values of a life-annuity of $5 l$. payable to a wife after her husband, provided he lives three years from the time of purchasing; and of an additional annuity of $5 l$. provided he lives five years from the time of purchasing, ii. 465. Shewing the values of $100 l$. payable to such children, under age, of a married man, as shall happen to be living at the time of his decease, provided he leares no widow, ii. 467. Shewing the present value of an annuity of $10 l$. for five years; 10l. for the next succeeding five years; and 901. for the whole of life afterwards; payable quarterly, and to commence at fifty-five years of age, ii, 470.

## Tables.

Shewing the values of an annuity of $10 l$. for five years ; 20 . for the next succeeding five years; and $30 l$. for the whole life alter ten years; payable quarterly, and to commence at sixty ycars of age, ii. 471.
Shewing the fines due on the renewal of a lease of 91 years, after $5,7,9$, or 11 years have elapsed, i. 200.
Shewing the additions to the values of life-annuities on account of their being payable half-yearly or quarterly, or half-jearly and secured on land, i. 271.
Shewing the probabilities of life in the district of Vaud in Switzerland, formed from Mr. Muret's registers, ii. 123.
Shewing the probabilities of life in a country parish in Brandenburgh, formed from the bills for 50 years, as given 'by Mr. Susmilch, ii. 124.
Shewing the probabilities of life at Vienna, ii. 125.
Shewing the probabilities of life at Berlin, ii. 126.
Containing comparisons of the probatilities and expecta; tions of life in towns and country parishes and villages, it?

- 127. 

Averages of births and deaths in the four seasons of the year in Sweden, and other places, ii. 135.
Of the present state of population at Maidstone, ii. 176, note.
Containing comparisons of the births and burials, at different periods, in various parts of England, ii. 182.
Ditto, in the diocese of St. David's, ii: 183.
Ditto, in the diocese of Chester, ii. 188.
Summary of Mr. Howlett's averages of births and burials, ii. 191.

Additional tables of births, burials, and marriages, at der.' ferent periods, in various parishes, ii. 195.
Ditto, in various parts of Germany, Denmark, Norway, : Milan, \&c. ii. 198.
Annual mediums of registered burials and births in London, ' ' ii. 207.

Shewing the rate of human mortality in Sweden, ii. 233.
Shewing the rate of human mortality at Stockholn, ii: $23 \mathrm{~F}^{\prime}$.
Comparison of mortality in all Sweden, with the mortality in Stockholm, ii. 257.
Gentral bill of christenings and burials in the parish of Ackworth, from the parish registers for ten years, from 1747 to 1757 , ii. $23 \vdots$.
Ditto, from 1757 to 1767 , ii. 9 :7.
Table of the inhabitants of honec, from 1762 to 1771, ii. 2400 .

Shewing the wieekly adlowances, during imcapacities of latiour, produced by sickness or accidente, and the corvol. 1 in

LI
responding

## tables.

responding weekly contributions necessary to entitle persons to those allowances, ii. 474.
Shewing the weekly allowances to persons in old age after 65 and 70 , and the corresponding weekly contributions in early life necessary to support those allowances, ii. 476.
Shewing the weekly ailowances during sickness and old age, and the corresponding weekly contributions for supporting those allowances, ii. 482.
Shewing the fines, or composition money, payable at admissign by contributors in the first class who have commenced their contributions at ages above 21 , and who may prefer the payment of a fine to an increase of weekly contribution, on account of the excess of their ages above 21, ii. 484.

Shewing the sums payable at removals, to contributors who have begun their contributions in the several years of their age, after the 21st, without fines, ii. 490.
Shewing the present value of an annuity of $1 l$. on a single life, according to Mr. De Moivre's hypothesis, ii. 291.
Shewing the value of an annuity on the jeint continuance of two lives, according to Mr. De Moivre's hypothesis, ii 293.

Taxes, annual, what, and their application, i. 298.
Tillage, syccessful, but an equivocal evidence of population ii. 148. Not naw increasing in England, ii. 154.

Towns, unfavourable to population in proportion to their size ${ }_{2}$ ii. 40. Great ones subject to the plague, ii. 47. Other impediments to population, ibid. Evils attending large towns, ii. 48. Mean of the annual births, marriages, and deaths, in several considerable cities, ibid. note. Reasong given in the arrets for prohibiting the extension of the city of Paris, ii. 66. . Annual proportion of deaths in great, and in moderate towns, ii. 69. Farther evidences of the differences of the duration of life in, and in country parishes, in 118. 129. To what causes this difference' is owing, ii. 150, Additional observations on the different duration of life in and in cpuatry villages, ii. 215. Causes of, ii. 220.

## V.

Faud in Switzerland, expectations of life there, ii. 36. Mr. Muret's memoir on the state of population there, ii. 117. Table of the probabilities of life there, ii. 123. The pernicious effects of engrossing farms, illustrated by instancen in that district, ii. 137.
Fienna, remarks on the probabilities of life in that city, ii. 121. Table of, ii. 125.

## W.

Wales, Mr. remarks on his enquiry into the population of England and Wales, ii. 13, note 17, note. 25, note. 28, note. 31, note. Remarks on his inference from the annual average of deaths in Christ's Hospital, ii. 88, note. ii. 307. Additional remarks on his inquiry, ii. 170. Remarks on his collections of enumerations of houses; in various places, ii. 179. Observations on his account of the increase of population in the diocese of St. David's, ii. 186, 190.
Walpole, Sir Robert, the destroyer of the sinking fund, i. 30:
Wargentin, Mr. his communications of observations in Swedenon the duration of haman life, ii. 252, 253. Account from him of the population of Sweden, ii. 232.
Warrington in Lancashire, duration of life there, ii. 46, note. State of population there, according to Dr. Aikin's survey, ii. 249.

Webster, Dr. his successful plan for the support of the widows of Scotch ministers, i. 112. 115. 117.
Widows, the proportion of, from any given number of marriages, i. 5. When the number of, in any society,.will arrive at a maximum, i. 10. General character of the several institutions for the benefit of, $i$. 90 . The best schemes for providing annuities for them, i. 122. Time will shew their insufficiency, i. 129. Hints for an institution to provide for them, i. 148. The proportion of those dying annually, compared with widowers, in various parts of Germany, ii. 110. Institution for making provision for them, ii. 434.

Wittemberg, the number of widows and widowers, dying there, compared, i. 110, note.
Women, evidences that their lives are less precarious than those of men, i. 8.89. 136. ii. 105. 112.130. 252.408, 451. Those married, live longer than those who live single, ii. 132.

Wurtemberg, duchy of, annual medium of deaths there, ii. 41, note.-

## $Y$.

Yorkshire, remarks on the increase of population there, ii. 180.
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[^0]:    b See the Notes in page 2 and 39, Vol. I.

[^1]:    c Sec Note (K) at the end of Volume I.

[^2]:    $\therefore$ See Note ( $K$ ) at the end of Volume 1.

[^3]:    - This rule, and also rules for finding in all cases the expectations of joint lives and survivorships, may be deduced with great ease, by having recourse to the doctrine of fluxions. In this method, Mr. De Moivre says, he discovered them. See note (K) Vol. I. where an account is given of these deductions, omitted by Mr. De Moiure.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ That $i i_{\text {, }}$ for some years before the date of 'this letter is 1769 .

[^5]:    * One obvious reason of this fact is, that none of the lirths among Jews, Quakers, Papists, and the three denominations of Dissenters are included in the Bills, whereas many of their burials are. It is further to be attended to; that the abortive and still-bonn, amounting to about 600 annually, are included in the burials, but never in the births. If we add these to the christenings, preserving the burials the same, the proportion of the born according to the Bills, who have reached ten, for sixteen years, from 1756 to 1771, will be very nearly one thived instead of five sixteenths.

    Mr. Wales, the ingenious master of the royal mathematical school in Christ's Hospital, has lately, in a pamphlet entitled, An Enquiry into the present State of the Population of England and Wales, made several remarks on the Observations in this Essay. He objects particularly to this calculation, and expresses, p. 12, his surprize that it should have escaped my attention, that if the births are considerably more deficient than the burials, the expectation of life by which the number of these births is multiplied will be greater, particularly at this time, when the number of births approaches so much nearer than it did to the number of burials.-But Mr. Wales should have observed, that in order to be certain of not making the number of inhabitants in London dess than it is, I have all atong in this calculation reckoned the expectation of a child at birth in London so high as 20 years; and that this is a greater expectation than such a child could have, according to the Bitls from vol. il.

    C
    1759

[^6]:    much too hasty in some of his remarks, yet I think myself obliged to him for them. It will come in way to take notice of more of them in the course of this work.

[^7]:    (l) By the late Survey in 1801, the number of inhabited houses within the Bills of mortality is made to amount to $106,57 \div$, and the number of uninhabited houses to 4097 , making together 110,669.

[^8]:    - Care should be taken, in considering Dr. Halley's Table, not to take the first number in it, or 1000, for so many just born. 1238, he tells us, was the annual medium of births, and 1000 is the number he supposes all living at one year and under. It was inattention to this that led Dr. Brakenridge to his mistake.

    It will be shewn in the 2d Essay, that the number of the living under 20, is given too high in this table; and from hence it will follow, that more than a 28th part of the inhabitants die at Breslaw annually.

[^9]:    - The mean number of inhabitants in Rome, of all ages and conditions, for ten years ending in 1771, was 158,957. The annual medium of births for the same time was 4851; and of burials 7367. One in 218, therefore, died annutlly. See Phil. Trans. Vol. LXV. p. 145. In 1752, the accurate and diligent Mr. Struyk took particular pains to determine the number of inhabitants in Amsterdam; and the result of his enquiry was, that very probably it did not amount to 200,000 . The annual medium of burials for 6 years, from 1747 to 1752, was 8247; and for five years, from 1772 to 1776, it was 8447. One in 24, therefore, died annually.-At Amsterdam, there is a great number of Jews, and their burials are not included in the Bills. There must, I suppose, be other deficiencies, and an allowance for these would, 1 doubt not, increase the proportion of inhabltants who die annually to one in 21 or 22 .-At Dublin, in the year 1695, the number of inhabitants was found, by an exact survey, to be 40,508 . (See Philos. Transaction's, No. 261.) 1 find no account of the annual burials just at that time; but from 1661 to 1681, the medium had been 1613 ; and from 1715 to 1728 it was 2123. There can, therefore, be no material error in supposing that, in 1695, it was 1800; and this makes 1 in 22 to die annually. See Dr. Short's Comparative History, p. 15, and New Observations, p. 228.-The annual medium of burials for five years ending in 1775, in Manchester and Salford, was 973. The number of inhmbitants in 1773 was 27,246 . About a 28th part, therefore, died annually. But it should be considered here, that Munchester increases fast by accessions from the country, and that the effect of such an increase must be to raise the proportion of inhalitants to the deaths and also the proportion of the births and ueddings to the lurials,

[^10]:    ' In the Essay on the Population of England and Wales, I have mentioned several facts which seem to shew, that even so long ago as the Revolution, London was more-populous than it is at present. The chief of these facts are the following:

    First; the returns in 1777 of the surveyors of the house and window duties made the number of houses then in Southwark, Westminster, London, and all Middlesex, including cottages and uninhabited houses, to be 90,578.Sir William Petly, in 1687, says, that the number of houses (which he expressly distinguishes from families in Iondon appeared by the register to be 105,315 . See his Political Arithmetic, p. 74. His words in p. 79 are, " by "certificate from the hearth-office, 1 find the houses with" in the Bills of Mortality to be 105,915 ." (*) Dr. Davenant's account agrees with this, who, from the same hearth-offree, gives 111,215 as the number of houses in London (exclusive of Southwark) Westminster, and all Afiddlesex, on Lady-day 1690. See Dr. Davenant's Works, Vol. I. p. 38. The annual average of registered burials also for five years before 1690 was considerably greater than it is at present.

    This seems as direct evidence as can well be giren in a
    (*) See Note (b), page 20.

    > point

[^11]:    "The enquiry in the preceding pages into the number of inhabitapts in London was first published above twenty-one years ago. Fourteen years ago (or in 1777) the surveyors of the house and window duties were ordered to make returas to the tax-office of the number of houses of all sorts in Lundon, Southwart, Westminster, and the county of Middlesex. The number returned was 90,570. This seems to leave no room for much dispute. Allowing six to a house, the number of inhabitants uithin the Bills, with the addition of the whole county, will be 543,420. See the Note in p. 17 and 26 ; and a more particular account in my Eomy on the Population of England from the Revolution to the present time.

    Mr. Wales, in the pamphlet quoted in the Notes, p. 17 and p. 24, without taking any notice of these returns, calculates the number of houses and inhabitants in London in the fullowing manper.-Mr. Maitland, in 1737 (when the registered burials for 20 years had been near 8000 per ann. more than they are at present) found the number of houses in London to be 95,968 . To this number Mr. Wales adds 4032, in order to make up 100,000; and by allowing $6 \frac{1}{2}$ to each house, finds the number of inhabitants to be 650,000.

    Leaving the reader to judge as he pleases of this calculation, I shall reckon myself more out of danger of being wrong in following the documents I have just mentioned, and in stating from them the inhabitants of London within the Bills, with the addition of Parccras and Marybone parishes, at half a million.-The annual medium of burials for the five years ending in 1780 was, according to the Bills, 20,743 . Add 6000 for omission, and the number of burials will be' 26,743, or a

[^12]:    * Sce the Tables in this volume-The whole number buried in the parish church of Manchester for six years, from 1773 to 1778 , was 4126 , of whom 2174 were children under five. But it must be considered, that in this town the births then exceeded the burials, and that consequently the Bills give the proportion dying in childhood too high.
    ${ }^{y}$ See Nutural and Political Olservations on the Bills of Alortality, by Capt. John Graunt, F. R. S.-Also Mr. Derham's Physico-Theology, p. 174, where it appears, that in the parish of Aynho in Northamptonshire, though the lirths had been for 118 years to the marriages as 6 to 1 ; yet the burials had been to the marriages only as $3 \frac{3}{4}$ to 1 .
    ${ }^{2}$ This parish contains in it a village which is a part of the suburbs of Shrewsbury. It consists of 1400 acres of arable and pasture land; besides 300 acres taken up by houses and gardens. It is six miles in circumference; half of which lies along the banks of the river Severn.I mention these particulars to shew, that it may be reckoned a country parish; though, perhaps, not perfectly so, on account of its nearness to Shrewsbury. -The christen--ings in it exceed the burials in the proportion of 15 to 13 : -nad the number of inhabitants (mostly labouring people) had for 20 years hefore 1771 kept nearly to 1050 , without any considerable increase.-The register of this parish from 1750 to 1760 , has been published in the

[^13]:    - See the Supplement.
    ${ }^{6}$ This proportion is taken nearly from fact.-In all Pomeranil, during 9 years, from 1748 to 1756, the number of persons who married was 56,956 ; and of these, 10,586 were widows and widowers. Susmilch's Works, Vol. I. Tables, p. 98.

[^14]:    c In a country where there is no increase or decrease of the inhabitunts, and where also life, in its first periods, is so stable, and marriage so much encouraged, that half of all who are born live to be married, the annual births and burials must be cqual, and also quadruple the number of weddings, after allowing for 2 d and 3 d marriages. Suppose in these circumstances (every thing else remaining the same) the probabilities of $l_{i f f}$ e, during its first stages, to be improved. In this case, more than half the born will live to be married, and an iucrease will take place. The births will exceed the burials, and both fatl below quadruple the weddings; or, which is the same, below doulle the number annually married.-Suppose next (the probalilities of life and the encouragement to marriage remaining the same) the prolifickness only of the marriages to be improved. In this case it is plain, that an increase also will take place; but the annual births and burials, instead of being less, with now both rise above

[^15]:    ${ }^{d}$ In London, this proportion is, at the highest, 1 in $20 \frac{3}{3}$. - In Norwich, 1 in 24 $\frac{1}{2}$. -In Northampton, 1 in 262 $\frac{2}{3}^{2}$ : See the next Essay. In the parish of Newbury, Berks, consisting of 3732 persons, all town inhabitants; the annual medium of deaths for 19 years, or from 1747 to 1765,' was 136. In this town, therefore, 1 in 27 's die annually. The contiguous parish of Speen consisted, in 1757 , of 1200 inhabitants, about 520 of whom were inhabitants of that part of the town of Newlury which is in this parish, and the rest were country inhabitants. For 34 years, or from 1724 to 1757 ,'thirty-nine died here annually; or 1 in 31.-In both these parishes the births and burials are nearly equal.-I believe these facts may be depended on; and they seem to shew us very distinctly the gradations in the degrees of human mortality from great towns to moderate towns, and from moderute towns to small towns, and to parishes consisting partly of town and partly of country inhabitants. The next note will shew what the degree of human mortality is in places purely country.

[^16]:    - In 1801 the males were found in this parish to be $\mathbf{5 0 0}$, the females 611, making 237 families.
    + The inhahitants in Sxinderby and Okrford, appear by the survey in 1801, to have amounted rexpectively, to 254 and 408.

[^17]:    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ This, however, will appear itself inconsiderable, if the following account is true: "In 1761 the burials "' in the district of Christiana, in Noruay, amounted " to 6,929 , and the christenings to 11,024 . Among "those who died, 394 , or 1 in 18 , had lived to the " age of $90 ; 63$ to the age of 100 , and seven to the " age of 101.-In the diocese of Bergen, the persons " who died amounted to 2,580 , of whom 18 lived to " the age of 100 ; one woman to the age of 104, and " another woman to the age of 108."

    See the Annual Register for 1761, p. 191.

[^18]:    - According to Dr. Halley's Table, the number of the living under 16, is but a third of all the living at all ages.

[^19]:    - Vid. Note, p. 36.

[^20]:    * "If the parents of a child brought to this Hospital "are known, the register of its baptism must be pro"duced. If the parents are unknown, the child must "be baptised after being recoived." Police of France, page 82.

[^21]:    a It is for this reacon that these Tables, when cambined, exhibit justly the mean probabilities of life for town and country taken together; and that the Table of the decrements of life deduced from them by M. Buffon and Mr. Du Pre, agrees nearly with Dr. Halley's Table.
    vol. II.
    F
    council

[^22]:    y Vid. Police of France, p. 130.

[^23]:    ${ }^{b}$ See Lowthorp's Abridgment of the Philosophical Transactions, vol. III. p. 670.
    c See Dr. Shorl's Comparative History, p. 63.
    ${ }^{4}$ It appears from the account in the Philosophical Transactions (Abridgement, vol. VII. No. 380, p. 46, \&c.) that from 1717 to 1725, the annual medium of birtby at Breslaw was 1252, of burials 1507; and also, that much the greatest part of the births died under 10 years of

[^24]:    age. From' a Table in Susmilch's works, Vol. I. p. 88; if appeatrs, that, in reality, the greater part of all that die in this town are children under five yeare of age.

[^25]:    - Agreedthy to these Observations; if a place inereases, not in ecmsequence of accestrions from othen places, but of a constant excess of the births above the deaths; a Table, constructed on the principle I have mentioned, will give the probabilities of life too low through the whole extemt of life; because, in such cincumstances, the number of deasts in the first stages of life must be too great, in comparison of the number of deaths in the latter stages; and more or less so; as the increase is more or less rapid. The contrary, in all rem spects, takes place where there is a decrease, arioing' frome. the excess of the deaths above the lirths.

[^26]:    - The ingenious and accurate Mr. Simpson saw that it was necessary to correct the London Tables, and he has

[^27]:    8 It'must not be forgoten that this refers to the time of the first publication of this work; that is, the year 1769.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Wales, the present master of this school, has, in his Enquiry, \&c. p. 33, confirmed this account ; adding, that the number of children in it for tuenty years ending in 1780, had been 851, and the average of annual deaths 10t, or one in 83 ; but that the number of children for ten years (ending in 1780) had been 894, and the average of annual deaths only 8 옹, or about one in 100t.From hence Mr. Wales infers a great improvement in the state of London with respect to healthiness. But this fact is by no means a sufficient foundation for such a conclusion. In numbers so inconsiderable, an average of ten years cannot be depended on. Were it, however, the true average, the reasons above given have a tendency to prove, notwithstanding the centrical situation of this school, that it must be too low for London in general: If so many as three-fourths of all that die in London are natives, the proportion dying annually between 8 and 16 must be as high as one in seventy-five; and possibly this is even now less than the true proportion. But it would be unreasonable to take it less than the first proportion mentioned by Mr. Wales, or one in 83. The consequence however of stating it'at even one in 90 , and also one in a hundred, will be shewn in the next note; from which it will appear to be be impossible, without exceeding all the bounds of credibility, to make the expectation of a child just born in London much more than twenty years. See 1st Essay, notes p. 17, and 27.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Had I, instead of subtracting 250 from Table XIII. before the age of 20 (agreeably to the directions in p. 36); subtracted only 200 (or supposed that oully a Fifth part of all that dic annually in London are emigrants to it after 20), the resulting Table would have made the number dying between 8 and 16 , one in 90 ; and the expectation at entrance into London, would have been 22, and at lirth, 193 --Had 166 only been subtracted, or a.6th part of all that die in London supposed to be emigrants from the country, the result would have been a Table which would have made one in 100 die between 8 and 16, and the expectations just mentioned 23 and 214 . Nor will any difierence worth regarding arise, if Table XV. instead of being formed after 19 from the Bills for ten years ending at 1768, had been formed from the Bills for ten years ending in 1780. Table XVI. is such a Table; and the observations annexed to it will shew how wrong the i!eas are which some have lately entertained of the improved state of London. Some alteration for the better there must be; but the correspondence between the Tables of Observations for whatever period they are formed from the Bills, demonstrates that it is not considerable. The great evils which proq

[^30]:    - According to the Survey in 1802, the number of inhabitants in Northampton appears to have been $\mathbf{0} 00$, or 1884 more than it was in 1746. But the lurials have uniformly

[^31]:    ${ }^{p}$ Some have entertained a very wrong notion of the imperfections in the London Bills. They do, indeed, give the whole number of births and deaths much too little; but the conclusions with respect to the probabilities of life in London, and the proportion of inhabitants dying annually, depend only on the proportions of the numbers dying in the several divisions of life; and these are given right in the London Bills.-For first,: There seems nothing in this case, that can be likely to cause the deficiencies in the Bills to fall in one divisiou of life more than in another: But what decides this point is, that these proportions, as given by the Bills for amy ten, or even.five ycars, come out nearly the same with one anuther; and always very different from the proportions given by other Bills.-There are no other variations, than such as must arise from the fluctuations of London as to inerease and decrease; and also from some improvements in its state, which have lately taken place, and particularly the law lately passed, ordering all parish iofants to be nursed in the country. See the note in page 24; and the Observations on Table XVI. in this volume.

    H 2 Shrewsbury,

[^32]:    r I have given Dr. Hulley's Table in this volume just as he framed it. A correction of it might be made from the proportion of births to burials, mentioned p. 77. And it would then appear, that a 25th part of the inhabitants at Breslaw die annually; and that half the number born die there under six, as well as at Norwich. This Table, as we now have it, makes half live to 16; but the account mentioned in the note, page 77, shews this not to be the truth. It likewise makes the number of inhabitants at Shrkwsbury, above the age of 21 , to be 4730 ; and in the parishes of All-Saints and St. Giles, in Northampton, 2230. It gives, therefore, these numbers wrong; whereas, as observed above, a corrected Table would give them true.

[^33]:    - Having in the first thrce editions of this work given examples trom this hypothesis, and the Tables founded upon it and printed in this volume, I have been obliged to continue them in this edition; but the truth is, that it does not in any part of life give such correct values, particularly of joint lives, as are necessary in some cases. And it is this, torether with the other reasons mentioned here, and in the Postscript to the 4th Chapter, Vol. I. that has induced me to employ a good deal of time in calculating the Tables of the values of lives from real observations, which will be found in this volume.
    : The register of this parish, with a Table of the probabilities and expectations of lives deduced from it will be given among the Tables in this volume. The expectation

[^34]:    *For 20 years, from 1760 to 1780 , eleven out of 966 had died above 90 in this parish; and they were all females. See the Register of this parish to $\mathbf{1 7 8 0}$, among the collection of Tables in this volume.

    From an accurate survey of the parish of Skelton, in Yorkshire,

[^35]:    5 In the accounts from Breslaw it is particularly mentioned, that by boys and girls are meant children to 10 jears of age, of whom for 8 years from 1717 to 1725, seven males died to six females, exclusively of the stiltborn and chrysoms.

[^36]:    ${ }^{2}$ In Breslaw alone, for the eight years mentioned in the last note, 1891 married men died, to 1196 married women; that is 5 to 3 .

[^37]:    ${ }^{2}$ In Dresden alone, the number of widou's who died, in frour years, was 584. The number of uidowers, 149. That is: 4 to 1. At Wittenbers, during 11 years, 98 widowers died, and 376 widou's.-At Gotha, during 20 years, 210 u'idowers and 760 widows. Susmilch's Gottliche Ordmang, Vol. II. p. 273 -In the country, on account of a less difference between the ages of husbands and wives and more early marriages, the deaths of widouers and widows come nearer to one another: for in Pomerania, during the 9 years mentioned in p. 109, the undowers that died were 411, the widows 1053; or 2 to 5 . - At Chester, during 9 ycars, from 1772 to 1779, the number of widowers who died was 157 ; of uidou's 390. -The number of widowers in the town in 1774 was 258 ; of widows 736.-At $W$ Varing $/ o n$, during 7 jcars, from $1_{673}$ to 1779, seventy-nine widowers died, and 155 widows. Sce the introduction to the Tables in this volume.

[^38]:    ${ }^{\imath}$ Since the former editions of this work, Registers of mortality on the plan proposed here and in the two following pages, have been established at chevter under the direction of Dr. Haysarth; at Warrington, under the direction of Dr. Aikin; and at Ecules uear Munthester, under

[^39]:    ${ }^{d}$ See Essay I. p. 53, 54.

[^40]:    e Calves are the only animals taken under our peculiar care immediately after birth; and in consequence of then administering to them the same sort of physic that is given to infants, and treating them in other respects in the same manner; it is probablc, that more of them die soon after being born, than of all the other species of animals, which we see in the same circumstances. See the Comparative View of the State and Faculties of Man with thase of the Animal World, p. 23.-It is, indeed, melancholy to think of the havock made among the human species by the unnatural customs as well as the rices which prerail in polished societies. I have no doubt, but that the custom, in particular, of committing. infants, as soon as born, to the care of foster-mothers, destroys more lives than the sword, famine, and pestilence put together.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ The ingenious and excellent writer quoted in the last note, observes, that the whole class of diseases which arise from catching cold, are found only among the civilized part of mankind, p. 51.-And, concerning that loss of all our higher powers which so often attends the decline of life, and which is so humiliating to human pride, he observes, that it exhibits a scene singular in nature, and that there is the greatest reason to believe, that it proceeds from adventitious causes, and would not take place among us if we led natural lives, p. 62.

[^42]:    ${ }^{2}$ This supplement was an addition to this Treatise in the Second and Third Editions of it. I have in the present Edition added to it a Postscript, containing a review of the arguments for and against the increasing population of the kingdom.

[^43]:    5, ! : .

[^44]:    © See the remarks on Table LII. in the following collection.

[^45]:    d All the Bills, from which this and the following Tables are formed; give the numbers dying under 1 as well as under 2 years; and, in the mambers dying under 1 are included, in the cuantry parish in BramdenMergh and as Berlin, all the still-borns. All the billy also give the numbers dying in every period of five years.

[^46]:    e This writer has aiso given the bills of the parish of st. Polor's nt Berun, for 24 years; and a Table formed from them, agrees nearly with this.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ A celebrated and excellent philosopher has for some time been employed in enquiring into these causes; and, among other curious and important facts; he has discovered, that one of these causes is the vegetation of plants, and the action of light upon them. See the Fourth and Fifth Volumes of Dr. Priestley's Experiments on Air; and an Oration on presenting him with a prize-medal, delivered by Sir John Pringle, President of the Royal Society.-See, likewise, Experiments on Vegetables, discovering their Power of purifying common Air in Sunshine, \&cc by Dr. Ingenhouz, Counsellor of the Court, and Body Physician to their Imperial and Royal Majesties, F.R.S. \&c.

[^48]:    * See Susmilch's Gottlichasordnung, Vol. II. p. 317, \&c. $\mathbf{x} 2$ most

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is put out of all doubt in the present Edition of this work, by the Tables in the following collection, deduced from the Chester and Sweden observations.

[^50]:    ${ }^{m}$ See on this subject the remark at the end of Table XLVI.

    It will not be amiss to insert here the following accounts of the mortality of summer compared with that of winter, that is, of the four months, June, July, August, and Septemler, compared with Decemler, January, Felunary, and March.

    The deaths for 60 years at Vever in the former months, were to the deaths in the latter, as 2140 to 1697, or 5 to 4. (See Mr. Muret's Tables, p. 100.) In London and at Paris, this proportion is nearly the same. At Edinburgh, as 4 to 3 . In 25 country towns and parishcs mentioned by Dr. Short (New Olservations, p. 142) as 50 to 41 .——The sick admitted into the Hôtel Dieu at Paris, for 40 years, from 1724 to 1763 , were, in the furmer months, 314,824; in the latter, 238,522, or as 4 to 3; See Recherches sur la Population, \&c. par M. Messance, p. 181.-It is remarkable that the births also in winter to those in summer, are, at Vevey, as 5 to 4 ; in Londov, as 8 ta 7 ; in the country towns and parishes just mentioned as 7 to 6 .

[^51]:    - The price of corn, in particular, has for some time been complained of by the poor as oppressively high, though far from being so high as it generally was at the end of the last century. This is a striking fact which implies that the lower part of the nation are now more distressed than ever. The consequence has been a reduction of their number ; and this is the effect that must go on increasing, with increasing luxury aad taxes.

[^52]:    b "Those who contribute towards the destruction of " small farms" (says a gentleman of great knowledge and experience in this way) "can have very little re"flection. If they have, their feelings are not to be " envied. Where this has been the practice, we see a "c vast number of families reduced to poverty and misery, " 6 the poor rates much increased, the small articles of " provision greatly diminished in quantity apd number, " and consequently augmented in price."-See Hints to Gentlemen of Landed Property, printed for Mr. Dodsley in 1776, p. 223, \&c. \&c.; where the pernicious tendency of large farms seems abundantly proved. There are thousands of parishes, he says, which, since little farms have been swallowed up in greater, do not support so many cows as they did by 50 or 60 in a parish; and the inhabitants have decreased in pruportion.- He concludes his observations on this subject with expressing "his anxious wishes that the destructive practice of en"grossing farms may be carried no farther, the stab al" ready given by it to plenty and population having greatly " affected the prosperity of this country."

[^53]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ At this time there was a tax of two shillings on every fire-hearth; which was taken off at the Revolution, because reckoned " not only a great oppression to the poorer " sort, but a badge of slavery on the whole people, expos" ing every man's house to be entered into and searched "at pleasure by persons unknown to him." Preample to the Act for taking away the revenue arising by hearth-money. 1 William and Mary, Chap. 10.
    ${ }^{d}$ Continuation of Rapin, Vol. I. p. 53.
    c Dr. Davenant's Works, Vol. I. p. 370.

[^54]:    k Along the sca-coast they double their own number in about 45 years; but in the back settlements, in 15 years. See Essay I. p. 49; and p. 109 of A Discourse on Christian Union, by Dr. Styles, the worthy President of the College of Yale in Connecticut.

[^55]:    1"Every speculative Englishman," says Mr. Kent, " who travels through the Austrian Netherlands, is asto" nished at the great population of that country, and at "the sight of the markets, which are plentiful beyond " description. Upon enquiring into the internal state and "regulation of the country, he finds that there are no "large farms, no class of men who pass under the cha* racter of gentlemen farmers, acquiring large fortunes " merely by superintending the business of farming; but "that the whole country is divided into much smaller "portions than land is with us, and occupied by a set of "laborious people, who in general work for themselves, "and live very much on a footing of equality." See Hints to Gentlemen of Landed Property, p. 217.

[^56]:    m Even so far back as the year 1463, the price of wheat was reckoned not too high at $6 \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{sd}$. per quarter; nor that of barley at 3 s , and rye at 4 s . ; for it was in that year enacted, that the importation of these three sorts of grain should not be gilowed till they got above these prices. See Mr. Anderson's Chronolngicat Deduction of Commerce, Vol. I. p. 280.

[^57]:    " Bread made of bran, and even of pease and leans, was formerly not uncommon among the lower people. But no distresses could force them now to eat such bread, or eveu to live upon rice, though the food of a considerable part of the rest of mankind. See the Earl of NorthumLer lund's Household Book, Preface, p. 13, \&c.

[^58]:    - I have here in view inclosures of open fields and lands already improved. It is acknowledged by even the writers in defence of inclosures, that these diminish tillage, increase the monopolies of farms, raise the prices of provisions, and produce depopulation. Such inclosures,

[^59]:    - See Lord Bacon's Works, Vol. III. p. 48il.

[^60]:    ${ }^{9}$ See Chronicon Pretiosum, Chap. V. From whence; compared with the account in Chap. IV. of the price of corn and other commodities, for the last 600 years, abundant evidence for what I have here observed, may be collected.
    r "The balance at present is considerably against the " labourer; and yet the landlord and tenant derive ulti" mately no advantage from hence.-The great increase " in the poor rates may be accounted for in a few words. "The rise upon land and its produce, is at least 60 per "cent.; the rise upon labour not above 20 per cent. "The difference is of course against the working hands; " and when their earnings are insufficient for the ab"s solute necessaries of life, they must inevitably fall upon "the parish." Hints to Gentlemen of Landed Property, p. 273.

[^61]:    - If the Survey in 1801 be correct, five sixths nearly of all the houses in the kingdom are of this description.

[^62]:    * This year was the first in which an order was given to return the cottages excused for poverty. - The chargeable or uninhabited houses in this year, and in 1761 and 1777, were 24,904, 25,628, and 19,396 respectively, See the Essay on the Population of England and Wales, printed for Mr. Cadell, p. 10 and 12.

    $$
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[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 27, and 31, 32, 33.

[^64]:    p "The number of houses in Mr. Howlett's list said to " be returned for Tenterden in Kent, is 96, the total 198. "A correspondent, on whose veracity I can depend, "assures me that these 198 houses are all in the parish "duplicate; and that the 96 are those which are "charged."-Uncertainty of the Population of this Kingdom, p. 24.
    ${ }^{9}$ Mr. Howlett, in consequence of thus over-rating the number of houses, and allowing 5 and two-fifths to a house, makes the inhabitants of England and Wules to be near nine millions. The proportion of inhabitants to houses may be, in some measure, collected from the Table in. p. 6th of the Essay on the Population of England and Wales, which has been reprinted with some additions at the end of the First Essay in this Volume. To the towns and parishes in that Table I will here add. Sandwica in Kent, where, by an accurate survey in 1776, the houses were found to be 578 , and the inhabitants 2252 , or 3 응 $t$ ) a house; and also Eastry in the sume county, where, in $177^{4}$, the houses were 141, and the inhabitants 656 , or $4 \frac{1}{3}$ to a house.-The total of houses in that Table, with these added, is 45,217; and of inhabitants 231,842, which makes 5 and an eighth to a house.

    Mr. Howlett has inserted in his Examination, \&c. p. 144, the houses and inhabitants in Birmingham, Norwich, Manchester, Nottingham, and Liverpool, just as I had given them in the Essay on the Population of England, \&c. but with such additions as to bring out the allowance just mentioned 5 and two-fiftiss to a house. But bad Mr. Howlett

[^65]:    ' In p. 67, there is a comparison of enamerations at different periods of Manchester, Liverpool, Birmingham, Leeds, Noutingham, Norwich, and Farnhiam, which shews, What is well-known concerning the four first of these towng, that they have greatly increased.

[^66]:    - In Mr. Wales's accounts of the increase of houses in the North-Riding of Yorkshire, and in Derlyshire, it appears that a great part of it proceeded from alterations iu old houses; that is, perhaps, from such alterations as those here meant.

[^67]:    $=$ One plain reason of the inconsistencies in these a00 counts has been intimated, namely, that the births and burials in former periods are given by the extracts much more below the truth than in the latter periods. And as far as this is the case, they prove nothing.

[^68]:    ${ }^{5}$ The births in the first period, in order to produce (in conformity to the extracts) a double number in 30 years, should have been more than double the burials; that is, supposing the burials not too high, the births should have been about 700; and both the births and burials in the second period, instead of being 715 and 587, should have been double these numbers.
    $=$ The author of the pamphlet entitled, The Uncertainty

[^69]:    - If the burials are supposed deficient, as certainly they. ought, the births must have been proportionably more. deficient than the third here reckoned.

[^70]:    e Dr. Short has employed thuch time and pains in colledting extracts from the registers of a great variety of market-towins and country phrishes and villages in different parts of the kingdom for two periods, the first extending from the reign of Queen Elizaleth to the middle of the last 'centuiry; and the second from differetrit yeals at the end of the last century to the middle of the present century: and from a comparison of these extracts it appears, that in the former period the births exceeded the burials in the proportion of 124 to 100 : but that in the lutter they exceeded them only in the proportion of 111 10, 100 .

    This, were there suficient ethatence 'for it, would manifest tob plainly an encumbeted and declining population. It appears (as Dr.Short speaks) with no less evidence from the registers than that the:sth shines in a cloulless day at noon; and he concludes from it, that in consequence of the irregularities and debauchery wiccasioned since the Revolution, by increasing opulence :and luxury, the kingdom bas been for many yeats growing less healthy. But the truth is, that the fegisters i(having corvinily been more defective formerly than they lare dit present) cannot be trusted as a just foundation for any conclusions.-See-Dr. Short's New Observations, Tubies ist, 2d, and sd, and p. 80.-See likewise'the Preface to his History of the Comparative Increase and.Decrease of Mankind; and the Tables at the end.

[^71]:    * These accounts have been given by authority in Russia; and were communicated to me by Mr. Howard; who with views of unparalleled humanity, travelled through that country in 1781.-To Mr. Houard's enquiries I likewise owe the account of Silesia, in thé Note, p. 197.
    ${ }^{1}$ The new burying grounds (taken notice of in the Note, p. 28 and p. 33) have been opened but latcly;

[^72]:    ${ }^{*}$ According to the returns of the surveyors in 1777 (and they have varied very little since that time) the number of houses paying the window -tax was only 395,781.

[^73]:    - See Philosophical Transactions, vol. lxv. p. 822, and vol. 1xiv. p. 57.
    The particulars of the surveys here referred to are the following, According to a survey executed with great


    ## care

[^74]:    The number of burials in the town, including the addition of 50 every year for Dissenters, was, in

    | 1772, | 954 |
    | :---: | :---: |
    | 1773, | . 973 |
    | 1774, | . 1008 |

    Within the parish, but out of the town, there are 18 episcopal and dissenting chapels; and the number of burials in all these chapels, in 1772 , was 246 . The christenings were 401. The number of burials brought from the country into the town is not consideralle ; and it is, If am informed, pretty exactly balanced by the burials carried out of the town into the country.

[^75]:    c The number dying annually in towns is seldom so low as 1 in 28, except in consequence of a rapid ipcrease produced by an influx of people, at those periods of life when the fewest die. This is the case at Manchester. It is also the case at Liverpool and at Berlin; in the former of which towns, 1 in 27 dies annually; and in the latter, 1 in $26 \frac{1}{2}$ died from 1755 to 1759 . See Essay I. in this Wolume, page 23-69.

[^76]:    ${ }^{d}$ See a Memoir by M. Wargentin, in the 15 th volume of the Collection Academique, printed at Paris, 1772 From this memoir I learn, that in 1757, and 1760, and 1763, a survey was made of the inhabitants of Sweden, distinguishing, particularly, the numbers of both sexes living at every age; and that also, for nine years (or from 1755 to 1763), an exact Register was kept of the number of births and burials in each year, distinguishing the age and sex of every one that died. The result, as given by M. Wargentin in this Memoir, contains indeed a most curious account of the state of population in Sweden; and it is particularly to my present purpose to mention, that it shews, that though a 19th part of the inhahitants of Stockholm die every year, yet in the whole kingdom, taking all the towns and country together, not more than a 35th part die every year. In 1757, Sweden consisted of $1,101,595$ males, and $1,221,600$ females; in 1760, of $1,121,053$ males, and $1,246,445$ females; and in 1763, of $1,165,489$ males, and $1,280,905$ females. The annual average of births, from 1755 to 1763 , was 46,223 males, and 44,017 females ; of marriages, 21,219; of deaths, 34,088 males, and 35,037 females.

    - See Essay I. in this Volume, p. 40, \&c.

[^77]:    'Sce M. Maret's Memoir on the State of Population, in the Pays de Faud, printed at Bern, in 1766.
    s 1 oas this information concerning the parish of Ackurnth to a curious Register kept there by Dr. Lee. I have taken the liberty to insert this register in the Postscript, together with the annual register and survey at Rome from liciz to 17\%1,

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ In towns, about a fourth of the inhabitants die commonly between 14 and 51 ; a fifth or sixth die at 51 and upwards; and the remainder die under 15. In country parishes and villages about a fifth die between 14, and 51 ; about two-fifths at 51 and upwards; and the remainder under 15.

[^79]:    * In the town the number of inhabitants between 14 and 51 is 13,779 ; and 9575 under 15 . In the country the former number is 6481 ; and the latter, 5545. But the last number would have been only 4503 , had the proportion of the inhabitants between 14 and 51 to the inhabitants under 15 been the same in both situations. It is owing to this, that the number of persons in a family in the country is $5 \frac{1}{2}$; but in the town only $4 \frac{1}{4}$.

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Susm. Gottlicke Ordnung Trables, p. 16.

[^81]:    ${ }^{m}$ See Susm. Gottlicke Ordnung Tables, p. 17.

    - Ibid. p. $13 . \quad \circ$ lbid. p. $12 . \quad{ }^{p}$ Ibid. p. 3. ${ }^{9}$ Ibid. p. $5 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 9.
    - See Dr. Short's New Observations, p. 27, 31.
    ' Ibid. p. 30.
    - Ibid. p. 49.

    Ibid.
    true

[^82]:    y This is meant on a supposition which, I think, not extravagant, that the annual supply of people in mature life from the country, to keep up London and its environs, is $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$. In order to provide this supply there must be about double that number born in the country.

[^83]:    - Dr. Parcival has not succeeded at Manchester. But it has been seen, in the course of this work, that I have dorived a great deal of information from Dr. HayYarith's tégister. Dec. 1781.

[^84]:    e And this toi on the suppposition; that the probabilities of living, at every particular age, among the inhabitants born in Stockholm, are the same that they are among the whole body of inhabitants at that age, consisting of natives and foreignets; whereas the truth is, that the mortahity of great towns falls more on the new comers, than on those Tho have been semoned to it by having lived in it some sime.

[^85]:    ${ }^{\text {' }}$ In nine years before 1764, the births at Slockholm, exclusive of the still-born, were 7,907, and the burials 11,344: 1

[^86]:    a The value (in Table XIX) which in nearest to but less that 10.490, is 10.481: which is the value of a single life aged 54 . This value subtracted from 10.490 leaves 69, the numerator of this fraction. The denominatur is the difference between 10.421 and 10.611 , the last beise the value of a life one ycar younger.
    b The value deduced from the Tables (hy the rnle in p. 356) of two joint lives aged 20 and 54, is 9.038 . -The value of two joint lives aged 20 and 55, is (by Table XXVII.) 8.869; and of two joint lived yed 80 and 50, is (by Table XXVI.) 9.630. A fifth part of the differ ence between these values (that is, . 153 ) mulliplied by the fraction 099, gives .047 , which added to 9.088 makes 9.035 , the value deduced from Tables XXVI a ${ }^{\text {did }}$ XXVII of two joint lives, one agrd $z 0$ and the ather wanting $=\frac{69}{9}$ of a year of 54 . - This shews the pruper method of calculation in every casc: but the difference will be little, if, for the sake of more expedition, $D$ is al ways taken for that age, whetiner greater or less, which answers most nearly to the value of the joint lisce $B$ and C, without regardiag the fraction.

[^87]:    d This addition to the premiums of the 8ociety has been diccontinued since the lst of January, 1786.

[^88]:    - The whole number of males living in these years was $1,182,848$; of females $1,290,068$. I have said that one of the 26 provinces of Swedre was omitted in the observations for these three years. The addition of this province will make the inhabitants of Swrden in 1766 above two millions and a half. In 1757 they were 2,323,195. They increased, therefore, at the rate of near 200,000 in nine years. But it appears that this increase had not been of long continuance; for had it been so, a table formed from the decrements as given by the registers, and by taking the medium of annual deaths from 1755 to 1763 for the radix, would have given the probabilities of living much too small (and much less than those in the following Table) through the whole duration of life; whereas it does this only in the first stages of life. From 45 to 60 it gives them nearly equal ; and after 60 it gives them greater, which is a plain proof that about the beginning of this century Sweden was de-creasing- To the same purpose it appears from the enumerations, that while the numbers living in the first stages of life were increasing fast, the numbers in the last. otages were decreasing.

[^89]:    1':.'
    4. NOL. II.
    $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{f}}$
    The

[^90]:    ' In the Equilalle Society, though established 50 years, and assuring the lives of women at all ages, I do not believe there are six instances of a claim's baving been produced by child-birth. M.

[^91]:    $\varepsilon$ During 33 years, from Jan. 1768 to Jan. 1801, the number of assurances on single lives had been 83,201 , of which number 60,597 were on the lives of persons under 50 years of age, among whom the deaths were fewer than those in the Northampton Table in the proportion of 4 to 7 . Between the ages of 50 and 60 the number of assurances on single lives had been 15,779, and compared with the Northampton Table the number of deaths had been as 5 to 7 . Between 60 and 80 years of age, the number of assurances on single lives had been 6825 , and among them the decrements compared with those in the Northampton Table were in the ratio of s to 4 nearly.-See a further account of this Society in a note p. 191 of the lst volume. M.

[^92]:    - A copy of this bill and of the tables that were computed for it, has been published by Mr. Baron Maseres, in the 2 d volume of his Treatise on the Doctrine of Lifeannuities.

[^93]:    - The values of lives at 31 per cent. are not given in this table; but the means between the two values at 3 and at 4 per cent. give them with sufficient exactness.

    The value of a life-annuity payable weekly, is worth three-tenths of a year's purchase more than the value of the same annuity payable yearly; and therefore, in all these calculations, this addition is made to every tabular value.

[^94]:    ${ }^{d}$ The value of a life for a term of years is found by subtracting the value of the life after the term from its whole value.. Thus the value of an annuity on the whole continuance of a life aged 20, is (adding three-tenths to obtain the value of the annuity payable weekly) 17.635 year's purchase. Its value after a term of 45 years (that is, after 65) is (as shewn above) .5629 years purchase. The ditference ( $\notin 17.072$ ) is its value for 45 years.-See Quest. 6th. Vol. I. page 18.

[^95]:    babitants in that kingdom, ii. 41, note.
    National Debt ; see Debt and Sinking Fund.
    Netherlands, Austrian, causes of the great population there, ii. 147, note.

